

# CSE 566 Software Project, Process and Quality Management

## Assignment 3 - COCOMO Calculation

**Submitted By:** Kedar Sai Nadh Reddy Kanchi (1225297164) (kkanchi)

### Project Details

#### Title

The name of the application is Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS)[3]

#### Description

The Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS) is an embedded system[4] designed to automate and optimize the heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC)[5] of a residential space. It aims to provide a comfortable living environment for occupants while maximizing energy efficiency. The system will integrate with various sensors and actuators to monitor and control temperature, humidity, and air quality. It will also feature a user-friendly interface for manual control and will be capable of learning from user preferences and environmental conditions to adjust settings automatically.

#### Project Mode

It will be an embedded system since the software will be built into the hardware components.

#### Project Size

The project is estimated to be of medium to large size due to several factors[2]:

- **Complexity:** The system involves integrating various hardware components and sensors, requiring sophisticated software algorithms for climate control and energy optimization.

- **Functionality:** The SHCCS will offer a range of features, including automatic adjustment, user preference learning, and remote control via a mobile app.
- **Integration:** The system needs to be compatible with existing HVAC systems and smart home platforms, adding to the development complexity.

Estimating that the project can be divided into below mentioned four components:

1. **Sensor Integration:** The system integrates with multiple sensors to monitor temperature, humidity, and air quality. This requires additional code for sensor communication, data acquisition, and processing. Basing on this we can estimate that this component can require up to 12,750 SLOC.
2. **User Interface:** The SHCCS features a user-friendly interface for manual control. Developing a graphical user interface (GUI) involves significant coding for user interaction, data visualization, and system control. Basing on this we can estimate that this component can require up to 21,250 SLOC.
3. **Machine Learning:** The system's ability to learn from user preferences and environmental conditions adds complexity to the codebase. Implementing machine learning algorithms requires additional lines of code for data analysis, model training, and automatic adjustment of settings. Basing on this we can estimate that this component can require up to 25,500 SLOC.
4. **HVAC Control:** The core functionality of controlling the HVAC system involves coding for actuator control, feedback loops, and maintaining the desired environmental conditions. Basing on this we can estimate that this component can require up to 25,500 SLOC.

Based on these considerations, a reasonable estimate for the SHCCS project size is 85,000 LOC, balancing the complexities of sensor integration, user interface development, machine learning implementation, and HVAC control.

## Project Factors

For the Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS) project, the following attributes can be considered as major factors for estimation in the COCOMO model[2]:

1. **Product Complexity (Product Attributes):** The SHCCS project involves integrating various sensors, implementing machine learning algorithms, and controlling the HVAC system, which adds to the complexity of the product. The complexity level will significantly influence the effort and time required for development.

2. **Required Reliability (Product Attributes):** As a system that controls the climate within a residential space, the SHCCS requires high reliability to ensure consistent and accurate control of temperature, humidity, and air quality.
3. **Execution Time Constraint (Computer Attributes):** The SHCCS requires real-time processing of sensor data to effectively control the HVAC system. Execution time is crucial for maintaining a comfortable and energy-efficient environment. This constraint affects the selection of programming languages, algorithms, and hardware, influencing the project's cost and timeline.
4. **Programmer Capability (Personal Attributes):** The success of the SHCCS project heavily depends on the skills and capabilities of the programmers. Given the project's complexity, including the need for expertise in machine learning, sensor integration, and UI development, the capability of the programming team is a significant factor in determining the project's efficiency and quality.
5. **Programming Language and Tool Experience (Personal Attributes):** The proficiency of the development team in the programming languages and tools used for the SHCCS project will affect the productivity and efficiency of the development process.
6. **Use of Software Tools (Project Attributes):** The extent to which software tools are utilized in the development process can impact the project's estimation. Tools that automate tasks and improve efficiency can reduce the overall effort and time required.
7. **Platform Experience (Personal Attributes):** The development team's familiarity with the hardware platform and operating system used for the SHCCS will influence the efficiency of development and integration processes.
8. **Required Reusability (New):** For the SHCCS, designing components with reusability in mind can impact the project's cost and development time. Reusability is important for scaling the system, future upgrades, or adapting the system to different residential spaces. This attribute influences the initial design decisions and can lead to more efficient use of resources throughout the project lifecycle.

## Normal Scenario

For the Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS) project, we can create a normal scenario that outlines the COCOMO attributes and categorizes them based on their impact and relevance to the project:

## Category 1: Product Attributes

1. **Required Reliability (H):** High reliability is crucial as the system directly impacts the comfort and safety of the home environment. Any malfunction could lead to discomfort or even health risks.
2. **Database Size (L):** The system primarily deals with real-time sensor data and user settings, which do not require extensive storage, hence a low database size.
3. **Product Complexity (H):** The integration of various sensors, a user-friendly interface, machine learning algorithms, and HVAC control mechanisms increases the complexity of the product.

## Category 2: Computer Attributes

1. **Execution Time Constraint (H):** Real-time responsiveness is essential for adjusting climate settings promptly based on sensor readings and user inputs, necessitating high execution speed.
2. **Main Storage Constraint (N):** The system requires moderate storage for the operating system, application code, and temporary data storage for sensor readings and user preferences.
3. **Platform Volatility (L):** The hardware platform for such systems is generally stable, with updates or changes occurring infrequently.
4. **Computer Turnaround Time (N):** A balance is needed between responsiveness and energy efficiency, leading to a nominal turnaround time for processing sensor data and user commands.

## Category 3: Personnel Attributes

1. **Analyst Capability (H):** High capability is required to accurately analyze user requirements and design a system that meets these needs while ensuring ease of use and system efficiency.
2. **Applications Experience (H):** Experience with similar climate control or home automation systems is valuable for understanding the specific challenges and best practices in this domain.
3. **Programmer Capability (H):** Skilled programmers are essential to implement the complex functionalities of the system, ensuring reliability, efficiency, and user-friendliness.
4. **Platform Experience (H):** Familiarity with the chosen hardware and software platforms is crucial for efficient development and effective integration of system components.
5. **Programming Language and Tool Experience (H):** High experience with the programming languages and tools used is important for developing quality code, efficient debugging, and leveraging advanced features.

#### Category 4: Project Attributes

1. **Modern Programming Practices (H):** Employing modern practices such as agile development, continuous integration, and automated testing is important for adapting to changing requirements and ensuring high-quality software.
2. **Use of Software Tools (H):** The use of advanced software tools for coding, testing, version control, and project management is expected to enhance productivity and ensure project success.
3. **Required Development Schedule (N):** A nominal schedule allows sufficient time for thorough development, testing, and refinement without unnecessary delays, ensuring a well-polished final product.

#### Category 5: New

1. **Required Reusability (H):** While some components may be designed for reusability, the primary focus is on creating a tailored solution for the specific needs of the SHCCS project.
2. **Documentation Match to Life-Cycle Needs (H):** High-quality documentation is essential for ongoing maintenance, future enhancements, and ensuring that the system can be easily understood and modified by different teams.
3. **Personnel Continuity (H):** Maintaining a stable team throughout the project is important to ensure consistency, retain knowledge, and minimize the learning curve for new team members.
4. **Multisite Development (L):** The project is likely to be developed primarily at a single location, reducing the need for coordination across multiple sites.

In this scenario, the focus is on the major factors identified for the SHCCS project, with particular emphasis on the high complexity of the product, the need for reliability and execution speed, the importance of skilled personnel, and the use of modern programming practices and tools. Using the COCOMO Calculation Tool[1], we have calculated the estimates for the normal scenario by setting the values for the above-mentioned attributes. The results can be seen in the image below:

COCOMO RESULTS for Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS)								
MODE	"A" variable	"B" variable	"C" variable	"D" variable	KLOC	EFFORT, (in person-months)	DURATION, (in months)	STAFFING, (recommended)
embedded	2.3786877986470945	1.2	2.5	0.32	85.000	491.632	18.166	27.063
Explanation: The coefficients are set according to the project mode selected on the previous page, (as per Boehm). Note: the decimal separator is a period. The final estimates are determined in the following manner: $\text{effort} = a * \text{KLOC}^b$ , in person-months, with KLOC = lines of code, (in thousands), and: $\text{staffing} = \text{effort} / \text{duration}$ where a has been adjusted by the factors:								

Product Attributes	
Required Reliability	1.15 (H)
Database Size	0.94 (L)
Product Complexity	1.15 (H)
Computer Attributes	
Execution Time Constraint	1.11 (H)
Main Storage Constraint	1.00 (N)
Platform Volatility	0.87 (L)
Computer Turnaround Time	1.00 (N)
Personnel Attributes	
Analyst Capability	0.86 (H)
Applications Experience	0.91 (H)
Programmer Capability	0.86 (H)
Platform Experience	0.90 (H)
Programming Language and Tool Experience	0.95 (H)
Project Attributes	
Modern Programming Practices	0.91 (H)
Use of Software Tools	0.91 (H)
Required Development Schedule	1.00 (N)
New (Values are probably wrong)	
Required reusability	1.05 (H)
Documentation match to life-cycle needs	1.10 (H)
Personnel continuity	1.00 (H)
Multisite development	1.00 (L)

Figure 1: COCOMO RESULTS for Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS) under Normal Scenario

## Discussion

The COCOMO (Constructive Cost Model) results you've provided are for the Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS) in the "embedded" mode. This mode is typically used for software that is tightly integrated with hardware, often in real-time systems. Let's discuss the results and their implications:

1. **Effort:** The effort is estimated to be 491.632 person-months. This is a substantial amount of effort, indicating that the SHCCS is a large and complex project. The effort estimate is based on the "A" variable and the KLOC (thousands of lines of code) raised to the power of the "B" variable. The high effort is likely due to the embedded nature of the system, which often requires more intricate and low-level programming.
2. **Duration:** The project is estimated to take approximately 18.166 months to complete. This duration is calculated based on the effort and the "C" and "D" variables, which are used to model the project's development time. The duration seems reasonable given the substantial effort required, and it suggests a relatively aggressive development schedule.
3. **Staffing:** The recommended staffing level is about 27.063 full-time equivalent (FTE) employees. This level of staffing is consistent with the effort and duration estimates, suggesting a need for a sizable development team to complete the project within the estimated time frame.

4. **Product Attributes:** The factors such as required reliability, database size, and product complexity have been adjusted to reflect the high (H) or low (L) nature of the attributes. These adjustments influence the "A" variable, which in turn affects the effort estimate. For example, high reliability and complexity increase the effort required.
5. **Computer Attributes:** Attributes like execution time constraint and platform volatility also impact the effort estimate. High execution time constraint indicates that the system needs to be highly optimized, which can increase development effort.
6. **Personnel Attributes:** Factors such as analyst capability, programmer capability, and experience levels are considered high (H), which positively affects the effort estimate. Higher capability and experience typically lead to more efficient development, reducing the overall effort required.
7. **Project Attributes:** The use of modern programming practices and software tools can reduce development effort, which is reflected in the effort estimate.
8. **New Attributes:** Additional factors like required reusability and documentation match to life-cycle needs have been added. These are also considered high (H), indicating that they are important considerations for this project.

In summary, the COCOMO results for the SHCCS project suggest that it is a large and complex endeavor that requires a significant amount of effort, a sizable development team, and a relatively aggressive timeline. The various attributes considered in the model reflect the challenges and requirements of developing an embedded system for climate control in a smart home environment.

## Worst Case Scenario

For the Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS) project, we can create a worst-case scenario that outlines the COCOMO attributes and categorizes them based on their impact and relevance to the project, with a focus on the major factors identified:

### Category 1: Product Attributes

1. **Required Reliability (VH):** In a worst-case scenario, the system might be prone to frequent failures, necessitating very high reliability to ensure user safety and comfort.

2. **Database Size (H):** The system could potentially require a large database to store extensive historical data for machine learning and analytics, leading to a high database size.
3. **Product Complexity (VH):** The integration of advanced sensors, complex algorithms, and a sophisticated user interface could make the product extremely complex.

## **Category 2: Computer Attributes**

1. **Execution Time Constraint (VH):** In a worst-case scenario, the system might struggle with real-time responsiveness, requiring very high execution speed to meet user expectations.
2. **Main Storage Constraint (H):** The system could require significant storage for complex algorithms, user data, and logs, leading to a high main storage constraint.
3. **Platform Volatility (H):** Frequent updates or changes to the hardware platform could increase the platform volatility, impacting development and maintenance.
4. **Computer Turnaround Time (H):** The system might experience delays in processing sensor data and user commands, necessitating a high focus on minimizing turnaround time.

## **Category 3: Personnel Attributes**

1. **Analyst Capability (L):** In a worst-case scenario, the team might have limited experience in analyzing user requirements and designing effective systems, leading to a low analyst capability.
2. **Applications Experience (L):** Limited experience with similar applications could result in challenges in understanding the specific requirements of climate control systems.
3. **Programmer Capability (L):** A lack of skilled programmers could lead to difficulties in implementing complex functionalities, resulting in a low programmer capability.
4. **Platform Experience (L):** Limited experience with the chosen hardware and software platforms could hinder efficient development and integration, leading to a low platform experience.
5. **Programming Language and Tool Experience (L):** Inexperienced developers might struggle with the programming languages and tools used, impacting productivity and code quality.

## **Category 4: Project Attributes**



1. **Modern Programming Practices (L):** In a worst-case scenario, outdated programming practices might be used, leading to inefficiencies and potential issues in the development process.
2. **Use of Software Tools (L):** Limited use of software tools for coding, testing, and project management could hinder the project's progress and success.
3. **Required Development Schedule (XH):** An overly aggressive development schedule could lead to rushed development, resulting in a product that is not fully tested or optimized.

#### **Category 5: New**

1. **Required Reusability (L):** In a worst-case scenario, the focus on reusability might be minimal, leading to a lack of reusable components and increased development effort for future projects.
2. **Documentation Match to Life-Cycle Needs (L):** Poor documentation could lead to challenges in maintenance, future enhancements, and understanding the system's functionality.
3. **Personnel Continuity (L):** High turnover or frequent changes in the team could disrupt the project's continuity, leading to knowledge loss and inconsistencies.
4. **Multisite Development (H):** If the development is spread across multiple sites, coordination challenges and communication issues could arise, impacting the project's efficiency and effectiveness.

In this worst-case scenario, the focus is on the major factors identified for the SHCCS project, with an emphasis on the challenges posed by high product complexity, the need for very high reliability and execution speed, and the potential limitations in personnel capabilities and project management practices.

Using the COCOMO Calculation Tool[1], we have calculated the estimates for the worst-case scenario by setting the values for the above-mentioned attributes. The results can be seen in the image below:

COCOMO RESULTS for Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS)								
MODE	"A" variable	"B" variable	"C" variable	"D" variable	KLOC	EFFORT, (in person-months)	DURATION, (in months)	STAFFING, (recommended)
embedded	34.15672703200344	1.2	2.5	0.32	85.000	7059.582	42.614	165.665
Explanation: The coefficients are set according to the project mode selected on the previous page, (as per Boehm). Note: the decimal separator is a period.								
The final estimates are determined in the following manner:								
effort = a*KLOC <sup>b</sup> , in person-months, with KLOC = lines of code, (in thousands), and:								
staffing = effort/duration								
where a has been adjusted by the factors:								
Product Attributes								
Required Reliability		1.40 (VH)						
Database Size		1.08 (H )						
Product Complexity		1.30 (VH)						
Computer Attributes								
Execution Time Constraint		1.30 (VH)						
Main Storage Constraint		1.06 (H )						
Platform Volatility		1.15 (H )						
Computer Turnaround Time		1.07 (H )						
Personnel Attributes								
Analyst Capability		1.19 (L )						
Applications Experience		1.13 (L )						
Programmer Capability		1.17 (L )						
Platform Experience		1.10 (L )						
Programming Language and Tool Experience		1.07 (L )						
Project Attributes								
Modern Programming Practices		1.10 (L )						
Use of Software Tools		1.10 (L )						
Required Development Schedule		1.10 (XH)						
New (Values are probably wrong)								
Required reusability		1.00 (L )						
Documentation match to life-cycle needs		1.00 (L )						
Personnel continuity		1.10 (L )						
Multisite development		1.05 (H )						

Figure 2: COCOMO RESULTS for Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS) under Worst-Case Scenario

## Discussion between Normal and Worst-Case Scenarios

The COCOMO (Constructive Cost Model) results provided for the Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS) project present a comprehensive analysis of the project's effort, duration, and staffing requirements under two scenarios: normal and worst case. The COCOMO (Constructive Cost Model) estimates for the Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS) project show a significant difference between the normal case scenario and the worst-case scenario. The change in estimates between these scenarios can be attributed to significant variations in project conditions and assumptions. Here's a discussion on how the estimate changes between these two scenarios:

1. **"A" Variable (Effort Multiplier):** This variable is a key factor in the COCOMO effort equation, and its value reflects the baseline productivity of the development team, adjusted by project-specific attributes.
  - a. In the normal scenario, the "A" variable is 2.3787, which is a relatively low effort multiplier, indicating a less complex and more manageable project.
  - b. In the worst-case scenario, the "A" variable skyrockets to 34.1567.
  - c. This dramatic increase suggests that the project has become significantly more complex and challenging, requiring much more effort to complete.

## 2. Effort (in person-months):

- a. The effort in the **normal scenario is estimated at 491.632 person-months**, which is a substantial amount of work but still manageable for a large team.
- b. In the **worst-case scenario, the effort balloons to 7059.582 person-months**, which corresponds to an **increase of approximately 1335.95%**.
- c. This substantial increase highlights the expected additional work needed under more challenging conditions indicating that the project has become exceedingly difficult and would require a massive team to complete within a reasonable timeframe.

## 3. Duration (in months):

- a. The duration in the **normal scenario is 18.166 months**, which is a feasible timeline for a project of this size.
- b. In the **worst-case scenario, the duration extends to 42.614 months** which corresponds to an **increase of approximately 134.58%**, more than doubling the time required to complete the project.
- c. This indicates that not only is more work required in the worst case, but it also takes significantly longer to complete. This prolonged timeline can significantly impact project costs and deadlines.

## 4. Staffing (recommended):

- a. The recommended **staffing in the normal scenario is 27.063**, which is a reasonable number of personnel for a project of this scale.
- b. In the **worst-case scenario, the recommended staffing jumps to 165.665** which corresponds to an **increase of approximately 512.15%**, indicating the need for a much larger team to handle the increased workload and complexity.

## Factors Contributing to Changes

The changes in estimates between the normal and worst-case scenarios are primarily due to the adjustments in the "A" variable, which is influenced by various factors categorized into product, computer, personnel, and project attributes. In the worst-case scenario, some of the factors are set to more extreme values, leading to a significant increase in the effort multiplier. The changes between the normal and worst-case scenarios in these attributes significantly impact the overall project estimates:

1. **Product Complexity, Required Reliability, and Database Size** adjustments suggest that the worst-case scenario deals with a much more complex, reliable, and data-intensive system.
2. Computer Constraints such as execution time and main storage indicate tighter constraints in the worst case.
3. **Personnel and Project Attributes reflect** a less favourable mix of skills, experience, and practices in the worst case, with lower capability and experience levels and less effective use of modern practices and tools.
4. **New Factors** like required reusability and multisite development further adjust the effort in response to additional project requirements and challenges not considered in traditional COCOMO factors.

## Conclusion

In summary, the transition from the normal case scenario to the worst-case scenario for the SHCCS project results in a substantial increase in effort, duration, and staffing requirements. It underscores the importance of accurately assessing project attributes and conditions to ensure realistic planning and resource allocation. This highlights the importance of managing risks and uncertainties in software development projects to avoid such worst-case outcomes. The worst-case scenario, with its significantly higher estimates, serves as a cautionary tale of how quickly project costs can escalate under more challenging conditions.

## Ideal Scenario

For the Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS) project, we can create a ideal scenario that outlines the COCOMO attributes and categorizes them based on their impact and relevance to the project:

### Category 1: Product Attributes

1. **Required Reliability (N):** The reliability requirements might be standard, without any special demands.
2. **Database Size (L):** The system primarily deals with real-time sensor data and user settings, which do not require extensive storage, hence a low database size.
3. **Product Complexity (N):** The complexity might decrease due to simplified features or more straightforward integration.

### Category 2: Computer Attributes

1. **Execution Time Constraint (N):** The real-time processing requirements might be less stringent.

2. **Main Storage Constraint (N):** The system requires moderate storage for the operating system, application code, and temporary data storage for sensor readings and user preferences.
3. **Platform Volatility (L):** The hardware platform for such systems is generally stable, with updates or changes occurring infrequently.
4. **Computer Turnaround Time (N):** A balance is needed between responsiveness and energy efficiency, leading to a nominal turnaround time for processing sensor data and user commands.

### **Category 3: Personnel Attributes**

1. **Analyst Capability (VH):** Analysts have very high capability, ensuring that user requirements are accurately understood and effectively translated into system design.
2. **Applications Experience (VH):** The team has very high experience with similar applications, providing valuable insights and best practices for climate control systems.
3. **Programmer Capability (VH):** Programmers are highly skilled, capable of implementing complex functionalities with efficiency and reliability.
4. **Platform Experience (VH):** The team has extensive experience with the chosen hardware and software platforms, facilitating smooth development and integration.
5. **Programming Language and Tool Experience (VH):** Developers are well-versed in the programming languages and tools used, enhancing productivity and code quality.

### **Category 4: Project Attributes**

1. **Modern Programming Practices (N):** Assuming the use of standard modern programming practices.
2. **Use of Software Tools (N):** Assuming average use of software tools.
3. **Required Development Schedule (N):** A nominal schedule allows sufficient time for thorough development, testing, and refinement without unnecessary delays, ensuring a well-polished final product.

### **Category 5: New**

1. **Required Reusability (N):** Assuming average requirements for reusability.
2. **Documentation Match to Life-Cycle Needs (N):** Assuming documentation is adequate for the life-cycle needs.

3. **Personnel Continuity (H):** Maintaining a stable team throughout the project is important to ensure consistency, retain knowledge, and minimize the learning curve for new team members.
4. **Multisite Development (L):** The project is likely to be developed primarily at a single location, reducing the need for coordination across multiple sites.

Using the COCOMO Calculation Tool[1], we have calculated the estimates for the ideal-case scenario by setting the values for the above-mentioned attributes. The results can be seen in the image below:

COCOMO RESULTS for Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS)								
MODE	"A" variable	"B" variable	"C" variable	"D" variable	KLOC	EFFORT, (in person-months)	DURATION, (in months)	STAFFING, (recommended)
embedded	1.025854960536	1.2	2.5	0.32	85.000	212.026	13.880	15.276
Explanation: The coefficients are set according to the project mode selected on the previous page, (as per Boehm). Note: the decimal separator is a period.								
The final estimates are determined in the following manner:								
<b>effort</b> = a*KLOC <sup>b</sup> , in person-months, with KLOC = lines of code, (in thousands), and:								
<b>staffing</b> = effort/duration								
where a has been adjusted by the factors:								
<b>Product Attributes</b>								
Required Reliability		1.00 (N )						
Database Size		0.94 (L )						
Product Complexity		1.00 (N )						
<b>Computer Attributes</b>								
Execution Time Constraint		1.00 (N )						
Main Storage Constraint		1.00 (N )						
Platform Volatility		0.87 (L )						
Computer Turnaround Time		1.00 (N )						
<b>Personnel Attributes</b>								
Analyst Capability		0.71 (VH)						
Applications Experience		0.82 (VH)						
Programmer Capability		0.70 (VH)						
Platform Experience		0.90 (VH)						
Programming Language and Tool Experience		0.95 (VH)						
<b>Project Attributes</b>								
Modern Programming Practices		1.00 (N )						
Use of Software Tools		1.00 (N )						
Required Development Schedule		1.00 (N )						
<b>New (Values are probably wrong)</b>								
Required reusability		1.00 (N )						
Documentation match to life-cycle needs		1.00 (N )						
Personnel continuity		1.00 (H )						
Multisite development		1.00 (N )						

Figure 3: COCOMO RESULTS for Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS) under Ideal Case Scenario

## Discussion between the Normal and Ideal-Case Scenarios

The transition from the normal case scenario to the ideal case scenario for the Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS) project, as depicted through COCOMO results, demonstrates a substantial improvement in project efficiency and effectiveness. This improvement is reflected in the reduced effort, duration, and staffing needs for the project. Here's a discussion on how the estimate changes between these two scenarios:

1. **"A" variable adjustment:** The "A" variable decreases from 2.3787 in the normal scenario to 1.0259 in the ideal case. This variable reflects the baseline productivity of the development team, adjusted by project-specific attributes. This reduction implies a higher baseline productivity of the development team under the

ideal conditions, likely due to better project management, more efficient processes, and possibly more automated tools.

2. **Effort:** There is a significant reduction in effort from 491.632 person-months in the normal case to 212.026 person-months in the ideal case (by almost 56.87%). This reduction highlights the efficiency gains possible under ideal project conditions through improved conditions and practices.
3. **Duration:** The project duration decreases from 18.166 months to 13.880 months (by almost 23.59%), indicating that not only is less work required in the ideal case, but it can also be completed more quickly, indicating a more streamlined project timeline under ideal conditions.
4. **Staffing:** The recommended staffing levels decrease from 27.063 to 15.276 (by almost 43.55%). This reduction not only reflects a leaner team but also implies a more skilled or efficient team that can handle the project demands with fewer personnel.

## Factors Influencing the Estimates

The estimates are adjusted based on a range of factors categorized into product, computer, personnel, and project attributes. The changes between the normal and ideal scenarios in these attributes significantly impact the overall project estimates:

1. **Product Complexity and Required Reliability:** These attributes see adjustments towards more favourable conditions in the ideal case, suggesting fewer complex products and standard reliability requirements.
2. **Personnel Attributes:** There is a marked improvement in personnel attributes such as analyst capability, applications experience, programmer capability, platform experience, and programming language and tool experience. These improvements suggest a highly skilled and experienced team, which directly contributes to the efficiency gains in the ideal case.
3. The **normalization of modern programming practices**, the use of software tools, and the required development schedule to standard levels (denoted by "N") indicates a project environment that leverages best practices without the pressure of expedited schedules.
4. The **adjustment of new factors like required reusability and documentation** match to life-cycle needs to standard levels further streamline project requirements and expectations.

## Conclusion

The shift to the ideal case scenario represents an optimized project environment where best practices are followed, and the team's capabilities are leveraged to their fullest potential. The ideal case scenario, with its lower estimates for effort, duration, and staffing, serves as a benchmark for what can be achieved with a highly skilled team, optimal project conditions, and effective management practices. It highlights the importance of investing in personnel capabilities and creating favourable project conditions to achieve optimal project outcomes.

## References

1. [Space Telecommunication Radio System COCOMO Calculation](#)
2. [COCOMO Model in Software Engineering](#)
3. [Ideal Smart home climate control system requirements](#)
4. [Components You Need to Make Your HVAC System Smart](#)
5. [The Ultimate Guide to Smart Home Integration For HVAC Systems](#)



COCOMO RESULTS for Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS)								
MODE	"A" variable	"B" variable	"C" variable	"D" variable	KLOC	EFFORT, (in person-months)	DURATION, (in months)	STAFFING, (recommended)
embedded	2.3786877986470945	1.2	2.5	0.32	85.000	491.632	18.166	27.063
<p>Explanation: The coefficients are set according to the project mode selected on the previous page, (as per Boehm). Note: the decimal separator is a period.</p> <p>The final estimates are determined in the following manner:</p> <p><b>effort</b> = <math>a \cdot \text{KLOC}^b</math>, in person-months, with KLOC = lines of code, (in thousands), and:</p> <p><b>staffing</b> = effort/duration</p> <p>where a has been adjusted by the factors:</p>								

**Product Attributes**

Required Reliability	1.15 (H )
Database Size	0.94 (L )
Product Complexity	1.15 (H )

**Computer Attributes**

Execution Time Constraint	1.11 (H )
Main Storage Constraint	1.00 (N )
Platform Volatility	0.87 (L )
Computer Turnaround Time	1.00 (N )

**Personnel Attributes**

Analyst Capability	0.86 (H )
Applications Experience	0.91 (H )
Programmer Capability	0.86 (H )
Platform Experience	0.90 (H )
Programming Language and Tool Experience	0.95 (H )

**Project Attributes**

Modern Programming Practices	0.91 (H )
Use of Software Tools	0.91 (H )
Required Development Schedule	1.00 (N )

**New (Values are probably wrong)**

Required reusability	1.05 (H )
Documentation match to life-cycle needs	1.10 (H )
Personnel continuity	1.00 (H )
Multisite development	1.00 (L )

For further reading, see Boehm, "Software Engineering Economics"

**WARNING:** If you see "NaN" or "undefined" in any field above, you have entered an **INVALID** value for KLOC or Mode! Hit the "BACK" button on your browser, hit the "RESET" button if you entered data previously, enter a **DECIMAL NUMBER** in the KLOC input text box and click on the appropriate mode!

**The project should save the results of this COCOMO calculation if needed to support its make or buy decision.**

Please send notice of any problems to: [grc-dl-strs-repository-manager@mail.nasa.gov](mailto:grc-dl-strs-repository-manager@mail.nasa.gov)  
([NASA Privacy Policy and Important Notices](#))

SWL03\_1\_ApplicationName:Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS)  
SWL03\_1\_ApplicationVersion:any  
SWL03\_1\_ApplicationNumber:STRS-SUB-  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_KLOC:85.000  
SWL25\_1\_ApplicationSLOC:85000  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_mode:embedded  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_a:2.3786877986470945  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_b:1.2  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_c:2.5  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_d:0.32  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_e\_effort:491.632 (person-months)  
SWL25\_2\_ApplicationLevelOfEffort:491.632 (person-months)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_t\_duration:18.166 (months)  
SWL25\_2\_ApplicationTime:18.166 (months)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_eot\_staff:27.063 (recommended)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Required Reliability:1.15 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Database Size:0.94 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Product Complexity:1.15 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Execution Time Constraint:1.11 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Main Storage Constraint:1.00 (N )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Platform Volatility:0.87 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Computer Turnaround Time:1.00 (N )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Analyst Capability:0.86 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Applications Experience:0.91 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Programmer Capability:0.86 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Platform Experience:0.90 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Programming Language and Tool Experience:0.95 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Modern Programming Practices:0.91 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Use of Software Tools:0.91 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Required Development Schedule:1.00 (N )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Required reusability:1.05 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Documentation match to life-cycle needs:1.10 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Personnel continuity:1.00 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Multisite development:1.00 (L )  
STRS\_WhichMetadata:COCOMO  
STRS\_RepMgrSeeStep:17f  
STRS\_FileNameOfPage:STRS COCOMO Calculation.html  
Suggest\_File\_Name:2024-03-12\_215929\_Smart\_Home\_Climate\_Control\_System\_SHCCS\_-COCOMO-1.txt  
STRS\_VersionOfPage:Feb 6, 2015 10:30 ET  
subject:STRS COCOMO Calculation

**COCOMO RESULTS for Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS)**

MODE	"A" variable	"B" variable	"C" variable	"D" variable	KLOC	EFFORT, (in person-months)	DURATION, (in months)	STAFFING, (recommended)
embedded	34.15672703200344	1.2	2.5	0.32	85.000	7059.582	42.614	165.665

Explanation: The coefficients are set according to the project mode selected on the previous page, (as per Boehm). Note: the decimal separator is a period.

The final estimates are determined in the following manner:

**effort** =  $a * KLOC^b$ , in person-months, with KLOC = lines of code, (in thousands), and:

**staffing** = effort/duration

where a has been adjusted by the factors:

**Product Attributes**

Required Reliability	1.40 (VH)
Database Size	1.08 (H )
Product Complexity	1.30 (VH)

**Computer Attributes**

Execution Time Constraint	1.30 (VH)
Main Storage Constraint	1.06 (H )
Platform Volatility	1.15 (H )
Computer Turnaround Time	1.07 (H )

**Personnel Attributes**

Analyst Capability	1.19 (L )
Applications Experience	1.13 (L )
Programmer Capability	1.17 (L )
Platform Experience	1.10 (L )
Programming Language and Tool Experience	1.07 (L )

**Project Attributes**

Modern Programming Practices	1.10 (L )
Use of Software Tools	1.10 (L )
Required Development Schedule	1.10 (XH)

**New (Values are probably wrong)**

Required reusability	1.00 (L )
Documentation match to life-cycle needs	1.00 (L )
Personnel continuity	1.10 (L )
Multisite development	1.05 (H )

For further reading, see Boehm, "Software Engineering Economics"

**WARNING:** If you see "NaN" or "undefined" in any field above, you have entered an **INVALID** value for KLOC or Mode! Hit the "BACK" button on your browser, hit the "RESET" button if you entered data previously, enter a **DECIMAL NUMBER** in the KLOC input text box and click on the appropriate mode!

**The project should save the results of this COCOMO calculation if needed to support its make or buy decision.**

Please send notice of any problems to: [grc-dl-strs-repository-manager@mail.nasa.gov](mailto:grc-dl-strs-repository-manager@mail.nasa.gov)  
([NASA Privacy Policy and Important Notices](#))

SWL03\_1\_ApplicationName:Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS)  
SWL03\_1\_ApplicationVersion:any  
SWL03\_1\_ApplicationNumber:STRS-SUB-  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_KLOC:85.000  
SWL25\_1\_ApplicationSLOC:85000  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_mode:embedded  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_a:34.15672703200344  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_b:1.2  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_c:2.5  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_d:0.32  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_e\_effort:7059.582 (person-months)  
SWL25\_2\_ApplicationLevelOfEffort:7059.582 (person-months)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_t\_duration:42.614 (months)  
SWL25\_2\_ApplicationTime:42.614 (months)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_eot\_staff:165.665 (recommended)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Required Reliability:1.40 (VH)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Database Size:1.08 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Product Complexity:1.30 (VH)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Execution Time Constraint:1.30 (VH)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Main Storage Constraint:1.06 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Platform Volatility:1.15 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Computer Turnaround Time:1.07 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Analyst Capability:1.19 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Applications Experience:1.13 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Programmer Capability:1.17 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Platform Experience:1.10 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Programming Language and Tool Experience:1.07 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Modern Programming Practices:1.10 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Use of Software Tools:1.10 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Required Development Schedule:1.10 (XH)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Required reusability:1.00 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Documentation match to life-cycle needs:1.00 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Personnel continuity:1.10 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Multisite development:1.05 (H )  
STRS\_WhichMetadata:COCOMO  
STRS\_RepMgrSeeStep:17f  
STRS\_FileNameOfPage:STRS COCOMO Calculation.html  
Suggest\_File\_Name:2024-03-12\_220230\_Smart\_Home\_Climate\_Control\_System\_SHCCS\_-COCOMO-1.txt  
STRS\_VersionOfPage:Feb 6, 2015 10:30 ET  
subject:STRS COCOMO Calculation

COCOMO RESULTS for Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS)								
MODE	"A" variable	"B" variable	"C" variable	"D" variable	KLOC	EFFORT, (in person-months)	DURATION, (in months)	STAFFING, (recommended)
embedded	1.025854960536	1.2	2.5	0.32	85.000	212.026	13.880	15.276
<p>Explanation: The coefficients are set according to the project mode selected on the previous page, (as per Boehm). Note: the decimal separator is a period.</p> <p>The final estimates are determined in the following manner:</p> <p><b>effort</b> = <math>a * KLOC^b</math>, in person-months, with KLOC = lines of code, (in thousands), and:</p> <p><b>staffing</b> = effort/duration</p> <p>where a has been adjusted by the factors:</p>								

**Product Attributes**

Required Reliability	1.00 (N )
Database Size	0.94 (L )
Product Complexity	1.00 (N )

**Computer Attributes**

Execution Time Constraint	1.00 (N )
Main Storage Constraint	1.00 (N )
Platform Volatility	0.87 (L )
Computer Turnaround Time	1.00 (N )

**Personnel Attributes**

Analyst Capability	0.71 (VH)
Applications Experience	0.82 (VH)
Programmer Capability	0.70 (VH)
Platform Experience	0.90 (VH)
Programming Language and Tool Experience	0.95 (VH)

**Project Attributes**

Modern Programming Practices	1.00 (N )
Use of Software Tools	1.00 (N )
Required Development Schedule	1.00 (N )

**New (Values are probably wrong)**

Required reusability	1.00 (N )
Documentation match to life-cycle needs	1.00 (N )
Personnel continuity	1.00 (H )
Multisite development	1.00 (N )

For further reading, see Boehm, "Software Engineering Economics"

**WARNING:** If you see "NaN" or "undefined" in any field above, you have entered an **INVALID** value for KLOC or Mode! Hit the "BACK" button on your browser, hit the "RESET" button if you entered data previously, enter a **DECIMAL NUMBER** in the KLOC input text box and click on the appropriate mode!

**The project should save the results of this COCOMO calculation if needed to support its make or buy decision.**

Please send notice of any problems to: [grc-dl-strs-repository-manager@mail.nasa.gov](mailto:grc-dl-strs-repository-manager@mail.nasa.gov)  
([NASA Privacy Policy and Important Notices](#))

SWL03\_1\_ApplicationName:Smart Home Climate Control System (SHCCS)  
SWL03\_1\_ApplicationVersion:any  
SWL03\_1\_ApplicationNumber:STRS-SUB-  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_KLOC:85.000  
SWL25\_1\_ApplicationSLOC:85000  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_mode:embedded  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_a:1.025854960536  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_b:1.2  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_c:2.5  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_d:0.32  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_e\_effort:212.026 (person-months)  
SWL25\_2\_ApplicationLevelOfEffort:212.026 (person-months)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_t\_duration:13.880 (months)  
SWL25\_2\_ApplicationTime:13.880 (months)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_eot\_staff:15.276 (recommended)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Required Reliability:1.00 (N )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Database Size:0.94 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Product Complexity:1.00 (N )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Execution Time Constraint:1.00 (N )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Main Storage Constraint:1.00 (N )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Platform Volatility:0.87 (L )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Computer Turnaround Time:1.00 (N )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Analyst Capability:0.71 (VH)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Applications Experience:0.82 (VH)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Programmer Capability:0.70 (VH)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Platform Experience:0.90 (VH)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Programming Language and Tool Experience:0.95 (VH)  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Modern Programming Practices:1.00 (N )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Use of Software Tools:1.00 (N )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Required Development Schedule:1.00 (N )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Required reusability:1.00 (N )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Documentation match to life-cycle needs:1.00 (N )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Personnel continuity:1.00 (H )  
SWL25\_COCOMO\_Multisite development:1.00 (N )  
STRS\_WhichMetadata:COCOMO  
STRS\_RepMgrSeeStep:17f  
STRS\_FileNameOfPage:STRS COCOMO Calculation.html  
Suggest\_File\_Name:2024-03-12\_220414\_Smart\_Home\_Climate\_Control\_System\_SHCCS\_-COCOMO-1.txt  
STRS\_VersionOfPage:Feb 6, 2015 10:30 ET  
subject:STRS COCOMO Calculation