Comparison

March 14, 2019

0.1 Comparison between Lambert Beers Law and Transfer Matrix absorption and their effects in a two temperature model

In this file the absorption calculated with an exponential decay, according to Lambert Beers law and the local absorption evaluated, using the Transfer Matrix Method are being compared within the framework of a two temperature model calculation originally proposed by Anisimov et al. but more recently used by J. Hohlfeld et al. and D. Schick et al. among others. The sample case under consideration is:

	Layer	length	refrac	tive index	optical pe	enetration depth
Platinum		3nm l	ayer	n = 1.0433	+ i3.0855	18 nm
Cobalt		15nm	layer	n = 1.0454	+ i3.2169	10 nm
Chromium	ı	5nm l	ayer	n = 2.0150	+ i2.8488	10 nm
Magnesiur	n Oxide	inf-la	yer	n = 1.7660		inf

The procedure of this session is to: * Import all the packages needed available at LocalAbsorption and TTMObject to run the code * Run a local absorption computation to obtain the local absorption profile of the layer (This is just done for reference, in fact the local absorption module is also implemented in the TMMObject package.)

• Run different simulations with the TTMObject, changing the input source from a Lambert Beer description to a Transfer Matrix description of the absorption.

Dependecies: Numpy; Matplotlib B-splines; Progressbar; Numerical Units

#polarization

= 'p'

pol

Based on the suggestions made by Steven J. Byrnes the total absorption, reflection and transmission *A*, *R*, *T* are calculated based on the idea of transfer matrices, where the refractive index, the incident angle and the length of every layer are taken into consideration. The parameters *A*, *R*, *T* are normalized with respect to the incident power.

The results obtained have been compared with Steven J. Byrnes- Sample 4 and to results obtained by calculations, executed via COMSOL Multiphysics, where we find that the simulation done in 1D here matches almost exactly with a 2D simulation done with COMSOL Multiphysics where each layer attributes were extended homogeneously on to one more degree of freedom. Hence our 1D model is a good approximation even to a higher dimensional case.

```
In [3]: plotpoints
                                     = 500
        [M,M_n,t,r,T,R,A,theta]
                                     = atmm.TM(th0,lam0,n_list,d_list,pol)
                                     = atmm.absorption(th0,lam0,n_list,d_list,pol,plotpoints)
        [absorp,grid]
In [4]: print(f"Total transmission at the end \{T:.2\}\n"
              f"Total reflectance at the first layer {R:.2}\n"
              f"Total Absortpin at the entire material \{A:.2\}")
Total transmission at the end 0.14
Total reflectance at the first layer 0.51
Total Absortpin at the entire material 0.36
In [5]: #--> Result obtained by calling the function absorption()
        plt.figure()
        plt.suptitle('Local absorbtion profile of multi layer thin film', fontsize=12)
        plt.title(r"$\lambda=400$nm, $\theta_0=45^r\footnote{s}, polarization =\footnote{s}, total Absorbtion = {:
                  .format(np.round(A,2)),fontsize=10)
        plt.xlabel("Distance from surface (nm)");
        plt.ylabel(r"Absorbtion per unit incident power $\left(\frac{1}{nm}\right)$")
        plt.plot(grid,absorp)
        plt.show()
```