Classification of neighbourhoods of leaves of singular foliations

joint work with Camille Laurent-Gengoux
(Université de Lorraine)

Simon-Raphael Fischer

國家理論科學研究中心
National Center for Theoretical Sciences (National Taiwan University)



Remarks (Based on the following work)

S.-R. F. and Camille Laurent-Gengoux, *Classification of neighborhoods around leaves of singular foliations*, arXiv:2401.05966, (2024).

Singular Foliations





Right diagram made by Mark J.D. Hamilton.

Singular Foliations:

- Gauge Theory
- Poisson Geometry
  (Singular foliation of symplectic leaves)
- Lie groupoids and algebroids
- Dirac structures
- Generalised complex manifolds
- Non-commutative geometry
- ...

First idea

Definition (Partitionifolds)

Let M be a smooth manifold. A **partitionifold of M** is a partition of immersed connected submanifolds, which we call *leaves*.

Remarks

We will denote a partitionifold by $L_\bullet$, $p \mapsto L_p$, where L_p is the leaf through $p \in M$.

Sources
Peter Stefan, Accessible sets, orbits, and foliations with singularities. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 29, 1974.
Héctor J. Sussmann, Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 180, 1973



Figure: The magnetic partition

Remarks

A partitionifold with:

- All leaves are of the same dimension.
- **But:** It lacks regularity!



Figure: Isolated lasagna in a spaghetti dish

Remarks

A partitionifold with:

- Dimension is now different.
- **But:** Also no regularity!

Remarks

Isolated spaghetti in a lasagna dish: Regularity!



Figure: Isolated lasagna in a spaghetti dish

Remarks

A partitionifold with:

- Dimension is now different.
- **But:** Also no regularity!

Remarks

Isolated spaghetti in a lasagna dish: Regularity!

Definition (Smooth partitionifold)

A smooth partitionifold $L_\bullet$ is smooth, if there is for all $p \in M$ and every vector $u \in \mathrm{T}_p L_p$ a vector field X tangent to $L_\bullet$ with

$$X_p = u.$$

Remarks

This definition is okay, but not widely used: It still has a problem...

Sources
Peter Stefan, Accessible sets, orbits, and foliations with singularities. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 29, 1974.
Héctor J. Sussmann, Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 180, 1973

Definition (Smooth partitionifold)

A smooth partitionifold $L_\bullet$ is smooth, if there is for all $p \in M$ and every vector $u \in \mathrm{T}_p L_p$ a vector field X tangent to $L_\bullet$ with

$$X_p = u.$$

Remarks

This definition is okay, but not widely used: It still has a problem...

Sources
Peter Stefan, Accessible sets, orbits, and foliations with singularities. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 29, 1974.
Héctor J. Sussmann, Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 180, 1973

Example (Vector fields not necessarily finitely generated)

Consider the following smooth partitionifold:

- $M = \mathbb{R}$;
- 0-dimensional leaves:

$$\{1\}, \left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}, \left\{\frac{1}{3}\right\}, \dots, \left\{\frac{1}{n}\right\}, \dots, \{0\};$$

- 1-dimensional leaves: Remaining open intervals.

Remarks

One has a sort of "infinitesimal leaf" next to $\{0\}$.
Technically: Tangent vectors of $L_\bullet$ are locally not finitely generated around 0.

Example (Vector fields not necessarily finitely generated)

Consider the following smooth partitionifold:

- $M = \mathbb{R}$;
- 0-dimensional leaves:

$$\{1\}, \left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}, \left\{\frac{1}{3}\right\}, \dots, \left\{\frac{1}{n}\right\}, \dots, \{0\};$$

- 1-dimensional leaves: Remaining open intervals.

Remarks

One has a sort of "infinitesimal leaf" next to $\{0\}$.
Technically: Tangent vectors of $L_\bullet$ are locally not finitely generated around 0.

Recall:

Theorem (Frobenius Theorem)

Every integrable subbundle E of $\mathrm{T}M$ corresponds to a regular foliation in M.

Remarks ($\Gamma(E)$ is involutive)

Integrable:

$$[X, Y] \in \Gamma(E)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(E)$.

Remarks

Alternatively: An involutive submodule of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$, or equivalently of $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$.

Recall:

Theorem (Frobenius Theorem)

Every integrable subbundle E of $\mathrm{T}M$ corresponds to a regular foliation in M.

Remarks ($\Gamma(E)$ is involutive)

Integrable:

$$[X, Y] \in \Gamma(E)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(E)$.

Remarks

Alternatively: An involutive submodule of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$, or equivalently of $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$.

Definition (Smooth singular foliation)

A **smooth singular foliation** $\mathscr{F}$ on a smooth manifold M is a subspace of $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$ so that

- it is **involutive**,
- it is **stable under** $C^\infty(M)$**-multiplication**,
- it is **locally finitely generated**.

Sources
Peter Stefan, Accessible sets, orbits, and foliations with singularities. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 29, 1974.
Héctor J. Sussmann, Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 180, 1973

Definition (Smooth singular foliation)

A **smooth singular foliation** $\mathscr{F}$ on a smooth manifold is a subspace of $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$ so that

- it is **involutive**, *i.e.* $[\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}] \subset \mathscr{F}$,
- it is **stable under** $C^\infty(M)$**-multiplication**,
- it is **locally finitely generated**.

Sources
Peter Stefan, Accessible sets, orbits, and foliations with singularities. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 29, 1974.
Héctor J. Sussmann, Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 180, 1973

Definition (Smooth singular foliation)

A **smooth singular foliation** $\mathscr{F}$ on a smooth manifold is a subspace of $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$ so that

- it is **involutive**, *i.e.* $[\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}] \subset \mathscr{F}$,
- it is **stable under $C^\infty(M)$-multiplication**, *i.e.* $fX \in \mathscr{F}$ *for all* $f \in C^\infty(M)$ *and* $X \in \mathscr{F}$,
- it is **locally finitely generated**.

Sources
Peter Stefan, Accessible sets, orbits, and foliations with singularities. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 29, 1974.
Héctor J. Sussmann, Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 180, 1973

Definition (Smooth singular foliation)

A **smooth singular foliation** $\mathscr{F}$ on a smooth manifold is a subspace of $\mathfrak{X}_c(M)$ so that

- it is **involutive**, *i.e.* $[\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}] \subset \mathscr{F}$,
- it is **stable under** $C^\infty(M)$**-multiplication**, *i.e.* $fX \in \mathscr{F}$ *for all* $f \in C^\infty(M)$ *and* $X \in \mathscr{F}$,
- it is **locally finitely generated**, *i.e. around each* $p \in M$ *there is an open neighbourhood* U *and a finite family* $(X^i)_i^r$ $(X^i \in \mathscr{F})$ *such that for all* $X \in \mathscr{F}$ *there are* $f_i \in C^\infty(M)$ *satisfying on* U.

$$X = \sum_i f_i X^i.$$

Peter Stefan, Accessible sets, orbits, and foliations with singularities. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 29, 1974.
Héctor J. Sussmann, Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 180, 1973

Remarks (Leaves)

We have an induced smooth partitionifold $L_\bullet$,

$$\mathscr{F} \Rightarrow L_\bullet,$$

but $\mathscr{F}$ also encodes the information about the generators,

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathscr{F}_1 \arrow[dr, Rightarrow] & \\ & L_\bullet \\ \mathscr{F}_2 \arrow[ur, Rightarrow] & \end{tikzcd}$$

Peter Stefan, Accessible sets, orbits, and foliations with singularities. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 29, 1974.
Héctor J. Sussmann, Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 180, 1973

First step towards classification

First steps



Camille Laurent-Gengoux and Leonid Ryvkin, The holonomy of a singular leaf, *Selecta Mathematica 28*, no. 2, 45, 2022.



Theorem ($\mathscr{F}$-connections)

There is a connection on the normal bundle of a leaf L:

- *Horizontal vector fields are in* $\mathscr{F}$.
- *Parallel transport* PT_γ *has values in* $\mathrm{Sym}(\tau_l, \tau_{l'})$.
- *For a contractible loop* γ_0 *at l:* PT_{γ_0} *values in* $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)$.

Camille Laurent-Gengoux and Leonid Ryvkin, The holonomy of a singular leaf, *Selecta Mathematica 28*, no. 2, 45, 2022.

Example of a transverse foliation τ in $\mathbb{R}^d$:



Remarks

- Inner(τ_I) maps each circle to itself
- Sym(τ_I) allows to exchange circles
- Both preserve τ_I and fix the origin



Diagram drawn by Camille Laurent-Gengoux.

Other example: Regular foliation



Recovering the ordinary definition

Foliation	Connection
Regular	Flat lift
Singular	Family of possibly curved lifts

Remarks

Inner(τ_l): Trivial.

Sym(τ_l): We essentially need the image of a group morphism $\pi_1(L) \to \mathrm{Diff}\left(\mathbb{R}^d, 0\right)$.

Idea

We guess:

$$\mathscr{F} = \begin{Bmatrix} \text{Some map } \pi_1(L) \to \mathrm{Diff}\left(\mathbb{R}^d, 0\right) \text{ (at l)} \\ \text{Bundle structure by } \tau_l, \mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l), \mathrm{Sym}(\tau_l), \ldots \end{Bmatrix}$$

Thus, we want to classify $\mathscr{F}$ with given L and τ_l (for a fixed $l \in L$).

Danger

But $\mathrm{Sym}(\tau_l)$ and $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)$ are in general infinite-dimensional, so that we have to deal with infinite-dimensional geometry!

Idea

We guess:

$$\mathscr{F} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} \text{Some map } \pi_1(L) \to \mathrm{Diff}\left(\mathbb{R}^d, 0\right) \text{ (at l)} \\ \text{Bundle structure by } \tau_l, \mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l), \mathrm{Sym}(\tau_l), \dots \end{matrix} \right\}$$

Thus, we want to classify $\mathscr{F}$ with given L and τ_l (for a fixed $l \in L$).

Danger

But $\mathrm{Sym}(\tau_l)$ and $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)$ are in general infinite-dimensional, so that we have to deal with infinite-dimensional geometry!

Definition (Formal foliation)

$X \in \mathscr{F}$ induces a derivation on $\hat{C} := C^\infty(M)/C_0^\infty(M)$, where $C_0^\infty(M)$ is the ideal of functions vanishing with all their derivatives along L. The image of $\mathscr{F}$ under this is the **formal singular foliation**.

Remarks

$f \in \hat{C}$ a formal power series, w.r.t. $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ as "normal coordinates":

$$f = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_d \geq 0} f_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} x_1^{i_1} \ldots x_d^{i_d}$$

with $f_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} \in C^\infty(L)$.

Camille Laurent-Gengoux and Leonid Ryvkin, The neighborhood of a singular leaf, *Journal de l'École polytechnique*, Math. 8 (2021), 1037–1064.

Remarks

$f \in \hat{C}$ a formal power series, w.r.t. $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ as "normal coordinates":

$$f = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_d \geq 0} f_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} x_1^{i_1} \ldots x_d^{i_d}$$

with $f_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} \in C^\infty(L)$.

Example (Canonical example of a formal foliation)

For embedded submanifolds L:

- Normal bundle $\mathscr{T}$: $\mathrm{T}M|_L/\mathrm{T}L$.
- Formal vector fields via tubular neighbourhood embedding, a Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{X}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{\text{formal}}$.

Remarks

$f \in \hat{C}$ a formal power series, w.r.t. $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ as "normal coordinates":

$$f = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_d \geq 0} f_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} x_1^{i_1} \ldots x_d^{i_d}$$

with $f_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} \in C^\infty(L)$.

Example (Canonical example of a formal foliation)

For embedded submanifolds L:

- Normal bundle $\mathscr{T}$: $\mathrm{T}M|_L/\mathrm{T}L$.
- Formal vector fields via tubular neighbourhood embedding, a Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{X}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{\text{formal}}$.
- Formal version of $\mathscr{F}$ via this map.

Remarks

$f \in \hat{C}$ a formal power series, w.r.t. $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ as "normal coordinates":

$$f = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_d \geq 0} f_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} x_1^{i_1} \ldots x_d^{i_d}$$

with $f_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} \in C^\infty(L)$.

Example (Canonical example of a formal foliation)

For embedded submanifolds L:

- Normal bundle $\mathscr{T}$: $\mathrm{T}M|_L / \mathrm{T}L$.
- Formal vector fields via tubular neighbourhood embedding, a Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{X}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{\text{formal}}$.
- Formal version of $\mathscr{F}$ via this map.
- In particular: Formal version of $X \in \mathscr{F}$ can be evaluated at $l \in L$, coinciding with X_l.

Remarks

$f \in \hat{C}$ a formal power series, w.r.t. $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ as "normal coordinates":

$$f = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_d \geq 0} f_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} x_1^{i_1} \ldots x_d^{i_d}$$

with $f_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} \in C^\infty(L)$.

Example (Canonical example of a formal foliation)

For embedded submanifolds L:

- Normal bundle $\mathscr{T}$: $\mathrm{T}M|_L/\mathrm{T}L$.
- Formal vector fields via tubular neighbourhood embedding, a Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{X}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}^{\text{formal}}$.
- Formal version of $\mathscr{F}$ via this map.
- In particular: Formal version of $X \in \mathscr{F}$ can be evaluated at $l \in L$, coinciding with X_l.

Our aim

Remarks (Our assumptions)

- τ_l a formal singular foliation.
- L a manifold (connected immersed submanifold of M).

$$\begin{tikzcd} \text{Foliation } \mathscr{F} \text{ with } L \text{ and } \tau_l \arrow[r, leftrightarrow] \arrow[r, bend left, "\text{formal}"] & \text{Classification} \arrow[l, bend left, "\text{does not need to be formal}"] \end{tikzcd}$$

Remarks (Avoiding formal setting)

Either

- add real-analyticity conditions to the classification,

or also

- assume embedded L and real-analytic $\mathscr{F}$.

Our aim

Remarks (Our assumptions)

- τ_I a formal singular foliation.
- L a manifold (connected immersed submanifold of M).

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\text{Foliation } \mathscr{F} \text{ with } L \text{ and } \tau_I \arrow[r, leftrightarrow] \arrow[r, bend left, "\text{formal}"] & \text{Classification} \arrow[l, bend left, "\text{does not need to be formal}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remarks (Avoiding formal setting)

Either

- add real-analyticity conditions to the classification,

or also

- assume embedded L and real-analytic $\mathscr{F}$.

Multiplicative Yang-Mills connections

More on foliation connections





Remarks ($\mathscr{F}$-connection)

For $\phi \in \mathrm{Sym}(\tau_l)$ we have an induced parallel transport

$$\mathrm{PT}^{\mathrm{Sym}}_\gamma(\phi) \coloneqq \mathrm{PT}_\gamma \circ \phi \circ \mathrm{PT}_\gamma^{-1}.$$

Then, on the normal bundle $\pi \colon \mathscr{T} \to L$,

$$\mathrm{PT}_\gamma(\phi \cdot p) = \mathrm{PT}^{\mathrm{Sym}}_\gamma(\phi) \cdot \mathrm{PT}_\gamma(p)$$
$$\mathrm{PT}_{\gamma_0}(p) = \varphi \cdot p$$

for all $p \in \mathscr{T}_l$, $\phi \in \mathrm{Sym}(\tau_l)$, and for some $\varphi \in \mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)$.



Remarks (Sym-connection)

For $\phi \in \mathrm{Sym}(\tau_l)$ we have an induced parallel transport

$$\mathrm{PT}^{\mathrm{Sym}}_\gamma(\phi) := \mathrm{PT}_\gamma \circ \phi \circ \mathrm{PT}_\gamma^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\mathrm{PT}^{\mathrm{Sym}}_\gamma(\phi \circ \phi') = \mathrm{PT}^{\mathrm{Sym}}_\gamma(\phi) \circ \mathrm{PT}^{\mathrm{Sym}}_\gamma(\phi')$$
$$\mathrm{PT}^{\mathrm{Sym}}_{\gamma_0}(\phi) = \varphi \circ \phi \circ \varphi^{-1}$$

for all $\phi, \phi' \in \mathrm{Sym}(\tau_l)$, and for some $\varphi \in \mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)$.

Idea



Idea

Generators of $\mathscr{F}$ given by:

$$\mathbb{H}(X) + \overline{\nu},$$

where $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$, $\mathbb{H}(X)$ its projectable horizontal lift, $\nu \in \Gamma(\mathrm{inner}(\tau))$ and $\overline{\nu}$ its fundamental vector field.



Idea

Fix $l \in L$, given τ and $\mathbb{H}$. Reconstruct $\mathscr{F}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\mathbb{H}(X) + \overline{\nu}, \mathbb{H}(X') + \overline{\mu}] &= \mathbb{H}([X, X']) + \dots \\
&= [\mathbb{H}(X), \mathbb{H}(X')] \\
&\quad + [\mathbb{H}(X), \overline{\mu}] - [\mathbb{H}(X'), \overline{\nu}] + \overline{[\nu, \mu]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Idea

Fix I and given τ_I: Reconstruct $\mathscr{F}$.

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbb{H}(X)+\overline{\nu},\mathbb{H}(X')+\overline{\mu}] &= \mathbb{H}([X,X'])+\dots \\ &= \underbrace{[\mathbb{H}(X),\mathbb{H}(X')]}_{\rightsquigarrow \text{ curvature}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{[\mathbb{H}(X),\overline{\mu}]-[\mathbb{H}(X'),\overline{\nu}]}_{\rightsquigarrow \text{ connection}} + \overline{[\nu,\mu]}\end{aligned}$$

Idea ($\ldots \in \tau$)

We need:

1. Lie algebra bundle τ with structure τ_I
2. A horizontal lift $\mathbb{H}$ into $\mathscr{T}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Curvature:} &\qquad [\mathbb{H}(X),\mathbb{H}(X')]-\mathbb{H}([X,X'])\in\tau \\ \text{Connection:} &\qquad [\mathbb{H}(X),\overline{\mu}]\in\tau\end{aligned}$$

Summary

Remarks

These connections are called **(multiplicative) Yang-Mills connections**:

- preserve group bundle action,
- and their curvatures follow corresponding orbits.

The pair of connections may **not** be unique for a given $\mathscr{F}$!

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
& \{\text{YM connection on } \mathscr{T}\} \arrow[dl, leftrightarrow] \arrow[dd, leftrightarrow] \arrow[dr, leftrightarrow] & \\
\text{Foliation } \mathscr{F} \arrow[dr, leftrightarrow] & & ? \\
& \{\text{mult. YM connection on } \mathrm{Sym}(\tau)\} \arrow[ur, leftrightarrow] &
\end{tikzcd}
$$

Going back to foliations

Corollary ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

Sym(τ) *is associated to a principal* Sym(τ_I)*-bundle so that the multiplicative Yang-Mills connection on* Sym(τ) *is an associated connection (adjoint connection).*

Proof.

Sym($\tau_I, \tau_{I'}$) gives rise to a transitive groupoid with isotropy group bundle Sym(τ) which has no centre.

Corollary ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

$\mathrm{Sym}(\tau)$ *is associated to a principal* $\mathrm{Sym}(\tau_I)$*-bundle so that the multiplicative Yang-Mills connection on* $\mathrm{Sym}(\tau)$ *is an associated connection (adjoint connection).*

Proof.

$\mathrm{Sym}(\tau_I, \tau_{I'})$ gives rise to a transitive groupoid with isotropy group bundle $\mathrm{Sym}(\tau)$ which has no centre.

Idea (Leaf L simply connected)

Fix a point $l \in L$ with transverse model $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$:

1. Only need $G := \mathrm{Inn}(\tau_l)$
2. P a principal G-bundle, equipped with an ordinary connection

Idea (Leaf L simply connected)

Fix a point $l \in L$ with transverse model $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$:

1. Only need $G := \mathrm{Inn}(\tau_l)$
2. P a principal G-bundle, equipped with an ordinary connection
3. $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau) := (P \times G)\big/ G$, the **inner group bundle**

Idea (Leaf L simply connected)

Fix a point $l \in L$ with transverse model $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$:

1. Only need $G := \mathrm{Inn}(\tau_l)$
2. P a principal G-bundle, equipped with an ordinary connection
3. $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau) := (P \times G)\Big/G$, the **inner group bundle**
4. $\mathscr{T} := \left(P \times \mathbb{R}^d\right)\Big/G$, the **normal bundle**

Idea (Leaf L simply connected)

Fix a point $l \in L$ with transverse model $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$:

1. Only need $G := \mathrm{Inn}(\tau_l)$
2. P a principal G-bundle, equipped with an ordinary connection
3. $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau) := (P \times G)\big/ G$, the **inner group bundle**
4. $\mathscr{T} := \left(P \times \mathbb{R}^d\right)\big/ G$, the **normal bundle**

Remarks

- Think of the induced connection on $\mathscr{T}$ as the $\mathscr{F}$-connection.
- $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau)$ acts on $\mathscr{T}$ (canonically from the left).

Idea (Leaf L simply connected)

Fix a point $l \in L$ with transverse model $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$:

1. Only need $G := \mathrm{Inn}(\tau_l)$
2. P a principal G-bundle, equipped with an ordinary connection
3. $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau) := (P \times G)\big/ G$, the **inner group bundle**
4. $\mathscr{T} := \left(P \times \mathbb{R}^d\right)\big/ G$, the **normal bundle**

Remarks

- Think of the induced connection on $\mathscr{T}$ as the $\mathscr{F}$-connection.
- $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau)$ acts on $\mathscr{T}$ (canonically from the left).

Proposition ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

The associated connection on $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau)$ *is a multiplicative Yang-Mills connection and the one on* $\mathscr{T}$ *is a corresponding Yang-Mills connection.*

Proof.

Recall

$$[p, g] \cdot [p, v] = [p, g \cdot v]$$

for all $[p, g] \in \mathrm{Inner}(\tau)$ and $[p, v] \in \mathscr{T}$, and

$$\mathrm{PT}^{\mathscr{T}}_{\gamma}([p, v]) = \left[\mathrm{PT}^{P}_{\gamma}(p), v\right].$$

Remarks

Thus, we have a singular foliation on $\mathscr{T}$, which, by construction, admits L as a leaf and τ_l as transverse data.

Proposition ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

The associated connection on $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau)$ *is a multiplicative Yang-Mills connection and the one on* $\mathscr{T}$ *is a corresponding Yang-Mills connection.*

Proof.

Recall

$$[p, g] \cdot [p, v] = [p, g \cdot v]$$

for all $[p, g] \in \mathrm{Inner}(\tau)$ and $[p, v] \in \mathscr{T}$, and

$$\mathrm{PT}^{\mathscr{T}}_{\gamma}\left([p, v]\right) = \left[\mathrm{PT}^{P}_{\gamma}(p), v\right].$$

Remarks

Thus, we have a singular foliation on $\mathscr{T}$, which, by construction, admits L as a leaf and τ_l as transverse data.

Proposition ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

The reconstructed foliation is independent of the choice of connection on P.

Proof.

- The adjoint bundle of P, $\mathrm{Ad}(P) := (P \times \tau_I)/G$, is the Lie algebra bundle of $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau)$
- $\tau = \overline{\mathrm{Ad}(P)}$
- Difference of two connections on P has values in $\mathrm{Ad}(P)$

Generators take this already into account; recall:

$$\mathbb{H}(X) + \overline{\nu}$$

with $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ and $\nu \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Ad}(P))$.

Proposition ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

The reconstructed foliation is independent of the choice of connection on P.

Proof.

- The adjoint bundle of P, $\mathrm{Ad}(P) := (P \times \tau_I)/G$, is the Lie algebra bundle of $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau)$
- $\tau = \overline{\mathrm{Ad}(P)}$
- Difference of two connections on P has values in $\mathrm{Ad}(P)$

Generators take this already into account; recall:

$$\mathbb{H}(X) + \overline{\nu}$$

with $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ and $\nu \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Ad}(P))$.

Main Theorems

Theorem ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

In the simply connected case, the following are equivalent:

- *Singular foliations with leaf L and transverse model $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$*
- *Principal $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)$-bundles P over L*

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
& \{\text{YM connection on } \mathscr{T}\} \arrow[dl, leftrightarrow] \arrow[dr, leftrightarrow] \arrow[dd, leftrightarrow] & \\
\text{Foliation } \mathscr{F} \arrow[dr, leftrightarrow] & & P \arrow[dl, leftrightarrow] \\
& \{\text{mult. YM onnection on } \mathrm{Sym}(\tau)\} &
\end{tikzcd}
$$

Main Theorems

Theorem ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

In the simply connected case, the following are equivalent:

- *Singular foliations with leaf L and transverse model* $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$
- *Principal* $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)/\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)_{\geq 2}$*-bundles P over L*

Remarks

P is now finite-dimensional!

Main Theorems

Theorem ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

In the simply connected case, the following are equivalent:

- *Singular foliations with leaf L and transverse model* $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$
- *Principal* $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)/\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)_{\geq 2}$*-bundles P over L*

Remarks

P is now finite-dimensional!

Danger

Formal setting is here very important! Especially here is where one has to think about real-analytic conditions for the general case.

Main Theorems

Theorem ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

In the simply connected case, the following are equivalent:

- *Singular foliations with leaf L and transverse model* $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$
- *Principal* $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)/\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)_{\geq 2}$*-bundles P over L*

Remarks

P is now finite-dimensional!

Danger

Formal setting is here very important! Especially here is where one has to think about real-analytic conditions for the general case.

Examples

Theorem ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

In the simply connected case, the following are equivalent:

- *Singular foliations with leaf L and transverse model* $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$
- *Principal* $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)/\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)_{\geq 2}$*-bundles P over L*

Corollary

L simply connected and τ_l is made of vector fields vanishing quadratically at 0. Then the unique singular foliation is the trivial one, i.e. the trivial product of $(L, \mathfrak{X}(L))$ and $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$.

Corollary by Camille Laurent-Gengoux and Leonid Ryvkin, The neighborhood of a singular leaf, *Journal de l'École Polytechnique*, Math. 8, 1037 - 1064, 2021.

Examples

Theorem ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

In the simply connected case, the following are equivalent:

- *Singular foliations with leaf L and transverse model* $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$
- *Principal* $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)/\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)_{\geq 2}$*-bundles P over L*

Corollary ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

L contractible. Then the unique singular foliation is the trivial one.

Examples

Theorem ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

In the simply connected case, the following are equivalent:

- *Singular foliations with leaf L and transverse model $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$*
- *Principal $\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)/\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)_{\geq 2}$-bundles P over L*

Corollary ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

L simply connected. Then $\mathscr{F}$ is the trivial foliation if and only if it admits a flat $\mathscr{F}$-connection.

Examples [C. L.-G., S.-R. F.]

$L = \mathbb{S}^2$, $M = \mathrm{T}\mathbb{S}^2$. Let us consider two possible τ_l:

Name	Concentric circles	Spirals
Generator	$x\partial_y - y\partial_x$	$x\partial_y - y\partial_x + (x^2+y^2)(x\partial_x + y\partial_y)$
Picture of the leaves		
$\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)/\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)_{\geq 2}$	$\mathbb{S}^1$	$\mathbb{R}$

Examples [C. L.-G., S.-R. F.]

$L = \mathbb{S}^2$, $M = T\mathbb{S}^2$. Let us consider two possible τ_l:

Name	Concentric circles	Spirals
Generator	$x\partial_y - y\partial_x$	$x\partial_y - y\partial_x + (x^2+y^2)(x\partial_x + y\partial_y)$
Picture of the leaves		
$\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)/\mathrm{Inner}(\tau_l)_{\geq 2}$	$\mathbb{S}^1$	$\mathbb{R}$
Foliation	☺	☹

Thank you! ☺

Total classification

Remarks

Inner(τ_l) is a normal subgroup of Sym(τ_l), thus we have a quotient:

$$\text{Inner}(\tau_l) \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}(\tau_l) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Out}(\tau_l)$$

Theorem ([C. L.-G., S.-R. F.])

The following are equivalent:

- *Singular foliations with leaf L and transverse model* $\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \tau_l\right)$,
- 1. *a group morphism* $\Xi\colon \pi_1(L) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Out}(\tau_l)$*, and*
  2. *a finite-dimensional principal* $H/\text{Inner}(\tau_l)_{\geq 2}$*-bundle, with* H *a subgroup of* Sym(τ_l) *containing* Inner(τ_l).