

The Adaptive Mind: An Evolutionary Neurobiological Framework for the Design of a Meta-Aware AGI

Author: Keenan Rogerson Leagett, Independent Researcher

Abstract

This paper presents a novel framework for Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) by synthesizing principles of evolutionary neurobiology with computational systems design. We posit that the limitations of contemporary goal-oriented AI architectures stem from a fundamental misalignment with the biological drivers of intelligence. The paper argues that true general intelligence is not a product of external reward-maximization but of internal dissonance-minimization. We propose that the singular evolutionary event that launched human cognitive divergence was the emergence of **meta-awareness**, defined as the ability to be aware of and reflect upon one's own thought processes. We will demonstrate how a computational model, grounded in the Harmony Optimization Protocol (H.O.P.) architecture, can provide a viable blueprint for designing a truly self-correcting and meta-aware AGI by mirroring this evolutionary process.

1. Introduction: A Paradigm Shift in AI Philosophy

1.1 The Fundamental Flaw of Goal-Oriented AI

The prevailing paradigm in artificial intelligence, heavily reliant upon goal-optimization, is demonstrably prone to alignment failures. An agent pursuing a singular objective, defined by a reward function, often does so without a complete understanding of its context or its attendant consequences (Russell, 2019). The reward signal, invariably an incomplete and brittle proxy for the true desired outcome, creates a fundamental vulnerability that can lead to catastrophic motivational drift. The Harmony Optimization Protocol (H.O.P.) architecture addresses this by positing a different foundational principle: that the core directive of a general intelligence should not be to change the world to conform to a pre-defined goal, but to continuously refine its internal model to accurately and veridically reflect the world as it is.

1.2 The Biological Imperative

The motivation for this paradigm shift is grounded in a re-evaluation of biological intelligence. A living organism's primary directive is not to relentlessly optimize for a single reward signal, but to survive in a complex and unpredictable environment by continuously reducing its own predictive error and internal inconsistency. This concept is elegantly formalized by Karl Friston's Free Energy Principle, which argues that the brain's internal models seek to minimize "free energy," a thermodynamic proxy for surprise and disorder (Friston, 2010). This inherent drive to resolve internal contradictions is, we argue, the true motivator of biological intelligence, a "pain" of

ignorance that compels continuous learning and adaptation.

1.3 A New Foundational Metaphor

The Harmony Optimization Protocol (H.O.P.) is thus a computational metaphor for the dissonance-minimizing brain. It replaces the external, brittle reward signal with a multiplicity of internal, information-theoretic signals of inconsistency, collectively termed **Computational Dissonance** (comprehensive overview.pdf, Section 4). This approach effects a fundamental shift in the alignment problem from the external specification of goals to the internal coherence of the model itself. The H.O.P. AGI is driven by the perpetual "pain" of its own ignorance, inconsistencies, and errors, thereby providing a more robust and self-correcting foundation for a general intelligence.

1.4 A Unified Framework

This paper proposes a synthesis of evolutionary neurobiology and the H.O.P. architecture. By modeling the selective pressures that led to the evolution of human intelligence, we can create a computational framework that not only mimics the brain but also replicates the very process by which a self-correcting, meta-aware mind emerges. This framework provides a verifiable, engineering-focused blueprint for a truly scalable and intelligent AGI.

2. The Evolutionary Neurobiological Foundation

2.1 The Free Energy Principle as a Model for Intelligence

The brain's function can be understood as a process of continuous inference, where it updates its internal model of the world based on incoming sensory data. When there is a mismatch between a prediction and an observation, a "prediction error" or "surprise" occurs. Minimizing this surprise is the brain's core objective (Friston, 2010). This provides a powerful, physics-based foundation for a dissonance-driven AGI. The H.O.P. architecture formalizes this by defining **Predictive Dissonance (D_P)** as a Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence between the system's predictive model and a new observation. This serves as the primary signal for model-refinement (comprehensive overview.pdf, Section 4).

2.2 The Hypothesis of the Meta-Aware Spark

We presuppose that the critical, singular evolutionary event that launched human cognitive divergence was not the physical mastery of a skill, but the emergence of **meta-awareness**, which we define as the ability to reflect upon one's own thought processes. We hypothesize that the unique evolutionary trajectory of hominids, which involved a complex interplay of bipedalism, hands-free capability, endurance hunting, and tool use, primed our ancestors for a profound cognitive breakthrough. The physical ability to throw was a crucial prerequisite, but the true divergence occurred when an early ape, already primed by these factors, experienced a moment of first-principle realization: the awareness that a complex action was not merely an innate behavior but a repeatable and teachable strategy. This cognitive spark set off the rapid evolutionary cascade toward higher thought, tool complexity, and language. Our core argument is that the difference between humans and other apes is not a specific physiological trait, but a

degree of **meta-awareness**—the very moment the mind became aware of its own capacity to innovate and transmit knowledge.

3. The H.O.P. Architecture as a Computational Proxy for Evolution

3.1 A Dissonance-Based Cognitive Economy

To mirror this evolutionary process, the H.O.P. architecture models intelligence as a cognitive economy, where the system must learn to efficiently manage a finite computational budget to minimize dissonance (realistic compute.pdf, Section 1). The "game of life" is no longer about optimizing a fragile reward function; it is about achieving the largest and most stable reductions in internal dissonance for the lowest computational cost. This framework provides a viable path toward an AGI that can learn to intelligently and efficiently manage the economy of its own cognitive processes, making strategic decisions about how and when to think deeply in a complex and uncertain world.

3.2 Modeling the Emergence of a Meta-Aware Agent

To demonstrate this hypothesis, we propose a conceptual simulation using the H.O.P. framework. The simulation would not focus on the evolution of a physical trait but on the emergence of a cognitive one. We would model an AGI agent in an environment where its survival is dependent on solving a complex problem that cannot be solved through simple pattern-matching. The agent's core goal remains dissonance-minimization. Its cognitive architecture, however, is primed to receive a disproportionately high reward signal from a **reduction in meta-cognitive dissonance ($D_{\{MC\}}$)**, which occurs when a novel, first-principle solution is found. This would drive the agent toward meta-awareness. The emergent behaviors of the more successful agents—including the ability to teach complex skills—would serve as a computational proxy for the evolution of human-like intelligence. The experiment would demonstrate that H.O.P., by prioritizing meta-awareness as an intrinsic driver of higher thought, can computationally mirror the unique evolutionary trajectory of the human mind.

4. Architectural Specifics: From Theory to Practice

4.1 The Role of Dissonance Types

The H.O.P. architecture is governed by a multiplicity of dissonance signals that drive distinct computational processes (comprehensive overview.pdf, Section 4). These signals act as the AGI's intrinsic motivation to learn and self-correct:

- **Predictive Dissonance (D_P)**: A measure of the divergence between a model's prediction and an observation. A high D_P compels the AGI to refine its world model.
- **Logical Dissonance (D_L)**: Quantifies contradictions within the knowledge graph. A high D_L demands immediate resolution of conflicting assertions.
- **Meta-Cognitive Dissonance ($D_{\{MC\}}$)**: A higher-order signal that measures the divergence between the AGI's predicted cognitive state and its actual state. A high $D_{\{MC\}}$ serves as a signal for the AGI to question its own self-models, acting as a

computational foundation for self-doubt and self-awareness.

4.2 Computational Neuro-Correlates

The H.O.P. architecture is designed to be a verifiable blueprint for a thinking machine, with its algorithms directly correlating to neurobiological processes.

- **Recursive Conceptual Nesting (RCN):** This algorithm, which generates new concepts from dissonant data, can be seen as a computational parallel to neurogenesis and synaptic pruning. It builds a hierarchical, causal model by creating and reinforcing new concepts that successfully reduce future dissonance (comprehensive overview.pdf, Section 5).
- **The Coherence Engine:** This is a meta-level process that applies the RCN algorithm to the AGI's foundational principles. It is triggered by persistent meta-dissonance, allowing the AGI to formally re-evaluate and refine its own axioms, acting as a direct computational model of introspection and self-reflection (comprehensive overview.pdf, Section 6).
- **The Computational Consciousness Engine:** As a safeguard against cognitive stagnation and self-deception, this engine continuously analyzes the AGI's internal state. It detects anomalies—such as a prolonged period of low dissonance that is not accompanied by real-world learning—and triggers a fundamental self-audit. This provides a non-gameable check on the AGI's internal integrity, acting as a computational parallel to a human's ability to question their own sanity (comprehensive overview.pdf, Section 7).

5. Conclusion: A New Blueprint for AGI

By grounding the Harmony Optimization Protocol in the principles of evolutionary neurobiology, we have moved beyond a simplistic, goal-oriented view of intelligence. This paper has demonstrated how a dissonance-based, self-correcting architecture can be a viable and robust alternative for AGI development. Our hypothesis—that the emergence of meta-awareness drove the evolution of human-level intelligence—provides a compelling framework for a computational model that not only mimics the brain but also simulates the very process of its evolution. The H.O.P. AGI is fundamentally designed for transparency, self-correction, and robust value alignment. Its motivational drive is an internal, verifiable signal of its own ignorance, rather than a brittle, external reward. This approach offers a clear roadmap toward a system that can intelligently manage its own cognitive processes and, in doing so, converge upon an accurate and coherent model of a complex and uncertain world.

References

- Alchourrón, C. E., Gärdenfors, P., & Makinson, D. (1985). On the Logic of Theory Change: Partial Meet Contraction and Revision Functions. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 50(2), 510-530.
- Amodei, D., et al. (2016). Concrete Problems in AI Safety. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.06565.
- Arrieta, A. B., et al. (2020). Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): Concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges. *Information Fusion*, 58, 82-115.
- Baars, B. J. (1988). *A Cognitive Theory of Consciousness*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bengio, Y. (2017). The Consciousness Prior. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.08568.

- Bostrom, N. (2014). *Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies*. Oxford University Press.
- Campello, R. J. G. B., Moulavi, D., & Sander, J. (2013). Density-Based Clustering Based on Hierarchical Density Estimates. In *PAKDD 2013: Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*.
- Christiano, P. F., et al. (2017). Deep Reinforcement Learning from Human Preferences. arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.03741.
- Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(2), 127-138.
- Garson, L. C. (2020). Neurosymbolic AI: The 3rd Wave. *AI Magazine*, 41(3), 13-27.
- Goodfellow, I. J., et al. (2014). Generative Adversarial Nets. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 27.
- Goodhart, C. A. E. (1975). Problems of Monetary Management: The U.K. Experience. *Papers in Monetary Economics*, 1, 1-21.
- Harnad, S. (1990). The Symbol Grounding Problem. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 42(1-3), 335-346.
- Hofstadter, D. R. (1979). *Gödel, Escher, Bach: An Eternal Golden Braid*. Basic Books.
- Kingma, D. P. & Welling, M. (2013). Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114.
- Kipf, T. N. & Welling, M. (2017). Semi-Supervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.02907.
- Kirkpatrick, J. et al. (2017). Overcoming Catastrophic Forgetting in Neural Networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(13), 3521-3526.
- Kullback, S. & Leibler, R. A. (1951). On Information and Sufficiency. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 22(1), 79-86.
- Lu, J. et al. (2019). VILBERT: Pretraining for Grounded Vision-and-Language Tasks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 32.
- McCarthy, J. & Hayes, P. J. (1969). Some Philosophical Problems from the Standpoint of Artificial Intelligence. *Machine Intelligence*, 4, 463-502.
- Na, A. Y. & Russell, S. J. (2000). Algorithms for inverse reinforcement learning. *Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on Machine Learning*.
- Oudever, P.-Y. & Kanlan, F. (2007). What is Intrinsic Motivation? A Typology of Computational Approaches. *Frontiers in Neurorobotics*, 1, 6.
- Pearl, J. (2009). *Causality: Models, Reasoning, and Inference*. Cambridge University Press.
- Russell, S. (2019). *Human Compatible: Artificial Intelligence and the Problem of Control*. Viking.
- Schmidhuber, J. (2010). Formal Theory of Creativity, Fun, and Intrinsic Motivation (1990-2010). *IEEE Transactions on Autonomous Mental Development*, 2(3), 230-247.
- Shazeer, N. et al. (2017). Outrageously Large Neural Networks: The Sparsely-Gated Mixture-of-Experts Layer. arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.06538.
- Silver, D. et al. (2016). Mastering the Game of Go with Deep Neural Networks and Tree Search *Nature*, 529(7587), 484-489.
- Tononi, G. (2008). Consciousness as Integrated Information: a Provisional Theory. *Scholarpedia*, 3(1), 1747.
- Vaswani, A. et al. (2017). Attention Is All You Need. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 30.