```
Personas: 16 / 20
 Format: _3 / 4
     must contain: gender, age, personality, skills, environment,
     attitude towards technology in general, attitude towards
     computer software, goals when using the system
     Too many irrelevant details are not useful!
     -1
     Professor X: No personality, goals
     Student Y: No personality, no goals
  Information content: 5 / 8
     -1 Need to describe Prof X's ability to use git / github
     -2 Not clear how student Y's relevant, grad student but not really
related to Prof X... Can the Prof trust this guy?
 Coverage: _8 / 8
     personas must include at least two of the following type of users:
     -- "Hanno type"
     -- Hanno's grad student: can approve modifications to the data,
          but cannot add other such users (only Hanno can)
     -- A less tech-savvy astronomer: Sure, Hanno is the client who
          makes the order, but the software will be released as
          open-source, so other types of users are 100% realistic.
Product Backlog: _36 / 50
   10 / 10 : User stories follow the format
               "As name ( role ), I want something ."
           ("so that benefit " is optional)
            AND each name corresponds to one of the personas.
   5 / 10 : User stories, together, reflect all the user
```

Total 77/100

requirements (from all prospective users).

- -5, Good start, covered most requirements, need to clarify on 5 maybe should think about things like admin access? And what happens if the data isn't really coherent/ what you expected and draft those out as user stories. Also could a user edit existing data? How would you integrate pull requests?
 - _9_ / 10 : User stories correspond to actual requirements (no "invented" features
 - -1, 7 seems invented
 - $_{5}$ / 10 : User stories contain enough information for devs to estimate how long it would take to implement it.
- -5, generally has enough details, focus more on 5, the merging feature.
 - 1. Good, im assuming you're using git commits
 - 2. What am i running exactly, a script? A command? A set of commands? A webserver?
 - 3. Okay
 - 4. So do you send one email per new change? One big summary email? What about changes that weren't approved but was brought up again?
 - 5. Have to define 'accurately compare', what kind of typos are we expecting, where are we expecting typos? In which fields? What happens if a planet's named something else but the data is the same as yours? Is that a new planet then? Have to elaborate more on this.
 - 6. Ok
 - 7. Define 'guide me', how much assistance is required? Are we talking about a video tutorial, a tutorial walkthrough? Documentation?
 - 8. What do you mean by 'give' an application? Send them a link? Send them the binaries? Do i have to assign them write permissions first? Is it done through the app?
 - 9. Ok
 - 10. Are we highlighting some details / differences? Or are we just dumping two versions of a planet from 2 different sources into 1 table?
 - 11. Ok, see above
 - 12. What constitutes a reference? Just the catalogue source?
 - 13. What are these standard units? What units do the other databases use? So the user gets to choose what units before adding/updating?

- 1. Come up with idea of what's expected
 - a. Each person thinks of personas & user stories on their own
 - b. And then collaborated together on gdoc
 - c. Kent & Keerush copied content over
- 2. Process for coming up with personas
 - a. Focused primarily on the prof, and then added the grad/ta position
 - b. They prepared questions in the tutorial and they were answered in the tutorial.
- 3. Process of coming up with the user stories
 - a. Heavily relied on presentation
- 4. Details of User Stories -2
 - a. Wasn't sure why they needed a login system, just said they stated.
 - b. Needed help to remember other user stories (3 answers were assisted)
 - c. Keerush was able to answer the rest of the questions
- 5. Sprint backlog
 - a. Pulling data is done first
 - b. Order by technical orders,
 - c. They would ask the client as to which one they would want