

## 1 True model

Suppose the true model has the following equation

$$x_t = \mathbb{E}_t [y_{t+1}] \mathbb{E}_t [z_{t+1}]. \quad (1)$$

## 2 Dynare

How would you incorporate this into the Dynare model block? This is a bit tricky since Dynare equations do not include expectation operators. You may be tempted to program this equation as follows:

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}(+1) * \mathbf{z}(+1). \quad (2)$$

This would be wrong! This Dynare equation would be correct for the alternative model given by

$$x_t = \mathbb{E}_t [y_{t+1} z_{t+1}]. \quad (3)$$

This is a different equation unless  $y_t$  and  $z_t$  are independent.

The correct way to incorporate the original equation into Dynare would be

$$\mathbf{yexp} = \mathbf{y}(+1), \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{zexp} = \mathbf{z}(+1), \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{yexp} * \mathbf{zexp}. \quad (6)$$

Why do you need the extra two equations? Recall from the slides (on the derivation of perturbation solutions) that we add *one* forecast error to each equation. But the original equation does not have one forecast error. It has two.

## 3 First-order?

Although equation (1) can be solved with Dynare you do need second-order. The reason is that the interaction between  $y_t$  and  $z_t$  is key and that would be lost in a linear approximation (both for the original and the alternative model).

## 4 Example

Suppose the complete model is the following:

$$x_t = \mathbb{E}_t [y_{t+1}] \mathbb{E}_t [z_{t+1}], \quad (7)$$

$$y_t = \rho_y y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad (8)$$

$$z_t = \rho_z z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t. \quad (9)$$

The alternative model is given by

$$x_t = \mathbb{E}_t [y_{t+1}z_{t+1}], \quad (10)$$

$$y_t = \rho_y y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad (11)$$

$$z_t = \rho_z z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t. \quad (12)$$

Note that  $y_t$  and  $z_t$  are driven by the same innovation. Such perfect correlation is only done to simplify the model. Recall that these two models are different as long as  $y_{t+1}$  and  $z_{t+1}$  are not independent.

**True solution.** The true solution for the original model is (obviously)

$$x_t = \rho_y \rho_z y_t z_t \quad (13)$$

and the solution of the alternative model is given by

$$x_t = \rho_y \rho_z y_t z_t + \sigma^2, \quad (14)$$

where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of  $\varepsilon_t$ .

**First-order perturbation.** The first-order perturbation solution for  $x_t$  is given by

$$\mathbf{x} = 0 \quad (15)$$

for both models. As mentioned above, the cross product is key and first-order perturbation does not give us that.

**Second-order perturbation.** The Dynare program for the original model would be

$$\mathbf{y} = \text{rho\_y} * \mathbf{y}(-1) + \mathbf{e}, \quad (16)$$

$$\mathbf{z} = \text{rho\_z} * \mathbf{z}(-1) + \mathbf{e}, \quad (17)$$

$$\mathbf{yexp} = \mathbf{y}(+1), \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbf{zexp} = \mathbf{z}(+1), \quad (19)$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{yexp} * \mathbf{zexp}. \quad (20)$$

This Dynare program gives the correct solution for the original model when second-order perturbation is used.

If we use the following dynare model

$$\mathbf{y} = \text{rho\_y} * \mathbf{y}(-1) + \mathbf{e}, \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbf{z} = \text{rho\_z} * \mathbf{z}(-1) + \mathbf{e}, \quad (22)$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}(+1) * \mathbf{zexp}(+1), \quad (23)$$

then we get a *different* solution, namely the one corresponding to the alternative model.