## Homework 4

1.	So-> 15.01 5#   #5 CFG G, = (25.,53, 21, #3, R, So)
	5-> 15   W/ R= & So -> 15.01, So -> 5#, So -> 45, S-7 15, S-> 13
2.	5 -> 050   150   0X1   1X1
	$\chi \rightarrow 0$ × 0   1 × 0   0 × 1   1 × 1   0   1   $\epsilon$
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	to the second se
	CFG G2 = ( \ 5, \ x \ 3, \ \ 20, \ 1 \ 3, \ R, \ 5)
-	w/ R= { 5 → 050, 5 → 150, 5 → 0x1, 5 → 1x1, x → 0x0, x → 1x0, x → 0x1, x → 1x1, x → 0, x → 1, x → 1
3	2. R= (R, oRz) This is equivalent to the CFG that consists of
	all rules and variables from each of G, and Gz with a
	new start variable S and one additional rule 5-75152.
	Equivalence is because S' derives the set of strings
	that have a prefix derived by SI and a suffix derived
(alle)	by Sz. This is the language of (RioRz)
	A SECOND SECURITY OF MANY SECOND SECO
	3. R = (R*) This is equivalent to the CFG that consuits of all
	rules and variables from G, with a new start variable S and
	one additional rule 5-755, /E.
	Equivalence is because & derives any string that consists
	of a non-negative number of substrings which are derived by Si.
	This is the language of Rix.
,	
49	The grammar generates all strings that consist of positive
	number of substrings that are all' with noo.
Ь.	The grammar is ambiguous because it can derive ababab in two
	distinct leftmost derivations
0	1st S> SS -> SSS -> TSS -> abss -> abts -> ababs -> ababt -> ababab
	2nd S→ SS → TS → abs → abss → abss → ababs → ababab

5->55/T C. I > aTb lab stepl step2 step3 So -> S So -> SS late lab 5 -> 55 |T 5 -> 55 |T 5 -> 55 | atb | ab T-> aTblab T-> aTblab T-> aTblab Step 4 CNF S. -> SS AZ AB SA > SS | AZ | AB 5 -> SS | AZ | AB T-> AZ | AB T-> AZ | AB ZOTB Z → TB A -> a A -> a B-> b. Bob Citations: Used Class Notes & Textbook

6

0

6