The following **translation advice** for *RecSPL* syntax is provided under the assumption that Scope Analsysis, Internal Renaming of user-defined names, and Type Checking were already successful in foregoing phases of the compilation process. It is thus assumed that a Symbol Table already exists, which can be consulted by the translator function (as explained in **Chapter #6** of our textbook).

GLOBVARS ::=

GLOBVARS1 ::= VTYP VNAME, GLOBVARS2

The variable declarations were needed only for Scope-Analysis and for Type-Checking. They remain <u>un</u>-translated (can be ignored by the translator).

VTYP ::= **num** VTYP ::= **text** 

*The type declarations were needed only for Scope-Analysis and for Type-Checking. They remain un-translated (can be ignored by the translator).* 

# VNAME ::= a token of **Token-Class V** from the Lexer

The user-defined names were already re-named in the foregoing Scope Analysis. The translator function can find their new names in the Symbol Table.

#### PROG ::= main GLOBVARS ALGO FUNCTIONS

The source-word **main** remains <u>un</u>-translated (can be ignored by the translator). We translate ALGO, and append <u>behind</u> the ALGO-code the translation of FUNCTIONS. Also important is the generation of a **stop** command <u>behind</u> ALGO, such that the running main-code will <u>not</u> continue to run into the program code of the functions in target code within the same target-code-file!

Thus:

translation(PROG) must  $\underline{return}$  the target-code-string aCode++"STOP"++fCode whereby aCode = translation(ALGO), and fCode = translation(FUNCTIONS)

## ALGO ::= **begin** INSTRUC **end**

The source-words **begin** and **end** remain  $\underline{un}$ -translated (can be ignored by the translator). Thus: **translate**(ALGO) = **translate**(INSTRUC)

#### INSTRUC ::=

For this case, the translator function shall <u>return</u> the target-code-string " **REM END** " // Comment: In our Target-Language, REM represents a non-executable remark

INSTRUC1 ::= COMMAND; INSTRUC2

*Translate this sequence such as Stat1*; *Stat2* in *Figure 6.5* of our *Textbook*.

COMMAND ::= skip

For this case, the translator function returns the code-string "REM DO NOTHING"

COMMAND ::= halt

*For this case, the translator function must <u>return</u> the code-string* " **STOP** "

```
COMMAND ::= print ATOMIC

codeString = translate(ATOMIC)

return( "PRINT"++" "++codeString)
```

```
COMMAND ::=
                     return ATOMIC // Only for Project Phase 5b, NOT for Project Phase 5a!
       // The return ATOMIC command must stand 'inside' of a Function-Scope!
       // We assume that Scope-Analysis has checked this already! If the return ATOMIC command
       // was found inside the MAIN program then a semantic error must have already been thrown
       // in the Semantic Analysis phase, such that the translation phase would not even start.
       Advice: Translation as per Chapter #9 of our Textbook, or per INLINING (as lectured).
COMMAND ::= ASSIGN
                                   translate(COMMAND) = translate(ASSIGN)
                                   translate(COMMAND) = translate(CALL)
COMMAND ::=
                     CALL
                     BRANCH
                                   translate(COMMAND) = translate(BRANCH)
COMMAND ::=
ATOMIC
                     VNAME
              ::=
translate(ATOMIC) → <u>returns</u> as code-string the new name of VNAME as found in the Symbol Table
ATOMIC
                     CONST
                                   translate(ATOMIC) = translate(CONST)
              ::=
CONST
              ::=
                     a token of Token-Class N from the Lexer
                     a token of Token-Class T from the Lexer
CONST
              ::=
       Constants are translated to themselves.
       Example for a <u>number</u> constant: translate(235) → <u>return</u> " 235 "
       Example for a <u>text</u> constant: translate("hello") → <u>return</u> " <mark>"hello"</mark> "
              // Note that the returned code-string must also contain these <mark>"</mark>quotation marks<mark>"</mark> !
ASSIGN
                     VNAME < input
                                          // The symbol < remains un-translated
              ::=
                                          codeString = translate(VNAME)
                                          <u>return("INPUT"++""++codeString</u>)
                     VNAME = TERM
ASSIGN
              ::=
                     Translate this case such as id := Exp in Figure 6.5 of our Textbook.
                     ATOMIC
                                   translate(TERM) = translate(ATOMIC)
TERM
              ::=
TERM
                     CALL
                                   translate(TERM) = translate(CALL)
              ::=
TERM
              ::=
                     OP
                                   translate(TERM) = translate(OP)
CALL ::= FNAME( ATOMIC<sub>1</sub>, ATOMIC<sub>2</sub>, ATOMIC<sub>3</sub>)
The internally generated new name for FNAME can already be found in the Symbol Table.
For non-executable intermediate code (Semester-Project Phase 5a).
       you can translate function calls such as case id(Exps) in Figure 6.3 of our Textbook.
       In our project, the translation is indeed much easier than in the Textbook, because we
       know that we have exactly three parameters [not an indefinitely long list of parameters],
       and we also know that our parameters are atomic [not the Textbook's parameters which
       are possibly composite terms].
       In other words:
       translation(FNAME( ATOMIC1 , ATOMIC2 , ATOMIC3 ) )
       returns simply the non-executable intermediate code-string:
              "CALL_"++newNameforFNAME++"("++p1++","++p2++","++p3++")"
       whereby
              p1 = translation( ATOMIC1 )
              p2 = translation( ATOMIC<sub>2</sub> )
              p3 = translation(ATOMIC<sub>3</sub>)
              all of which are either simple <u>variable names</u> or simple <u>constant numbers</u>:)
```

```
// For executable target code for the Function-Call (in Semester-Project <u>Phase 5b</u>), // the final translation will <u>continue</u> from there <u>either</u> by way of INLINING (<u>as lectured</u>), // <u>or</u> by the code generation method described in Chapter #9 of our Textbook (<u>with stack</u>).
```

OP ::= UNOP(ARG) Translate this case such as unop Expt in Figure 6.3 of our Textbook,

**however** with the brackets!

In other words:

<u>return</u> code1++place++":="++opName++"("++place1++")"

UNOP ::= not

**Important!** Our Target-Language does <u>not include</u> in its own syntax any symbolic representation of the Boolean negation operator **not**! Wherever such a **not** occurs in a COMPOSIT COND of any BRANCH statement, such a BRANCH statement must be translated as described in case ! Condi of Figure 6.8 of our Textbook whereby the **then**-code and the **else**-code of the if-then-else command are getting **swapped**.

```
UNOP ::= sqrt // Numeric operation which yields a number's square root translate(sqrt) \rightarrow return "SQR" // That is the operator's syntax in our Target Language
```

```
OP ::= BINOP(ARG1, ARG2)
```

*Translate this case such as* **Exp1 binop Exp2** in **Figure 6.3** of our Textbook.

```
BINOP ::= or
```

**Important!** Our Target-Language does <u>not include</u> in its own syntax any symbolic representation of the Boolean disjunction operator **or**! Wherever such an **or** occurs in a COMPOSIT COND of any BRANCH statement, such a BRANCH statement must be translated such as described in case **Cond1** || **Cond2** of **Figure 6.8** of our Textbook, whereby **cascading jumps to different labels** will be generated by the translator function.

```
BINOP ::= and
```

Important! Our Target-Language does <u>not include</u> in its own syntax any symbolic representation of the Boolean conjunction operator **and**! Wherever such an **and** occurs in a COMPOSIT COND of any BRANCH statement, such a BRANCH statement must be translated such as described in case **Cond**<sup>1</sup> && Cond<sup>2</sup> of **Figure 6.8** of our Textbook, whereby **cascading jumps to different labels** will be generated by the translator function.

```
BINOP
                           translate(eq) → return " = "
             ::=
                    eq
                           translate(grt) → return ">"
BINOP
                    grt
             ::=
                           translate(add) → return " + "
BINOP
                    add
             ::=
                           translate(sub) → return " - "
BINOP
             ::=
                    sub
                           translate(mul) → return " * "
BINOP
             ::=
                    mul
                           translate(div) → return "/"
BINOP
                    div
             ::=
```

```
BRANCH ::= if COND then ALGO<sub>1</sub> else ALGO<sub>2</sub>
```

*If the* COND *is a* COMPOSIT,

then translate the whole BRANCH command as in **Figure 6.8** in the Textbook. If the COND is SIMPLE,

then translate the whole BRANCH command as in **Figure 6.5** of the Textbook, case: **if** COND **then** State **else** State

COND ::= SIMPLE Translation as explained above COND ::= COMPOSIT Translation as explained above

SIMPLE ::= BINOP(ATOMIC1, ATOMIC2) Translation as explained above

COMPOSIT ::= BINOP(SIMPLE1, SIMPLE2) Translation as explained above UNOP(SIMPLE) Translation as explained above

FNAME ::= <u>a token of **Token-Class F** from the Lexer</u>

The user-defined names were already re-named in the foregoing Scope Analysis. The translator function can find their new names in the Symbol Table.

Here ends the work-task for those students who only wish to carry out Phase 5a of the Project, i.e.: the generation of non-executable Intermediate-Code. For Phase 5a (only) you do <u>not</u> need to generate code for FUNCTIONS: these remain <u>un</u>-translated in Project Phase 5a. Generated code must be written out into a legible \*.<u>txt file</u>, which our Tutors can read for assessment and marking.

Here begins the work-tasks for those students who also want to accomplish Project Phase 5b, in which executable target code shall ultimately be generated. For this purpose it is of course also necessary to generate target-code for the FUNCTIONS to which the Main-Program can make calls. Project Phase 5b can be fully accomplished as soon as Textbook Chapter #9 and Textbook Chapter #7 have been discussed in the lectures.

### FUNCTIONS ::=

For this case, the translator function shall return the target-code-string " **REM END** "

## FUNCTIONS1 ::= DECL FUNCTIONS2

We translate DECL, and append <u>behind</u> the DECL-code the translation of FUNCTIONS2. Also important is the generation of a **stop** command <u>behind</u> DECL, such that the running DECL code will <u>not</u> continue to run into the program code of the subsequent functions in the same target-code-file!

Thus we must <u>return</u> the target-code-string dCode++" **STOP** "++fCode where dCode = translation(DECL), and fCode = translation(FUNCTIONS2)

## DECL ::= HEADER BODY

The HEADER will be treated <u>either</u> by the method of INLINING (as explained in lecture), <u>or</u> by the method explained in **Chapter #9** of our Textbook. **Ultimately the HEADER will vanish**, as it does not contain any do-able algorithm. Only the BODY contains a do-able algorithm, and thus only the BODY will eventually appear in the generated target code.

# HEADER ::= FTYP FNAME( VNAME1, VNAME2, VNAME3)

The HEADER will be treated <u>either</u> by the method of INLINING (as explained in lecture), <u>or</u> by the method explained in **Chapter #9** of our Textbook. **Ultimately the HEADER will vanish**, as explained above.

FTYP ::= num FTYP ::= void

The type declarations were needed only for Scope-Analysis and for Type-Checking. They remain <u>un</u>-translated (can be ignored by the translator).

#### LOCVARS ::= VTYP1 VNAME1, VTYP2 VNAME2, VTYP3 VNAME3,

The variable declarations were needed only for Scope-Analysis and for Type-Checking. They remain <u>un</u>-translated (can be ignored by the translator). As usual, their new names are kept in the Symbol Table.

BODY ::= PROLOG LOCVARS ALGO EPILOG SUBFUNCS end  $translate(BODY) \rightarrow \underline{return}$  the code-string pCode++aCode++eCode++sCode whereby:

pCode = translate(PROLOG)
aCode = translate(ALGO)
eCode = translate(EPILOG)
sCode = translate(SUBFUNCS)

PROLOG ::= {

If the code-generation-method for its corresponding function is INLINING (as lectured), then **translate**(PROLOG) → <u>return</u> " **REM BEGIN** "

If the code-generation-method for its corresponding function is the method from **Chapter #9**, then translate(PROLOG) will generate the boiler-plate-code (with runtime-Stack) as explained in Chapter #9.

EPILOG ::= }

If the code-generation-method for its corresponding function is INLINING (as lectured), then **translate**(EPILOG) → <u>return</u> " **REM END** "

If the code-generation-method for its corresponding function is the method from **Chapter #9**, then translate(EPILOG) will generate the boiler-plate-code (with runtime-Stack) as **explained in Chapter #9**.

For any given RecSPL input program, your translator function's output –i.e.: the generated target code– shall be written into a \*.txt file, which the Tutors can inspect for the purpose of assessment and marking. Thereby, please make sure that your output \*.txt file also contains some meaningful LINE BREAKS such that your entire target code file does NOT appear as ONE enormously long line of cumbersome text, which our Tutors would not be able to read.