Advanced Tools in Macroeconomics

Continuous time models (and methods)

Pontus Rendahl

2023

The Aiyagari Model in Continuous Time

- ► In these slides we will apply our knowledge thus far to the Aiyagari model in continuous time.
- ► This will also be today's exercise
- 1. Households' problem
- 2. Firms problem
- 3. Equilibrium

- ► Households can be employed or unemployed
- ▶ When employed they receive income $w_t(1-\tau_t)$
- lacktriangle When unemployed they receive unemployment benefits equal to μw_t
- ▶ An employed individual becomes unemployed with probability λ_e .
- An unemployed individual becomes employed with probability λ_u
- ▶ In an Aiyagari model prices are constant: $r_t = r$ and $w_t = w \ \forall t$

Dynamics of aggregate unemployment

$$e_{t+1} = (1 - \lambda_e)e_t + \lambda_u u_t$$

$$u_{t+1} = \lambda_e e_t + (1 - \lambda_u)u_t$$

 \triangleright \triangle units of time

$$e_{t+\Delta} = (1 - \Delta \lambda_e)e_t + \Delta \lambda_u u_t$$

 $u_{t+\Delta} = \Delta \lambda_e e_t + (1 - \Delta \lambda_u)u_t$

Rearrange and take limits

$$\dot{e}_t = -\lambda_e e_t + \lambda_u u_t$$
 $\dot{u}_t = \lambda_e e_t - \lambda_u u_t$

System

$$\dot{\mathbf{s}}_t = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{s}_t$$

with

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_e & \lambda_u \\ \lambda_e & -\lambda_u \end{pmatrix}$$

Stationary equilibrium

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{s}$$

► Thus s is an eigenvector associated with a zero eigenvalue, with the eigenvector normalised to sum to one.

- Can be solved as a regular eigenvalue problem
- ▶ But since the eigenvector is only defined up to a scalar we can use the following trick
 - 1. Create vector

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and matrix} \quad \mathbf{\hat{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda_e & -\lambda_u \end{pmatrix}$$

- 2. Find $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ as $\hat{\mathbf{s}} = \hat{\mathbf{T}}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$.
- 3. Normalise **s** to sum to one to find **s**.
- ► The first element of **s** is then the stationary employment rate, and the second the stationary unemployment rate.

- Government runs a balanced budget, so not deficits
- ► The tax rate then solves $u\mu w = e\tau w$
- $\qquad \qquad \mathbf{Or \ just} \ \tau = \tfrac{u}{\mathrm{e}} \mu$

Bellman equation for an employed agent

$$\begin{split} v(a_t, e) &= \max_{c_t} \{u(c_t) + (1 - \rho) \times \\ &[(1 - \lambda_e)v(w_t(1 - \tau_t) + (1 + r_t)a_t - c_t, e) \\ &+ \lambda_e v(w_t(1 - \tau_t) + (1 + r_t)a_t - c_t, u)] \} \end{split}$$

subject to $a_t \geq \phi \ \forall t$.

 \triangleright Δ units of time

$$\begin{aligned} v(a_t, e) &= \max_{c_t} \{\Delta u(c_t) + (1 - \Delta \rho) \times \\ &[(1 - \Delta \lambda_e)v(\Delta(w_t(1 - \tau_t) + r_t a_t - c_t) + a_t, e) \\ &+ \Delta \lambda_e v(\Delta(w_t(1 - \tau_t) + r_t a_t - c_t) + a_t, u)] \} \end{aligned}$$

ightharpoonup Rearrange and divide by Δ

$$0 = \max_{c_t} \{u(c_t) + \frac{v(\Delta(w_t(1 - \tau_t) + r_t a_t - c_t) + a_t, e) - v(a_t, e)}{\Delta} - (\rho + \lambda_e + \Delta \rho \lambda_e)v(\Delta(w_t(1 - \tau_t) + r_t a_t - c_t) + a_t, e) + \lambda_e v(\Delta(w_t(1 - \tau_t) + r_t a_t - c_t) + a_t, u)]\}$$

Take limits and rearrange

$$\rho v(a, e) = \max_{c} \{ u(c) + v_{a}(a, e)(w(1 - \tau) + ra - c) - \lambda_{e}(v(a, e) - v(a, u)) \}$$

So households' problem is given by the two HJB equations

$$\rho v(a, e) = \max_{c} \{ u(c) + v_a(a, e)(w(1 - \tau) + ra - c) - \lambda_e(v(a, e) - v(a, u)) \}$$

$$\rho v(a, u) = \max_{c} \{ u(c) + v_{a}(a, u)(w\mu + ra - c) - \lambda_{u}(v(a, u) - v(a, e)) \}$$

Let's be smart in solving them!

- 1. Start with a linearly spaced grid for assets $\mathbf{a} = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N]$. Let $d\mathbf{a} = a(n+1) a(n)$.
- 2. For each grid for assets guess a for $v_0(a_i, j)$, $\forall a_i \in \mathbf{a}$, and $j \in \{e, u\}$. This gives us $\mathbf{v}_{0,e}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{0,u}$
- 3. Call the stacked $2N \times 1$ vector $(\mathbf{v}_{0,e}, \mathbf{v}_{0,u})'$ for \mathbf{v}_0 .

4. Create two $N \times N$ difference operators as

$$\label{eq:Df} \boldsymbol{D_f} = \begin{pmatrix} -1/da & 1/da & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1/da & 1/da & 0 & & \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \dots & & -1/da & 1/da \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{D_b} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1/da & 1/da & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & -1/da & 1/da & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \dots & & -1/da & 1/da \end{pmatrix}$$

5. Create one $2N \times 2N$ matrix as

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_e & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_e & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda_e & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_e & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \dots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda_e & 0 & \dots & \dots & \lambda_e \\ \lambda_u & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\lambda_u & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_u & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\lambda_u & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \dots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_u & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\lambda_u \end{pmatrix}$$

will be used later

6. Calculate the derivative of the value functions using both forward and backward differences

$$\mathbf{v}_f'(a,e) = \mathbf{D_f} \mathbf{v}_{0,e}, \quad \mathbf{v}_b'(a,e) = \mathbf{D_b} \mathbf{v}_{0,e}, \\ \mathbf{v}_f'(a,u) = \mathbf{D_f} \mathbf{v}_{0,u}, \quad \mathbf{v}_b'(a,u) = \mathbf{D_b} \mathbf{v}_{0,u},$$

- 7. Set the **first** elements of $\mathbf{v}_b'(a,e) = u'(w(1-\tau)+r\phi)$ and $\mathbf{v}_b'(a,u) = u'(w\mu+r\phi)$, and the **last** elements of $\mathbf{v}_f'(a,e) = u'(w(1-\tau)+ra_N)$ and $\mathbf{v}_f'(a,u) = u'(w\mu+ra_N)$
- 8. Find optimal consumption through

$$u'(\mathbf{c}_{e,f}) = \mathbf{v}'_f(a,e), \quad u'(\mathbf{c}_{e,b}) = \mathbf{v}'_b(a,e),$$

 $u'(\mathbf{c}_{u,f}) = \mathbf{v}'_f(a,u), \quad u'(\mathbf{c}_{u,b}) = \mathbf{v}'_b(a,u),$



9. Find optimal savings as

$$\mathbf{s}_{e,f} = w(1-\tau) + r\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c}_{e,f}, \quad \mathbf{s}_{e,b} = w(1-\tau) + r\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c}_{e,b},$$

 $\mathbf{s}_{u,f} = w\mu + r\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c}_{u,f}, \quad \mathbf{s}_{u,b} = w\mu + r\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c}_{u,b}$

10. Create indicator vectors

$$\mathbf{I}_{e,f} = (I_{1,e,f}, I_{2,e,f}, \dots, I_{N,e,f})', \quad \mathbf{I}_{e,b} = (I_{1,e,b}, I_{2,e,f}, \dots, I_{N,e,b})',$$

$$\mathbf{I}_{u,f} = (I_{1,u,f}, I_{2,u,f}, \dots, I_{N,u,f})', \quad \mathbf{I}_{u,b} = (I_{1,u,f}, I_{2,u,f}, \dots, I_{N,u,f})',$$

where $I_{i,j,f} = 1$ if $s_{i,j,f} > 0$ and $I_{i,j,b} = 1$ if $s_{i,j,b} < 0$, for i = 1, ..., N and $j \in \{e, u\}$.

11. Find consumption as

$$\mathbf{c}_e = \mathbf{I}_{e,f} \cdot \mathbf{c}_{e,f} + \mathbf{I}_{e,b} \cdot \mathbf{c}_{e,b}$$

 $\mathbf{c}_u = \mathbf{I}_{u,f} \cdot \mathbf{c}_{u,f} + \mathbf{I}_{u,b} \cdot \mathbf{c}_{u,b}$

12. Find savings as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{e} &= \mathbf{I}_{e,f} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{e,f} + \mathbf{I}_{e,b} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{e,b} \\ \mathbf{s}_{u} &= \mathbf{I}_{u,f} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{u,f} + \mathbf{I}_{u,b} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{u,b} \end{aligned}$$

13. And matrices S_eD_e and S_uD_u as

$$\begin{split} \textbf{S}_{e}\textbf{D}_{e} &= \textit{diag}(\textbf{I}_{e,f} \cdot \textbf{s}_{e,f})\textbf{D}_{f} + \textit{diag}(\textbf{I}_{e,b} \cdot \textbf{s}_{e,b})\textbf{D}_{b} \\ \textbf{S}_{u}\textbf{D}_{u} &= \textit{diag}(\textbf{I}_{u,f} \cdot \textbf{s}_{u,f})\textbf{D}_{f} + \textit{diag}(\textbf{I}_{u,b} \cdot \textbf{s}_{u,b})\textbf{D}_{b} \end{split}$$

14. Lastly find the $2N \times 2N$ matrix $\mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{D}_0$ as

$$\mathbf{S}_0\mathbf{D}_0 = egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}_e\mathbf{D}_e & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{S}_u\mathbf{D}_u \end{pmatrix}$$

15. And the matrix P_0 as

$$\boldsymbol{P}_0 = \boldsymbol{S}_0 \boldsymbol{D}_0 + \boldsymbol{B}$$

Using the implicit method the households' problem is given by the two HJB equations

$$\rho v_{n+1}(a,e) = u(c_n) + v_{a,n+1}(a,e)(w(1-\tau) + ra - c_n) - \lambda_e(v_{n+1}(a,e) - v_{n+1}(a,u))$$

$$\rho v_{n+1}(a, u) = u(c_n) + v_{a,n+1}(a, u)(w\mu + ra - c) - \lambda_u(v_{n+1}(a, u) - v_{n+1}(a, e))$$

► These can now be written as

$$\rho \mathbf{v}_{n+1} = u(\mathbf{c}_n) + \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{v}_{n+1}$$

with
$$\mathbf{c}_n = (\mathbf{c}_{n,e}, \mathbf{c}_{n,u})$$
.

So we iterate on

$$\mathbf{v}_{n+1} = [(\rho + 1/\Gamma)\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_n]^{-1}[u(\mathbf{c}_n) + \mathbf{v}_n/\Gamma]$$

until convergence

Firms

Firms fact the standard static optimisations problem

$$\Pi_t = \max\{K_t^{\alpha} N_t^{1-\alpha} - w_t N_t - (r_t + \delta) K_t\}$$

With first order conditions

$$r_t = \alpha \left(\frac{K_t}{N_t}\right)^{\alpha-1} - \delta, \quad w_t = (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{K_t}{N_t}\right)^{\alpha}$$

In a stationary equilibrium this implies

$$r = \alpha \left(\frac{K}{(1-u)} \right)^{\alpha-1} - \delta, \quad w = (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{r+\delta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}$$

Stationary distribution

- ▶ What is the evolution of the endogenous stationary distribution of wealth and employment status?
- ▶ Denote the CDF as $G_{t+1}(a, e)$. This must satisfy

$$G_{t+1}(a, e) = (1 - \lambda_e)G_t(a_{-1}^e, e) + \lambda_uG_t(a_{-1}^u, u),$$

where a_{-1}^j denotes "where you came from" from optimally setting $a_{t+1}=a$ in employment status $j\in\{e,u\}$.

In Δ units of time approximate this as $a_{-1}^e = a - \Delta s_e$ and $a - \Delta s_u$. Thus

$$G_{t+\Delta}(a,e) = (1-\Delta\lambda_e)G_t(a-\Delta s_e,e) + \Delta\lambda_uG_t(a-\Delta s_u,u),$$



Stationary distribution

$$G_{t+\Delta}(a,e) = (1-\Delta\lambda_e)G_t(a-\Delta s_e,e) + \Delta\lambda_uG_t(a-\Delta s_u,u),$$

▶ Subtract $G_t(a, e)$ from both sides and divide by Δ

$$rac{G_{t+\Delta}(a,e)-G_t(a,e)}{\Delta} = rac{G_t(a-\Delta s_e,e)-G_t(a,e)}{\Delta} \ -\lambda_e G_t(a-\Delta s_e,e) + \lambda_u G_t(a-\Delta s_u,u),$$

▶ Take limits

$$\dot{G}_t(a,e) = -g_t(a,e)s_e(a) - \lambda_e G_t(a,e) + \lambda_u G_t(a,u),$$



Stationary distribution/Kolmogorov Forward Equation

$$\dot{G}_t(a,e) = -g_t(a,e)s_e(a) - \lambda_e G_t(a,e) + \lambda_u G_t(a,u),$$

Differentiate with respect to a

$$\dot{g}_t(a,e) = -\frac{\partial [g_t(a,e)s_e(a)]}{\partial a} - \lambda_e g_t(a,e) + \lambda_u g_t(a,u),$$

▶ Thus the law of motion for the endogenous distribution is

$$\dot{g}_t(a, e) = -\frac{\partial [g_t(a, e)s_e(a)]}{\partial a} - \lambda_e g_t(a, e) + \lambda_u g_t(a, u),$$
 $\dot{g}_t(a, u) = -\frac{\partial [g_t(a, u)s_u(a)]}{\partial a} - \lambda_u g_t(a, u) + \lambda_e g_t(a, e)$



Stationary distribution/Kolmogorov Forward Equation

Remember the matrix

$$\mathbf{P}_n = \mathbf{S}_n \mathbf{D}_n + \mathbf{B}.$$

When converged

$$P = SD + B$$

► Turns out that

$$\dot{\mathbf{g}}_t = \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{g}_t$$

▶ Where \mathbf{g}_t is the stacked vector $(\mathbf{g}_t(a, e), \mathbf{g}_t(a, u))'$

Solving the Aiyagari model

1. Guess for an interest rate r_n . Find w_n as

$$w_n = (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{r_n + \delta}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}}$$

2. Find v such that

$$\mathbf{v} = [(
ho + 1/\Gamma)\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}]^{-1}[u(c(\mathbf{v})) + \mathbf{v}/\Gamma]$$

3. Find **g** by solving

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{g}$$

and normalise to sum to one (remember how we found ${\bf s}$ above)

Solving the Aiyagari model

4. Find K_n as

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \mathbf{g}' \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{pmatrix}$$

5. Find \hat{r} as

$$\hat{r} = \alpha \left(\frac{K_n}{(1-u)} \right)^{\alpha-1} - \delta$$

- 6. If $\hat{r} > r_n$ set $r_{n+1} > r_n$, else set $r_{n+1} < r_n$.
- 7. Repeat until $\hat{r} \approx r_n$.