

## American Speech-Language-Hearing Association

Making effective communication, a human right, accessible and achievable for all.

Search ASHA



Home / Evidence Maps

# Summary of the Systematic Review

#### ARTICLE CITATION

Communication With Invasive Mechanically Ventilated Patients and the Use of Alternative Devices: Integrative Review

Salem, A., & Ahmad, M. M. (2018). Journal of Research in Nursing, 23(7), 614-630. Find Article □

## CONCLUSIONS FROM THIS SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Displaying 1 of 1 conclusions  $\ \square$ 

TREATMENT			

For invasive mechanically ventilated (IMV) patients, one study investigating the effects of communication training for medical providers on augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) use in the intensive care setting reported improved communication interactions between providers and patients. Another study investigating feasibility of AAC found that IMV patients are more able to communicate effectively, particularly when using illustrated materials. The authors conclude that "the literature supports using multifactorial communication interventions, development of patient communication devices or materials, staff training in communication, and collaboration with other healthcare professionals in order to improve patient—health team members' communication" (p. 15).

Maps:

Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC)

Tracheostomy and Ventilator Dependence

#### ARTICLE DETAILS

## **Description**

This systematic review investigates the available strategies for enhancing effective communication in invasive mechanically ventilated (IMV) patients.

#### Years Searched

January 2010 to December 2016

# Study Designs Included

Published literature of any study design

## **Number of Studies**

17

# **Sponsoring Body**

No funding received

### **QUALITY APPRAISAL**

**Indicators of Review Quality** □

YES
The review states a clearly focused question/aim.
YES
Criteria for inclusion of studies are provided.
YES
Search strategy described in sufficient detail for replication.
NO
Included studies are assessed for study quality.
N/A
Quality assessments are reproducible.
YES
Characteristics of the included studies are provided.

© 1997-2023 American Speech-Language-Hearing Association