

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Project Summary	1 pts Full Marks A short project summary has been provided and it is clear and understandable.			0 pts No Marks Missing or low effort submission.	1 pts
SQL DDL file has been provided	1 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks Missing or file does not run.			1 pts
Dataset files have been provided	1 pts Full Marks The actual dataset files, not links, have been provided.			0 pts No Marks	1 pts
README	2 pts Full Marks README lists information about which student's Oracle account we can use. The listed student's Oracle account has the data from the SQL DDL file loaded and ready to go.		1 pts Half marks README is present with information but does not list which student's account can be used or the listed student account is not ready.		0 pts No Marks 2 pts
Code Quality	3 pts Full Marks General good coding practices such as good naming and structured code. Code has good documentation/comments when necessary.	2 pts Code is not particularly structured/well named 1-2 areas where the code could be better structured/named.	1 pts Generally poor coding practices Some structure in the code but 3 or more areas with poorly structured code or naming practices.	0 pts No Marks Code is generally unstructured/named. OR No code has been submitted.	3 pts
Code Documentation	2 pts Full Marks Code has been properly documented through. It is clear which sections of code have not been written by a team member. Sections of code that come from places like Stack Overflow or library documentation have been clearly indicated and a link has been provided to show where the code came from.		1 pts Documentation may be missing/not clear at times 1-2 areas may have documentation that is not particularly clear.	0 pts No Marks 3 or more areas with missing or unclear documentation. Sections of code that were not written by a team member have been properly cited.	2 pts
Code Functionality	5 pts Full Marks Code functions as per the description from previous assignments. Code works with different instances of the data files. Code runs without errors. A visualization in line with previous assignment descriptions is produced.	3 pts Some errors with the code The teaching team needs to intervene to fix at most 3 errors in order for the code to run. OR The code does not work for a different instance of the data. OR The analysis is complete and in line with the ideas proposed in previous assignments. However, no visualization is produced.		0 pts No Marks There are no code files submitted for grading consideration. OR There are more than 3 errors with the submitted code.	5 pts
Penalties	0 pts Full Marks The project is in line with the idea proposed in previous assignments.	0 pts No Marks The project has changed from the idea proposed in previous assignments. (Grader to manually deduct 50% of the assignment's total number of marks.)			0 pts