

# Classification of neighbourhoods of leaves of singular foliations


joint work with Camille Laurent-Gengoux  
(Université de Lorraine)

Simon-Raphael Fischer





國家理論科學研究中心

National Center for Theoretical Sciences (National Taiwan University)



# **Singular Foliations**



## Singular Foliations:

- Gauge Theory
- Poisson Geometry  
(Singular foliation of symplectic leaves)
- Lie groupoids and algebroids
- Dirac structures
- Generalised complex manifolds
- Non-commutative geometry
- ...

## Definition (Smooth singular foliation)

A **smooth singular foliation**  $\mathcal{F}$  on a smooth manifold is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$  so that

- it is **involutive**,
- it is **stable under**  $C^\infty(M)$ -**multiplication**,
- it is **locally finitely generated**.

### Definition (Smooth singular foliation)

A **smooth singular foliation**  $\mathcal{F}$  on a smooth manifold is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$  so that

- it is **involutive**, i.e.  $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,
- it is **stable under**  $C^\infty(M)$ -**multiplication**,
- it is **locally finitely generated**.

## Definition (Smooth singular foliation)

A **smooth singular foliation**  $\mathcal{F}$  on a smooth manifold is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$  so that

- it is **involutive**, i.e.  $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,
- it is **stable under  $C^\infty(M)$ -multiplication**, i.e.  $fX \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $X \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- it is **locally finitely generated**.



## Definition (Smooth singular foliation)


A **smooth singular foliation**  $\mathcal{F}$  on a smooth manifold is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$  so that


- it is **involutive**, i.e.  $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,
- it is **stable under  $C^\infty(M)$ -multiplication**, i.e.  $fX \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $X \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- it is **locally finitely generated**, i.e. around each  $p \in M$  there is an open neighbourhood  $U$  and a finite family  $(X^i)_i^r$  ( $X^i \in \mathcal{F}$ ) such that for all  $X \in \mathcal{F}$  there are  $f_i \in C^\infty(M)$  satisfying on  $U$ .


$$X = \sum_i f_i X^i.$$

## Remarks (Leaves)

Following the flows in  $\mathcal{F}$ , this gives rise to a partition of connected immersed submanifolds in  $M$ .








### Theorem ( $\mathcal{F}$ -connections)

*There is a connection on the normal bundle of a leaf  $L$ :*

- *Horizontal vector fields are in  $\mathcal{F}$ .*
- *Parallel transport  $PT_\gamma$  has values in  $\text{Sym}(\tau_L, \tau_{L'})$ .*
- *For a contractible loop  $\gamma_0$  at  $L$ :  $PT_{\gamma_0}$  values in  $\text{Inner}(\tau_L)$ .*


Idea: Relation to gauge theory

# Example of a transverse foliation $\tau$ :



## Remarks

- $\text{Inner}(\tau_I)$  maps each circle to itself
- $\text{Sym}(\tau_I)$  allows to exchange circles
- Both preserve  $\tau_I$  and fix the origin



### Remarks ( $\mathcal{F}$ -connections)

For  $\phi \in \text{Sym}(\tau_l)$  we have an induced parallel transport

$$\text{PT}_\gamma^{\text{Sym}}(\phi) := \text{PT}_\gamma \circ \phi \circ \text{PT}_\gamma^{-1}.$$


Then, on the normal bundle  $\pi: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow L$ ,

$$\text{PT}_\gamma(\phi \cdot p) = \text{PT}_\gamma^{\text{Sym}}(\phi) \cdot \text{PT}_\gamma(p)$$

$$\text{PT}_{\gamma_0}(p) = \varphi \cdot p$$

for all  $p \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\phi \in \text{Sym}(\tau)$ , and for some  $\varphi \in \text{Inner}(\tau_{\pi(p)})$ .

# Idea




## Idea

Generators of  $\mathcal{F}$  given by  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{projectable}}$ :

$$\mathbb{H}(X) + \bar{\nu},$$

where  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ ,  $\mathbb{H}(X)$  its projectable horizontal lift,  
 $\nu \in \Gamma(\text{inner}(\tau))$  and  $\bar{\nu}$  its fundamental vector field.



## Idea

Fix  $I$  and given  $\tau_I$ : Reconstruct  $\mathcal{F}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\mathbb{H}(X) + \bar{\nu}, \mathbb{H}(X') + \bar{\mu}] &= \mathbb{H}([X, X']) + \overline{\dots} \\
 &= \underbrace{[\mathbb{H}(X), \mathbb{H}(X')]}_{\rightsquigarrow \text{curvature}} \\
 &\quad + \underbrace{[\mathbb{H}(X), \bar{\mu}] - [\mathbb{H}(X'), \bar{\nu}]}_{\rightsquigarrow \text{connection}} + \overline{[\nu, \mu]}
 \end{aligned}$$



## **Multiplicative Yang-Mills connections**

## Curved Yang-Mills gauge theories:

Classical	Curved
Lie group $G$	Lie group bundle $\mathcal{G}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & L \end{array}$$

Remarks (Why a "curved theory"?)

Usually, the field strength  $F$  is given by (abelian, for simplicity)

$$F := dA = d^{\nabla^0} A.$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  We will use a general connection  $\nabla$  instead of  $\nabla^0$ , and  $\nabla$  may not be flat.

## Curved Yang-Mills gauge theories:

Classical	Curved
Lie group $G$	Lie group bundle $\mathcal{G}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & L \end{array}$$

Remarks (Why a "curved theory"?)

Usually, the field strength  $F$  is given by (abelian, for simplicity)

$$F := dA = d^{\nabla^0} A.$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  We will use a general connection  $\nabla$  instead of  $\nabla^0$ , and  $\nabla$  may not be flat.

## Definition (LGB actions)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{G} & \\
 \swarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{G}} \\
 \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & L
 \end{array}$$

A **right-action** of  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $\mathcal{T}$  is a smooth map

$\mathcal{T} * \mathcal{G} := \mathcal{T} \times_{\pi_{\mathcal{G}}} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ ,  $(p, g) \mapsto p \cdot g$ , satisfying the following properties:


$$\pi(p \cdot g) = \pi(p), \quad (1)$$

$$(p \cdot g) \cdot h = p \cdot (gh), \quad (2)$$

$$p \cdot e_{\pi(p)} = p \quad (3)$$

for all  $p \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $g, h \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi(p)}$ , where  $e_{\pi(p)}$  is the neutral element of  $\mathcal{G}_{\pi(p)}$ .

# Connection on $\mathcal{T}$ : Idea




But:

$$r_g: \mathcal{T}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_x$$

$\Rightarrow$

$D_p r_g$  only defined on vertical structure

# Connection on $\mathcal{T}$ : Idea




But:

$$r_g: \mathcal{T}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_x$$

$\Rightarrow$


$D_p r_g$  only defined on vertical structure

Connection on  $\mathcal{T}$ : Idea

Use  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G})$ :  $r_\sigma(p) := p \cdot \sigma_x$

# Connection on $\mathcal{T}$ : Revisiting the classical setup


If  $\mathcal{G}$  is trivial,  $\sigma \equiv g$  constant,  
and  $H$  a connection:





# Connection on $\mathcal{T}$ : Revisiting the classical setup

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is trivial,  $\sigma \equiv g$  constant,  
and  $H$  a connection:



## Remarks (Integrated case)

Parallel transport  $\text{PT}_\gamma^{\mathcal{T}}$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ :

$$\text{PT}_\gamma^{\mathcal{T}}(p \cdot g) = \text{PT}_\gamma^{\mathcal{T}}(p) \cdot g$$

where  $\gamma : I \rightarrow L$  is a base path

# Connection on $\mathcal{T}$ : General case

## Remarks (Integrated case)

Ansatz: Introduce connection on  $\mathcal{G}$ ,

$$\mathrm{PT}_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{T}}(p \cdot g) = \mathrm{PT}_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{T}}(p) \cdot \mathrm{PT}_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{G}}(g).$$

## Back to the roots

- 1  $\mathcal{G} \cong L \times G$
- 2 Equip  $\mathcal{G}$  with canonical flat connection

Connection on  $\mathcal{T}$ : General case

## Remarks (Integrated case)

Ansatz: Introduce connection on  $\mathcal{G}$ ,

$$\mathrm{PT}_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{T}}(p \cdot g) = \mathrm{PT}_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{T}}(p) \cdot \mathrm{PT}_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{G}}(g).$$

## Back to the roots

- ①  $\mathcal{G} \cong L \times G$
- ② Equip  $\mathcal{G}$  with canonical flat connection

**Definition (Ehresmann/Yang-Mills connection, [C. L.-G., S.-R. F.]**

A surjective submersion  $\pi: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow L$  so that one has a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{G} & \\ \swarrow & \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{G}} & \\ \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & L \end{array}$$

**① Ehresmann connection:**

$$\mathrm{PT}_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{T}}(p \cdot g) = \mathrm{PT}_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{T}}(p) \cdot \mathrm{PT}_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{G}}(g)$$

**② Yang-Mills connection:** Additionally

$$\mathrm{PT}_{\gamma_0}^{\mathcal{T}}(p) = p \cdot g_{\gamma_0}$$

for some  $g_{\gamma_0} \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi(p)}^0$ , where  $\gamma_0$  is a contractible loop.

**Definition (Multiplicative YM connection, [S.-R. F.]**

On  $\mathcal{G}$  there is also the notion of **multiplicative Yang-Mills connections**, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{PT}_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{G}}(q \cdot g) &= \mathrm{PT}_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{G}}(q) \cdot \mathrm{PT}_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{G}}(g), \\ \mathrm{PT}_{\gamma_0}^{\mathcal{G}}(q) &= g_{\gamma_0} \cdot q \cdot g_{\gamma_0}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

## Remarks

On the Lie algebra bundle  $\mathcal{g}$  we have a connection  $\nabla$  with

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla([\mu, \nu]_{\mathcal{g}}) &= [\nabla\mu, \nu]_{\mathcal{g}} + [\mu, \nabla\nu]_{\mathcal{g}}, \\ R_{\nabla} &= \text{ad} \circ \zeta.\end{aligned}$$

## Example

Given a short exact sequence of algebroids

$$\mathcal{g} \hookrightarrow E \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\chi} \\ \longrightarrow \twoheadrightarrow \end{array} TL$$

with splitting  $\chi: TL \rightarrow E$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X \nu &= [\chi(X), \nu]_E, \\ \zeta(X, X') &= [\chi(X), \chi(X')]_E - \chi([X, X']).\end{aligned}$$

## Remarks

On the Lie algebra bundle  $\mathcal{g}$  we have a connection  $\nabla$  with

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla([\mu, \nu]_{\mathcal{g}}) &= [\nabla\mu, \nu]_{\mathcal{g}} + [\mu, \nabla\nu]_{\mathcal{g}}, \\ R_{\nabla} &= \text{ad} \circ \zeta.\end{aligned}$$

## Example

Given a short exact sequence of algebroids

$$\mathcal{g} \hookrightarrow E \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\chi} \\ \longrightarrow \twoheadrightarrow \end{array} TL$$

with splitting  $\chi: TL \rightarrow E$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X \nu &= [\chi(X), \nu]_E, \\ \zeta(X, X') &= [\chi(X), \chi(X')]_E - \chi([X, X']).\end{aligned}$$

**Going back to foliations**



## Theorem ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

*Given a multiplicative Yang-Mills connection on  $\mathcal{G}$  and a Yang-Mills connection  $\mathbb{H}$  on  $\mathcal{T}$ , then there is a natural foliation on  $\mathcal{T}$  generated by*

$$\mathbb{H}(X) + \bar{\nu},$$

*where  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$  and  $\nu \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

## Proof.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{H}(X), \bar{\nu}] &= \overline{\nabla_X \nu}, \\ [\mathbb{H}(X), \mathbb{H}(X')] &= \mathbb{H}([X, X']) + \overline{\zeta(X, X')}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta \in \Omega^2(L; \mathfrak{g})$ .

## Theorem ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

*Given a multiplicative Yang-Mills connection on  $\mathcal{G}$  and a Yang-Mills connection  $\mathbb{H}$  on  $\mathcal{T}$ , then there is a natural foliation on  $\mathcal{T}$  generated by*

$$\mathbb{H}(X) + \bar{\nu},$$

*where  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$  and  $\nu \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

## Proof.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{H}(X), \bar{\nu}] &= \overline{\nabla_X \nu}, \\ [\mathbb{H}(X), \mathbb{H}(X')] &= \mathbb{H}([X, X']) + \overline{\zeta(X, X')}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta \in \Omega^2(L; \mathfrak{g})$ .

### Idea (Leaf $L$ simply connected)

Fix a point  $l \in L$  with transverse model  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l)$ :

- 1  $G = \text{Inn}(\tau_l)$
- 2  $P$  a principal  $G$ -bundle, equipped with an ordinary connection

### Idea (Leaf $L$ simply connected)

Fix a point  $l \in L$  with transverse model  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l)$ :

- 1  $G = \text{Inn}(\tau_l)$
- 2  $P$  a principal  $G$ -bundle, equipped with an ordinary connection
- 3  $\mathcal{G} := (P \times G) / G$ , the **inner group bundle**

### Idea (Leaf $L$ simply connected)

Fix a point  $l \in L$  with transverse model  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l)$ :

- 1  $G = \text{Inn}(\tau_l)$
- 2  $P$  a principal  $G$ -bundle, equipped with an ordinary connection
- 3  $\mathcal{G} := (P \times G) / G$ , the **inner group bundle**
- 4  $\mathcal{T} := (P \times \mathbb{R}^d) / G$ , the **normal bundle**

Idea (Leaf  $L$  simply connected)

Fix a point  $l \in L$  with transverse model  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l)$ :

- ①  $G = \text{Inn}(\tau_l)$
- ②  $P$  a principal  $G$ -bundle, equipped with an ordinary connection
- ③  $\mathcal{G} := (P \times G) / G$ , the **inner group bundle**
- ④  $\mathcal{T} := (P \times \mathbb{R}^d) / G$ , the **normal bundle**

## Remarks

- Think of the induced connection on  $\mathcal{T}$  as the  $\mathcal{F}$ -connection.
- $\mathcal{G}$  acts on  $\mathcal{T}$  (canonically from the left).

Idea (Leaf  $L$  simply connected)

Fix a point  $l \in L$  with transverse model  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l)$ :

- ①  $G = \text{Inn}(\tau_l)$
- ②  $P$  a principal  $G$ -bundle, equipped with an ordinary connection
- ③  $\mathcal{G} := (P \times G) / G$ , the **inner group bundle**
- ④  $\mathcal{T} := (P \times \mathbb{R}^d) / G$ , the **normal bundle**

## Remarks

- Think of the induced connection on  $\mathcal{T}$  as the  $\mathcal{F}$ -connection.
- $\mathcal{G}$  acts on  $\mathcal{T}$  (canonically from the left).

### Proposition ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

*The associated connection on  $\mathcal{G}$  is a multiplicative Yang-Mills connection and the one on  $\mathcal{T}$  is a corresponding Yang-Mills connection.*


### Remarks

Thus, we have a singular foliation on  $\mathcal{T}$ , which, by construction, admits  $L$  as a leaf and  $\tau_l$  as transverse data.




# Proposition ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

*The reconstructed foliation is independent of the choice of connection on  $P$ .*



# Proposition ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

*The reconstructed foliation is independent of the choice of connection on  $P$ .*



# Summary

## Remarks ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

In the simply connected case, the following are equivalent:

- Singular foliations with leaf  $L$  and transverse model  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l)$
- Principal  $\text{Inner}(\tau_l)$ -bundles over  $L$

### Theorem ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

*Singular foliations with leaf  $L$  and transverse model  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_L)$  are equivalent to the following triple:*

- *A Galois cover  $L'$  over  $L$  with structural group  $K$*
- *A short exact sequence of groups*

$$\text{Inner}(\tau_L) \hookrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow K$$

- *Double principal bundles  $P$ : An  $H$ -bundle over  $L$ , and an  $\text{Inner}(\tau_L)$ -bundle over  $L'$*

**Thank you!**