IE531: Algorithms for Data Analytics

Spring, 2018

Homework 1: Review of Linear Algebra, Probability & Statistics and Computing Due Date: March 2, 2018

©Prof. R.S. Sreenivas

Instructions

- 1. You can modify any of the C++ code on Compass to solve these problems, if you want. It might help you with honing your programming skills. If these attempts (at using C++ code) is turning out to to be intense, you can use MATLAB just this once.
- 2. You will submit a PDF-version of your answers on Compass on-or-before midnight of the due date.

Instructions

- 1. (25 points) **Tightness of the Chebyshev Bound**: This problem is about discovering distributions where the upper-bounds of the Chebyshev Inequality is tight. First, you are going to show (by example) that there is a discrete RV where this bound is tight. Then, you are going to present a cogent argument (no need to be super formal here!) that there can be no continuous RV where the Chebyshev Bound it tight.
 - (a) (5 points) Show that the Chebyshev Bound is tight for the discrete RV $X \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, where $Prob(X = -1) = Prob(X = 1) = \frac{1}{2k^2}$. That is, compute $E\{X\}$ and var(X) and plug it into the Chebyshev Bound and arrive at the conclusion that $Prob(|X| \ge 1) = \frac{1}{k^2}$

Straightforward.

- (b) (20 points) Show that there can be no continuous distribution over the whole real axis where the Chebyshev Bound is tight.
 - Try Googling this and then re-interpreting what you find. I am going to check if you cited the source appropriately. This is an exercise in using an existing knowledge-base (i.e. the web) to find technical answers to questions..
- 2. (25 points) **Unit-Ball in High Dimensions**: We will use the ℓ_4 -norm to define the unit-ball as

$$B(1,d,4) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{R}^d \mid x_1^4 + x_2^4 + \dots + x_d^4 \le 1\}$$

(a) (12.5 points) Suppose we define

$$S := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{R}^d \mid x_1^4 + x_2^4 + \dots + x_d^4 \le \frac{1}{2}\},\$$

what fraction of the volume of B(1, d, 4) does S occupy? See lecture 7.

(b) (12.5 points) For any c > 0, prove that the fraction of the volume of B(1, d, 4) outside the slab

$$|x_1| \le \frac{c}{d^{1/4}}$$
 is at most $\frac{1}{c^3}e^{-c^4/4}$.

Follow the logic/method of lecture 7.

- 3. (25 points) Overlap of Spheres in High-Dimensions: Let x be a random sample from the (surface of the) unit sphere in d-dimensions with the origin as center.
 - (a) (5 points) What is the value of $E\{x\}$? Straightforward.
 - (b) (5 points) What is component-wise variance of \mathbf{x} ? That is, for $i \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}$ what is $E\{(\mathbf{x}_i E\{\mathbf{x}_i\})^2\}$?

You have to figure out what $var(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is – keep in mind \mathbf{x} is not Gaussian, as was the case in some parts of lesson 2. It is uniformly-distributed over the entire volume of the sphere. This requires some careful thinking, but it is not hard.

(c) (5 points) Show that for any unit length vector \mathbf{u} , the variance of the real-valued random variable $\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}$ is $\sum_{i=1}^d \mathbf{u}_i^2 E\{\mathbf{x}_i^2\}$. Using this, compute the variance and standard deviation of $\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}$.

Use an induction argument over the dimension d. Establish the claim when d = 1, then assume it is true for d = k, and show it must be that the claim holds for d = k + 1 as well.

(d) (5 points) Given two unit-radius spheres in d-dimensional space whose centers are separated by a distance of a, show that the volume of their intersection is at most

$$\frac{8e^{-a^2(d-1)/8}}{a\sqrt{d-1}}$$

times the volume of each sphere.

Straightforward.

(e) (5 points) From your solution to problem 3d, present a verbal argument that supports the conclusion that if the inter-center separation of the two spheres of radius r (r is not necessarily unity) is $\Omega(r/\sqrt{d})$, then they share very small mass. From this, make a cogent case for the conclusion that given randomly generated points from the two distributions, one inside each sphere, we can tell "which sphere contains which point" (i.e. classify we have a clustering algorithm that separates randomly generated data into two spherical-groups)

Straightforward.

4. (25 points) A Counterpoint to the Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma: Prove that for every fixed dimension reduction matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{R}^{k \times d}$ with k < d, there is a pair

of vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}^d$ such that the distances between their images $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}$ is hugely distorted (compared to the distance between \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y}). Straightforward.