

1 Overview

- CFGs are a list of rules that describe which sentences are valid within our language.
 - On the left hand side;
 - * there will always be a single non-terminal
 - declaration
 - statement
 - expression
 - On the right hand side;
 - * there will always be an expression that describes a valid form the non-terminal will take.
-

2 An Example Rule

- We know that a CFG is merely a list of rules. In order to better understand CFGs let us examine the form of one such rule:
 - $A \rightarrow xXy|\epsilon$
 - A represents our non-terminal (declaration, statement, or expression)
 - \rightarrow is equivalent to "can take the form of"
 - x and y are terminal since they are lowercase
 - X is non-terminal as it is represented by a uppercase letter
 - $|$ is equal to "or" and ϵ is equivalent to nothing or null.
-

3 An Example CFG

- Remember, our first rule is special in that it represents the top level definition of what a valid program is in our language.
 - This is what a abstract CFG looks like:

1.	P	\rightarrow	E
2.	E	\rightarrow	$E + E$
3.	E	\rightarrow	<i>Identifier</i>
4.	E	\rightarrow	<i>Int</i>

- There is however a problem with this above CFG. If we look closer at a use case we will see this clearly.
-

4 CFG Ambiguity

- Now we will examine what ambiguity is in the context of CFGs and why it is to be avoided.
 - Let us try to parse a sentence using our grammar to see what is going wrong.
 - * Our target sentence will be:
 - *Identifier + Int + Int*
 - We will now apply rules until we reach this sentence as shown below: