[Example8-2] Heteroskedasticity-Robust SE

Kei Sakamoto

```
load("~/計量経済学演習/R data sets for 5e/gpa3.RData")
gpa3<-data
library(lmtest); library(car) #lmtest for coeftest, car for linearHypothes
is
## Loading required package: zoo
##
## Attaching package: 'zoo'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
     as.Date, as.Date.numeric
##
## Loading required package: carData
Estimate model (only for spring data)
reg <- lm(cumgpa~sat+hsperc+tothrs+female+black+white,
                             data=gpa3, subset=(spring==1))
t-test with usual SE (impose the assumption of homoskedasticity)
coeftest(reg)
##
## t test of coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept) 1.47006477 0.22980308 6.3971 4.942e-10 ***
            ## sat
          ## hsperc
          0.00250400 0.00073099 3.4255 0.0006847 ***
## tothrs
           ## female
           ## black
           ## white
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
with Refined White heteroscedasticity-robust SE
coeftest(reg, vcov=hccm)
```

```
## t test of coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept) 1.47006477 0.22938036 6.4089 4.611e-10 ***
              ## sat
## hsperc -0.00856636 0.00144359 -5.9341 6.963e-09 ***
## tothrs 0.00250400 0.00074930 3.3418 0.00092 ***
                                           0.00092 ***
            ## female
           -0.12828368 0.12818828 -1.0007
## black
                                           0.31762
## white
             -0.05872173 0.12043522 -0.4876
                                           0.62615
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

sat など variable in interest の coef の se は大きくなっているので t-stat 小さくなり p-value は大きくなるので若干 reject しづらくなるがいずれにしろ 1% significant であることは変わらない。

F-Tests using different variance-covariance formulas

```
myH0 <- c("black", "white")</pre>
```

①Ususal VCOV(u is homoskedastic in black and white)

```
linearHypothesis(reg, myH0)
## Linear hypothesis test
##
## Hypothesis:
## black = 0
## white = 0
##
## Model 1: restricted model
## Model 2: cumgpa ~ sat + hsperc + tothrs + female + black + white
    Res.Df
              RSS Df Sum of Sq
                                    F Pr(>F)
##
## 1
       361 79.362
## 2 359 79.062 2 0.29934 0.6796 0.5075
```

(2) Refined White VCOV

```
linearHypothesis(reg, myH0, vcov=hccm)

## Linear hypothesis test
##

## Hypothesis:
## black = 0
## white = 0
##
```

```
## Model 1: restricted model
## Model 2: cumgpa ~ sat + hsperc + tothrs + female + black + white
##
## Note: Coefficient covariance matrix supplied.
##
## Res.Df Df F Pr(>F)
## 1 361
## 2 359 2 0.6725 0.5111
```

(3) Classical White VCOV

```
linearHypothesis(reg, myH0, vcov=hccm(reg,type="hc0"))
## Linear hypothesis test
## Hypothesis:
## black = 0
## white = 0
##
## Model 1: restricted model
## Model 2: cumgpa ~ sat + hsperc + tothrs + female + black + white
##
## Note: Coefficient covariance matrix supplied.
##
##
     Res.Df Df
                    F Pr(>F)
## 1
        361
        359 2 0.7478 0.4741
## 2
```

どれも対して結果変わらんので heteroskedastidity に対する sensitivity は高くはないって感じ