Module/framework/package	Name and brief description of algorithm	An example of a situation where using the provided GLM implementation provides superior performance compared to that of base R or its equivalent in Python (identify the equivalent in Python)
Base R	Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares (IWLS) The base R glm function performs IWLS calculations through an iterative process that solves weighted least squares problems to update parameter estimates. The weights receive calculations from current parameter estimates and data until the model reaches convergence.	The implementation suits datasets of medium size that can be stored within a single machine's memory capacity. The interface enables simple diagnostics while delivering good statistical properties for this method. The equivalent implementation provided by statsmodels in Python lacks diagnostic capabilities compared to this package while offering statistical analysis tools.
Big Data version of R	MapReduce and distributed implementations The statistical programming packages pbdMPI and snow allow users to execute GLM computations in parallel through MPI clusters or socket-based cluster operations. Through its Rmpi package users can access MPI functions without intermediaries. Through its future package users can execute evaluations either synchronously or asynchronously.	These software designs specifically solve problems with massive datasets which cannot fit in the memory of one system. Based R is outperformed in large genomic research by pbdMPI while snow together with future.apply offer effortless multiprocessing interfaces which surpass Python's multiprocessing component.
Dask ML	Multiple optimization algorithms Dask ML enables users to execute ADMM (Alternating Direction Method of	The processing power of Dask ML becomes most effective for handling datasets that exceed memory capacity across multiple connected machines. The API of scikit-

	Multipliers) alongside L-	learn connects naturally with
	BFGS and proximal gradient	this system which processes
	methods and Newton's	distributed data. Using
	method algorithms. The	ADMM in Dask ML for
	distributed computing	terabyte-scale logistic
	capabilities of ADMM work	regression tasks leads to
	best because it divides	faster model fitting than
	problems into smaller sub-	scikit-learn's SGD
	problems that are easy to	implementations because the
	manage.	parallel computing resources
		are managed effectively.
Spark R	Spark's MLlib optimization	The processing speed of
		Spark.glm exceeds base R for
	Within Spark.glm users can	handling data located across
	fit GLMs across clusters	multiple nodes within a
	through L-BFGS-based	compute cluster. The analysis
	distributed gradient descent	of terabyte insurance claims
	algorithms. The system takes	datasets takes Spark.glm just
	advantage of Spark's in-	a few minutes to complete
	memory calculation	model fitting whereas base R
	framework which distributes	and Python's statsmodels
	both data and tasks among	require hours. The system
	cluster nodes while	offers superior fault tolerance
	supporting handling of	performance compared to
	categorical data types	implementations that rely on
	together with offset data.	a single machine.
Spark optimization	L-BFGS and SGD	Spark performs outstandingly
	implementations	well when dealing with big
		distributed machine learning
	The MLlib component of	issues. L-BFGS as
	Spark offers users two	implemented by Spark on
	gradient descent methods:	petabyte-scale clickstream
	batch and stochastic. The L-	data delivers superior
	BFGS quasi-Newton method	convergence results
	uses previous gradient	compared to scikit-learn SGD
	evaluations to approximate	methods in Python while
	the Hessian matrix alongside	preserving distributed
	multiple regularization	processing capabilities. The
	options (L1, L2) available	framework allows users to
	through SGD implementation	dynamically choose between
	updaters.	SGD and L-BFGS
	_	
		on specific problem
		on specific problem requirements which most
	Spark offers users two gradient descent methods: batch and stochastic. The L-BFGS quasi-Newton method uses previous gradient evaluations to approximate the Hessian matrix alongside multiple regularization options (L1, L2) available through SGD implementation	issues. L-BFGS as implemented by Spark on petabyte-scale clickstream data delivers superior convergence results compared to scikit-learn SGD methods in Python while preserving distributed processing capabilities. The framework allows users to dynamically choose between SGD and L-BFGS optimization methods based

	T	1
Scikit-Learn	Multiple solvers including	Scikit-learn delivers superior
	LBFGS, Newton-CG, SAGA	performance than base R for
	-Scikit-learn supplies	wide data sets with numerous
	different GLM solvers	features through the use of
	including 'lbfgs' which	the 'saga' solver with L1
	performs quasi-Newton	regularization. High-
	operations and 'newton-cg'	dimensional genomic data
	alongside 'newton-cholesky'	analysis with thousands of
	which execute different	features while having few
	variants of Newton's method	samples can be completed
	as well as 'saga' and 'sag'	more efficiently by the scikit-
	which complete stochastic	learn 'saga' solver due to its
	average gradient descent for	faster convergence compared
	large datasets.	to R's glmnet package while
	_	delivering equivalent sparsity
		levels.