Group project

Question 1

- a) Decision Tree
- · Create an instance of spark decision tree class, Pass 2 args, input file and output dir

```
public static void main(String[] args) {
  new SparkDecisionTree().run(args[0], args[1]);
}
```

 Create a spark session and java spark context which we will later use to write our results to the Hadoop file system.

```
SparkSession spark = SparkSession.builder()
   .appName(appName)
   .getOrCreate();
context = new JavaSparkContext(spark.sparkContext());
```

 Read the data into a spark data frame using a custom schema, which is constructed using the following method:

```
StructType buildSchema(int l) {
   StructField[] f = new StructField[l];
   for(int i = 0; i < l-1; i++) {
     f[i] = DataTypes.createStructField("_c" + i, DataTypes.DoubleType, true);
   }
   f[l-1] = DataTypes.createStructField("_c" + (l-1), DataTypes.StringType, true);
   return new StructType(f);
}</pre>
```

- The first 41 columns of the kdd dataset are set to be of type Double and the last column set to string. The last column specifies the categorical type, whether or not the connection was anomalous or normal (hence the string datatype).
- We one hot Encode the categorical variables to integers so that they can be used in the decision tree can elaborate here why- one hot encoding using the string indexer.

```
// Read and format the input dataset
Dataset<Row> kddData = spark.read().schema(buildSchema(42)).csv(dataFile);
Dataset<Row> indexed = new StringIndexer()
    .setInputCol("_c41")
    .setOutputCol("connection_type")
    .fit(kddData)
    .transform(kddData)
    .drop("_c41");
```

 Split the data into test/train 70/30, format the train and test set to be LabeledPoint where each output class has a corresponding set of features

```
// Split data 70% and 30%
Dataset<Row>[] split = indexed.randomSplit(new double[] {0.7, 0.3});
JavaRDD<Row> train = split[0].toJavaRDD();
JavaRDD<Row> test = split[1].toJavaRDD();

JavaRDD<LabeledPoint> trainlp = getLabeledPoints(train);
JavaRDD<LabeledPoint> testlp = getLabeledPoints(test);
```

 Create the decision tree model which uses the static method of the dt class to train a dt classifier on our training-split dataset

```
// Generate Model
Map<Integer, Integer> categoricalFeaturesInfo = new HashMap<>();
String impurity = "gini";
Integer maxDepth = 3;
Integer maxBins = 20;
final DecisionTreeModel model = DecisionTree.trainClassifier(trainlp, numClasses, categoricalFeaturesInfo, impurity, maxDepth, maxBins);
```

• We then need to verify the test set accuracy of the DT model. Therefore we apply the model to the test set and compare the predicted results versus the expected results.

```
JavaPairRDD<Double, Double> train_yHatToY = trainlp.mapToPair(p -> new Tuple2<>(model.predict(p.features()), p.label()));
double trainErr = train_yHatToY.filter(pl -> !pl._1().equals(pl._2())).count() / (double) trainlp.count();
double train_accuracy = 1 - trainErr;

JavaPairRDD<Double, Double> yHatToY = testlp.mapToPair(p -> new Tuple2<>(model.predict(p.features()), p.label()));
double testErr = yHatToY.filter(pl -> !pl._1().equals(pl._2())).count() / (double) testlp.count();
double accuracy = 1 - testErr;
```

We then write outputs to a file so that we are able to read them.

```
outputString += "Train Accuracy: " + train_accuracy + "\n";
outputString += "Train Error: " + trainErr + "\n";
outputString += "Test Accuracy: " + accuracy + "\n";
outputString += "Test Error: " + testErr + "\n";
outputString += "Duration: " + duration + "ms\n";
outputString += "Learned classification tree model:\n" + model.toDebugString() + "\n";
List<String> outputStrings = new ArrayList<String>();
outputStrings.add(outputString);

// Output results
JavaRDD<String> output = context.parallelize(outputStrings);
output.saveAsTextFile(outputDirectory);
}
```

#### **Logistic Regression**

#### **Logistic Regression**

• All program code runs within *main()* which takes three arguments – input directory (all files to process), output directory and random seed for training/test data split.

```
public static void main(String[] args) {
```

 Create list and schema used to store any results throughout the program that need to be saved to the specified output directory for later evaluation.

```
List<Row> lrModelResults = new LinkedList<Row>();
StructType lrResultsSchema = new StructType(new StructField[]{
    new StructField( name: "Result Description", DataTypes.StringType, nullable: false, Metadata.empty()),
    new StructField( name: "Result Value", DataTypes.StringType, nullable: false, Metadata.empty())
});
```

Create a spark session.

Read the KDD data from the specified input directory into lines (rows). Then map all lines to
 LabeledPoint objects, separating all data by comma, and into a double "features" vector, and class label
 (normal, anomaly – last feature in line), the latter being converted from string into a double - 0.0 and
 0.1, respectively, so it can be handled by the logistic regression model correctly. Lastly, creating a data
 frame used for further processing.

```
JavaRDD<String> lines = spark.read().textFile(args[0]).toJavaRDD();

JavaRDD<LabeledPoint> linesRDD = lines.map(line -> {
    String[] tokens = line.split( regex ","); // Comma separated
    double[] features = new double[tokens.length - 1];

for (int i = 0; i < features.length; i++) {
    features[i] = Double.parseDouble(tokens[i]);
    }

    Vector vectorFeatures = new DenseVector(features);

    if (tokens[features.length].equals("normal")) { // normal class label
        return new LabeledPoint( label: 0.0, vectorFeatures);
    }

    else { // anomaly class label
        return new LabeledPoint( label: 1.0, vectorFeatures);
    }
});

Dataset<Row> kddData = spark.createDataFrame(linesRDD, LabeledPoint.class);
```

• Split the data into a 70/30 test/train split using external input (3<sup>rd</sup> argument) as the random seed.

```
Dataset<Row>[] randomSplit = kddData.randomSplit(new double[]{0.7, 0.3}, Convert.toInteger(args[2]));
Dataset<Row> trainingData = randomSplit[0];
Dataset<Row> testData = randomSplit[1];
```

• Check if the dataset is imbalanced in terms of the class label we are trying to predict. If it is, it's worth knowing, as may need a strategy to accommodate the interpreting of results. In this case, it's well balanced.

```
double normalCount = trainingData.select( col: "label").where("label = 0").count();
double anomalyCount = trainingData.select( col: "label").where("label = 1").count();
```

Perform feature selection on the training data using the ChiSqSelector to see if we can have similar
model accuracy results using less features (top ranked), thus cutting down on computational cost. In
this case, the features were cut down to 30 from 41 to reach that balance point. Train/test data is
transformed for new selected features.

• Obtain the *LogisticRegressionModel* by fitting training data using the *LogisticRegression* classifier. To obtain an optimal threshold value for the model, all row F-measure values for the threshold are gathered and the maximum value is as the best threshold, which is updated for the model.

Both training and test sets are now transformed using the created model to perform prediction
estimations for the class label for each row. A *BinaryClassificationEvaluator* is then used to evaluate the
model accuracy, which is in this case is ROC Curve, and in particular the area under the curve (AUC) as a
percentage.

```
Dataset<Row> lrModelPredictionsTrain = logisticRegressionModel.transform(trainingData);
Dataset<Row> lrModelPredictionsTest = logisticRegressionModel.transform(testData);

BinaryClassificationEvaluator lrEvaluator = new BinaryClassificationEvaluator().setLabelCol("label").setRawPredictionCol("rawPrediction");
double lrModelAccuracyTrain = lrEvaluator.evaluate(lrModelPredictionsTrain);
double lrModelAccuracyTest = lrEvaluator.evaluate(lrModelPredictionsTest);

lrModelResults.add(RowFactory.create("Model test Error (AOC) for training data", Double.toString(lrModelAccuracyTrain)));
lrModelResults.add(RowFactory.create("Model test Error (AOC) for test data", Double.toString(lrModelAccuracyTest)));
```

• The model is then run a second time using cross-validation to tune the hyperparameters, in this case parameters of focus are elasticNet, fitIntercept, maxIterations, and regularisation (lambda) values. The model is then re-fit using CV with 3 folds to the training data to with the optimal values from the specified parameter grid.

• Using the new model, training and test sets are then transformed to make predictions, re-evaluated, AUC is written to the results buffer. All results gathered to the buffer are then written to the specified output directory within Hadoop file system (argument 2) as a CSV file for analysis.

```
Dataset<Row> cvModelPredictionsTrain = cvModel.transform(trainingData);

Dataset<Row> cvModelPredictionsTest = cvModel.transform(testData);

double cvModelAccuracyTrain = lrEvaluator.evaluate(cvModelPredictionsTrain);
double cvModelAccuracyTest = lrEvaluator.evaluate(cvModelPredictionsTest);

lrModelResults.add(RowFactory.create("CV tuned model test Error (AOC) for training data", Double.toString(cvModelAccuracyTrain)));
lrModelResults.add(RowFactory.create("CV tuned model test Error (AOC) for test data", Double.toString(cvModelAccuracyTest)));

Dataset<Row> lrResultsFile = spark.createDataFrame(lrModelResults, lrResultsSchema);
lrResultsFile.repartition(1).write().mode("append").format("csv").option("header", "true").save(args[1]);
```

## b) see the readme.txt file

c)

<b>Decision Tree</b>	Train Accuracy	Test Accuracy	Run Time
1	98.74%	98.26%	10917ms
2	99.06%	98.59%	10754ms
3	98.99%	98.31%	10718ms
4	98.89%	98.16%	11378ms
5	98.86%	98.25%	10800ms
6	99.02%	98.34%	10896ms
7	98.96%	98.36%	11026ms
8	99.06%	98.20%	11096ms
9	99.03%	98.36%	10882ms
10	98.76%	98.34%	11022ms

# Training:

Max	Min	Average	Standard Deviation
99.06%	98.74%	98.937%	0.119

### Test:

Max	Min	Average	Standard Deviation
98.59%	98.16%	98.317%%	0.118

Logistic Regression	Train Accuracy	Test Accuracy	Run Time
1	96.20%	95.95%	191998 ms
2	95.15%	96.00%	148349ms
3	96.14%	96.20%	177472ms
4	96.08%	96.33%	165486ms
5	96.11%	96.33%	183509ms
6	96.19%	96.23%	204778ms
7	96.07%	96.20%	192505ms
8	96.09%	96.21%	150541ms
9	96.17%	96.04%	184233ms
10	96.21%	96.08%	184398ms

# Training:


Max	Min	Average	Standard Deviation
96.21%	95.15%	96.041%	0.317

### Test:

Max	Min	Average	Standard Deviation
96.33%	95.95%	96.157%	0.133

Looking at all of the metrics, Max, Min, Average, Standard Deviation and Run Time, the decision tree outperforms the Logistic regression in every single one. The biggest difference can be noted in the run time. On average the run time for the decision tree was 10948.9 ms compared to 178326.9 ms from the Logistic Regression. This indicates that the Decision Tree is computationally cheaper and therefore far more efficient out of the two.

By visually exploring the data we can see that relationships between some features exhibit linearly separable characteristics. This is illustrated in the graph below:



This is why we speculate that the accuracy is so high in the decision tree algorithm as we are able to determine, using simple rules, which class the connection type belongs to - anomaly or normal connection.