

1. R-4.8, p. 182

Order the following functions by asymptotic growth rate.

$4n \log n + 2n$ ,  $2^{10}$ ,  $2^{\log n}$ ,  
 $3n + 100 \log n$ ,  $4n$ ,  $2^n$ ,  
 $n^2 + 10n$ ,  $n^3$ ,  $n \log n$

$2^{10}$  - is  $O(1)$ , constant runtime.  
 $2^{\log n}$  - is  $n$ , due to the rules of logarithms.  $n$  is  $O(n)$ ,  
which is comparatively slower than  $O(1)$   
 $4n$  - is  $O(n)$ , but the constant 4 makes it  
slightly slower than the above  
 $3n + 100 \log n$  - is also  $O(n)$ , but with the addition of  $\log n$   
 $n \log n$  - is  $O(n \log n)$ , comparatively slower than  $O(n)$   
 $4n \log n + 2n$  - is also  $O(n \log n)$ , but with the addition of  $2n$   
 $n^2 + 10n$  - is  $O(n^2)$ , comparatively slower than  $O(n \log n)$   
 $n^3$  - is  $O(n^3)$ , comparatively slower than  $O(n^2)$   
 $2^n$  - is  $O(2^n)$ , comparatively slower than  $O(n^3)$

2. R-4.12, p. 182

Give a big-Oh characterization, in terms of  $n$ , of the running time of the `example4` method shown in Code Fragment 4.12.

$O(n)$ . The loop runs through each element once and only once, without breaking before the end.

3. R-4.18, p. 184

Show that if  $d(n)$  is  $O(f(n))$  and  $e(n)$  is  $O(g(n))$ , then  $d(n) - e(n)$  is not necessarily  $O(f(n) - g(n))$ .

Let  $f(n) = 2n + n \log n$

$d(n) = O(f(n)) = n$

Let  $g(n) = 2n$

$e(n) = O(g(n)) = n$

Therefore,  $d(n) - e(n) = 0$

However,  $O(f(n) - g(n)) = O(2n + n \log n - 2n) = O(n \log n) \neq 0$

Hence,  $d(n) - e(n)$  is not always  $O(f(n) - g(n))$

4. Consider  $f(n) = 5n^2 + 4n - 2$ , mathematically show that  $f(n)$  is  $O(n^2)$ ,  $\Omega(n^2)$ , and  $\Theta(n^2)$ .

$5n^2 + 4n - 2 \leq cn^2$  for  $c = 11$ , when  $n \geq n_0 = 1$ , thus  $f(n)$  is  $O(n^2)$

$5n^2 + 4n - 2 \geq cn^2$  for  $c = 1$ , when  $n \geq n_0 = 1$ , thus  $f(n)$  is  $\Omega(n^2)$

$5n^2 + 4n - 2$  is  $O(n^2)$  and  $\Omega(n^2)$ , and so is  $\Theta(n^2)$ .

5. For finding an item in a sorted array, consider "tertiary search," which is similar to binary search. It compares array elements at two locations and eliminates 2/3 of the array. To analyze the number of comparisons, the recurrence equations are  $T(n) = 2 + T(n/3)$ ,  $T(2) = 2$ , and  $T(1) = 1$ , where  $n$  is the size of the array. Explain why the equations characterize "tertiary search" and solve for  $T(n)$ .

kk

6. To analyze the time complexity of the "brute-force" algorithm in the programming part of this assignment, we would like to count the number of all possible strings.

- (a) Explain the number of all possible strings in terms of  $n$  (maximum length of a string).

The maximum number of possible strings will be the max combinations for each length up to the maximum. In pseudocode:

```
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
```

```
    max = (max * 26) + max
```

If  $n$  is 1, there are 26 combinations. If  $n$  is 2, there are  $26 + (2 * 26)$  combinations, and so on.

- (b) Consider a computer that can process 1 billion strings per second and  $n$  is 100, explain the number of years needed to process all possible strings.

if  $n$  is 100, then the maximum number of strings would be 8,116,567,392,432,202,710. At 1 billion (1,000,000,000) strings per second, it would take

~8,116,567,392,432 seconds = 2,254,602,053 hours

~= 93,941,752 days

~= 257,374 years, not counting leap years.

In that many days, there would have been hundreds of thousands of leap years, which would add thousands more years to the total.

- (c) If we don't want the computer to spend more than 1 minute, explain the largest  $n$  the computer can process.

The largest  $n$  the computer would be able to process would be 7, at 835,308,258 strings. This would take less than a second, but adding one more to  $n$  would give 217,180,147,158 strings, which would take almost 4 minutes at 1bil words/second.