Kehua Chu (uid:806153163)

In [1]: # pip install openai wikipedia

```
Collecting openai
 Obtaining dependency information for openai from https://files.pythonhosted.org/packages/2
6/a1/75474477af2a1dae3a25f80b72bbaf20e8296191ece7fff2f67984206f33/openai-1.12.0-py3-none-an
y.whl.metadata
 Downloading openai-1.12.0-py3-none-any.whl.metadata (18 kB)
Collecting wikipedia
 Downloading wikipedia-1.4.0.tar.gz (27 kB)
 Preparing metadata (setup.py): started
 Preparing metadata (setup.py): finished with status 'done'
Requirement already satisfied: anyio<5,>=3.5.0 in e:\..kacie\anacondakc\lib\site-packages (f
rom openai) (3.5.0)
Collecting distro<2,>=1.7.0 (from openai)
 Obtaining dependency information for distro<2,>=1.7.0 from https://files.pythonhosted.org/
packages/12/b3/231ffd4ab1fc9d679809f356cebee130ac7daa00d6d6f3206dd4fd137e9e/distro-1.9.0-py3
-none-any.whl.metadata
 Downloading distro-1.9.0-py3-none-any.whl.metadata (6.8 kB)
Collecting httpx<1,>=0.23.0 (from openai)
 Obtaining dependency information for httpx<1,>=0.23.0 from https://files.pythonhosted.org/
packages/41/7b/ddacf6dcebb42466abd03f368782142baa82e08fc0c1f8eaa05b4bae87d5/httpx-0.27.0-py3
-none-any.whl.metadata
 Downloading httpx-0.27.0-py3-none-any.whl.metadata (7.2 kB)
Requirement already satisfied: pydantic<3,>=1.9.0 in e:\..kacie\anacondakc\lib\site-packages
(from openai) (1.10.8)
Requirement already satisfied: sniffio in e:\..kacie\anacondakc\lib\site-packages (from open
ai) (1.2.0)
Requirement already satisfied: tqdm>4 in e:\..kacie\anacondakc\lib\site-packages (from opena
i) (4.65.0)
Requirement\ already\ satisfied:\ typing-extensions < 5,> = 4.7\ in\ e:\\ \\ \ ... kacie\\ \\ \ anacondakc\\ \\ lib\\ \\ \ site-p
ackages (from openai) (4.9.0)
Requirement already satisfied: beautifulsoup4 in e:\..kacie\anacondakc\lib\site-packages (fr
om wikipedia) (4.12.2)
Requirement already satisfied: requests<3.0.0,>=2.0.0 in e:\..kacie\anacondakc\lib\site-pack
ages (from wikipedia) (2.31.0)
Requirement already satisfied: idna>=2.8 in e:\..kacie\anacondakc\lib\site-packages (from an
yio<5,>=3.5.0->openai) (3.4)
Requirement already satisfied: certifi in e:\..kacie\anacondakc\lib\site-packages (from http
x<1,>=0.23.0->openai) (2024.2.2)
Collecting httpcore==1.* (from httpx<1,>=0.23.0->openai)
 Obtaining dependency information for httpcore==1.* from https://files.pythonhosted.org/pac
kages/2c/93/13f25f2f78646bab97aee7680821e30bd85b2ff0fc45d5fdf5393b79716d/httpcore-1.0.4-py3-
none-any.whl.metadata
 Downloading httpcore-1.0.4-py3-none-any.whl.metadata (20 kB)
Collecting h11<0.15,>=0.13 (from httpcore==1.*->httpx<1,>=0.23.0->openai)
 Obtaining dependency information for h11<0.15,>=0.13 from https://files.pythonhosted.org/p
ackages/95/04/ff642e65ad6b90db43e668d70ffb6736436c7ce41fcc549f4e9472234127/h11-0.14.0-py3-no
ne-any.whl.metadata
 Downloading h11-0.14.0-py3-none-any.whl.metadata (8.2 kB)
Requirement already satisfied: charset-normalizer<4,>=2 in e:\..kacie\anacondakc\lib\site-pa
ckages (from requests<3.0.0,>=2.0.0->wikipedia) (2.0.4)
Requirement already satisfied: urllib3<3,>=1.21.1 in e:\..kacie\anacondakc\lib\site-packages
(from requests<3.0.0,>=2.0.0->wikipedia) (1.26.16)
Requirement already satisfied: colorama in e:\..kacie\anacondakc\lib\site-packages (from tqd
m>4->openai) (0.4.6)
Requirement already satisfied: soupsieve>1.2 in e:\..kacie\anacondakc\lib\site-packages (fro
m beautifulsoup4->wikipedia) (2.4)
Downloading openai-1.12.0-py3-none-any.whl (226 kB)
   ----- 0.0/226.7 kB ? eta -:--:--
   ------ 226.7/226.7 kB 13.5 MB/s eta 0:00:00
Downloading distro-1.9.0-py3-none-any.whl (20 kB)
Downloading httpx-0.27.0-py3-none-any.whl (75 kB)
   ----- 0.0/75.6 kB ? eta -:--:-
   ----- 75.6/75.6 kB ? eta 0:00:00
Downloading httpcore-1.0.4-py3-none-any.whl (77 kB)
  ----- 0.0/77.8 kB ? eta -:--:--
   ----- 77.8/77.8 kB ? eta 0:00:00
Downloading h11-0.14.0-py3-none-any.whl (58 kB)
```

----- 0.0/58.3 kB ? eta -:--:-

```
----- 58.3/58.3 kB 3.0 MB/s eta 0:00:00
      Building wheels for collected packages: wikipedia
        Building wheel for wikipedia (setup.py): started
        Building wheel for wikipedia (setup.py): finished with status 'done'
        Created wheel for wikipedia: filename=wikipedia-1.4.0-py3-none-any.whl size=11707 sha256=4
      565b1a1e5ccdd75ff78da3a030f30b4708e6ad6c82237451856c9afcb8d39c9
        Stored in directory: c:\users\kacie\appdata\local\pip\cache\wheels\8f\ab\cb\45ccc40522d3a1
      c41e1d2ad53b8f33a62f394011ec38cd71c6
      Successfully built wikipedia
      Installing collected packages: h11, distro, wikipedia, httpcore, httpx, openai
      Successfully installed distro-1.9.0 h11-0.14.0 httpcore-1.0.4 httpx-0.27.0 openai-1.12.0 wik
      ipedia-1.4.0
      Note: you may need to restart the kernel to use updated packages.
        WARNING: The script distro.exe is installed in 'e:\..Kacie\AnacondaKC\Scripts' which is no
      t on PATH.
        Consider adding this directory to PATH or, if you prefer to suppress this warning, use --n
      o-warn-script-location.
        WARNING: The script httpx.exe is installed in 'e:\..Kacie\AnacondaKC\Scripts' which is not
      on PATH.
        Consider adding this directory to PATH or, if you prefer to suppress this warning, use --n
      o-warn-script-location.
        WARNING: The script openai.exe is installed in 'e:\..Kacie\AnacondaKC\Scripts' which is no
      t on PATH.
        Consider adding this directory to PATH or, if you prefer to suppress this warning, use --n
      o-warn-script-location.
In [2]: import openai
        import os
        import wikipedia
```

1.) Set up OpenAI and the enviornment

2.) Use the wikipedia api to get a function that pulls in the text of a wikipedia page

```
In [9]: dir(wikipedia)
```

```
Out[9]: ['API_URL',
            'BeautifulSoup',
            'Decimal',
            'DisambiguationError',
            'HTTPTimeoutError',
            'ODD_ERROR_MESSAGE',
            'PageError',
            'RATE LIMIT',
            'RATE LIMIT LAST CALL',
            'RATE_LIMIT_MIN_WAIT',
            'RedirectError',
            'USER_AGENT',
            'WikipediaException',
            'WikipediaPage',
            '__builtins__',
            '__builtins__'
'__cached__',
'__doc__',
'__file__',
'__loader__',
'__name__',
'__package__',
'__path__',
'__spec__',
'__version__',
'cache'
            'cache',
            'datetime',
            'debug',
            'donate',
            'exceptions',
            'geosearch',
            'languages',
            'page',
            'random',
            're',
            'requests',
            'search',
            'set_lang',
            'set_rate_limiting',
             'set_user_agent',
             'stdout_encode',
             'suggest',
             'summary',
             'sys',
             'time',
             'timedelta',
            'unicode_literals',
            'util',
            'wikipedia']
In [10]: page_titles = ['Artificial Intelligence', 'UCLA']
In [11]: page_title = page_titles[0]
In [14]: search_results = wikipedia.search(page_title)
In [15]: page = wikipedia.page(search_results[0])
In [17]: page.content
```

Out[17]: 'Artificial intelligence (AI) is the intelligence of machines or software, as opposed to t he intelligence of other living beings, primarily of humans. It is a field of study in com puter science that develops and studies intelligent machines. Such machines may be called AIs.\nAI technology is widely used throughout industry, government, and science. Some high -profile applications are: advanced web search engines (e.g., Google Search), recommendati on systems (used by YouTube, Amazon, and Netflix), interacting via human speech (such as G oogle Assistant, Siri, and Alexa), self-driving cars (e.g., Waymo), generative and creativ e tools (ChatGPT and AI art), and superhuman play and analysis in strategy games (such as chess and Go). Alan Turing was the first person to conduct substantial research in the fiel d that he called machine intelligence. Artificial intelligence was founded as an academic discipline in 1956. The field went through multiple cycles of optimism, followed by period s of disappointment and loss of funding, known as AI winter. Funding and interest vastly i ncreased after 2012 when deep learning surpassed all previous AI techniques, and after 201 7 with the transformer architecture. This led to the AI spring of the early 2020s, with co mpanies, universities, and laboratories overwhelmingly based in the United States pioneeri ng significant advances in artificial intelligence. The growing use of artificial intellige nce in the 21st century is influencing a societal and economic shift towards increased aut omation, data-driven decision-making, and the integration of AI systems into various areas of life, impacting job markets, healthcare, government, industry, and education. This rais es questions about the ethical implications and risks of AI, prompting discussions about r egulatory policies to ensure the safety and benefits of the technology.\nThe various sub-f ields of AI research are centered around particular goals and the use of particular tools. The traditional goals of AI research include reasoning, knowledge representation, plannin g, learning, natural language processing, perception, and support for robotics. General in telligence (the ability to complete any task performable by a human) is among the field\'s long-term goals.To solve these problems, AI researchers have adapted and integrated a wide range of problem-solving techniques, including search and mathematical optimization, forma 1 logic, artificial neural networks, and methods based on statistics, operations research, and economics. AI also draws upon psychology, linguistics, philosophy, neuroscience and ot her fields.\n\n== Goals ==\nThe general problem of simulating (or creating) intelligence has been broken into sub-problems. These consist of particular traits or capabilities that researchers expect an intelligent system to display. The traits described below have recei ved the most attention and cover the scope of AI research.\n\n\=== Reasoning, problem-sol ving ===\nEarly researchers developed algorithms that imitated step-by-step reasoning that humans use when they solve puzzles or make logical deductions. By the late 1980s and 1990 s, methods were developed for dealing with uncertain or incomplete information, employing concepts from probability and economics. Many of these algorithms are insufficient for solv ing large reasoning problems because they experience a "combinatorial explosion": they bec ame exponentially slower as the problems grew larger. Even humans rarely use the step-by-s tep deduction that early AI research could model. They solve most of their problems using fast, intuitive judgments. Accurate and efficient reasoning is an unsolved problem.\n\n= == Knowledge representation ===\nKnowledge representation and knowledge engineering allow AI programs to answer questions intelligently and make deductions about real-world facts. Formal knowledge representations are used in content-based indexing and retrieval, scene i nterpretation, clinical decision support, knowledge discovery (mining "interesting" and ac tionable inferences from large databases), and other areas. A knowledge base is a body of knowledge represented in a form that can be used by a program. An ontology is the set of ob jects, relations, concepts, and properties used by a particular domain of knowledge. Knowl edge bases need to represent things such as: objects, properties, categories and relations between objects; situations, events, states and time; causes and effects; knowledge about knowledge (what we know about what other people know); default reasoning (things that huma ns assume are true until they are told differently and will remain true even when other fa cts are changing); and many other aspects and domains of knowledge.\nAmong the most diffic ult problems in knowledge representation are: the breadth of commonsense knowledge (the se t of atomic facts that the average person knows is enormous); and the sub-symbolic form of most commonsense knowledge (much of what people know is not represented as "facts" or "sta tements" that they could express verbally). There is also the difficulty of knowledge acqu isition, the problem of obtaining knowledge for AI applications.\n\n\n=== Planning and dec ision making ===\nAn "agent" is anything that perceives and takes actions in the world. A rational agent has goals or preferences and takes actions to make them happen. In automate d planning, the agent has a specific goal. In automated decision making, the agent has pre ferences - there are some situations it would prefer to be in, and some situations it is t rying to avoid. The decision making agent assigns a number to each situation (called the

"utility") that measures how much the agent prefers it. For each possible action, it can c alculate the "expected utility": the utility of all possible outcomes of the action, weigh ted by the probability that the outcome will occur. It can then choose the action with the

maximum expected utility. In classical planning, the agent knows exactly what the effect of any action will be. In most real-world problems, however, the agent may not be certain abo ut the situation they are in (it is "unknown" or "unobservable") and it may not know for c ertain what will happen after each possible action (it is not "deterministic"). It must ch oose an action by making a probabilistic guess and then reassess the situation to see if t he action worked. In some problems, the agent\'s preferences may be uncertain, especially i f there are other agents or humans involved. These can be learned (e.g., with inverse rein forcement learning) or the agent can seek information to improve its preferences. Informat ion value theory can be used to weigh the value of exploratory or experimental actions. Th e space of possible future actions and situations is typically intractably large, so the a gents must take actions and evaluate situations while being uncertain what the outcome wil 1 be.\nA Markov decision process has a transition model that describes the probability tha t a particular action will change the state in a particular way, and a reward function tha t supplies the utility of each state and the cost of each action. A policy associates a de cision with each possible state. The policy could be calculated (e.g. by iteration), be he uristic, or it can be learned. Game theory describes rational behavior of multiple interact ing agents, and is used in AI programs that make decisions that involve other agents.\n\n \n=== Learning ===\nMachine learning is the study of programs that can improve their perfo rmance on a given task automatically. It has been a part of AI from the beginning. There ar e several kinds of machine learning. Unsupervised learning analyzes a stream of data and f inds patterns and makes predictions without any other guidance. Supervised learning requir es a human to label the input data first, and comes in two main varieties: classification (where the program must learn to predict what category the input belongs in) and regressio n (where the program must deduce a numeric function based on numeric input). In reinforceme nt learning the agent is rewarded for good responses and punished for bad ones. The agent learns to choose responses that are classified as "good". Transfer learning is when the kn owledge gained from one problem is applied to a new problem. Deep learning is a type of ma chine learning that runs inputs through biologically inspired artificial neural networks f or all of these types of learning. Computational learning theory can assess learners by com putational complexity, by sample complexity (how much data is required), or by other notio ns of optimization.\n\n=== Natural language processing ===\nNatural language processing (NLP) allows programs to read, write and communicate in human languages such as English. S pecific problems include speech recognition, speech synthesis, machine translation, inform ation extraction, information retrieval and question answering. Early work, based on Noam C homsky\'s generative grammar and semantic networks, had difficulty with word-sense disambi guation unless restricted to small domains called "micro-worlds" (due to the common sense knowledge problem). Margaret Masterman believed that it was meaning, and not grammar that was the key to understanding languages, and that thesauri and not dictionaries should be t he basis of computational language structure.\nModern deep learning techniques for NLP inc lude word embedding (representing words, typically as vectors encoding their meaning), tra nsformers (a deep learning architecture using an attention mechanism), and others. In 201 9, generative pre-trained transformer (or "GPT") language models began to generate coheren t text, and by 2023 these models were able to get human-level scores on the bar exam, SAT test, GRE test, and many other real-world applications.\n\n=== Perception ===\nMachine p erception is the ability to use input from sensors (such as cameras, microphones, wireless signals, active lidar, sonar, radar, and tactile sensors) to deduce aspects of the world. Computer vision is the ability to analyze visual input. The field includes speech recogniti on, image classification, facial recognition, object recognition, and robotic perceptio n.\n\n=== Social intelligence ===\nAffective computing is an interdisciplinary umbrella that comprises systems that recognize, interpret, process or simulate human feeling, emoti on and mood. For example, some virtual assistants are programmed to speak conversationally or even to banter humorously; it makes them appear more sensitive to the emotional dynamic s of human interaction, or to otherwise facilitate human-computer interaction.\nHowever, t his tends to give naïve users an unrealistic conception of the intelligence of existing co mputer agents. Moderate successes related to affective computing include textual sentiment analysis and, more recently, multimodal sentiment analysis, wherein AI classifies the affe cts displayed by a videotaped subject.\n\n\n=== General intelligence ===\nA machine with a rtificial general intelligence should be able to solve a wide variety of problems with bre adth and versatility similar to human intelligence.\n\n\n= Techniques ==\nAI research use s a wide variety of techniques to accomplish the goals above.\n\n=== Search and optimiza tion ===\nAI can solve many problems by intelligently searching through many possible solu tions. There are two very different kinds of search used in AI: state space search and loc al search.\n\n\n==== State space search ====\nState space search searches through a tree o f possible states to try to find a goal state. For example, planning algorithms search thr ough trees of goals and subgoals, attempting to find a path to a target goal, a process ca lled means-ends analysis. Simple exhaustive searches are rarely sufficient for most real-wo rld problems: the search space (the number of places to search) quickly grows to astronomi

cal numbers. The result is a search that is too slow or never completes. "Heuristics" or "rules of thumb" can help to prioritize choices that are more likely to reach a goal. Adver sarial search is used for game-playing programs, such as chess or Go. It searches through a tree of possible moves and counter-moves, looking for a winning position.\n\n=== Loca l search ====\nLocal search uses mathematical optimization to find a solution to a proble m. It begins with some form of guess and refines it incrementally. Gradient descent is a ty pe of local search that optimizes a set of numerical parameters by incrementally adjusting them to minimize a loss function. Variants of gradient descent are commonly used to train neural networks. Another type of local search is evolutionary computation, which aims to it eratively improve a set of candidate solutions by "mutating" and "recombining" them, selec ting only the fittest to survive each generation. Distributed search processes can coordina te via swarm intelligence algorithms. Two popular swarm algorithms used in search are part icle swarm optimization (inspired by bird flocking) and ant colony optimization (inspired by ant trails).\n\n\=== Logic ===\nFormal Logic is used for reasoning and knowledge repre sentation.\nFormal logic comes in two main forms: propositional logic (which operates on s tatements that are true or false and uses logical connectives such as "and", "or", "not" a nd "implies")\nand predicate logic (which also operates on objects, predicates and relatio ns and uses quantifiers such as "Every X is a Y" and "There are some Xs that are Ys").Logi cal inference (or deduction) is the process of proving a new statement (conclusion) from o ther statements that are already known to be true (the premises).\nA logical knowledge bas e also handles queries and assertions as a special case of inference.\nAn inference rule d escribes what is a valid step in a proof. The most general inference rule is resolution.\n Inference can be reduced to performing a search to find a path that leads from premises to conclusions, where each step is the application of an inference rule.\nInference performed this way is intractable except for short proofs in restricted domains. No efficient, power ful and general method has been discovered.\nFuzzy logic assigns a "degree of truth" betwe en 0 and 1. It can therefore handle propositions that are vague and partially true. Non-mon otonic logics are designed to handle default reasoning.\nOther specialized versions of log ic have been developed to describe many complex domains (see knowledge representation abov e).\n\n=== Probabilistic methods for uncertain reasoning ===\nMany problems in AI (inclu ding in reasoning, planning, learning, perception, and robotics) require the agent to oper ate with incomplete or uncertain information. AI researchers have devised a number of tool s to solve these problems using methods from probability theory and economics. Bayesian net works\nare a very general tool that can be used for many problems, including reasoning (us ing the Bayesian inference algorithm), learning (using the expectation-maximization algori thm), planning (using decision networks)\nand perception (using dynamic Bayesian network s). Probabilistic algorithms can also be used for filtering, prediction, smoothing and find ing explanations for streams of data, helping perception systems to analyze processes that occur over time (e.g., hidden Markov models or Kalman filters).\nPrecise mathematical tool s have been developed that analyze how an agent can make choices and plan, using decision theory, decision analysis, and information value theory. These tools include models such a s Markov decision processes, dynamic decision networks, game theory and mechanism desig n.\n\n=== Classifiers and statistical learning methods ===\nThe simplest AI applications can be divided into two types: classifiers (e.g. "if shiny then diamond"), on one hand, an d controllers (e.g. "if diamond then pick up"), on the other hand. Classifiers\nare functi ons that use pattern matching to determine the closest match. They can be fine-tuned based on chosen examples using supervised learning. Each pattern (also called an "observation") is labeled with a certain predefined class. All the observations combined with their class labels are known as a data set. When a new observation is received, that observation is cl assified based on previous experience. There are many kinds of classifiers in use. The deci sion tree is the simplest and most widely used symbolic machine learning algorithm. K-near est neighbor algorithm was the most widely used analogical AI until the mid-1990s, and Ker nel methods such as the support vector machine (SVM) displaced k-nearest neighbor in the 1 990s.\nThe naive Bayes classifier is reportedly the "most widely used learner" at Google, due in part to its scalability.Neural networks are also used as classifiers.\n\n=== Arti ficial neural networks ===\nAn artificial neural network is based on a collection of nodes also known as artificial neurons, which loosely model the neurons in a biological brain. I t is trained to recognise patterns, once trained it can recognise those patterns in fresh data. There is an input, at least one hidden layer of nodes and an output. Each node appli es a function and once the weight crosses its specified threshold, the data is transmitted to the next layer. A network is typically called a deep neural network if it has at least 2 hidden layers.Learning algorithms for neural networks use local search to choose the wei ghts that will get the right output for each input during training. The most common traini ng technique is the backpropagation algorithm.\nNeural networks learn to model complex rel ationships between inputs and outputs and find patterns in data. In theory, a neural netwo rk can learn any function. In feedforward neural networks the signal passes in only one dir ection. Recurrent neural networks feed the output signal back into the input, which allows

short-term memories of previous input events. Long short term memory is the most successfu 1 network architecture for recurrent networks.Perceptrons\nuse only a single layer of neur ons, deep learning uses multiple layers.\nConvolutional neural networks strengthen the con nection between neurons that are "close" to each other - this is especially important in i mage processing, where a local set of neurons must identify an "edge" before the network c an identify an object.\n\n=== Deep learning ===\nDeep learning\nuses several layers of n eurons between the network\'s inputs and outputs. The multiple layers can progressively ex tract higher-level features from the raw input. For example, in image processing, lower la yers may identify edges, while higher layers may identify the concepts relevant to a human such as digits or letters or faces. Deep learning has profoundly improved the performance o f programs in many important subfields of artificial intelligence, including computer visi on, speech recognition, natural language processing, image classification\nand others. The reason that deep learning performs so well in so many applications is not known as of 202 3.\nThe sudden success of deep learning in 2012-2015 did not occur because of some new dis covery or theoretical breakthrough (deep neural networks and backpropagation had been desc ribed by many people, as far back as the 1950s)\nbut because of two factors: the incredibl e increase in computer power (including the hundred-fold increase in speed by switching to GPUs) and the availability of vast amounts of training data, especially the giant curated datasets used for benchmark testing, such as ImageNet.\n\n=== GPT ===\nGenerative pre-tr ained transformers (GPT) are large language models that are based on the semantic relation ships between words in sentences (natural language processing). Text-based GPT models are pre-trained on a large corpus of text which can be from the internet. The pre-training con sists in predicting the next token (a token being usually a word, subword, or punctuatio n). Throughout this pre-training, GPT models accumulate knowledge about the world, and can then generate human-like text by repeatedly predicting the next token. Typically, a subseq uent training phase makes the model more truthful, useful and harmless, usually with a tec hnique called reinforcement learning from human feedback (RLHF). Current GPT models are st ill prone to generating falsehoods called "hallucinations", although this can be reduced \boldsymbol{w} ith RLHF and quality data. They are used in chatbots which allow you to ask a question or request a task in simple text.Current models and services include: Gemini (formerly Bard), ChatGPT, Grok, Claude, Copilot and LLaMA. Multimodal GPT models can process different type s of data (modalities) such as images, videos, sound and text.\n\n\n=== Specialized hardwa re and software ===\n\nIn the late 2010s, graphics processing units (GPUs) that were incre asingly designed with AI-specific enhancements and used with specialized TensorFlow softwa re, had replaced previously used central processing unit (CPUs) as the dominant means for large-scale (commercial and academic) machine learning models\' training.\nHistorically, s pecialized languages, such as Lisp, Prolog, Python and others, had been used.\n\n\n== Appl ications ==\nAI and machine learning technology is used in most of the essential applicati ons of the 2020s, including: search engines (such as Google Search), targeting online adve rtisements, recommendation systems (offered by Netflix, YouTube or Amazon), driving intern et traffic, targeted advertising (AdSense, Facebook), virtual assistants (such as Siri or Alexa), autonomous vehicles (including drones, ADAS and self-driving cars), automatic lang uage translation (Microsoft Translator, Google Translate), facial recognition (Apple\'s Fa ce ID or Microsoft\'s DeepFace and Google\'s FaceNet) and image labeling (used by Faceboo k, Apple\'s iPhoto and TikTok).\n\n=== Health and Medicine ===\n\nThe application of AI in medicine and medical research has the potential to increase patient care and quality of life. Through the lens of the Hippocratic Oath, medical professionals are ethically compel led to use AI, if applications can more accurately diagnose and treat patients.\nFor medic al research, AI is an important tool for processing and integrating Big Data. This is part icularly important for organoid and tissue engineering development which use microscopy im aging as a key technique in fabrication. \nIt has been suggested that AI can overcome disc repancies in funding allocated to different fields of research. New AI tools can deepen ou r understanding of biomedically relevant pathways. For example, AlphaFold 2 (2021) demonst rated the ability to approximate, in hours rather than months, the 3D structure of a prote in. In 2023 it was reported that AI guided drug discovery helped find a class of antibioti cs capable of killing two different types of drug-resistant bacteria.\n\n\=== Games ===\n \nGame playing programs have been used since the 1950s to demonstrate and test AI\'s most advanced techniques. Deep Blue became the first computer chess-playing system to beat a re igning world chess champion, Garry Kasparov, on 11 May 1997. In 2011, in a Jeopardy! quiz show exhibition match, IBM\'s question answering system, Watson, defeated the two greatest Jeopardy! champions, Brad Rutter and Ken Jennings, by a significant margin. In March 2016, AlphaGo won 4 out of 5 games of Go in a match with Go champion Lee Sedol, becoming the fir st computer Go-playing system to beat a professional Go player without handicaps. Then in 2017 it defeated Ke Jie, who was the best Go player in the world. Other programs handle im perfect-information games, such as the poker-playing program Pluribus. DeepMind developed increasingly generalistic reinforcement learning models, such as with MuZero, which could be trained to play chess, Go, or Atari games. In 2019, DeepMind\'s AlphaStar achieved gran

dmaster level in StarCraft II, a particularly challenging real-time strategy game that inv olves incomplete knowledge of what happens on the map. In 2021 an AI agent competed in a P laystation Gran Turismo competition, winning against four of the world's best Gran Turismo drivers using deep reinforcement learning.\n\n\n=== Military ===\n\nVarious countries are deploying AI military applications. The main applications enhance command and control, com munications, sensors, integration and interoperability. Research is targeting intelligence collection and analysis, logistics, cyber operations, information operations, and semiauto nomous and autonomous vehicles. AI technologies enable coordination of sensors and effecto rs, threat detection and identification, marking of enemy positions, target acquisition, c oordination and deconfliction of distributed Joint Fires between networked combat vehicles involving manned and unmanned teams. AI was incorporated into military operations in Iraq and Syria.\nIn November 2023, US Vice President Kamala Harris disclosed a declaration sign ed by 31 nations to set guardrails for the military use of IA. The commitments include usi ng legal reviews to ensure the compliance of military AI with international laws, and bein g cautious and transparent in the development of this technology. $\n\n\$ ==\n\nIn the early 2020s, generative AI gained widespread prominence. In March 2023, 58% o f US adults had heard about ChatGPT and 14% had tried it. The increasing realism and easeof-use of AI-based text-to-image generators such as Midjourney, DALL-E, and Stable Diffusi on sparked a trend of viral AI-generated photos. Widespread attention was gained by a fake photo of Pope Francis wearing a white puffer coat, the fictional arrest of Donald Trump, a nd a hoax of an attack on the Pentagon, as well as the usage in professional creative art s.\n\n\=== Industry Specific Tasks ===\nThere are also thousands of successful AI applica tions used to solve specific problems for specific industries or institutions. In a 2017 s urvey, one in five companies reported they had incorporated "AI" in some offerings or proc esses. A few examples are energy storage, medical diagnosis, military logistics, applicati ons that predict the result of judicial decisions, foreign policy, or supply chain managem ent.\nIn agriculture, AI has helped farmers identify areas that need irrigation, fertiliza tion, pesticide treatments or increasing yield. Agronomists use AI to conduct research and development. AI has been used to predict the ripening time for crops such as tomatoes, mon itor soil moisture, operate agricultural robots, conduct predictive analytics, classify li vestock pig call emotions, automate greenhouses, detect diseases and pests, and save wate $\verb"r.\nArtificial" intelligence is used in astronomy to analyze increasing amounts of availabl$ e data and applications, mainly for "classification, regression, clustering, forecasting, generation, discovery, and the development of new scientific insights" for example for dis covering exoplanets, forecasting solar activity, and distinguishing between signals and in strumental effects in gravitational wave astronomy. It could also be used for activities i n space such as space exploration, including analysis of data from space missions, real-ti me science decisions of spacecraft, space debris avoidance, and more autonomous operatio n.\n\n== Ethics ==\nAI, like any powerful technology, has potential benefits and potenti al risks. AI may be able to advance science and find solutions for serious problems: Demis Hassabis of Deep Mind hopes to "solve intelligence, and then use that to solve everything else". However, as the use of AI has become widespread, several unintended consequences an d risks have been identified. Anyone looking to use machine learning as part of real-world, in-production systems needs to factor ethics into their AI training processes and strive t o avoid bias. This is especially true when using AI algorithms that are inherently unexpla inable in deep learning.\n\n=== Risks and harm ===\n\n=== Privacy and copyright ==== \n\nMachine learning algorithms require large amounts of data. The techniques used to acqu ire this data have raised concerns about privacy, surveillance and copyright.\nTechnology companies collect a wide range of data from their users, including online activity, geoloc ation data, video and audio.\nFor example, in order to build speech recognition algorithm s, Amazon have recorded millions of private conversations and allowed temporary workers to listen to and transcribe some of them.\nOpinions about this widespread surveillance range from those who see it as a necessary evil to those for whom it is clearly unethical and a violation of the right to privacy.AI developers argue that this is the only way to deliver valuable applications. and have developed several techniques that attempt to preserve priv acy while still obtaining the data, such as data aggregation, de-identification and differ ential privacy. Since 2016, some privacy experts, such as Cynthia Dwork, began to view pri vacy in terms of fairness. Brian Christian wrote that experts have pivoted "from the quest ion of \'what they know\' to the question of \'what they\'re doing with it\'.".Generative AI is often trained on unlicensed copyrighted works, including in domains such as images o r computer code; the output is then used under a rationale of "fair use". Also website own ers who do not wish to have their copyrighted content be AI indexed or 'scraped' can add c ode to their site, as you would, if you did not want your website to be indexed by a searc h engine which is currently available to certain services such as OpenAI. Experts disagree about how well, and under what circumstances, this rationale will hold up in courts of la w; relevant factors may include "the purpose and character of the use of the copyrighted w ork" and "the effect upon the potential market for the copyrighted work". In 2023, leading

authors (including John Grisham and Jonathan Franzen) sued AI companies for using their wo rk to train generative AI.\n\n==== Misinformation ====\n\nYouTube, Facebook and others u se recommender systems to guide users to more content. These AI programs were given the go al of maximizing user engagement (that is, the only goal was to keep people watching). The AI learned that users tended to choose misinformation, conspiracy theories, and extreme pa rtisan content, and, to keep them watching, the AI recommended more of it. Users also tend ed to watch more content on the same subject, so the AI led people into filter bubbles whe re they received multiple versions of the same misinformation. This convinced many users t hat the misinformation was true, and ultimately undermined trust in institutions, the medi a and the government. The AI program had correctly learned to maximize its goal, but the r esult was harmful to society. After the U.S. election in 2016, major technology companies took steps to mitigate the problem.\nIn 2022, generative AI began to create images, audio, video and text that are indistinguishable from real photographs, recordings, films or huma n writing. It is possible for bad actors to use this technology to create massive amounts of misinformation or propaganda. AI pioneer Geoffrey Hinton expressed concern about AI ena bling "authoritarian leaders to manipulate their electorates" on a large scale, among othe r risks.\n\n\n==== Algorithmic bias and fairness ====\n\nMachine learning applications wil 1 be biased if they learn from biased data.\nThe developers may not be aware that the bias exists.\nBias can be introduced by the way training data is selected and by the way a mode l is deployed. If a biased algorithm is used to make decisions that can seriously harm peo ple (as it can in medicine, finance, recruitment, housing or policing) then the algorithm may cause discrimination. Fairness in machine learning is the study of how to prevent the h arm caused by algorithmic bias. It has become serious area of academic study within AI. Re searchers have discovered it is not always possible to define "fairness" in a way that sat isfies all stakeholders.On June 28, 2015, Google Photos\'s new image labeling feature mist akenly identified Jacky Alcine and a friend as "gorillas" because they were black. The sys tem was trained on a dataset that contained very few images of black people, a problem cal led "sample size disparity". Google "fixed" this problem by preventing the system from lab elling anything as a "gorilla". Eight years later, in 2023, Google Photos still could not identify a gorilla, and neither could similar products from Apple, Facebook, Microsoft and Amazon.COMPAS is a commercial program widely used by U.S. courts to assess the likelihood of a defendant becoming a recidivist.\nIn 2016, Julia Angwin at ProPublica discovered that COMPAS exhibited racial bias, despite the fact that the program was not told the races of the defendants. Although the error rate for both whites and blacks was calibrated equal at exactly 61%, the errors for each race were different-the system consistently overestimated the chance that a black person would re-offend and would underestimate the chance that a w hite person would not re-offend. In 2017, several researchers showed that it was mathemati cally impossible for COMPAS to accommodate all possible measures of fairness when the base rates of re-offense were different for whites and blacks in the data.A program can make bi ased decisions even if the data does not explicitly mention a problematic feature (such as "race" or "gender"). The feature will correlate with other features (like "address", "shop ping history" or "first name"), and the program will make the same decisions based on thes e features as it would on "race" or "gender".\nMoritz Hardt said "the most robust fact in this research area is that fairness through blindness doesn\'t work."Criticism of COMPAS h ighlighted a deeper problem with the misuse of AI. Machine learning models are designed to make "predictions" that are only valid if we assume that the future will resemble the pas t. If they are trained on data that includes the results of racist decisions in the past, machine learning models must predict that racist decisions will be made in the future. Unf ortunately, if an application then uses these predictions as recommendations, some of thes e "recommendations" will likely be racist. Thus, machine learning is not well suited to he lp make decisions in areas where there is hope that the future will be better than the pas t. It is necessarily descriptive and not proscriptive. Bias and unfairness may go undetecte d because the developers are overwhelmingly white and male: among AI engineers, about 4% a re black and 20% are women.At its 2022 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transpa rency (ACM FAccT 2022) the Association for Computing Machinery, in Seoul, South Korea, pre sented and published findings recommending that until AI and robotics systems are demonstr ated to be free of bias mistakes, they are unsafe and the use of self-learning neural netw orks trained on vast, unregulated sources of flawed internet data should be curtailed.\n\n \n==== Lack of transparency ====\n\nMany AI systems are so complex that their designers ca nnot explain how they reach their decisions. Particularly with deep neural networks, in wh ich there are a large amount of non-linear relationships between inputs and outputs. But s ome popular explainability techniques exist. There have been many cases where a machine lea rning program passed rigorous tests, but nevertheless learned something different than wha t the programmers intended. For example, a system that could identify skin diseases better than medical professionals was found to actually have a strong tendency to classify images with a ruler as "cancerous", because pictures of malignancies typically include a ruler to show the scale. Another machine learning system designed to help effectively allocate medi

cal resources was found to classify patients with asthma as being at "low risk" of dying f rom pneumonia. Having asthma is actually a severe risk factor, but since the patients havi ng asthma would usually get much more medical care, they were relatively unlikely to die a ccording to the training data. The correlation between asthma and low risk of dying from p neumonia was real, but misleading.People who have been harmed by an algorithm\'s decision have a right to an explanation. Doctors, for example, are required to clearly and complete ly explain the reasoning behind any decision they make. Early drafts of the European Union \'s General Data Protection Regulation in 2016 included an explicit statement that this ri ght exists. Industry experts noted that this is an unsolved problem with no solution in si ght. Regulators argued that nevertheless the harm is real: if the problem has no solution, the tools should not be used.DARPA established the XAI ("Explainable Artificial Intelligen ce") program in 2014 to try and solve these problems. There are several potential solutions to the transparency problem. SHAP helps visualise the contribution of each feature to the output. LIME can locally approximate a model with a simpler, interpretable model. Multitas k learning provides a large number of outputs in addition to the target classification. Th ese other outputs can help developers deduce what the network has learned. Deconvolution, DeepDream and other generative methods can allow developers to see what different layers o f a deep network have learned and produce output that can suggest what the network is lear ning.\n\n==== Conflict, surveillance and weaponized AI ====\n\nA lethal autonomous weapo n is a machine that locates, selects and engages human targets without human supervision. By 2015, over fifty countries were reported to be researching battlefield robots. These we apons are considered especially dangerous for several reasons: if they kill an innocent pe rson it is not clear who should be held accountable, it is unlikely they will reliably cho ose targets, and, if produced at scale, they are potentially weapons of mass destruction. In 2014, 30 nations (including China) supported a ban on autonomous weapons under the Unit ed Nations\' Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons, however the United States and oth ers disagreed.AI provides a number of tools that are particularly useful for authoritarian governments: smart spyware, face recognition and voice recognition allow widespread survei llance; such surveillance allows machine learning to classify potential enemies of the sta te and can prevent them from hiding; recommendation systems can precisely target propagand a and misinformation for maximum effect; deepfakes and generative AI aid in producing misi nformation; advanced AI can make authoritarian centralized decision making more competitiv e with liberal and decentralized systems such as markets.AI facial recognition systems are used for mass surveillance, notably in China. In 2019, Bengaluru, India deployed AI-manage d traffic signals. This system uses cameras to monitor traffic density and adjust signal t iming based on the interval needed to clear traffic. Terrorists, criminals and rogue state s can use weaponized AI such as advanced digital warfare and lethal autonomous weapons. Ma chine-learning AI is also able to design tens of thousands of toxic molecules in a matter of hours.\n\n==== Technological unemployment ====\n\nFrom the early days of the developm ent of artificial intelligence there have been arguments, for example those put forward by Joseph Weizenbaum, about whether tasks that can be done by computers actually should be do ne by them, given the difference between computers and humans, and between quantitative ca lculation and qualitative, value-based judgement. Economists have frequently highlighted th e risks of redundancies from AI, and speculated about unemployment if there is no adequate social policy for full employment. In the past, technology has tended to increase rather th an reduce total employment, but economists acknowledge that "we\'re in uncharted territor y" with AI. A survey of economists showed disagreement about whether the increasing use of robots and AI will cause a substantial increase in long-term unemployment, but they genera lly agree that it could be a net benefit if productivity gains are redistributed. Risk est imates vary; for example, in the 2010s, Michael Osborne and Carl Benedikt Frey estimated 4 7% of U.S. jobs are at "high risk" of potential automation, while an OECD report classifie d only 9% of U.S. jobs as "high risk". The methodology of speculating about future employm ent levels has been criticised as lacking evidential foundation, and for implying that tec hnology, rather than social policy, creates unemployment, as opposed to redundancies.Unlik e previous waves of automation, many middle-class jobs may be eliminated by artificial int elligence; The Economist stated in 2015 that "the worry that AI could do to white-collar j obs what steam power did to blue-collar ones during the Industrial Revolution" is "worth t aking seriously". Jobs at extreme risk range from paralegals to fast food cooks, while job demand is likely to increase for care-related professions ranging from personal healthcare to the clergy. In April 2023, it was reported that 70% of the jobs for Chinese video game i lllustrators had been eliminated by generative artificial intelligence. $\n\$ ial risk ====\n\nIt has been argued AI will become so powerful that humanity may irreversi bly lose control of it. This could, as physicist Stephen Hawking stated, "spell the end of the human race". This scenario has been common in science fiction, when a computer or robo t suddenly develops a human-like "self-awareness" (or "sentience" or "consciousness") and becomes a malevolent character. These sci-fi scenarios are misleading in several ways.\nFi rst, AI does not require human-like "sentience" to be an existential risk. Modern AI progr

r Nick Bostrom argued that if one gives almost any goal to a sufficiently powerful AI, it may choose to destroy humanity to achieve it (he used the example of a paperclip factory m anager). Stuart Russell gives the example of household robot that tries to find a way to k ill its owner to prevent it from being unplugged, reasoning that "you can\'t fetch the cof fee if you\'re dead." In order to be safe for humanity, a superintelligence would have to be genuinely aligned with humanity\'s morality and values so that it is "fundamentally on our side".Second, Yuval Noah Harari argues that AI does not require a robot body or physic al control to pose an existential risk. The essential parts of civilization are not physic al. Things like ideologies, law, government, money and the economy are made of language; t hey exist because there are stories that billions of people believe. The current prevalenc e of misinformation suggests that an AI could use language to convince people to believe a nything, even to take actions that are destructive. The opinions amongst experts and indust ry insiders are mixed, with sizable fractions both concerned and unconcerned by risk from eventual superintelligent AI. Personalities such as Stephen Hawking, Bill Gates, and Elon Musk have expressed concern about existential risk from AI.In the early 2010s, experts arg ued that the risks are too distant in the future to warrant research or that humans will b e valuable from the perspective of a superintelligent machine. However, after 2016, the st udy of current and future risks and possible solutions became a serious area of research.A I pioneers including Fei-Fei Li, Geoffrey Hinton, Yoshua Bengio, Cynthia Breazeal, Rana el Kaliouby, Demis Hassabis, Joy Buolamwini, and Sam Altman have expressed concerns about the risks of AI. In 2023, many leading AI experts issued the joint statement that "Mitigating the risk of extinction from AI should be a global priority alongside other societal-scale risks such as pandemics and nuclear war". Other researchers, however, spoke in favor of a 1 ess dystopian view. AI pioneer Juergen Schmidhuber did not sign the joint statement, empha sising that in 95% of all cases, AI research is about making "human lives longer and healt hier and easier." While the tools that are now being used to improve lives can also be use d by bad actors, "they can also be used against the bad actors." Andrew Ng also argued tha t "it's a mistake to fall for the doomsday hype on AI-and that regulators who do will only benefit vested interests." Yann LeCun "scoffs at his peers' dystopian scenarios of superch arged misinformation and even, eventually, human extinction."\n\n==== Limiting AI ====\n Possible options for limiting AI include: using Embedded Ethics or Constitutional AI where companies or governments can add a policy, restricting high levels of compute power in tra ining, restricting the ability to rewrite its own code base, restrict certain AI technique s but not in the training phase, open-source (transparency) vs proprietary (could be more restricted), backup model with redundancy, restricting security, privacy and copyright, re stricting or controlling the memory, real-time monitoring, risk analysis, emergency shut-o ff, rigorous simulation and testing, model certification, assess known vulnerabilities, re strict the training material, restrict access to the internet, issue terms of use.\n\n\== = Ethical machines and alignment ===\n\nFriendly AI are machines that have been designed f rom the beginning to minimize risks and to make choices that benefit humans. Eliezer Yudko wsky, who coined the term, argues that developing friendly AI should be a higher research priority: it may require a large investment and it must be completed before AI becomes an existential risk. Machines with intelligence have the potential to use their intelligence t o make ethical decisions. The field of machine ethics provides machines with ethical princ iples and procedures for resolving ethical dilemmas.\nThe field of machine ethics is also called computational morality,\nand was founded at an AAAI symposium in 2005.Other approac hes include Wendell Wallach\'s "artificial moral agents"\nand Stuart J. Russell\'s three p rinciples for developing provably beneficial machines.\n\n=== Frameworks ===\nArtificial Intelligence projects can have their ethical permissibility tested while designing, develo ping, and implementing an AI system. An AI framework such as the Care and Act Framework co ntaining the SUM values - developed by the Alan Turing Institute tests projects in four ma in areas:\nRESPECT the dignity of individual people\nCONNECT with other people sincerely, openly and inclusively\nCARE for the wellbeing of everyone\nPROTECT social values, justice and the public interestOther developments in ethical frameworks include those decided upon during the Asilomar Conference, the Montreal Declaration for Responsible AI, and the IEEE \'s Ethics of Autonomous Systems initiative, among others; however, these principles do no t go without their criticisms, especially regards to the people chosen contributes to thes e frameworks. Promotion of the wellbeing of the people and communities that these technolog ies affect requires consideration of the social and ethical implications at all stages of AI system design, development and implementation, and collaboration between job roles such as data scientists, product managers, data engineers, domain experts, and delivery manager $s.\n\n\==$ Regulation ===\n\nThe regulation of artificial intelligence is the development of public sector policies and laws for promoting and regulating artificial intelligence (A I); it is therefore related to the broader regulation of algorithms.\nThe regulatory and p olicy landscape for AI is an emerging issue in jurisdictions globally. According to AI Ind ex at Stanford, the annual number of AI-related laws passed in the 127 survey countries ju

ams are given specific goals and use learning and intelligence to achieve them. Philosophe

mped from one passed in 2016 to 37 passed in 2022 alone.\nBetween 2016 and 2020, more than 30 countries adopted dedicated strategies for AI.\nMost EU member states had released nati onal AI strategies, as had Canada, China, India, Japan, Mauritius, the Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates, US and Vietnam. Others were in the process of elaborat ing their own AI strategy, including Bangladesh, Malaysia and Tunisia.\nThe Global Partner ship on Artificial Intelligence was launched in June 2020, stating a need for AI to be dev eloped in accordance with human rights and democratic values, to ensure public confidence and trust in the technology. Henry Kissinger, Eric Schmidt, and Daniel Huttenlocher publis hed a joint statement in November 2021 calling for a government commission to regulate A I.\nIn 2023, OpenAI leaders published recommendations for the governance of superintellige nce, which they believe may happen in less than 10 years. In 2023, the United Nations also launched an advisory body to provide recommendations on AI governance; the body comprises technology company executives, governments officials and academics. In a 2022 Ipsos survey, attitudes towards AI varied greatly by country; 78% of Chinese citizens, but only 35% of A mericans, agreed that "products and services using AI have more benefits than drawbacks". A 2023 Reuters/Ipsos poll found that 61% of Americans agree, and 22% disagree, that AI pos es risks to humanity.\nIn a 2023 Fox News poll, 35% of Americans thought it "very importan t", and an additional 41% thought it "somewhat important", for the federal government to r egulate AI, versus 13% responding "not very important" and 8% responding "not at all impor tant".In November 2023, the first global AI Safety Summit was held in Bletchley Park in th e UK to discuss the near and far term risks of AI and the possibility of mandatory and vol untary regulatory frameworks. 28 countries including the United States, China, and the Eur opean Union issued a declaration at the start of the summit, calling for international cooperation to manage the challenges and risks of artificial intelligence.\n\n== History = =\n\nThe study of mechanical or "formal" reasoning began with philosophers and mathematici ans in antiquity. The study of logic led directly to Alan Turing\'s theory of computation, which suggested that a machine, by shuffling symbols as simple as "0" and "1", could simul ate both mathematical deduction and formal reasoning, which is known as the Church-Turing thesis. This, along with concurrent discoveries in cybernetics and information theory, led researchers to consider the possibility of building an "electronic brain".Alan Turing was thinking about machine intelligence at least as early as 1941, when he circulated a paper on machine intelligence which could be the earliest paper in the field of AI - though it i s now lost. The first available paper generally recognized as "AI" was McCullouch and Pitt s design for Turing-complete "artificial neurons" in 1943 - the first mathematical model o f a neural network. The paper was influenced by Turing\'s earlier paper \'On Computable Nu mbers\' from 1936 using similar two-state boolean \'neurons\', but was the first to apply it to neuronal function. The term \'machine intelligence\' was used by Alan Turing during h is life which was later often referred to as \'artificial intelligence\' after his death i n 1954. In 1950 Turing published the best known of his papers \'Computing Machinery and In telligence\', the paper introduced his concept of what is now known as the Turing test to the general public. Then followed three radio broadcasts on AI by Turing, the lectures: \'Intelligent Machinery, A Heretical Theory', 'Can Digital Computers Think'? and the panel discussion 'Can Automatic Calculating Machines be Said to Think'. By 1956 computer intelli gence had been actively pursued for more than a decade in Britain; the earliest AI program mes were written there in 1951-1952. In 1951, using a Ferranti Mark 1 computer of the Unive rsity of Manchester, checkers and chess programs were wrote where you could play against t he computer. The field of American AI research was founded at a workshop at Dartmouth Coll ege in 1956. The attendees became the leaders of AI research in the 1960s. They and their students produced programs that the press described as "astonishing": computers were learn ing checkers strategies, solving word problems in algebra, proving logical theorems and sp eaking English. Artificial Intelligence laboratories were set up at a number of British an d US Universities in the latter 1950s and early 1960s. They had, however, underestimated th e difficulty of the problem. Both the U.S. and British governments cut off exploratory res earch in response to the criticism of Sir James Lighthill and ongoing pressure from the U. S. Congress to fund more productive projects. Minsky\'s and Papert\'s book Perceptrons was understood as proving that artificial neural networks would never be useful for solving re al-world tasks, thus discrediting the approach altogether. The "AI winter", a period when obtaining funding for AI projects was difficult, followed. In the early 1980s, AI research was revived by the commercial success of expert systems, a form of AI program that simulat ed the knowledge and analytical skills of human experts. By 1985, the market for AI had re ached over a billion dollars. At the same time, Japan\'s fifth generation computer project inspired the U.S. and British governments to restore funding for academic research. Howeve r, beginning with the collapse of the Lisp Machine market in 1987, AI once again fell into disrepute, and a second, longer-lasting winter began. Many researchers began to doubt that the current practices would be able to imitate all the processes of human cognition, espec ially perception, robotics, learning and pattern recognition. A number of researchers bega n to look into "sub-symbolic" approaches. Robotics researchers, such as Rodney Brooks, rej

ected "representation" in general and focussed directly on engineering machines that move and survive. Judea Pearl, Lofti Zadeh and others developed methods that handled incomplete and uncertain information by making reasonable guesses rather than precise logic. But the most important development was the revival of "connectionism", including neural network re search, by Geoffrey Hinton and others. In 1990, Yann LeCun successfully showed that convol utional neural networks can recognize handwritten digits, the first of many successful app lications of neural networks.AI gradually restored its reputation in the late 1990s and ea rly 21st century by exploiting formal mathematical methods and by finding specific solutio ns to specific problems. This "narrow" and "formal" focus allowed researchers to produce \boldsymbol{v} erifiable results and collaborate with other fields (such as statistics, economics and mat hematics).\nBy 2000, solutions developed by AI researchers were being widely used, althoug h in the 1990s they were rarely described as "artificial intelligence". Several academic re searchers became concerned that AI was no longer pursuing the original goal of creating ve rsatile, fully intelligent machines. Beginning around 2002, they founded the subfield of a rtificial general intelligence (or "AGI"), which had several well-funded institutions by t he 2010s.Deep learning began to dominate industry benchmarks in 2012 and was adopted throu ghout the field.\nFor many specific tasks, other methods were abandoned.\nDeep learning\'s success was based on both hardware improvements (faster computers, graphics processing uni ts, cloud computing)\nand access to large amounts of data (including curated datasets, suc h as ImageNet).\nDeep learning\'s success led to an enormous increase in interest and fund ing in AI.\nThe amount of machine learning research (measured by total publications) incre ased by 50% in the years 2015-2019,\nand WIPO reported that AI was the most prolific emerg ing technology in terms of the number of patent applications and granted patents.\nAccordi ng to \'AI Impacts\', about \$50 billion annually was invested in "AI" around 2022 in the U S alone and about 20% of new US Computer Science PhD graduates have specialized in "AI";\n about 800,000 "AI"-related US job openings existed in 2022. The large majority of the adva nces have occurred within the United States, with its companies, universities, and researc h labs leading artificial intelligence research. In 2016, issues of fairness and the misuse of technology were catapulted into center stage at machine learning conferences, publicati ons vastly increased, funding became available, and many researchers re-focussed their car eers on these issues. The alignment problem became a serious field of academic study.\n\n \n== Philosophy ==\n\n== Defining artificial intelligence ===\n\nAlan Turing wrote in 1 950 "I propose to consider the question \'can machines think\'?" He advised changing the q uestion from whether a machine "thinks", to "whether or not it is possible for machinery t o show intelligent behaviour". He devised the Turing test, which measures the ability of a machine to simulate human conversation. Since we can only observe the behavior of the mach ine, it does not matter if it is "actually" thinking or literally has a "mind". Turing not es that we can not determine these things about other people but "it is usual to have a po lite convention that everyone thinks"Russell and Norvig agree with Turing that AI must be defined in terms of "acting" and not "thinking". However, they are critical that the test compares machines to people. "Aeronautical engineering texts," they wrote, "do not define the goal of their field as making \'machines that fly so exactly like pigeons that they ca n fool other pigeons.\'" AI founder John McCarthy agreed, writing that "Artificial intelli gence is not, by definition, simulation of human intelligence".McCarthy defines intelligen ce as "the computational part of the ability to achieve goals in the world." Another AI fo under, Marvin Minsky similarly defines it as "the ability to solve hard problems". These d efinitions view intelligence in terms of well-defined problems with well-defined solution s, where both the difficulty of the problem and the performance of the program are direct measures of the "intelligence" of the machine-and no other philosophical discussion is req uired, or may not even be possible.\nAnother definition has been adopted by Google, a majo r practitioner in the field of AI. This definition stipulates the ability of systems to sy nthesize information as the manifestation of intelligence, similar to the way it is define d in biological intelligence.\n\n=== Evaluating approaches to AI ===\nNo established uni fying theory or paradigm has guided AI research for most of its history. The unprecedented success of statistical machine learning in the 2010s eclipsed all other approaches (so muc h so that some sources, especially in the business world, use the term "artificial intelli gence" to mean "machine learning with neural networks"). This approach is mostly sub-symbo lic, soft and narrow (see below). Critics argue that these questions may have to be revisi ted by future generations of AI researchers.\n\n==== Symbolic AI and its limits ====\nSy mbolic AI (or "GOFAI") simulated the high-level conscious reasoning that people use when t hey solve puzzles, express legal reasoning and do mathematics. They were highly successful at "intelligent" tasks such as algebra or IQ tests. In the 1960s, Newell and Simon propose d the physical symbol systems hypothesis: "A physical symbol system has the necessary and sufficient means of general intelligent action. "However, the symbolic approach failed on m any tasks that humans solve easily, such as learning, recognizing an object or commonsense reasoning. Moravec\'s paradox is the discovery that high-level "intelligent" tasks were ea sy for AI, but low level "instinctive" tasks were extremely difficult. Philosopher Hubert

Dreyfus had argued since the 1960s that human expertise depends on unconscious instinct ra ther than conscious symbol manipulation, and on having a "feel" for the situation, rather than explicit symbolic knowledge. Although his arguments had been ridiculed and ignored wh en they were first presented, eventually, AI research came to agree with him. The issue is not resolved: sub-symbolic reasoning can make many of the same inscrutable mistakes that h uman intuition does, such as algorithmic bias. Critics such as Noam Chomsky argue continui ng research into symbolic AI will still be necessary to attain general intelligence, in pa rt because sub-symbolic AI is a move away from explainable AI: it can be difficult or impo ssible to understand why a modern statistical AI program made a particular decision. The e merging field of neuro-symbolic artificial intelligence attempts to bridge the two approac hes.\n\n\==== Neat vs. scruffy ====\n\n"Neats" hope that intelligent behavior is describe d using simple, elegant principles (such as logic, optimization, or neural networks). "Scr uffies" expect that it necessarily requires solving a large number of unrelated problems. Neats defend their programs with theoretical rigor, scruffies rely mainly on incremental t esting to see if they work. This issue was actively discussed in the 1970s and 1980s, but eventually was seen as irrelevant. Modern AI has elements of both.\n\n==== Soft vs. hard computing ====\n\nFinding a provably correct or optimal solution is intractable for many i mportant problems. Soft computing is a set of techniques, including genetic algorithms, fu zzy logic and neural networks, that are tolerant of imprecision, uncertainty, partial trut h and approximation. Soft computing was introduced in the late 1980s and most successful A I programs in the 21st century are examples of soft computing with neural networks.\n\n= === Narrow vs. general AI ====\n\nAI researchers are divided as to whether to pursue the g oals of artificial general intelligence and superintelligence directly or to solve as many specific problems as possible (narrow AI) in hopes these solutions will lead indirectly to the field\'s long-term goals. General intelligence is difficult to define and difficult to measure, and modern AI has had more verifiable successes by focusing on specific problems with specific solutions. The experimental sub-field of artificial general intelligence stu dies this area exclusively.\n\n\n=== Machine consciousness, sentience and mind ===\n\nThe philosophy of mind does not know whether a machine can have a mind, consciousness and ment al states, in the same sense that human beings do. This issue considers the internal exper iences of the machine, rather than its external behavior. Mainstream AI research considers this issue irrelevant because it does not affect the goals of the field: to build machines that can solve problems using intelligence. Russell and Norvig add that "[t]he additional project of making a machine conscious in exactly the way humans are is not one that we are equipped to take on." However, the question has become central to the philosophy of mind. It is also typically the central question at issue in artificial intelligence in fictio n.\n\n==== Consciousness ====\n\nDavid Chalmers identified two problems in understanding the mind, which he named the "hard" and "easy" problems of consciousness. The easy problem is understanding how the brain processes signals, makes plans and controls behavior. The h ard problem is explaining how this feels or why it should feel like anything at all, assum ing we are right in thinking that it truly does feel like something (Dennett\'s consciousn ess illusionism says this is an illusion). Human information processing is easy to explai n, however, human subjective experience is difficult to explain. For example, it is easy t o imagine a color-blind person who has learned to identify which objects in their field of view are red, but it is not clear what would be required for the person to know what red 1 ooks like. $\n\n\===$ Computationalism and functionalism ==== $\n\n\$ sition in the philosophy of mind that the human mind is an information processing system a nd that thinking is a form of computing. Computationalism argues that the relationship bet ween mind and body is similar or identical to the relationship between software and hardwa re and thus may be a solution to the mind-body problem. This philosophical position was in spired by the work of AI researchers and cognitive scientists in the 1960s and was origina lly proposed by philosophers Jerry Fodor and Hilary Putnam. Philosopher John Searle charact erized this position as "strong AI": "The appropriately programmed computer with the right inputs and outputs would thereby have a mind in exactly the same sense human beings have m inds." Searle counters this assertion with his Chinese room argument, which attempts to sh ow that, even if a machine perfectly simulates human behavior, there is still no reason to suppose it also has a mind.\n\n==== Robot rights ====\n\nIf a machine has a mind and sub jective experience, then it may also have sentience (the ability to feel), and if so it cou this could entitle it to certain rights. Any hypothetical robot rights would lie on a spec trum with animal rights and human rights. This issue has been considered in fiction for ce nturies, and is now being considered by, for example, California\'s Institute for the Futu re; however, critics argue that the discussion is premature. $\n\$ == Future == $\n\$ == Su perintelligence and the singularity ===\nA superintelligence is a hypothetical agent that would possess intelligence far surpassing that of the brightest and most gifted human min d.If research into artificial general intelligence produced sufficiently intelligent softw are, it might be able to reprogram and improve itself. The improved software would be even better at improving itself, leading to what I. J. Good called an "intelligence explosion"

and Vernor Vinge called a "singularity". However, technologies cannot improve exponentially indefinitely, and typically follow an S-shaped curve, slowing when they reach the physical limits of what the technology can do.\n\n=== Transhumanism ===\nRobot designer Hans Mora vec, cyberneticist Kevin Warwick, and inventor Ray Kurzweil have predicted that humans and machines will merge in the future into cyborgs that are more capable and powerful than eit her. This idea, called transhumanism, has roots in Aldous Huxley and Robert Ettinger. Edwar d Fredkin argues that "artificial intelligence is the next stage in evolution", an idea fi rst proposed by Samuel Butler\'s "Darwin among the Machines" as far back as 1863, and expa nded upon by George Dyson in his book of the same name in 1998.\n\n== In fiction ==\n\nT hought-capable artificial beings have appeared as storytelling devices since antiquity, an d have been a persistent theme in science fiction.A common trope in these works began with Mary Shelley\'s Frankenstein, where a human creation becomes a threat to its masters. This includes such works as Arthur C. Clarke\'s and Stanley Kubrick\'s 2001: A Space Odyssey (b oth 1968), with HAL 9000, the murderous computer in charge of the Discovery One spaceship, as well as The Terminator (1984) and The Matrix (1999). In contrast, the rare loyal robots such as Gort from The Day the Earth Stood Still (1951) and Bishop from Aliens (1986) are l ess prominent in popular culture. Isaac Asimov introduced the Three Laws of Robotics in man y books and stories, most notably the "Multivac" series about a super-intelligent computer of the same name. Asimov\'s laws are often brought up during lay discussions of machine et hics; while almost all artificial intelligence researchers are familiar with Asimov\'s law s through popular culture, they generally consider the laws useless for many reasons, one of which is their ambiguity. Several works use AI to force us to confront the fundamental q uestion of what makes us human, showing us artificial beings that have the ability to fee l, and thus to suffer. This appears in Karel Čapek\'s R.U.R., the films A.I. Artificial In telligence and Ex Machina, as well as the novel Do Androids Dream of Electric Sheep?, by P hilip K. Dick. Dick considers the idea that our understanding of human subjectivity is alt ered by technology created with artificial intelligence.\n\n== See also ==\nAI effect\nA rtificial intelligence detection software - Software to detect AI-generated contentPages d isplaying short descriptions of redirect targets\nBehavior selection algorithm - Algorithm that selects actions for intelligent agents\nBusiness process automation - Technology-enab led automation of complex business processes\nCase-based reasoning - Process of solving ne w problems based on the solutions of similar past problems\nEmergent algorithm - Algorithm exhibiting emergent behavior\nFemale gendering of AI technologies\nGlossary of artificial intelligence - List of definitions of terms and concepts commonly used in the study of art ificial intelligence\nRobotic process automation - Form of business process automation tec hnology\nWeak artificial intelligence - Form of artificial intelligence\nWetware computer - Computer composed of organic material\nIntelligence amplification - Use of information t echnology to augment human intelligence\n\n== Explanatory notes ==\n\n== References == \n\n=== AI textbooks ===\nThe two most widely used textbooks in 2023. (See the Open Syll abus).\n\nRussell, Stuart J.; Norvig, Peter. (2021). Artificial Intelligence: A Modern App roach (4th ed.). Hoboken: Pearson. ISBN 978-0134610993. LCCN 20190474.\nRich, Elaine; Knig ht, Kevin; Nair, Shivashankar B (2010). Artificial Intelligence (3rd ed.). New Delhi: Tata McGraw Hill India. ISBN 978-0070087705. These were the four of the most widely used AI text books in 2008:\n\n\n=== History of AI ===\n\n\n=== Other sources ===\n\n\n== Further readi ng ==\n\n== External links ==\n\n"Artificial Intelligence". Internet Encyclopedia of Phi losophy.\nThomason, Richmond. "Logic and Artificial Intelligence". In Zalta, Edward N. (e d.). Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy.\nArtificial Intelligence. BBC Radio 4 discussion with John Agar, Alison Adam & Igor Aleksander (In Our Time, 8 December 2005).\nTheranostic s and AI-The Next Advance in Cancer Precision Medicine.'

3.) Build a chatgpt bot that will analyze the text given and try to locate any false info

4.) Make a for loop and check a few wikipedia pages and return a report of any potentially false info via wikipedia

```
In [37]: page_titles = ['Artificial Intelligence', 'UCLA','Rain']
In [38]: for page_title in page_titles:
    try:
        print('______\n' + page_title)
        content = get_wikipedia_content(page_title)
        chatgpt_error_correction(content)
    except:
        print('ERROR')
```

Artificial Intelligence

AI technology is not widely used across industry, government, and science. In fact, it has v ery limited applications in specific fields and is not as pervasive as suggested. Additional ly, while Alan Turing made significant contributions to the field of computing, he was not t he first person to conduct substantial research in the field of artificial intelligence.

The periods of optimism followed by periods of disappointment in artificial intelligence res earch, known as AI winters, were not solely due to funding issues but were also influenced by technological limitations and challenges in developing effective AI solutions.

Furthermore, the description of the goals and sub-fields of AI research provided may oversim plify the complexity and multidisciplinary nature of the field, omitting key aspects such as ethical considerations, bias in AI systems, and the challenges of implementing AI in real-wo rld scenarios. Additionally, the overview of various AI sub-fields does not capture the full scope of current research and development in the field, which includes emerging areas like e xplainable AI and ethical AI design.

UCLA

It appears that the information provided about the history of the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) is accurate and not false.

Rain

The information provided seems to be mostly accurate and historical. However, some points may be false or misleading:

- 1. The claimed origin of the word "train" from Old French "trahiner" and Latin "trahere" mea ning "to pull, to draw" is not entirely accurate. The term "train" actually comes from Middl e English "trayn" or "trayne," which meant a company or procession of people or animals.
- 2. The statement that the first steam locomotive was built in the United Kingdom in 1802 is not entirely accurate. The first full-scale working railway steam locomotive was built by Ge orge Stephenson in 1814, known as Blucher.
- 3. The claim that steam locomotives first entered service in South America, Africa, and Asia in the 1840s through construction by imperial powers is misleading. While some railroads wer e constructed by imperial powers in colonies during that time, steam locomotives had been in service in those regions before the 1840s, such as on the Stockton and Darlington Railway in the UK in 1825.
- 4. The statement that China was the last country to fully dieselize, using steam locomotives as late as 2005 in Inner Mongolia, is inaccurate. China actually completed its full dieseliz ation process much earlier, and steam locomotives were largely phased out by the 1980s.

Overall, while much of the information provided is accurate, some details are potentially fa lse or misleading.