Practical Machine Learning: Course project

The goal of the project

The description of the assignment contains the following information on the dataset:

In this project, your goal will be to use data from accelerometers on the belt, forearm, arm, and dumbell of 6 participants. They were asked to perform barbell lifts correctly and incorrectly in 5 different ways.

The goal is

to predict the manner in which they did the exercise.

The training of the model

In the following, I describe the steps concerning the training of a predictive model.

Read the data

First, the .csv file contain the training data is read into R. Here, unavailable values are set as NA.

```
rawData <- read.csv("./data/pml-training.csv", na.strings = c("NA", ""))</pre>
```

Reduce the dataset

In the next step, I check the proportion of missing values (NAs) in the columns.

```
propNAs <- colMeans(is.na(rawData))
table(propNAs)</pre>
```

```
## propNAs
## 0 0.979308938946081
## 60 100
```

There are 100 columns in which almost all values (97.93%) are missing. If a column contains a large number of NA s, it will not be of great use for training the model. Hence, these columns will be removed. Only the columns without any NA s will be kept.

```
# index of columns with NA values
idx <- !propNAs
# check
sum(idx)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 60
```

```
# remove these columns
rawDataReduced <- rawData[idx]
# check
ncol(rawDataReduced)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 60
```

There are further unnecessary columns that can be removed. The column x contains the row numbers. The column user_name contains the name of the user. Of course, these variables cannot predictors for the type of exercise.

Furthermore, the three columns containing time stamps (raw_timestamp_part_1, raw_timestamp_part_2, and cvtd timestamp) will not be used.

The factors new window and num window are not related to sensor data. They will be removed too.

```
# find columns not containing sensor measurement data
idx <- grep("^X$|user_name|timestamp|window", names(rawDataReduced))
# check
length(idx)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 7
```

```
# remove columns
rawDataReduced[-idx]
```

Preparing the data for training

Now, the dataset contains one outcome column (classe) and 59 feature columns. The function createDataPartition of the caret package is used to split the data into a training and a cross-validation data set. Here, 70% of the data goes into the training set.

```
library(caret)
```

```
## Warning: package 'caret' was built under R version 3.4.1
```

```
## Warning: package 'lattice' was built under R version 3.4.1
```

```
## Warning: package 'ggplot2' was built under R version 3.4.1
```

```
inTrain <- createDataPartition(y = rawDataReduced2$classe, p = 0.7, list = FALSE)</pre>
```

The index inTrain is used to split the data.

```
training <- rawDataReduced2[inTrain, ]
# the number of columns on the training set
nrow(training)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 13737
```

```
crossval <- rawDataReduced2[-inTrain, ]
# the number of rows in the cross-validation set
nrow(crossval)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 5885
```

Train a model

I used the *random-forest* technique to generate a predictive model. In sum, 10 models were trained. I played around with the parameters passed to trControl and specified different models with bootstrapping (method = "boot") and cross-validation (method = "cv").

It took more than one day to train all models. Afterwards I tested their performance on the cross-validation dataset. It turned out that all models showed a good performance (because their accuracy was above 99%) though their training times were quite different.

Due to the similar performance, I will present the model with the shortest training time.

```
library(randomForest)
```

```
## Warning: package 'randomForest' was built under R version 3.4.1
```

```
trControl <- trainControl(method = "cv", number = 2)
modFit <- train(classe ~ ., data = training, method = "rf", prox = TRUE, trControl = trControl)</pre>
```

Evaluate the model (out-of-sample error)

First, the final model is used to predict the outcome in the cross-validation dataset.

```
pred <- predict(modFit, newdata = crossval)</pre>
```

Second, the function confusionMatrix is used to calculate the accuracy of the prediction.

```
coMa <- confusionMatrix(pred, reference = crossval$classe)
acc <- coMa$overall["Accuracy"]
acc</pre>
```

```
## Accuracy
## 0.9942226
```

The accuracy of the prediction is 99.42%. Hence, the *out-of-sample error* is 0.58%.

Variable importance

The five most important variables in the model and their relative importance values are:

```
vi <- varImp(modFit)$importance
vi[head(order(unlist(vi), decreasing = TRUE), 5L), , drop = FALSE]</pre>
```

```
## roll_belt 100.00000
## pitch_forearm 58.90347
## yaw_belt 51.37440
## pitch_belt 43.02363
## magnet_dumbbell_y 42.32896
```

The source of the data

The assignment is based on data of weight lifting exercises. It has been published:

Velloso, E.; Bulling, A.; Gellersen, H.; Ugulino, W.; Fuks, H. Qualitative Activity Recognition of Weight Lifting Exercises (http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har#ixzz34irPKNuZ). *Proceedings of 4th International Conference in Cooperation with SIGCHI (Augmented Human '13*). Stuttgart, Germany: ACM SIGCHI, 2013.