

Lec 6, 7, 8

Complete Residue Systems (contd...)

Solution of linear congruences

Reduced residue system

Euler-Phi functions

Topics covered
in these
notes.

Eg 1) Note that in

$$12 \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

we can cancel the factor 2 from both sides as
 $6 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$
is true.

Eg 2) However, in

$$15 \equiv 45 \pmod{10}, \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

we cannot cancel 15 from both sides of the congruence
as

$$1 \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$$

is an incorrect statement. So, when is cancellation allowed
in modular arithmetic?

Theorems 3.5

Let a & m be integers with $m > 0$.

$$ax \equiv ay \pmod{m} \iff x \equiv y \pmod{\frac{m}{(a,m)}}.$$

The idea from the above theorem is that one can
do cancellation of 15 on either sides of (2) however
with respect to a new modulus $m' = \frac{10}{(15,10)} = 2$.

i.e., $1 \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$ is false however
cancellation of 15 with respect to $m' = 2$ is valid.

Proof of theorem 3.5

" \Rightarrow " Given $ax \equiv ay \pmod{m}$. We show that $x \equiv y \pmod{\frac{m}{(a,m)}}$ is true.

Clearly, $m \mid a(x-y)$. If we let $m = m_1 d$ & $a = a_1 d$, then $m_1, d \mid a_1 d(x-y) \Rightarrow m_1 \mid a_1(x-y)$. Note that $(m_1, a_1) = 1$ & hence, $m_1 \mid x-y$.

i.e., $x \equiv y \pmod{\frac{m}{(a,m)}}$.

" \Leftarrow " Given $m_1 \mid (x-y)$. $\Rightarrow m_1, d \mid d(x-y)$

But $d(x-y) \mid a(x-y)$ as $d \mid a$.

By transitivity,

$$m_1, d \mid a(x-y)$$

i.e., $m \mid a(x-y)$ or in other words

$$ax \equiv ay \pmod{m}.$$

Corollary 3.6

Let $(a,m) = 1$. Then,

$$a) ax \equiv ay \pmod{m} \iff x \equiv y \pmod{m}.$$

b) If $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ is a CRS modulo m , then

$\{ax_1, ax_2, \dots, ax_m\}$ is also a CRS modulo m .

Proof :- a) is easily seen from Thm 3.5.

b) To show that $\{ax_1, \dots, ax_m\}$ is a CRS modulo m , it suffices to show that any pair ax_i & ax_j are incongruent to each other modulo m .

Suppose there exist some $i \neq j$ such that $ax_i \equiv ax_j \pmod{m}$,

by part a),

$$x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{m}$$

That is two distinct elements $x_i \neq x_j$ in $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ are congruent to each other which is impossible as it is a CRS.

Hence, no two elements $ax_i \neq ax_j$ are congruent to each other & hence, the set $\{ax_1, \dots, ax_m\}$ is a CRS as well.

Note that since both $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ & $\{ax_1, \dots, ax_m\}$ are complete residue classes modulo m , any x_i in the first CRS is congruent to exactly one ax_j modulo m .

Thus, one can reinterpret this fact in the following way. Let b be any integer in \mathbb{Z}_m & $(a, m) = 1$. Then the linear congruence

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{m} \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

has a unique solution modulo m .

Eg 3) The equation $5x \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ has a unique solution $x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 7\}$. By inspection, one can see $x=6$ is a solution.

However, if $x=6$ is a solution, so is $x=6+8$ as $5(14)-6$ is a multiple of 8. In fact, any element in the residue class of 6

i.e., $\overline{6} = \{-\dots, -2, 6, 14, 22, \dots\}$
is also a solution.

Hence, from now onwards, when we speak of no. of solutions of a congruence equation, we shall mean the no. of incongruent solutions modulo m , i.e., the no. of solutions contained in the set

$$\{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\} \text{ or } \underbrace{\dots}_{m}$$

any other complete residue system modulo m .

Notice that in (3), existence and uniqueness of the soln depended on $a \& m$ being co-prime.

Once the assumption $(a,m)=1$ is removed, it is not necessary that (3) has a unique soln. In fact, (3) may not have a solution at all.

In general, any linear congruence of the form $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$

may have

(i) NO solution

Eg. $2x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

(II) Unique soln.

Eg. $5x \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$

(III) More than one (incongruent) solution

Eg. $6x \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$.

The following theorem helps to identify the nature of solutions.

Theorem 3.7) Let $m \geq 1$, $a \& b$ be integers.

Consider the linear congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$. — (4)

(i) Assume $(a,m)=d$. Then, the linear congruence in (4) has solutions iff $d \mid b$.

(ii) Assume $(a,m)=1$. Then, the linear congruence in (4) has a unique soln. mod m .

(iii) Assume $(a,m)=d$ & suppose that $d \mid b$. Then, (4) has exactly d incongruent solutions mod m .

These are given by

$$t, t + \frac{m}{d}, t + 2\left(\frac{m}{d}\right), \dots, t + (d-1)\frac{m}{d}$$

where

t is the unique solution for $\frac{a}{d}x \equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{\frac{m}{d}}$.

Theorem 3.8)

If $(a, m) = 1$, then $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that
 $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

Proof :- By Euclidean algorithm, $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t
 $ax + my = 1 \Rightarrow m | ax - 1$
 $\Rightarrow ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$

Remark :- In Theorem 3.8, x could be thought of as that element which when multiplied by a gives $1 \pmod{m}$. In this sense, x could be thought of as the inverse of a modulo m .

Defn :- If $(a, m) = 1$, then the unique soln. of
the linear congruence
 $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$
is called the inverse of a modulo m .

Uniqueness is in the following sense : Any 2 integers say $x_1 \neq x_2$ which satisfy

$$ax_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \quad \&$$

$$ax_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

are congruent to each other, i.e., $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m}$.

Q1) Solve $2x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$

$(2, 5) = 1 \Rightarrow$ By Theorem 3.7 (ii), there is only one

soln modulo 5. By trial & error or inspection, we see immediately that $x=3$ is that solution.

Q2) Solve $2x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$

$\because 2x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ has soln $x_0 = 3$, clearly
 $2(4x_0) \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$. Hence, 12 is a soln
modulo 5.

i.e., $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ is the unique soln.

Q3) Solve $4x \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$

$$d = (a, m) = (4, 8) = 4 \quad \& \quad b = 6$$

Since $d \nmid b$, there are no solutions.

Q4) Solve $4x \equiv 8 \pmod{10}$.

$$d = (a, m) = (4, 10) = 2$$

$b = 8 \Rightarrow$ There are d solutions modulo 10.

However, by Thm 3.5, one notices that

$4x \equiv 8 \pmod{10}$ has solutions iff

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{\frac{10}{(4, 10)}}$$

i.e., $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$.

Hence, solutions mod 10 are exactly 2 & 7.

One can also use Thm 3.7 to solve Q4) to find the exact solutions. Notice that $d = (4, 10) = 2$

& hence, the unique

solution of $2x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ is needed to be found out which is already done in Q2).

Hence, t = 2.

The other solution for $4x \equiv 8 \pmod{10}$ is

$$t + \frac{m}{d} = 2 + \frac{10}{2} = 7$$

I Find all solutions of the congruence

a) $20x \equiv 4 \pmod{30}$.

b) $20x \equiv 30 \pmod{4}$

c) $353x \equiv 254 \pmod{400}$

(Already done in class! Use Euclidean algorithm to find y such that

$$353y \equiv 1 \pmod{400}. \text{ Then,}$$

$x = 254y$ is the desired solution.).

II Solve, if there exists a solution

d) $15x \equiv 25 \pmod{35}$.

e) $15x \equiv 24 \pmod{35}$

f) $57x \equiv 87 \pmod{105}$

Reduced residue system modulo m.

(RRS mod m)

It is a set of integers x_i such that

1) $(x_i, m) = 1$

2) $x_i \not\equiv x_j \pmod{m}$ if $i \neq j$

3) Any x co-prime to m is congruent modulo m to some member r_i of the set.

Eg. 4) $m = 12$

All the below sets are examples of RRS mod m .

$$S_1 = (\mathbb{Z}_{12})^* = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}.$$

$$S_2 = \{13, -7, 19, -13\}$$

$$S_3 = \{1, 5, -5, -1\}.$$

\mathbb{Z}_m^* is the set of numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that-

$$1) \quad 1 \leq n \leq m$$

$$2) \quad (n, m) = 1.$$

Eg 5) Let p be a prime. Then,

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^* = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, p-1\}.$$

Let $|\mathbb{Z}_p^*|$ or $\#(\mathbb{Z}_p^*)$ denote the cardinality of \mathbb{Z}_p^*

a) Then, $|\mathbb{Z}_p^*| = p-1$, as the only element n between 1 & p which is not coprime to p is $n=p$ itself.

$$b) |\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^*| = p^2 - p.$$

c) More generally,

Theorem 3.9) Let p be a prime ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

$$\text{Then, } |(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^*| = p^n - p^{n-1}.$$

Proof :-

To see this, notice that if we remove those numbers m between 1 & p^n which are not coprime to p^n , then what is left is the set $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^*$.

How to count the nos. m such $1 \leq m \leq p^n$ & $(m, p^n) > 1$?

Clearly, if $(m, p^n) > 1$, then $p \mid m$ as p is the only prime factor of p^n . Hence, m can be written as

$$m = lp \quad \text{for some } l \in \mathbb{N}$$

But,

$$1 \leq lp \leq p^n. \text{ However, it is true that}$$

$$p \leq lp \leq p^n$$

as any non-zero positive multiple of p is atleast p . Hence,

$$1 \leq l \leq p^{n-1}.$$

Hence, there are p^{n-1} elements that are not coprime to p^n between 1 & p^n .

$$\text{Hence, } |(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^*| = p^n - p^{n-1}.$$

Euler-Phi function

Euler-Phi : $\phi(m)$ is defined as the cardinality of any reduced residue system modulo m .

$$\phi(m) = |(\mathbb{Z}_m)^*|$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^m 1 \\ (\text{L.C.M.}) = 1$$

m	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$\phi(m)$	1	1	2	2	4	2	6	4	6	4	10	4

Properties of Euler-Phi function

Theorem 3.10) ϕ is a multiplicative function.

That is, if $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(m_1, m_2) = 1$, then

$$\phi(m_1 m_2) = \phi(m_1) \phi(m_2).$$

Notice that if m_3 is such that $(m_1, m_2, m_3) = 1$, then,

$$\phi(m_1 m_2 m_3) = \phi(m_1) \phi(m_2) \phi(m_3).$$

Theorem 3.11) For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

Here, $\prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ means :

If p_1, \dots, p_r are all distinct prime divisors of n , then

$$\prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_r}\right).$$

Exercise : Show that for each $n \geq 1$,

$$\phi(10^n) = 4 \cdot 10^{n-1}.$$

Euler-Fermat's Theorem