

Tetris AI Learning Journey — Understanding Features, Learning, and Functions

By Kelvin-Air Erayanmen (SDT-201-A)

When I started working on my Tetris AI project, I honestly thought it was just about making the game play itself using some clever rules. But as I kept going, I realized it was actually about understanding how machine learning systems represent and learn from the world.

At first, I was really confused about the evaluation function. In the code, there was this line that looked like:

$$\text{score} = w1f1 + w2f2 + \dots + w8*f8$$

I didn't understand how this was related to learning. It looked like simple math, not intelligence.

Then in the lecture slides, I saw those functions $f1(x), f2(x), \dots, fn(x)$ and some equation $f_j : X \rightarrow D_j$.

At that time, it didn't click that this was exactly what I was already doing.

In my code, the features like aggregate height, holes, bumpiness, complete lines, etc., were those same $f(x)$ functions.

Each one described the Tetris board in a numerical way.

Together, they made the feature vector $(f1(x), f2(x), \dots, f8(x))$.

When I saw the matrix $F = [f_j(x_i)]$ in the slides, I thought it was just theory.

Later I realized it was basically what I was generating during simulation — every board was a row, every feature a column.

That was my data matrix.

It suddenly made sense that my project wasn't just coding — I was actually creating data and analyzing it.

The next confusing part was about the algorithm $a = \mu(X^T, Y^T)$.

I didn't get what μ was supposed to do.

Then after implementing the genetic algorithm (GA), I understood that μ was the method that finds the best weights $w1\dots w8$ based on how well the AI performs.

The GA was "training" my model — it was optimizing the same linear function $a(x) = \sum w_j * f_j(x)$.

That realization completely changed my understanding of machine learning.

It's not about magic or hidden intelligence. It's about adjusting parameters to match what we want — in this case, longer survival or more cleared lines.

I also used to misunderstand what features really were.

I thought they were just random values, but I learned that features are simply measurements of how good or bad a state is.

For example, when holes increase, performance drops; when complete lines increase, performance improves.

That's when it clicked that features are like opinions, and the weights decide which opinions matter more.

When I started tuning weights automatically using GA, I saw what "learning" actually means.

Instead of guessing values by hand, the system explored, failed, and evolved.

The learning process replaced my intuition with systematic optimization.

Then, when the lecture talked about different types of features — binary, categorical, ordinal, and real — I saw that all my Tetris features were real-valued.

It also mentioned regression, classification, and ranking tasks.

That helped me understand that my AI was doing a regression-like task: it predicts a number that represents how good the board is.

After connecting everything, I understood the three main stages clearly:

1. Feature engineering means defining $f_j(x)$.
2. Learning means finding w_j .
3. Prediction means computing $a(x) = w \cdot f(x)$.

In the end, the formulas from the slides and the code in my project were describing the same process — I just didn't see it at first.

This project taught me that learning algorithms are not mysterious.

They are ways to gradually improve decisions by measuring and adjusting.
Even a simple Tetris AI demonstrates perception, evaluation, and adaptation.

At first, I coded without understanding.

Then I read the math and got lost.

After experimenting, everything connected — the equations, the game, and the behavior of the AI.
Now when I look at those slides, I actually understand how they apply to real code.

This was one of the first times I felt like theory and practice finally met each other.

End of Report — Kelvin-Air Erayanmen