

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336891598>

Hydroponics technology for fodder production Agriculture and food magazine November 2019

Article · November 2019

CITATIONS

0

READS

323

1 author:



Mayur Thalkar

Lovely Professional University

37 PUBLICATIONS 12 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

AGRICULTURE & FOOD e-NEWSLETTER

ISSN: 2581 - 8317

**Volume 1 - Issue 11
November 2019**

**MONTHLY ONLINE MAGAZINE COVERING
TRENDING AND IMPORTANT ASPECTS RELATED TO
AGRICULTURE, HORTICULTURE, FOOD SCIENCE,
ENVIRONMENT**

WWW.AGRIFOODMAGAZINE.CO.IN

EDITORIAL BOARD

www.agrifoodmagazine.co.in

Editors	Reviewers
Dr. Tanmay Kumar Koley (ICAR-EZ)	Dr. Shekhar Khade (BAU)
Dr. Manoj Kumar Mahawar (ICAR-CIPHET)	Dr. Dickson Adom (GHANA)
Prof. Umesh Thapa (BCKV)	Dr. Suddhasuchi Das (ATARI, Kol)
Prof. Fatik Kr. Bauri (BCKV)	Mr. Sourav Mondal (BCKV)
Dr. Pynbianglang Kharumnuid (IARI)	Mr. Shashikumar J.N. (MPUAT)
Dr. Nagendra Kumar (DRPCAUI)	Mr. Prasanna Pal (NDRI)
Dr. Pradip Kumar Sarkar (ICAR - EZ)	Mr. Dr. Sandeep Singh
Dr. Venkata Satish Kuchi (YSRHU)	Mr. Subhrajyoti Chatterjee (BCKV)
Ms. Sujayasree O.J. (IIHR)	Mr. Dodla Rajashekar Reddy (YSRHU)
Dr. Udit Kumar (DRPCAUI)	Dr. Varun Mishra
Dr. K Prasad (DRPCAUI)	Mr. Abhijith M (KAU)
Dr. Chandan Karak (BCKV)	Ms. Smriti Singh (GBPUAT)
Dr. Vivek Kumar Singh (DDA - GOI)	Mr. Subhrajyoti Panda (OUAT)
Dr. Rekha Rani (SHUATS)	Mr. Atul Yadav (NDUAT)
Dr. Gopal Shukla (UBKV)	Mr. Bapi Das (ICAR-NEZ)
Dr. Nirmal Kumar Meena (KAU)	Mr. Alamuru Krishna Chaitanya
Dr. K. Rama Krishna (CUTN)	Mr. Sukamal Sarkar (BCKV)
Dr. Anil Kumar (SRI - DRPCAUI)	Mr. Kariyanna B. (UAS Raichur)
Dr. S. B. Satpute (MGM NKCA)	Ms. Manali Chakraborty (CUH)
Dr. Pedda Nagi Reddy Pocha (ANGRAU)	Dr. Richa Khanna (ITKM)
Dr. Amit Kumar Barman (WBUAFS)	Mr. Hemendra Negi (UK)
Dr. Arun Kumar Tiwary (BAU, Ranchi)	Mr. Kamal Ravi Sharma (BHU)

Every individual with progressive mindset can join our team
Reviewer and editor application form is given in the website

Dr. Nityamanjari Mishra
Editor-in-chief

Arghya Mani
Founder Editor

Shuvo Saha
Manager

Paritosh Halder
Technical Head

INDEX - Agriculture & Food: e-Newsletter - 1(11): November 2019

www.agrifoodmagazine.co.in

Article id.	Title of article	Page no.
22200	Effect of DNA Barcoding in Plants	1
22201	Importance of motor driven transportation over animal driven transportation of agricultural produce in Indian agriculture	7
22202	Plant disease detection by using smartphone	9
22203	Feminization empowerment in agriculture sector essential in food security	13
22204	Molecular farming using plants to manufacture high value products	16
22205	Management of pests through agronomic practices: A sustainable way of pest management	19
22206	Principle of integrated disease management	23
22207	Conservation of plant genetic resources: Tool for Plant Breeding	26
22208	Agriculture service centers for entrepreneurship	30
22209	Role of different microbes in insect pest management	33
22210	Application of Models in Watershed Management	38
22211	Plasticulture Technology for Profitable Horticulture	44
22212	Aerobic rice: A novel approach for rice production in water stressed conditions	48
22213	The state butterflies: conservation of indigenous butterflies	51
22214	Plant quarantine and regulatory measures	54
22215	Important citrus nematode disease and its management	57
22216	Different methods of sowing in wheat cultivation & their advantages	60
22217	Type of vegetable gardens	64
22218	Vegetable and human health	67
22219	Rapid multiplication of tuber crops	71
22220	VERMICOMPOST	74
22221	Commercial cultivation of Gerbera under Protected condition	77
22222	<i>In-situ</i> crop residue management	80
22223	Hydroponics technology for fodder production for the cattle.	84
22224	Cultivation practices and economic importance Garlic	89
22225	Bio fortified varieties of Rice- A pathway to secure nutrition India	91
22226	EDIBLE VACCINES	93
22227	Agronomic practices for mid-season drought management	96
22228	Bitter Gourd: Hidden treasure for nutritive and Medicinal Values	98
22229	Cultivation practices and economic importance Cluster Bean	100
22230	Plastic in Teabags: An Alarming Concern	102
22231	Importance of Rotavator and Gyrovator for Efficient Operations in Indian Agriculture	105
22232	Cultivation practices and economic importance Mustard	107
22233	Seed Potato inspection	109
22234	<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> : A potential source to enhance food security	112
22235	Soil Solarization: an Effective Method to Control Soil borne Pests of Crops	115
22236	Important physiological disorders and their management in fruit crops	118
22237	Cultivation practices and economic importance Watermelon	134
22238	Plant Ideotypes for improving the productivity of dryland areas	138
22239	Stem Rot: A Threat to Groundnut Production	143
22240	CROP DIVERSIFICATION: A step towards sustainability	146
22241	Sirohi goat farming for raising income of small, marginal and landless labours under arid and semi- arid condition in Rajasthan	149

INDEX - Agriculture & Food: e-Newsletter - 1(11): November 2019

www.agrifoodmagazine.co.in

22242	Apical Root Cutting (ARC): A low cost technology for the production of quality seed potato material for the farmers	152
22243	nutritional and meditational value of wild fruit kachari (<i>cucumis callosus</i>) grow in Bundelkhand area	155
22244	Major devastating pests and their organic management	158
22245	Insect Pests of Millets and Their Integrated Pest Management	161
22246	Kodo (Varagu) Millet	164
22247	Time to Fight: Molecular Mechanisms of Age-Related Resistance	168
22248	Zero Budget Natural Farming in India	170
22249	Practices of gladiolus (<i>Gladolus grandifloras</i> L.) varieties suitable for Chhattisgarh plain	172
22250	Green Chilli: Cultivation Process Guide	178
22251	Integrated Weed Management in Rice	180
22252	Post harvest handling and packaging materials of commercial flower crops	183
22253	Soil Pollution and Prevention	189
22254	Insect Pests of Wheat and Their Integrated Pest Management	191
22255	Macronutrients: Function, Deficiency and Control of Deficiency	194
22256	Soil Testing: When, why and how?	197
22257	Single Use Plastics (SUPs) and its impact on environment	199
22258	Tillage for Weed Control	202
22259	Spectral monitoring	203
22260	Advances in Plant breeding through CRISPR/CAS9	206
22261	Weeds behavior and herbicide efficacy in relation to climatic change	210
22262	Flagship species and their significance in biodiversity conservation	213
22263	Wheat: Disease, Symptoms and Control	218
22264	Hydrogel technology in agriculture	222
22265	Trichoderma: A potential bio-control agent for plant disease management	227
22266	Role of Nanoparticle in Plant Disease Management	230
22267	Why should we use Biofertilizer?	234
22268	"Trilogy: Plants-Proteases- Insects"	237
22269	Canopy Management of Unproductive Mango	242
22270	Safety measures while using chemical pesticides	245
22271	Benefits and uses of Ginger	248
22272	Economic importance and cultivation practices in Pumpkin	254
22273	Single Use Plastics (SUPs) and its impact on environment	257
22274	Biofortification of crops: A sustainable way for Nutritional security	260
22275	Botanical formulations from neem in organic agriculture	264
22277	Climate change and food security	267
22278	Effective control measures of a noxious weed (Gajar ghas) Parthenium	272
22279	Yellow Mosaic Disease in Greengram (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.).	275
22280	Acid sulphate soil: management strategy for soil health and productivity	277
22281	Can Azolla Be A Substitute for N-Fertilisation in Rice?	281
22282	Silvipasture Systems for Feed and Fodder Resource Development in Low Rainfall Areas of Rajasthan	284
22283	SOUPS FOR SOUL	289
22284	Refractance Window Drying: A Novel Drying Technology	292

INDEX - Agriculture & Food: e-Newsletter - 1(11): November 2019

www.agrifoodmagazine.co.in

22285	Harvesting and physiological maturity	297
22286	3Ps of digestive alternative possibilities from food world (Prebiotics , Probiotics and Profit)	301
22287	Applications revolutionizing Indian agriculture	305
22288	Real-time weather forecast	308
22289	Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture: A revolutionary way to smart farming in India	310
22290	IFS Research Methodology And Evaluation	315
22291	DRONE: Multi-fuctional tool for precision agriculture	320
22292	Globally important agricultural heritage systems in India	323
22293	Function of silicon in plant defense mechanism	327
22294	CRSPER/cas 9: AN EMERGING TECHNOLOGY IN FUTURE AGRICULTURE	329
22295	Multiple roles of biopolymer in Plant defense and in Agriculture	331
22296	WATERLOGGING : its causes and consequences in agriculture	333
22297	Safe use of pesticides: save pollinators, save ecosystem	339
22298	Application of Botanicals: An eco - friendly approach for plant disease management	343
22299	Agronomic Biofortification of Zinc in Food Crops	346
22300	Role of Different Micronutrients in Plants	349
22301	Arugula (<i>Eruca vesicaria</i> subsp. <i>sativa</i> (Miller) Thell.): A healthy leafy vegetable	359
22302	Accessories and containers for gardening and floral decoration	363
22303	Grey mould and its management aspects	368
22304	Human hair in agriculture	370
22305	The water footprint: concept, applications and assessments	374
22306	Identification and Management of an Invasive Pest the Fall armyworm, <i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> (J.E. Smith) on Maize	378
22307	Psylla, <i>Diaphorina dakariensis</i> Boselli: A potential pest of Dodi (<i>Leptadenia reticulata</i>) an endangered medicinal plant	382
22308	Important Pests of tomato and their management	385
22309	Status and prospects of maize in India	387
22310	Depth distribution and characterization of soil acidity under agro-climatic zones of West Bengal	389
22311	Potential health benefits of an antioxidant rich superfruit: blueberries	393
22312	Sustainable development Vis-A Vis conservation agriculture in red and laterite zone of West Bengal through agro forestry	396
22313	Climate-smart Agriculture	403
22314	Universal multi-nutrient extractants in soil analysis - Scope & Prospects	406

The articles published in this magazine are based on personal view / opinion of the authors.

Magazine does not ensure the genuinely of the facts mentioned in the articles.

Authors are solely responsible for plagiarism present in the article.

www.agrifoodmagazine.co.in

Hydroponics technology for fodder production for the cattle.**Article id: 22223****Mayur Gopinath Thalkar**

Assistant Professor, Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, Lovely Professional University, Punjab

INTRODUCTION-

The methods of hydroponic fodder production date back to the 1800s (Kerr et al., 2014), or earlier, from the 'Hanging Gardens of Babylon' era, when European dairy farmers fed sprouted grains to their cows during winter to maintain milk production and improve fertility (Anonymous, 2008). There is renewed interest in this technology due to shortage of green fodder in most of the Middle East, African and Asian countries. Fodder production cannot easily be increased due mainly to ever increasing human pressure on land for production of cereal grains, oil seeds and pulses. To meet this increasing demand for green fodder, one of the alternatives is hydroponic fodder to supplement the meager pasture resources. The word hydroponics is derived from two Greek words: 'hydro' meaning water and 'ponos' meaning labour i.e. water working. Hydroponic green fodder can be produced both in large, sophisticated, automated commercial systems with environmental control, or in low cost systems, where the ambient environment is suitable for fodder production. Fodder seeds utilize tap water, or nutrient-enriched solutions for plant nourishment in the absence of soil. Hydroponic fodder is also called fresh fodder biscuits, sprouted fodder or sprouted grain or alfalfa. Today, hydroponics are used in harsh climates such as deserts, areas with poor soil or in urban areas where high land costs have driven out traditional agriculture. Hydroponic fodder production is probably best-suited to semi-arid, arid, and drought-prone regions of the world, suffering from chronic water shortages or in areas where irrigation infrastructure does not exist. Hydroponic fodder production is a boon for farmers whose soil is rocky and infertile. It is a viable farmer friendly alternative technology for landless farmers for fodder production. Fodders including maize, barley, oats, sorghum, rye, alfalfa and triticale can be produced by hydroponics. Others, including cowpea, horse gram, sun hemp, ragi, bajra, foxtail millet and Jowar have also been grown successfully by the use of hydroponics (Rachel Jemimah et al., 2015).

Efficiency: By providing the optimal environment the efficiency of fodder production is increased remarkably. Hydroponic systems minimize water wastage since it is applied directly to the roots and is often recycled and used several times. However, the water should be clean because bacteria and fungi proliferate during recycling during the growth cycle. It is, therefore, suggested to go for infrared filtering of the water before recycling (FAO, 2015). It has been reported that about 1.5-2 liters are needed to produce 1 kg of green fodder hydroponically in comparison with 73, 85, and 160 liters to produce 1 kg of green fodder of barley, alfalfa, and Rhodes grass under field conditions, respectively. Under hydroponic systems this equates to only 2-5% of water used in traditional fodder production (Al-Karaki and Al-Momani. 2011; Naik, 2014; Rachel Jemimah et al., 2015; Yvonne Kamanga, 2016). This is especially important in areas suffering from chronic water shortages or where the infrastructure for irrigation does not exist.

Space: Hydroponic systems require much less space and time than conventional systems, which makes the former ideal for urban dwellers with limited yard space. The plant root systems of hydroponic fodder are much smaller than in a traditionally grown fodder, which means higher numbers of plants per unit of space. It is also easy to start a hydroponic system indoors, wherein number of racks with multiple tiers (vertical farming) are used, minimizing land requirement thereby resulting in land preservation. Crop

rotation is not necessary in hydroponics, the same fodder species can be grown throughout the year. Using hydroponics technology, about 600-1000 kg maize fodder can be produced daily in 7-8 days growth cycle, in only 45-50 m² area compared with one ha required in traditional farming (Naik and Singh, 2013; Rachel Jemimah et al., 2015). Another study revealed that only one square meter space is required to produce fodder for two cows per day and the milk yield was increased by 13% (Yvonne Kamanga, 2016).

Use of pesticides, insecticides and herbicides: Traditional outdoor farming must rely on herbicides, fungicides and/or insecticides for optimum production. Hydroponic fodder is grown in a controlled environment without soil and, therefore, is not susceptible to soil-borne diseases, pests or fungi, there by minimizing use of pesticides, insecticides and herbicides. An outbreak of pests or infections in hydroponically grown fodder can be quickly controlled by spraying the crops with appropriate pesticides or fungicides. Fresh and clean water should be used for irrigation as water-borne plant diseases spread quickly.

Fodder yield: Fodder production is accelerated by as much as 25% by bringing the nutrients directly to the plants, without developing large root systems to seek out food. Plants mature faster and more evenly under a hydroponic system than a conventional soil based system. One kg of un-sprouted seed yields 8-10 kg green forage in 7-8 days (Sneath and McIntosh, 2003; Naik et al., 2013; Reddy, 2014; Anonymous, 2015; FAO, 2015; Yvonne Kamanga, 2016). The hydroponics maize fodder yield on fresh basis is 5-6 times higher than that obtained in a traditional farm production, and is more nutritious (Naik et al., 2014).

Fodder quality: The crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF) and Ca content increased, but organic matter (OM) and non-fibrous carbohydrates (NFC) content decreased ($P<0.05$) in the hydroponic green forage compared with the original seed on a DM basis (Abdullah, 2001; Fazaeli et al., 2012; Kide et al., 2015; Mehta and Sharma, 2016). Hydroponic fodder is a rich source of vitamin A, vitamin E, vitamin C, thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, biotin, free folic acid, anti-oxidants like β -carotene (Finney, 1982; Cuddeford, 1989; Naik et al., 2015) and minerals (Bhise et al., 1988; Chung et al., 1989; Fazaeli et al., 2012). Shipard (2005) and Naik et al. (2014) found that hydroponic fodder is also a rich source of bioactive enzymes, with the highest activities in sprouts being generally between germination and 7 days of age (Chavan and Kadam, 1989). The fatty acid concentration showed a significant ($P<0.05$) positive relationship with the growth period. The concentrations of linoleic, linolenic and stearic acids increased ($P<0.05$) linearly with sprouting time (Peer and Leeson, 1985). Besides, helping in the elimination of the anti-nutritional factors such as phytate in the grains, hydroponic fodders are good sources of chlorophyll and contain a grass juice factor that improves the performance of livestock (Naik et al., 2015). The crop is free from antibiotics, hormones, pesticides, or herbicides (Naik, 2014).

The *in sacco* degradability of barley grain (BG) and hydroponic barley sprouts (HB) was comparable (Dung et al., 2005). These findings were confirmed when HB were supplemented to herbage-based or haylage-based diets evaluated by a dual-flow continuous-culture fermentor system. In addition the methane output and bacterial protein synthesis were also comparable with those obtained by using BG supplemented diets (Hafla et al., 2014; Mehta and Sharma, 2016). The availability of metabolizable energy (ME) in hydroponic barley was lower than the original barley grain (Fazaeli et al., 2012).

Impact on animal production: Because hydroponic fodders are highly succulent, their intake varied between 15 to 25, 0.25 to 2.0, 1.5 to 2.0 and 0.1 to 0.2 kg/animal/day in large ruminants, small ruminants,

adult pigs and rabbits respectively (Naik et al., 2013; Rachel Jemimah, 2015), or 1.0 to 1.5% of body weight (Starova Jeton, 2016). Saidi and Abo Omar (2015) reported that hydroponic barley fodder (HBF) had no effect on feed intake, body weight change, milk yield, and milk composition; however, HBF had positive effects on ewe's health, mortality, conception rate and abortion. Hydroponic fodders are highly digestible, palatable and relished by the animals. Feeding vitamin-rich hydroponic green barley fodder did not increase bioavailability of nutrients for fattening calves. There was no effect of the fodder on average daily gain (ADG), but feed cost was increased by 24% (Fazaeli et al., 2011). Rachel Jemimah et al. (2015) found no adverse effects on ADG and feed conversion ratio (FCR) in goat kids and rabbit kittens fed hydroponic horse gram or sunn hemp fodder replacing 50% of a concentrate mixture. A 90-day feeding trial on 3-month-old weaned Awassi ram lambs showed that feeding hydroponic barley fodder improved ($P<0.05$) feed intake, ADG and FCR significantly compare to those fed a ration containing barley grains (Mysaa Ata, 2016). Feeding hydroponic fodder to beef cattle resulted in leaner meat containing more omega-3-fatty acids and vitamins (Maxwell Salinger, 2013).

Reddy et al. (1988) observed significant increases in the digestibility of nutrients in lactating cows fed hydroponic fodder compared to those fed Napier bajra (NB-21) green fodder. Feeding of a total mixed ration (TMR) containing either hydroponic maize fodder (HMF) or Napier bajra hybrid green fodder (NBH) for 68 days to lactating dairy cows did not have any significant effect on digestibility of nutrients, except that the digestibility of CF and NFE was higher ($P<0.05$) in the HMF fed group (Naik et al., 2014). The daily milk yield was 8.0-14.0% higher in animals fed TMR containing hydroponic maize or barley fodder than those fed conventional green fodder (Reddy et al., 1988; Naik et al., 2014; Rachel Jemimah et al., 2015; Yvonne Kamanga, 2016). Naik et al. (2017b) further reported that feeding of hydroponic maize fodder by replacing 50% maize grains in the concentrate mixture did not have any adverse effect on nutrient utilization and performance of low yielding lactating cows. Besides increased milk yield, conception rate, herd health and longevity were also improved (Naik et al., 2015). Furthermore, it must follow that improved animal health stemming from higher quality hydroponic fodder will reduce veterinary costs.

Hydroponic fodder heavily infested with *Aspergillus clavatus* should not be fed to dairy/beef cattle. Animals may develop posterior ataxia, knuckling of fetlocks, dragging of hind legs, high stepping in the hind limbs, stiff gait, tremors, progressive paresis, hypersensitivity, recumbency, clonic convulsions, decreased milk yield and possibly death (McKenzie et al., 2004).

Consistency of feed: One of the major obstacles being faced by many beef producers is the variability/inconsistency of plant species within their pasture, due mainly to seasonal fluctuation. By feeding hydroponic fodder, one is assured of the quality and quantity of fodder that is being consumed. This consistency of feed can lead to better-tasting end products of consistent quality, which is one of the major goals of the beef producers. Similarly consistency in feed can also increase the quality of meat and other products of swine and poultry. Hydroponic fodder production is a way to substantially improve the quality of animal products (Maxwell Salinger, 2013).

Reduced carbon footprints: Hydroponics are more environmentally friendly than traditional agriculture, because fertilizers are rarely used. This reduces greenhouse gas emissions considerably (Anonymous, 2016). In traditional farming, run-off can lead to the degradation of the surrounding environment (Naik, 2014). Hydroponic systems help in reducing the fuel consumption for transportation of product from distant agricultural farms and carbon emissions in turn.

**Hydroponics fodder production****Fodder by hydroponics****Tray stack for growing fodder.**

Major disadvantages of hydroponics

Loss in total dry matter: A number of studies reported that sprouting resulted in 7-47% loss in DM from the original seed after sprouting for a period of 6-7 days of growth, mainly due to respiration during the sprouting process (Sneath and McIntosh, 2003; Dung et al., 2005; Fazaeli et al., 2012; Putnam et al., 2013). Seed soaking activates enzymes that convert starch stored in endosperm to a simple sugar, which produces energy and gives off carbon dioxide and water, leading to loss of DM with a shift from starch in the seed to fiber and pectin in the roots and green shoots.

Availability of nutrients: Sneath and McIntosh (2003) showed that sprouted barley fodder was 3.4 times more expensive per kg of DM than the original barley grains. Similarly ME (cents/MJ), CP (\$/kg DM) and FCR (feed cost/kg live weight gain) were 3.7, 2.2 and 2.5 times costlier using hydroponic fodders than the original grains, respectively (Sneath and McIntosh, 2003), confirming the earlier report of Appleman (1962) who found that hydroponic oat and barley grass may be 2.1 and 3.8 times costlier than rolled oats and barley in terms of food energy. Decades of research and farmer experience indicate that the costs associated with hydroponic fodder production are 2 to 5 times those of the original grain (Tranel, 2013).

Economics of hydroponics

Traditional fodder production requires a major investment for the purchase of land, in addition to investment in agricultural machinery, equipment, infrastructure required for pre- and post-harvesting, including handling, transportation and conservation of fodder. It also requires labour, fuel, lubricants, fertilizers, insecticides, pesticides, and weedicides. On the other hand, hydroponic fodder production

requires only seed and water as production inputs with modest labour inputs. Hydroponics minimises post-harvest losses, with no fuel required for harvesting and post harvesting processes. Moreover, in hydroponic systems it takes only 7-8 days to develop from seed to fodder while it takes 45-60 days under traditional systems. However, the initial investment required for setting up hi-tech, sophisticated, automated commercial hydroponic fodder production systems, with environmental control, plus operational costs are much higher than traditional soil-based fodder production farming. Such hydroponic systems require much more specialized equipment and technical knowledge than is required in traditional farming. Mold is highly likely and thus prevention or treatment could further involve investment. Therefore, even if there are benefits of feeding hydroponic fodder, the benefits are usually outweighed by the costs (Tranel, 2013; Reddy, 2014).

The feed cost/kg milk was higher when animals were fed maize fodder produced from a hi-tech hydroponic system, mostly due to higher cost of hydroponic fodder production [INR 4.0 to 4.50/kg; 1 US \$ = 65 INR] than green fodder produced by traditional farming (INR 1.50/kg; Reddy et al., 1988; Naik et al., 2014). However, farmers of the Satara district of Maharashtra found that the cost of milk production of hydroponic fodder was reduced remarkably to INR 2.0-3.50 per kg (Naik et al., 2013) in a low cost shade net system with home-grown or locally purchased seeds. Accordingly when fodder was produced in low cost hydroponic system, the feed cost/kg milk was reduced remarkably (25 to 30%) and net profitability was improved considerably (Boue et al., 2003; Naik et al., 2013; FAO, 2015; Rachel Jemimah et al., 2015).

CONCLUSION

In developed countries where there is no dearth of quality feed and fodder, the hydroponic production of fodder is less competitive than traditional fodder production when compared on per kg dry matter basis. High initial investment on fully automated commercial hydroponic systems and high labour and energy costs in maintaining the desired environment in the system adds substantially to the net cost of hydroponic fodder production. Such systems are not successful in developing countries.

Conversely, low cost hydroponic systems have been developed by utilizing locally available infrastructure where there is an acute shortage of fodder and water; local irrigation systems are not well established; transportation and fuel costs are high; and seasonal variations of fodder prices are extreme. Typical lean periods of fodder production are the norm, investment in controlling temperature and humidity are low, and so is the cost of labour. Under such situations the cost structure is often shifted in favour of hydroponic fodder production, and it may find a niche in increasing livestock production.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Abdullah, A. 2001. Nutritive value of barley fodder grown in a hydroponics system. Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Pp 96. http://psasir.upm.edu.my/10568/1/FP_2001_24_A.pdf
- [2]. Al-Karaki, G.N., and N. Al-Momani. 2011. Evaluation of some barley cultivars for green fodder production and water use efficiency under hydroponic conditions. *Jordan Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 7(3): 448-456.