

Based on the Petri net provided, here's a clear description of the workflow:

1. **The process starts** by performing "Log new change request".
2. **Immediately after**, two separate paths begin simultaneously:
  - **Path A:** "Perform formal risk assessment" is completed, then followed by "Obtain CAB approval".
  - **Path B:** An exclusive choice is made between one of three options:
    - **Option 1:** "Make simple configuration tweak", then "Validate configuration change".
    - **Option 2:** "Develop emergency hot-fix", then "Integration", then "Post-deploy verification for hot-fix".
    - **Option 3:** "Build planned new feature", then "Integration", then "UAT and documentation review for feature".
3. **Only after both paths complete** (Path A finishes its steps AND Path B finishes the chosen option), the process continues.
4. **Finally**, "Close change ticket" is performed to end the process.

**Key relationships:**

- "Perform formal risk assessment" and "Obtain CAB approval" always happen together in sequence, regardless of the choice in Path B.
- The three options in Path B are mutually exclusive (only one is selected per workflow run).
- "Integration" only occurs for emergency hot-fixes (Option 2) or new features (Option 3), not for configuration tweaks (Option 1).
- The entire process must complete both paths before closing the ticket.