COMP307 Assignment: 2 Name: Karu Skipper ID: 300417869

https://github.com/Kayskip/Neural-Networks-for-Classification

Part 1: Neural Networks for Classification [30 marks]

1. Determine and report the network architecture, including the number of input nodes, the number of output nodes, the number of hidden nodes (assume only one hidden layer is used here). Describe the rationale of your choice.

I have included an output.txt file of my results from the bpnn.

Number of layers: 3 Units in input layer: 4 Units in hidden layer: 3 Units in output layer: 3

We will assume that only **one hidden layer** is used in this assignment, this results in **1 input layer**, **1 hidden layer** and **1 output layer**. Any higher than this will result in a larger amount of epochs, which is unessarily more difficult to handle. I have chosen 3 output nodes based off the 3 different iris flower classes: Veriscolour, Setosa and Virginica. I have chosen **4 input layers** based of the iris flower attributes: **sepal length**, **sepal width**, **pedal length** and **pedal width**. Using all the attributes of the flower as inputs will increase the accuracy of our output.

2. Determine the learning parameters, including the **learning rate**, **momentum**, **initial weight ranges**, and any other parameters you used. Describe the rationale of your choice.

```
epsilon = 0.2;
momentum = 0.0;
range = 0.1;
ecrit = 0.03;
```

As described in the lectures, a good starting point for the **epsilon** is 0.2, if the weights seem to diverge then decreasing the epsilon is a good way to obtain the learning rate for your Neural Network. In this case I have defaulted the epsilon at 0.2.

Momentum has been defualted at 0.0. Changing this did not affect the output or accuracy of my results. Momentum helps your Neural Network to find the global minimum, if this momentum is too small then your neural network may settle for a minimum that is not the global minimum. Having this momentum altered did not seem to affect the accuracy of the network.

Range was changed to 0.1 as increasing this allowed for more errors in the output, this meant a random value to be chosen between -0.1 and 0.1. However this change was not as significant as predicted, this maybe due to the fact that the weights change immediately as you train the network.

Ecrit (Critical Error) has been left at its default value as increasing this value increased the room for errors in the output. Decreasing this slightly below 0.03 did not affect any results, therefor I have left it in its current state.

3. Determine your network training termination criteria. Describe the rationale of your decision.

Termination classification accuracy: 101.0%

Critical error: 0.03

I have set the classification error (mse) to 101.0% so the criteria is never met. Instead I have set the critical error (ecrit) to 0.03 to be satisfied instead.

- 4. Report your results (average results of 10 independent experiment runs with different random seeds) on both the training set and the test set. Analyse your results and make your conclusions.
- 1)

Mean squared error for test data: 0.052 Number of incorrect classifications: 4/75

2)

Mean squared error for test data: 0.057 Number of incorrect classifications: 5/75

3)

Mean squared error for test data: 0.055 Number of incorrect classifications: 4/75

4)

Mean squared error for test data: 0.053 Number of incorrect classifications: 4/75

5)

Mean squared error for test data: 0.058 Number of incorrect classifications: 5/75

6)

Mean squared error for test data: 0.057 Number of incorrect classifications: 5/75

7)

Mean squared error for test data: 0.051 Number of incorrect classifications: 4/75

8)

Mean squared error for test data: 0.054 Number of incorrect classifications: 4/75

9)

Mean squared error for test data: 0.056 Number of incorrect classifications: 5/75

10)

Mean squared error for test data: 0.053 Number of incorrect classifications: 4/75

RESULTS:

Mean squared error for all tests: 0.0546 Number of incorrect classifications: 4.4/75

From the results above, the network averaged 4.4/75 incorrect classifications. Which is around 94.133 percent accuracy.

5. (optional/bonus) Compare the performance of this method (neural networks) and the nearest neighbour methods.

Using the same iris-test and iris-training data I ran the k-nearest-neighbour algorithm and recieved these results:

Training data accuracy = 93.3 % Testing data accuracy = 92.0 %

The key difference is that the Neural Network starts with random weights every time, providing variance between each execution of the algorithm. Overall the Neural Network performed better than the k-Nearest-Neighbour by 2 percent on the testing data set. The Neural Network on average took around 208 epochs to learn the training data set. Where as for the k-Nearest-Neighbor it has a complexity of $O(n^2)$. This results in the neural network having a much better effeciency than that of the k-nearest-neighbor algorithm.