

# Kcits970 Problem Solving Handbook

When I learn algorithms/techniques, I often forget their correctness proofs. This handbook contains all algorithms that I have learned, but forgotten the proofs for.

## 1 Strongly Connected Component

Reference: <https://cp-algorithms.com/graph/strongly-connected-components.html>

Implementation: ./code/graph/scc.cpp

1. Given a directed graph  $G$ , construct its transpose  $H$ .
2. Sort the vertices of  $G$  in the order of dfs exit time.
3. Find an *unvisited* vertex  $u$  with the greatest exit time, and collect all *unvisited* vertices reachable from  $u$  in  $H$ .
4. Repeat the above until all vertices are *visited*.

Consider two different strongly connected components  $S$  and  $T$  in  $G$ . If there exists an edge from  $S$  to  $T$  in the condensation graph  $C$ , the dfs exit time of  $S$  must be greater than that of  $T$ . This can be proven by splitting the dfs entry order into two cases. (The rest is kind of trivial, so it is omitted here.)

$$\begin{cases} 1. S \text{ is visited first.} \dots \\ 2. T \text{ is visited first.} \dots \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the strongly connected component containing  $u$  (the vertex with the greatest exit time) must not have any incoming edges from other strongly connected components in  $G$ . Hence, by collecting all vertices reachable from  $u$  in the transpose, we end up with a strongly connected component. (This is valid because the set of all strongly connected components of  $G$  is equal to that of  $H$ .) Thus, repeating until exhaustion must correctly find all strongly connected components.

## 2 Cross Product of 2D Vectors

1. Let  $\mathbf{u} = (a, b)$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = (c, d)$ , and  $\theta$  be the angle from  $\mathbf{u}$  to  $\mathbf{v}$  measured in counterclockwise direction. ( $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ )
2. The signed area of the parallelogram formed by  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  is equal to  $ad - bc$ , and is denoted as  $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}$ .

It suffices to show that  $ad - bc = |\mathbf{u}||\mathbf{v}| \sin \theta$ . First, we use the rotation matrix to find a different expression for  $\mathbf{v}$ .

$$\mathbf{v} = \sqrt{\frac{c^2 + d^2}{a^2 + b^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\frac{c^2 + d^2}{a^2 + b^2}} \begin{pmatrix} a \cos \theta - b \sin \theta \\ a \sin \theta + b \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we calculate  $ad - bc$  using the alternate expression.

$$\begin{aligned}
ad - bc &= \sqrt{\frac{c^2 + d^2}{a^2 + b^2}}(a(a \sin \theta + b \cos \theta) - b(a \cos \theta - b \sin \theta)) \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{c^2 + d^2}{a^2 + b^2}}(a^2 \sin \theta + b^2 \sin \theta) \\
&= \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \sqrt{c^2 + d^2} \sin \theta \\
&= |\mathbf{u}| |\mathbf{v}| \sin \theta
\end{aligned}$$

This fact implies that  $\mathbf{v}$  is oriented counterclockwise to  $\mathbf{u}$  if  $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}$  is positive, and clockwise if  $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}$  is negative. The cross product of zero indicates that the two vectors are parallel to each other.

### 3 Orientation of 3 Points

This algorithm is also known as *CCW*.

1. Let  $A, B, C$  be arbitrary *distinct* points on a plane.
2. The orientation of  $A, B, C$  is counterclockwise if  $\overrightarrow{AB} \times \overrightarrow{BC} > 0$ , and clockwise if  $\overrightarrow{AB} \times \overrightarrow{BC} < 0$ .
3. If the cross product is zero, then the three points are on a line.

This is a direct derivation from the cross product of 2D vectors.

### 4 Line Segment Intersection Check

1. Let  $A, B, C, D$  be arbitrary *distinct* points on a plane.
2. If  $A, B, C$  and  $A, B, D$  are oriented in the same direction, then  $\overline{AB}$  and  $\overline{CD}$  do not intersect.
3. The same argument applies to the orientations of  $C, D, A$  and  $C, D, B$ .
4. If the four points are on a line, then the two segments intersect if and only if one of the following conditions hold.
  - The rightmost endpoint of  $\overline{AB}$  is to the right of the leftmost endpoint of  $\overline{CD}$ .
  - The leftmost endpoint of  $\overline{AB}$  is to the left of the rightmost endpoint of  $\overline{CD}$ .
  - The uppermost endpoint of  $\overline{AB}$  is above the lowermost endpoint of  $\overline{CD}$ .
  - The lowermost endpoint of  $\overline{AB}$  is below the uppermost endpoint of  $\overline{CD}$ .

The correctness of this algorithm can be shown visually, but I don't really want to write a dedicated TikZ diagram for it. Also, it should be noted that when implementing the algorithm, the usage of  $<$ ,  $\leq$ ,  $>$ ,  $\geq$  may depend on how the problem sets the condition for an *intersection*.

### 5 Diameter of a Tree

Reference: <https://codeforces.com/blog/entry/101271>

Implementation: ./code/tree/diam.cpp

If the weights can be negative, then this algorithm does not work in the general case.

1. Let  $T$  be a given tree with non-negative weights, and  $p$  be an arbitrary node on  $T$ .
2. Let  $a$  be any farthest node from  $p$ .
3. Let  $b$  be any farthest node from  $a$ .
4. The path from  $a$  to  $b$  is one of the diameters of  $T$ .

Let  $P$  denote the path from  $a$  to  $b$ . For each vertex  $v$ , define  $r_v$  as the first *reachable* vertex on  $P$  from  $v$ . First, we show that for every vertex  $v$ ,  $\text{dist}(r_v, v) \leq \text{dist}(r_v, b)$ . By definition of  $b$ ,  $\text{dist}(a, v) \leq \text{dist}(a, b)$ . Subtracting  $\text{dist}(a, r_v)$  on both sides immediately yields  $\text{dist}(r_v, v) \leq \text{dist}(r_v, b)$ .

Next, we proceed to show  $\text{dist}(r_v, v) \leq \text{dist}(r_v, a)$  for every vertex  $v$ . Given an arbitrary  $v$ , the position of  $r_v$  splits into two cases.

$$\begin{cases} r_v \text{ exists on the path from } a \text{ (inclusive) to } r_p \text{ (exclusive).} \\ r_v \text{ exists on the path from } r_p \text{ (inclusive) to } b \text{ (inclusive).} \end{cases}$$

In the former case,  $\text{dist}(r_v, v) \leq \text{dist}(r_v, a)$  must hold, because otherwise it contradicts the fact that  $a$  is farthest from  $p$ . In the latter case,  $\text{dist}(r_v, b) \leq \text{dist}(r_v, a)$ , because otherwise  $b$  is farther away from  $p$  than  $a$ . Combining this with the previously derived inequality  $\text{dist}(r_v, v) \leq \text{dist}(r_v, b)$  returns  $\text{dist}(r_v, v) \leq \text{dist}(r_v, a)$ .

Finally, we show that  $\text{dist}(u, v) \leq \text{dist}(a, b)$  for every pair of vertices  $u$  and  $v$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $r_u$  exists on the path from  $a$  to  $r_v$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(u, v) &\leq \text{dist}(u, r_u) + \text{dist}(r_u, r_v) + \text{dist}(r_v, v) \\ &\leq \text{dist}(a, r_u) + \text{dist}(r_u, r_v) + \text{dist}(r_v, b) \\ &= \text{dist}(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

We have proven that  $\text{dist}(a, b)$  is greater than or equal to every  $\text{dist}(u, v)$ ; hence the algorithm correctly finds the diameter of  $T$ .