

Satisfiability Checking - WS 2021/2022

Series 1

haehn@cs.rwth-aachen.de
nalbach@cs.rwth-aachen.de
<https://ths.rwth-aachen.de/teaching/>

Exercise 1

Let $AP = \{a, b\}$ be a set of propositions and let

$$\varphi_1 := ((a \oplus \neg b) \rightarrow b) \vee (\neg a \leftrightarrow \neg b)$$

$$\varphi_2 := (((b \rightarrow \neg a) \oplus \neg b)$$

$$\varphi_3 := (\varphi_2 \wedge (a \vee \neg b))$$

be formulas over AP .

- What are the truth tables for the above formulas?
- What are $\text{sat}(\varphi_1)$, $\text{sat}(\varphi_2)$ and $\text{sat}(\varphi_3)$?
- Which of the above formulas are satisfiable, which are unsatisfiable, and which are tautologies?

Exercise 2

Let $AP = \{a, b\}$ be a set of propositions and let $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Assign}$ with $\alpha(a) = 1$, $\alpha(b) = 1$ and $\beta(a) = 0$, $\beta(b) = 1$. Do the following hold?

- $\alpha \models a \vee \neg b$
- $\beta \not\models \neg a \wedge \neg b$
- $\{\alpha, \beta\} \models a \wedge b$
- $\{\alpha, \beta\} \models a \rightarrow b$
- $a \vee b \models a \oplus b$
- $\text{sat}(a \leftrightarrow b) \subseteq \text{sat}(a \rightarrow b)$

Exercise 3

Let $AP := \{a, b\}$ be a set of propositions and let $\varphi := (a \leftrightarrow b)$ be a formula over AP . Give a formula equivalent to φ that contains only propositions from AP and

- the operators \neg and \wedge ,
- the operators \neg and \vee ,
- or the operator \uparrow (called NAND).

(The binary operator \uparrow has the following semantics: $\alpha \models (a \uparrow b) \leftrightarrow \alpha \models (\neg(a \wedge b))$ for all $a, b \in AP$ and $\alpha \in \text{Assigns}$.)