

# EGMO Solutions

Kempu33334

July 2025

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Fundamentals of Number Theory</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Divisibility . . . . .	3
1.2	Divisibility Properties . . . . .	3
1.3	Euclid's Division Lemma . . . . .	3
1.4	Primes . . . . .	3
1.5	Looking at Numbers as Multisets . . . . .	4
1.6	GCD and LCM . . . . .	4
1.7	Euclid's Division Algorithm . . . . .	5
1.8	Bézout's Theorem . . . . .	5
1.9	Base Systems . . . . .	6
1.10	Extra Results as Problems . . . . .	7
1.11	Example Problems . . . . .	7
1.12	Practice Problems . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Modular Arithmetic Basics</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	Motivation . . . . .	15
2.2	Remainder Idea . . . . .	15
2.3	Residue Classes . . . . .	15
2.4	Basic Properties . . . . .	15
2.5	Two Special Equal Sets . . . . .	17
2.6	Fermat's Little Theorem . . . . .	17
2.7	Inverses . . . . .	17
2.8	Simple Properties of Inverses and Wilson's Theorem . . . . .	18
2.9	General Equal Sets . . . . .	19
2.10	Euler's Theorem . . . . .	19
2.11	General Inverses . . . . .	19
2.12	Extra Results as Problems . . . . .	20
2.13	Example Problems . . . . .	20
2.14	Practice Problems . . . . .	21
<b>3</b>	<b>Arithmetic Functions</b>	<b>30</b>
3.1	Number of Divisors . . . . .	30
3.2	Sum of Divisors . . . . .	30
3.3	Euler's Totient Function . . . . .	30
3.4	Multiplicative Functions . . . . .	32
3.5	Floor and Ceiling Functions . . . . .	34
3.6	Example Problems . . . . .	36
3.7	Practice Problems . . . . .	36

<b>4</b>	<b>Diophantine Equations</b>	<b>47</b>
4.1	Parity . . . . .	47
4.2	Factoring Equations . . . . .	47
4.3	Using Inequalities . . . . .	47
4.4	Modular Contradictions . . . . .	47
4.5	Fermat's Last Theorem . . . . .	47
4.6	Infinite Descent . . . . .	47
4.7	Vieta Jumping . . . . .	47
4.8	Pell's Equations . . . . .	49
4.9	Practice Problems . . . . .	50

# 1 Fundamentals of Number Theory

## 1.1 Divisibility

*No problems.*

## 1.2 Divisibility Properties

### Problem 1.2.1

Show that if  $n > 1$  is an integer,  $n \nmid 2n^2 + 3n + 1$ .

Assume there exists such an  $n$ . Then, subtracting  $n(2n + 3)$  from the RHS of the condition, we find that  $n \nmid 1$ , so  $n = 1$  or  $-1$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

### Problem 1.2.2

Let  $a > b$  be natural numbers. Show that  $a \nmid 2a + b$ .

Assume for the sake of contradiction there exists  $a > b$  where  $a \mid 2a + b$ . Then,  $a \mid b$ , implying that  $a \leq b$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

### Problem 1.2.3

For 2 fixed integers  $x, y$ , prove that

$$x - y \mid x^n - y^n$$

for any non-negative integer  $n$ .

Clearly, the statement is equivalent to  $x^n - y^n \pmod{x - y} \equiv 0$ . However, we can write that

$$x^n - y^n \equiv (x - (x - y))^n - y^n \equiv 0 \pmod{x - y}$$

as required.  $\square$

## 1.3 Euclid's Division Lemma

*No problems.*

## 1.4 Primes

### Problem 1.4.1

Find all positive integers  $n$  for which  $3n - 4$ ,  $4n - 5$ , and  $5n - 3$  are all prime numbers.

In order for  $5n - 3$  to be prime, we must have  $n$  even or  $n = 1$ . Hence, make the transformation  $n = 2n'$ . Then,  $3n - 4 \mapsto 6n' - 4$ , which can never be prime other than when  $n = 2$ . Trying both  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ , we find that only  $n = \boxed{2}$  works.  $\square$

**Problem 1.4.2**

If  $p < q$  are two consecutive odd prime numbers, show that  $p + q$  has at least 3 prime factors (not necessarily distinct).

Clearly, it cannot have zero or one prime factor. If it has two prime factors, then we can express

$$p + q = rs$$

for some primes  $r$  and  $s$ . However, we know that one of these has to be 2, hence WLOG assume it is  $r$ . Then,

$$\frac{p + q}{2} = s$$

which implies that there exists a prime between  $p$  and  $q$ , which contradicts the fact that they are consecutive, as required.  $\square$

**1.5 Looking at Numbers as Multisets**

*No problems.*

**1.6 GCD and LCM****Problem 1.6.1**

Prove that  $\gcd(a, b) = a$  if and only if  $a \mid b$ .

We start with the if direction. Clearly, if  $a = 2^{a_1} 3^{a_2} \dots$  and  $b = 2^{b_1} 3^{b_2} \dots$ , then the divisibility condition implies  $a_i \leq b_i$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Hence,

$$\min(a_i, b_i) = a_i$$

which proves the claim.

For the only if direction, we know that  $\min(a_i, b_i) = a_i$  for any  $i \geq 1$ , implying that  $a_i \leq b_i$ , which proves the desired result.  $\square$

**Problem 1.6.2**

If  $p$  is a prime, prove that  $\gcd(a, p) \in \{1, p\}$ .

Clearly, the only divisors of  $p$  are 1 and  $p$ .  $\square$

**Problem 1.6.3**

Let  $a, b$  be relatively prime. Show that if  $a \mid c$ ,  $b \mid c$ , then  $ab \mid c$ .

This is clear since  $ab = \gcd(a, b) \operatorname{lcm}(a, b) = \operatorname{lcm}(a, b) \mid c$ .  $\square$

**Problem 1.6.4**

Prove that if  $p$  is a prime with  $p \mid ab$ , then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

Clearly, if  $p \nmid a$  and  $p \nmid b$ , then  $p \nmid ab$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 1.7 Euclid's Division Algorithm

### Problem 1.7.1

Find  $\gcd(120, 500)$  using the algorithm.

We have that

$$\gcd(120, 500) = \gcd(120, 20) = \boxed{20}$$

as required.  $\square$

### Problem 1.7.2

Show that  $\gcd(4n + 3, 2n) \in \{1, 3\}$ .

We note that

$$\gcd(4n + 3, 2n) = \gcd(3, 2n)$$

which implies the conclusion.  $\square$

### Problem 1.7.3

Let  $a, b$  be integers. We can write  $a = bq + r$  for integers  $q, r$  where  $0 \leq r < b$ . Then our lemma states that

$$\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(r, b).$$

However, is  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = \text{lcm}(r, b)$ ?

No. If so, then multiplying the two, we have that

$$ab = rb \implies a = r$$

which cannot be true.  $\square$

## 1.8 Bézout's Theorem

### Problem 1.8.1

Let  $a, b, x, y, n$  be integers such that

$$ax + by = n.$$

Prove that  $\gcd(a, b)$  divides  $n$ .

Clearly, since  $\gcd(a, b)$  divides the LHS, it must also divide the RHS, as required.  $\square$

### Problem 1.8.2

Let  $(a, b) = (8, 12)$ . Find  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$ax + by = \gcd(a, b).$$

It suffices to find  $x$  and  $y$  satisfying

$$2x + 3y = 1$$

and clearly,  $(x, y) = \boxed{(2, -1)}$  works.  $\square$

**Problem 1.8.3**

Let  $(a, b) = (7, 12)$ . Find  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$ax + by = \gcd(a, b).$$

We must find  $x$  and  $y$  where

$$7x + 12y = 1$$

but clearly  $(x, y) = (7, -4)$  works, so we are done.  $\square$

## 1.9 Base Systems

**Problem 1.9.1**

Find 37 in base 5. Find 69 in base 2.

The former is  $122_5$ , and the latter is  $1000101_2$ .  $\square$

**Problem 1.9.2**

Show that any power of 2 is of the form  $100 \dots 0_2$ .

This is clear, since  $2^n$  will be expressed as  $1 \underbrace{00 \dots 0}_{n \text{ times}}$ .

**Problem 1.9.3**

Prove in general that if  $n = a_0 \times \ell^0 + \dots + a_k \times \ell^k$ , then  $k$  is such that  $\ell^k \leq n < \ell^{k+1}$  and  $a_k$  is such that  $a_k \ell^k \leq n < (a_k + 1) \ell^k$ .

Clearly, since  $a_k \geq 1$ , we have that  $\ell^k \leq n$ . In addition, since  $a_{k+1} = 0$ , we have the other bound. Now, for the latter statement, the lower bound is obvious. The upper bound can be shown by considering that  $a_i < \ell$  for all  $i$  and using the geometric series formula.

**Problem 1.9.4**

Let  $k = \lfloor \log_\ell(n) \rfloor$ . Show that  $n$  has exactly  $k + 1$  digits in base  $\ell$ .

Note that since

$$\ell^k = \ell^{\lfloor \log_\ell(n) \rfloor} \leq n$$

we know that  $n$  has at least  $k + 1$  digits in base  $\ell$ . In addition,

$$\ell^{k+1} = \ell^{\lfloor \log_\ell(n) \rfloor + 1} > \ell^{\log_\ell(n)} = n$$

which shows that there are at most  $k + 1$  digits, as required.  $\square$

## 1.10 Extra Results as Problems

### Problem 1.10.1

Prove that if  $ab = cd$ , then  $a + b + c + d$  is not a prime number.

Substitute  $a = pq$ ,  $b = rs$ ,  $c = pr$ , and  $d = qs$ . Then,

$$a + b + c + d = pq + pr + qs + rs = (q + r)(p + s)$$

so we are done.  $\square$

## 1.11 Example Problems

*No problems.*

## 1.12 Practice Problems

### Problem 1.12.1

Show that any composite number  $n$  has a prime factor  $\leq \sqrt{n}$ .

Assume not. Then, since  $n$  has at least two prime factors, consider any two of them, say  $p$  and  $q$ . Since  $pq \leq n$ , we know that  $p$  and  $q$  cannot both be greater than  $\sqrt{n}$ , so at least one of them is  $\leq \sqrt{n}$ , contradiction.  $\square$

### Problem 1.12.2 (IMO 1959/1)

Prove that for any natural number  $n$ , the fraction

$$\frac{21n + 4}{14n + 3}$$

is irreducible.

We have that

$$\gcd(21n + 4, 14n + 3) = \gcd(7n + 1, 14n + 3) = \gcd(7n + 1, 1) = 1$$

so they are relatively prime, as required.  $\square$

### Problem 1.12.3

Let  $x, y, a, b, c$  be integers.

1. Prove that  $2x + 3y$  is divisible by 17 if and only if  $9x + 5y$  is divisible by 17.
2. If  $4a + 5b - 3c$  is divisible by 19, prove that  $6a - 2b + 5c$  is also divisible by 19.

We start with the first statement and the if direction. We have that  $9x + 5y \pmod{17} \equiv 0$ . Multiplying by 4, we have that  $36x + 20y \pmod{17} \equiv 2x + 3y \equiv 0$  as required. For the only if direction, we can multiply  $2x + 3y \pmod{17} \equiv 0$  by 13.

For the second part, we have that  $4a + 5b - 3c \pmod{19} \equiv 0$ , and multiplying by 11 gives the desired result.  $\square$

**Problem 1.12.4**

Define the  $n$ th Fermat number  $F_n$  by  $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$ . Show that  $\gcd(F_m, F_n) = 1$  for any  $m \neq n$ .

Assume for the sake of contradiction there exist  $m \neq n$  such that  $\gcd(F_m, F_n) \neq 1$ . Then, let  $p$  be some prime dividing  $F_m$ . Then,

$$2^{2^m} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \implies 2^{2^{m+1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Hence the order of 2 (mod  $p$ ) is  $2^{m+1}$ . Similarly, if  $p$  divides  $F_n$ , then we find that the order of 2 (mod  $p$ ) is  $2^{n+1}$ . However, these two quantities can only be equal if  $m = n$ , which is a contradiction of the original statement.  $\square$

**Problem 1.12.5**

Prove that for each positive integer  $n$ , there is a positive integer  $m$  such that each term of the infinite sequence  $m + 1, m^m + 1, m^{m^m} + 1, \dots$  is divisible by  $n$ .

If  $n$  is even, then take  $m = n - 1$ . This clearly works since

$$(n-1)^{(n-1)^{(n-1)^{\dots}}} \equiv (-1)^{(n-1)^{(n-1)^{\dots}}} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}.$$

If  $n$  is odd, then take  $m = 2n - 1$ . Then, we have that

$$(2n-1)^{(2n-1)^{(2n-1)^{\dots}}} \equiv (-1)^{(2n-1)^{(2n-1)^{\dots}}} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$$

as required.  $\square$

**Problem 1.12.6 (Romanian Mathematical Olympiad)**

Let  $a, b$  be positive integers such that there exists a prime  $p$  with the property  $\text{lcm}(a, a+p) = \text{lcm}(b, b+p)$ . Prove that  $a = b$ .

We have that

$$\frac{a^2 + ap}{\gcd(a, p)} = \frac{b^2 + bp}{\gcd(b, p)} \implies \frac{\gcd(b, p)}{\gcd(a, p)} = \frac{b^2 + bp}{a^2 + ap}.$$

We now case on the  $v_p$  of the two variables.

If  $v_p(a) = v_p(b) = 0$  or  $v_p(a), v_p(b) \geq 1$ , then we have that

$$a^2 + ap = b^2 + bp \implies (a-b)(a+b+p) = 0.$$

Hence, either  $a = b$ , or one of  $a$  or  $b$  is negative, which we cannot have. Hence, this case is done.

Now, if  $v_p(a) = 0$  and  $v_p(b) \geq 1$ , then we have that

$$p(a^2 + ap) = b^2 + bp \implies a^2p + ap^2 - b^2 - bp = 0$$

however this means that  $p \mid b$ , so substituting  $b = kp$ , we have that

$$a^2 + ap - k^2p - kp = 0$$

which implies the same thing as the case above, so  $a = k$  implying that  $b = ap$ . However, this means that

$$p = \frac{b^2 + bp}{a^2 + ap} = \frac{a^2p^2 + ap^2}{a^2 + ap} \implies a^2 + ap = a^2p + ap$$

so  $p = 1$ , which doesn't work.

The case where  $v_p(a) \geq 1$  and  $v_p(b) = 0$  is similar.

Hence, exhausted all cases, we are done.  $\square$



**Problem 1.12.7 (St. Petersburg 1996)**

Find all positive integers  $n$  such that

$$3^{n-1} + 5^{n-1} \mid 3^n + 5^n.$$

We have that

$$3^{n-1} + 5^{n-1} \mid 3 \cdot 3^{n-1} + 5 \cdot 5^{n-1} \implies 5^{n-1} - 3^{n-1} \pmod{3^{n-1} + 5^{n-1}} \equiv 0.$$

Hence, we must have that  $5^{n-1} = 3^{n-1}$  so  $n = \boxed{1}$ .

**Problem 1.12.8 (Russia 2001 Grade 11 Day 2/2)**

Let  $a, b$  be naturals such that  $ab(a+b)$  is divisible by  $a^2 + ab + b^2$ . Show that  $|a-b| > \sqrt[3]{ab}$ .

Let  $\gcd(a, b) = d$ , so that  $a = dm$  and  $b = dn$ . Then,

$$m^2 + mn + n^2 \mid dm n(m+n)$$

and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . In addition, we make a claim.

**Claim**

If  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , then  $\gcd(m^2 + mn + n^2, mn(m+n)) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be a prime dividing  $m$ . Then, notice that it also divides  $mn(m+n)$ . Now, in order for  $p$  to divide  $m^2 + mn + n^2$ , it would have to divide  $n^2$ , but  $m$  and  $n$  don't share a common prime factor. Hence, we have the required conclusion.  $\square$

As a result, we know that  $m^2 + mn + n^2 \mid d$ , so  $m^2 + mn + n^2 \leq d$ . Hence,

$$|a-b|^3 \geq d^2 \cdot d|m-n|^3 \geq d^2(m^2 + mn + n^2) = a^2 + ab + b^2 > ab$$

so we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 1.12.9 (Germany)**

Let  $m$  and  $n$  be two positive integers where  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Prove that for every positive integer  $k$ ,  $n+m$  is a divisor of  $n^2 + km^2$  if and only if  $n+m$  is a divisor of  $k+1$ .

We start with the only if direction. Since

$$n^2 + km^2 \equiv m^2 + km^2 \equiv (k+1)m^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m+n}$$

and as  $\gcd(m, m+n) = 1$ , we know that  $m+n$  divides  $k+1$ .

We proceed with the if direction. Since

$$0 \equiv k+1 \equiv (k+1)m^2 \equiv m^2 + km^2 \equiv n^2 + km^2 \pmod{m+n}$$

as required.  $\square$

**Problem 1.12.10 (Japan 2020 Junior Finals P3)**

Find all tuples of positive integers  $(a, b, c)$  such that

$$4 \operatorname{lcm}(a, b, c) = ab + bc + ca.$$

WLOG let  $a \leq b \leq c$ . Then, we know that  $a \mid bc$ ,  $b \mid ac$ , and  $c \mid ab$ . Now, since  $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b, c) \mid ab$ , we may make the following claim.

**Claim**

We claim that  $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b, c) = ab$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, if it is not equal to  $ab$ , then  $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b, c) \leq \frac{ab}{2}$ . Then, substituting gives that

$$ab = bc + ac$$

which cannot work. Hence, we have the required conclusion.  $\square$

Hence, substituting  $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b, c) = ab$ , we find that

$$3ab = bc + ac$$

and from the claim above,  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ . Hence, we find that either  $c \mid 3$ ,  $c \mid a$ , or  $c \mid b$ . We now case.

- If  $c \mid 3$ , then either  $c = 3$  or  $c = 1$ . If it is the latter, then we must have  $a = b = c = 1$ , which clearly does not work. If it is the former, then we wish to find solutions to  $ab = a + b$  which factors as  $(a - 1)(b - 1) = 1$ , so we must have  $a = b = 2$ . Trying this, we see that this does not work.
- If  $c \mid a$ , then we know that  $a = b = c$ , so trying this,

$$4a = 3a^2 \implies a = \frac{4}{3}$$

which does not work.

- If  $c \mid b$ , then we know that  $b = c$ , so the equation reduces to

$$4 \operatorname{lcm}(a, b) = 2ab + b^2.$$

Now, if  $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b) = ab$ , then we know that  $2ab = b^2$  so  $2a = b$ , but then we must have  $b = c = 2$ , so  $a = 1$ . Trying this, we see that this is indeed a solution. Else, we know that  $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b) = \frac{ab}{2}$ , but this cannot lead to solutions.

Hence, the only solution is  $\boxed{(1, 2, 2)}$  and permutations.  $\square$

**Problem 1.12.11 (Iran MO 2017 Round 2/1)**

Prove the following:

1. There doesn't exist a sequence  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$  of positive integers such that for all  $i < j$ , we have  $\gcd(a_i + j, a_j + i) = 1$ .
2. Let  $p$  be an odd prime number. Prove that there exists a sequence  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$  of positive integers such that for all  $i < j$ ,  $p \nmid \gcd(a_i + j, a_j + i)$ .

We start with the first part. Notice that by selecting  $i = 2m$  and  $j = 2n$ , we find that any even indexed term must be odd. Then, by taking  $i = 2m$  and  $j = 2n - 1$ , we find that all odd indexed terms must be odd also. However, selecting  $i = 2m - 1$  and  $j = 2n - 1$  then gives a contradiction since 2 must divide it.

We finish with the second part. Notice that  $\gcd(a_i + j, a_j + i) = \gcd((a_i + i) + (a_j + j), a_j + i)$ . Hence, it suffices to find a sequence  $\{a\}$  where

$$p \nmid (a_i + i) + (a_j + j).$$

However, we can just select the sequence where  $a_i = (i - 1)p + 2 - i$ , so that

$$\{a\} = 1, p, 2p - 1, 3p - 2, \dots$$

Then, notice that the sum of any two terms must be  $4 \pmod{p}$ , as required.  $\square$

**Problem 1.12.12 (Russia 2017 Grade 10 Day 1/5)**

Suppose  $n$  is a composite positive integer. Let  $1 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k < n$  be all the divisors of  $n$ . It is known, that  $a_1 + 1, \dots, a_k + 1$  are all divisors for some  $m$  (except 1,  $m$ ). Find all such  $n$ .

We find that we must have

$$(a_1 + 1)(a_k + 1) = (a_2 + 1)(a_{k-1} + 1) = \dots$$

and as a result,

$$a_1 + a_k = a_2 + a_{k-1} = \dots$$

Now, looking at the first equality, we must have that

$$a_1 + \frac{n}{a_1} = a_2 + \frac{n}{a_2} \implies a_1 - a_2 = n \left( \frac{1}{a_2} - \frac{1}{a_1} \right) = \frac{n(a_1 - a_2)}{a_1 a_2}.$$

Hence, either  $a_1 = a_2$  or  $a_1 a_2 = n$ . Clearly, we cannot have the latter, so  $\tau(n) \leq 4$ . We now case.

- If  $\tau(n) = 2$ , then it must be prime, which contradicts the problem statement.
- If  $\tau(n) = 3$ , then  $n = p^2$  for some prime  $p$ . Then, we require for  $p + 1$  to be the all the divisors of some  $m$ , but  $2 \mid p + 1$  unless  $p = 2$ , for which we find the solution  $n = 4$ .
- If  $\tau(n) = 4$ , then either  $n = p^3$  or  $n = pq$  for primes  $p, q$ . If it is the former, then there must exist  $m$  such that all the divisors of  $m$  are  $p + 1$  and  $p^2 + 1$ . However, notice that unless  $p = 2$ , both are divisible by two, so this is impossible. If  $p = 2$ , then notice that  $m = 15$  works, so this is satisfactory. On the other hand, if  $n = pq$ , then WLOG  $p < q$ . In that case,  $p + 1$  and  $q + 1$  must be all the divisors of  $m$ , however this is impossible for the same reason as the previous case.

Hence, in the end, our only solutions are  $n = \boxed{4, 8}$ , as required.  $\square$

**Problem 1.12.13 (IMO 2002/4)**

Let  $n \geq 2$  be a positive integer, with divisors  $1 = d_1 < d_2 < \cdots < d_k = n$ . Prove that  $d_1 d_2 + d_2 d_3 + \cdots + d_{k-1} d_k$  is always less than  $n^2$ , and determine when it is a divisor of  $n^2$ .

We first prove the first part. Notice that  $\max(d_i) = \frac{n}{k-i+1}$ . Hence, we wish to show that

$$\frac{n}{1} \cdot \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{n}{3} + \cdots + \frac{n}{k-1} \cdot \frac{n}{k} < n^2$$

which is clear by telescoping.

We now show the second part. We start with a claim.

**Claim**

In order for  $d_1 d_2 + d_2 d_3 + \cdots + d_{k-1} d_k \mid n^2$ ,  $n$  cannot be composite.

*Proof.* Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exists composite  $n$  that works. Let  $p$  be the smallest prime dividing  $n$ . Then, notice that

$$d_1 d_2 + d_2 d_3 + \cdots + d_{k-1} d_k > d_{k-1} d_k = \frac{n^2}{p}$$

so it cannot work, as required.  $\square$

Trying primes  $n = p$ , we find that we must have  $p + 1 \mid p^2$ , or

$$\gcd(p^2, p + 1) = p + 1.$$

Solving this, we require for  $1 = p + 1$ , which has no solutions. Hence, there exist no solutions.  $\square$

**Problem 1.12.14 (Russia 2001 Grade 10 Day 2/4)**

Find all odd positive integers  $n > 1$  such that if  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime divisors of  $n$ , then  $a + b - 1$  divides  $n$ .

We claim the answer is  $n = p^k$  for all odd primes  $p$  and positive integers  $k$ , which clearly works. We begin with a claim.

**Claim**

We claim  $n$  cannot have more than one prime factor.

*Proof.* Assume there exists, for the sake of contradiction, satisfactory  $n$  which contains more than one prime factor. Then, we write  $n = p^k m$ , where  $p \nmid m$  and  $m \neq 1$ . In that case, notice that  $p + m - 1$  must divide  $n$ . Now, since all the prime factors of  $m$  are greater than  $p$ , we know that  $\gcd(p - 1, m) = 1$ , so

$$p + m - 1 = p^\ell$$

for some non-negative integer  $\ell$ . In addition, we know that  $p^\ell + m - 1 = 2p^\ell - p$  must divide  $n$  and as a result, we know that  $2p^{\ell-1} - 1$  must divide  $m$ . As a result,

$$2p^{\ell-1} - 1 \mid p^\ell - p + 1 \implies \gcd(2p^{\ell-1} - 1, p^\ell - p + 1) = \gcd(2p^{\ell-1} - 1, p^{\ell-1} - (p - 1)/2) = 2p^{\ell-1} - 1.$$

However, we must have that

$$p^{\ell-1} + (p - 1)/2 \leq 1$$

which is clearly impossible.  $\square$

As a result, we take  $n = p^k$ , which clearly works.  $\square$

**Problem 1.12.15 (INMO 2019/3)**

Let  $m, n$  be distinct positive integers. Prove that

$$\gcd(m, n) + \gcd(m+1, n+1) + \gcd(m+2, n+2) \leq 2|m-n| + 1.$$

Further, determine when equality holds.

WLOG let  $m \geq n$ , and notice that

$$\gcd(m, n) + \gcd(m+1, n+1) + \gcd(m+2, n+2) = \gcd(m-n, n) + \gcd(m-n, n+1) + \gcd(m-n, n+2).$$

Then, we know that at most one of  $\gcd(m-n, n)$ ,  $\gcd(m-n, n+1)$ , and  $\gcd(m-n, n+2)$  can be exactly  $m-n$ , while the others must be less than or equal to  $\frac{m-n}{2}$  (as long as  $m-n > 1$ ). As a result, we find that

$$\gcd(m-n, n) + \gcd(m-n, n+1) + \gcd(m-n, n+2) \leq m-n + \frac{m-n}{2} + \frac{m-n}{2} = 2(m-n) < 2(m-n) + 1$$

which is good. On the other hand, we have equality if and only if  $m-n = 1$  or  $m-n = 2$  with even  $n$ .  $\square$

**Problem 1.12.16 (USAMO 2007/1)**

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Define a sequence by setting  $a_1 = n$  and for each  $k > 1$ , letting  $a_k$  to be the unique integer in the range  $0 \leq a_k \leq k-1$  for which  $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k$  is divisible by  $k$ . For instance, when  $n = 9$ , the obtained sequence is 9, 1, 2, 0, 3, 3, 3,  $\dots$ . Prove that for any  $n$ , the sequence  $\{a_i\}$  eventually becomes constant.

Notice that if there exists  $k$ ,

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k}{k} < k$$

then the sequence will become constant for obvious reasons (simply selecting  $\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k}{k}$  for all successive elements suffices). Hence, assume that this does not happen. Then, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &\geq 1 \\ a_1 + a_2 &\geq 4 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

However, the sequence  $\{a_i\}$  is bounded by  $a_i \leq i-1$ , and since  $n^2 - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  is monotonically increasing, this cannot hold. Hence, we have the required conclusion.  $\square$

**Problem 1.12.17 (USAMO 2007/5)**

Prove that for every nonnegative integer  $n$ , the number  $7^{7^n} + 1$  is the product of at least  $2n+3$  (not necessarily distinct) primes.

We induct on  $n$ . For the base case, it is clearly true for  $n = 0$ . Now, assume it is true for some  $n$ , so that  $m = 7^{7^n}$  is the product of at least  $2n+3$  primes. Then,

$$7^{7^{n+1}} + 1 = m^7 + 1 = (m+1)(m^6 - m^5 + m^4 - m^3 + m^2 - m + 1).$$

We may write that

$$m^6 - m^5 + m^4 - m^3 + m^2 - m + 1 = (m+1)^6 - 7m(m^2 + m + 1)^2$$

which is a difference of squares. Hence, the total number of prime divisors is at least  $2n + 3 + 2 = 2n + 5$ , so we have the required conclusion.

**Problem 1.12.18 (ELMO 2017/1)**

Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be positive integers with product  $P$ , where  $n$  is an odd positive integer. Prove that

$$\gcd(a_1^n + P, a_2^n + P, \dots, a_n^n + P) \leq 2 \cdot \gcd(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)^n.$$

Since the equation is homogeneous, assume that  $\gcd(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 1$ . Then, we wish to show that

$$g = \gcd(a_1^n + P, a_2^n + P, \dots, a_n^n + P) \leq 2.$$

Now, let  $p \mid g$  be a prime. If there exists  $i$  such that  $p \mid a_i$ , then we reach a contradiction with the condition on the gcd of all the  $a$ . Hence,  $\gcd(g, P) = 1$ . Now, since

$$g \mid a_i^n + P$$

over all  $i$ , we find that

$$a_i^n \equiv -P \pmod{g}$$

and a cyclic multiplication yields that

$$2P^g \equiv 0 \pmod{g}$$

so  $g \mid 2$ , as required.  $\square$

**Problem 1.12.19 (IMO 2001/6)**

Let  $a > b > c > d$  be positive integers and suppose that

$$ac + bd = (b + d + a - c)(b + d - a + c).$$

Prove that  $ab + cd$  is not prime.

We know that  $b + d + a - c \mid ac + bd$ , so

$$\gcd(ac + bd, b + d + a - c) = \gcd((a + b)(a + d), b + d + a - c) = b + d + a - c$$

so

$$b + d + a - c \mid (a + b)(a + d).$$

Similarly, we know that  $b + d - a + c \mid ac + bd$ , so

$$\gcd(ac + bd, b + d - a + c) = \gcd((b + c)(c + d), b + d - a + c) = b + d - a + c$$

so

$$b + d - a + c \mid (b + c)(c + d).$$

Hence, we find that

$$ac + bd \mid (a + b)(a + d)(b + c)(c + d) = ((ac + bd) + (ad + bc))((ab + cd) + (ac + bd))$$

implying that

$$ac + bd \mid (ad + bc)(ab + cd).$$

We know that

$$ab + cd > ac + bc > ad + bc$$

by the Rearrangement Inequality. Hence, if  $ab + cd$  were to be prime, then  $ac + bd \mid ad + bc$  which isn't possible, so we have the required conclusion.  $\square$

## 2 Modular Arithmetic Basics

### 2.1 Motivation

**Problem 2.1.1**

Show that  $a + n \equiv a \pmod{n}$ .

This is clear, since  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ .  $\square$

**Problem 2.1.2**

Let  $a, n$  be fixed integers. Show that the set of integers  $b$  such that  $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$  form an arithmetic progression. What is the common difference?

Since they all leave the same remainder when divided by  $n$ , we know that they form an arithmetic progress with common difference  $n$ .  $\square$

**Problem 2.1.3**

Show that the set of integers  $a$  such that  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  is the set of multiples of  $n$ .

Clearly, this is just all numbers which are divisible by  $n$ .  $\square$

### 2.2 Remainder Idea

*No problems.*

### 2.3 Residue Classes

**Problem 2.3.1**

Guess why the above classes are called “residue” classes.

Residue is just what is left behind.  $\square$

**Problem 2.3.2**

Show that the number of the classes modulo  $n$  is exactly  $n$ .

There is a clear bijection between the numbers  $0, 1, \dots, n-1$  and each residue class.  $\square$

### 2.4 Basic Properties

**Problem 2.4.1**

Show that  $ab$  has remainder  $rs \pmod{n}$  by writing  $a = nx + r$  and  $b = ny + s$  and evaluating  $ab$ .

We may write that

$$ab \equiv (nx + r)(ny + s) \equiv n(\dots) + rs \equiv rs \pmod{n}$$

as required.  $\square$

**Problem 2.4.2**

Find the remainder when  $2^{10}$  is divided by 10.

We know  $2^{10} = 1024$ , so the answer is 4.  $\square$

**Problem 2.4.3**

Find  $1002 \times 560 \pmod{7}$ .

Since  $7 \mid 560$ , the answer is 0.  $\square$

**Problem 2.4.4**

Show that if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , then  $ka \equiv kb \pmod{n}$  for any integer  $k$ .

We are given that  $a - b$  is a multiple of  $n$ , so  $k(a - b)$  is too.  $\square$

**Problem 2.4.5**

Show that  $a - b \mid a^n - b^n$  for any integer  $n$ .

We write the following:

$$a^n - b^n \equiv b^n - b^n \equiv 0 \pmod{a - b}$$

as required.  $\square$

**Problem 2.4.6**

If  $p$  is an odd prime, and  $a, b$  are coprime, show that

$$\gcd\left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{a + b}, a + b\right) \in \{1, p\}.$$

We may write that

$$\frac{a^p + b^p}{a + b} \equiv a^{p-1} - a^{p-2}b + \cdots + b^{p-1} \equiv pa^{p-1} \pmod{a + b}$$

and since  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , we clearly have the necessary conclusion.  $\square$

**Problem 2.4.7**

Let  $f$  be a polynomial with integer coefficients. Show that  $a - b \mid f(a) - f(b)$  for any integers  $a, b$  is the same as saying  $f(a + d) \equiv f(a) \pmod{d}$ .

Let  $b = a - d$ . Then, we find that

$$d \mid f(a) - f(a - d)$$

which is the required conclusion.  $\square$



**Problem 2.4.8**

Show that  $ka \equiv kb \pmod{n}$  implies  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  if and only if  $\gcd(k, n) = 1$ .

Clearly, an inverse  $k^{-1}$  with respect to modulo  $n$  exists if and only if  $\gcd(k, n) = 1$ , so both directions are trivial.  $\square$

**2.5 Two Special Equal Sets**

*No problems.*

**2.6 Fermat's Little Theorem****Problem 2.6.1**

Show that  $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$  holds in the case when  $\gcd(a, p) \neq 1$ .

Notice that by simply multiplying  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  by  $a$ , we take care of the case when  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and extend it, as required.  $\square$

**Problem 2.6.2**

Let  $a, b$  be integers and  $p$  a prime. Show that  $p$  divides  $ab^p - a^p b$ .

By Fermat's Little Theorem,

$$ab^p - a^p b \equiv ab - ab \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

as required.  $\square$

**Problem 2.6.3**

Find

$$2^{50} \pmod{7}.$$

By FLT,

$$2^{50} \equiv 2^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$$

as desired.  $\square$

**2.7 Inverses****Problem 2.7.1**

Show that inverses multiply like fractions.

Follows since multiplication is commutative.  $\square$

**Problem 2.7.2**

Find the inverse of all  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$  modulo 11.

This is just the set  $\{1, 6, 4, 3, 9, 2, 8, 7, 5, 10\}$  where each number is mapped to the respective number in the other set.  $\square$

**Problem 2.7.3**

Show that 0 does not have an inverse modulo  $p$ . What about  $p$ ?

Since 0 times anything is 0, it cannot equal 1 at any point. Similarly, since  $p$  is equivalent to 0 in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we have the required conclusion.  $\square$

**Problem 2.7.4**

Prove that if  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then

$$a^{p-2} \equiv a^{-1} \pmod{p}.$$

Follows by dividing FLT by  $a$ .  $\square$

**Problem 2.7.5**

Prove that the inverse of  $a^n$  is the  $n$ th power of the inverse of  $a$ . That is,

$$(a^{-1})^n \equiv (a^n)^{-1} \pmod{p}.$$

Using this, find the inverse of 256 modulo 47.

The first part follows by exponent rules. The second,

$$256^{-1} \equiv (2^8)^{-1} \equiv (2^{-1})^8 \equiv 24^8 \equiv 9 \pmod{47}$$

as required.  $\square$

## 2.8 Simple Properties of Inverses and Wilson's Theorem

**Problem 2.8.1**

Prove that if  $n$  is any natural satisfying  $(n-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ , then  $n$  must be a prime.

Follows by CRT and decomposition if  $n$  is composite.  $\square$

**Problem 2.8.2**

Let  $p$  be a prime. Show that the remainder when  $(p-1)!$  is divided by  $p(p-1)$  is  $p-1$ .

Follows by CRT and Wilson's Theorem.  $\square$

**Problem 2.8.3**

Let  $n$  be an integer. Calculate

$$\gcd(n! + 1, (n+1)!).$$

We split into cases based on if  $n+1$  is prime.

If  $n+1$  is prime, then it suffices to calculate  $\gcd(n! + 1, n+1)$ . However, by Wilson's Theorem, this is just  $n+1$ , so we have the required answer.

If  $n + 1$  is composite, then let  $q$  be a prime dividing  $(n + 1)!$ . Then, we know that  $q \leq n$ , so it divides  $n!$  but not  $n! + 1$ , so  $\gcd(n! + 1, (n + 1)!) = 1$ .  $\square$

## 2.9 General Equal Sets

*No problems.*

## 2.10 Euler's Theorem

### Problem 2.10.1

Find  $2^{98} \pmod{33}$

Since  $\phi(33) = 20$ , this reduces to

$$2^{-2} \equiv 4^{-1} \equiv 25 \pmod{33}$$

as required.  $\square$

### Problem 2.10.2

Find  $5^{30} \pmod{62}$ .

Since  $\phi(62) = 30$ , this is just  $1 \pmod{62}$ .  $\square$

### Problem 2.10.3

What happens if  $\gcd(a, n) \neq 1$ ? Does there exist any integer  $m$  such that  $a^m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ ?

No, since  $a^m$  and  $n$  will share a common factor.  $\square$

### Problem 2.10.4

Show that  $n \mid 2^{n!} - 1$  for all odd  $n$ .

Notice that  $\phi(n)$  will only have prime factors that are less than  $n$ , and  $\phi(n) < n$ , so we apply Euler's Theorem to win.  $\square$

## 2.11 General Inverses

### Problem 2.11.1

Find the inverse of all  $\{1, 3, 5, 7\}$  modulo 8. What do you observe? Can you explain this?

It is the set  $\{1, 5, 3, 7\}$ . It is just a permutation of the original set, but this is clear, since in order for it not to be, it would have to contain some number divisible by 2, which cannot work.  $\square$

### Problem 2.11.2

Does there exist an inverse for 5 modulo 10? What about 4?

No for both.  $\square$

**Problem 2.11.3**

Show that  $\gcd(a^{-1}, n)$  is also 1.

Because  $aa^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , if  $\gcd(a^{-1}, n) \neq 1$ , then they must share a common prime factor, which means that we cannot have  $a$ , contradiction.  $\square$

**Problem 2.11.4**

Prove that if  $\gcd(a, n) \neq 1$ , then  $a$  cannot have an inverse.

Then,  $a$  and  $n$  must share a common prime factor, which means that there cannot exist an inverse.  $\square$

**2.12 Extra Results as Problems****Problem 2.12.1**

Use Freshman's dream and induction to prove Fermat's Little Theorem.

We proceed using induction. For the base case, it is clearly true for 0:

$$0^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Now, assume it is true for some  $k$ . Then, by Freshman's Dream,

$$(k+1)^p \equiv k^p + 1 \equiv k + 1 \pmod{p}$$

as required. Hence, we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 2.12.2**

Use induction to show that

$$(a+b)^{p^i} \equiv a^{p^i} + b^{p^i} \pmod{p}$$

for any prime  $p$  and any non-negative integer  $i$ .

For the base case, it is clearly true for  $i = 0$ . Hence, assume it is true for some  $i$ . Then, we will show that it is correct for  $i + 1$ . Notice that

$$(a+b)^{p^{i+1}} \equiv \left((a+b)^{p^i}\right)^p \equiv \left(a^{p^i} + b^{p^i}\right)^p \equiv a^{p^{i+1}} + b^{p^{i+1}} \pmod{p}$$

as required.  $\square$

**2.13 Example Problems**

*No problems.*

## 2.14 Practice Problems

### Problem 2.14.1

How many prime numbers  $p$  are there such that  $29^p + 1$  is a multiple of  $p$ ?

We have that

$$29^p + 1 \equiv 30 \pmod{p}$$

so the answer is  $p = \boxed{2, 3, 5}$ , which we can check to work.  $\square$

### Problem 2.14.2

Let  $p$  be a prime and  $0 \leq k \leq p-1$  be an integer. Prove that

$$\binom{p-1}{k} \equiv (-1)^k \pmod{p}.$$

We may write that

$$\binom{p-1}{k} \equiv \frac{(p-1)!}{k!(p-1-k)!} \equiv \frac{-1}{k!(p-1-k)!} \equiv \frac{-1}{(-1)^k(p-1)!} \equiv (-1)^k \pmod{p}$$

so we have the required conclusion.  $\square$

### Problem 2.14.3 (IMO 1979/1)

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be natural numbers such that

$$\frac{a}{b} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \cdots - \frac{1}{1318} + \frac{1}{1319}.$$

Prove that  $a$  is divisible by 1979. (Note: 1979 is a prime)

We know that

$$\frac{a}{b} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{1319}\right) - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{659}\right) = \frac{1}{660} + \frac{1}{661} + \cdots + \frac{1}{1319}.$$

Now, by combining opposite fractions, each one is divisible by 1979, so we have the required conclusion (and the number of fractions is even).  $\square$

### Problem 2.14.4 (RMO 2016/6)

Let  $\{a\}$  be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers in an arithmetic progression. Prove that there is an infinite subsequence of the given sequence whose terms are in a geometric progression.

Notice that the terms of the form  $a_1(d+1)^n$ , where  $d$  is the common difference, for some  $n$  have the property that they are in the arithmetic sequence since by subtracting  $a$ , they are divisible by  $d$ , so we have the required conclusion.  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.5**

Let  $f(x)$  be a polynomial with integer coefficients. Show that there does not exist a  $N$  such that  $f(x)$  is a prime for all  $x \geq N$ . In other words,  $f(x)$  is not eventually always a prime. This problem shows that prime numbers don't follow any polynomial pattern either.

Notice that if  $f(a)$  is prime, then  $f(a + kp)$  must be composite infinitely many times, otherwise  $f(x)$  is constant, so it suffices to pick  $f(x) = p$  for a prime  $p$ .  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.6 (IMO 2005/4)**

Determine all positive integers relatively prime to all the terms of the infinite sequence

$$a_n = 2^n + 3^n + 6^n - 1, n \geq 1.$$

Notice that for any prime  $p$ , selecting  $n = p - 2$  gives that

$$2^{p-2} + 3^{p-2} + 6^{p-2} - 1 \equiv \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

so the only answer is  $\boxed{1}$ .  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.7 (IMO 1986/1)**

Let  $d$  be any positive integer not equal to 2, 5, or 13. Show that one can find distinct  $a$  and  $b$  in the set  $\{2, 5, 13, d\}$  such that  $ab - 1$  is not a perfect square.

The problem is equivalent to showing that there exists  $a \in \{2, 5, 13\}$  such that  $ad - 1$  is not a perfect square. Notice that if  $\nu_2(d) \geq 1$ , then taking  $a = 2$  and modulo 4 gives that it must be 3 (mod 4), which is not possible, as required. Hence, assume  $a$  is odd. Now,  $5d - 1$  is 2 (mod 4) if  $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , so assume that  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then, we write  $d = 4k + 1$ , so that it is equivalent to  $4ka + a - 1$ . However, substituting  $a = 5$  and  $a = 13$  respectively give that  $5k + 1$  and  $13k + 3$  are perfect squares, which is impossible by modulo 4. Hence, one must not be a perfect square, and we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.8**

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two relatively prime positive integers, and consider the arithmetic progression  $a, a + b, a + 2b, a + 3b, \dots$

1. Prove that there are infinitely many terms in the arithmetic progression that have the same prime divisors.
2. Prove that there are infinitely many pairwise relatively prime terms in the arithmetic progression.

We begin with the first part. Clearly, for any prime  $p$ , the terms that it divides is periodic modulo  $p$ , and it must be achieved at least once. Hence, we are done.

We finish with the second part. Suppose that there are finitely many pairwise relatively prime terms in the arithmetic progression, and let them be  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$ . Then, let  $P = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_n$ .

**Claim**

There exists a non-negative integer  $k$  such that for any prime  $p \mid P$ ,  $p \nmid a + kb$ .

*Proof.* This is equivalent to

$$kb \not\equiv -a \pmod{p}.$$

Now, since  $\gcd(b, p) = 1$ ,  $b$  is invertible modulo  $p$ , so

$$k \not\equiv -\frac{a}{b} \pmod{p}.$$

Now, by CRT, we can construct such an  $k$ , so we are done.  $\square$

Hence, this contradicts the fact that we only have finitely many  $r$ , so we are done.  $\square$

### Remark

The second part immediately follows from Dirichlet's Theorem on Arithmetic Progressions.

### Problem 2.14.9

Prove that:

1. Every positive integer has at least as many divisors of the form  $4k + 1$  as divisors of the form  $4k + 3$ .
2. There exist infinitely many positive integers which have as many divisors of the form  $4k + 1$  as divisors of the form  $4k + 3$ .
3. There exist infinitely many positive integers which have more divisors of the form  $4k + 1$  than divisors of the form  $4k + 3$ .

We begin with the first part and perform an strong induction. Clearly, the statement is true for  $n = 1$ . We now make a claim.

### Claim

If the statement is true for some  $n$  and all  $d$  dividing it, then it is true for any  $np$  where  $p$  is a prime.

*Proof.* We split into cases. If  $p \nmid n$ , then split into further cases.

- If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then the result is clear.
- If  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then the number of divisors that are  $1 \pmod{4}$  and divide  $n$  (call it  $d_1$ ) is at least the number of divisors that are  $3 \pmod{4}$  and divide  $n$  (call it  $d_3$ ). On the other hand, if the number of divisors that don't divide  $n$  but do divide  $pn$  and are congruent to  $1 \pmod{4}$  is equal to  $d_3$ , while the number congruent to  $3 \pmod{4}$  and divide  $pn$  but not  $n$  is  $d_1$ . Hence, the total amounts are equal.

Now, if  $p \mid n$ , then we can apply the same logic on  $n = p^{\nu_p(n)+1} \cdot \frac{n}{p^{\nu_p(n)}}$  since  $\frac{n}{p^{\nu_p(n)}} \mid n$  (by the strong induction). Hence, we are done.  $\square$

This then shows that all positive integers work.

We finish with the second and third part. Notice that the number  $n = 3^\ell$  has  $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}\ell \rfloor + 1$  divisors congruent to  $1 \pmod{4}$ , while it has  $\ell + 1 - \lfloor \frac{1}{2}\ell \rfloor$  divisors congruent to  $3 \pmod{4}$ . Now, these two are equal as long as  $\ell$  is odd, and the first is greater than the second as long as  $\ell$  is even, so we have the required conclusion.  $\square$

**Remark**

The second and third parts follow directly from Dirichlet's Theorem on Arithmetic Progressions on the sequences  $a_i = 4i + 1$  and  $b_i = 4i + 3$ .

**Problem 2.14.10 (Iberoamerican 2005/3)**

Let  $p \geq 5$  be a prime. Prove that if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i^p} = \frac{m}{n}$$

with  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , then  $p^3 \mid m$ .

We know that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i^p} = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{i^p + (p-i)^p}{(i(p-i))^p}.$$

We now make a claim.

**Claim**

For any prime  $p \geq 5$ ,

$$i^p + (p-i)^p \pmod{p^2} \equiv 0.$$

*Proof.* Notice that by the Binomial Theorem, the coefficient of the  $p^0$  term is 0, and the coefficient of the  $p$  term is 0 when working in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . Hence, we have the required conclusion.  $\square$

As a result, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{1}{(i(p-i))^p} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} -i^{-2p} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} -i^{-2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

which is clear from the proof of Wolstenholme's Theorem (for a quick outline, each  $i^{-2}$  maps to another distinct number by the properties of inverses, and we may sum all these normally).  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.11 (Sierpinski)**

Prove that for any positive integer  $s$ , there is a positive integer  $n$  whose sum of digits is  $s$  and  $s \mid n$ .

Notice that it suffices to find a sequence  $\{a_i\}$  of non-negative distinct integers such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^s 10^{a_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{s}$$

since one can just add sufficiently many zeros to the end to take care of the factors of 2 and 5. However we can select  $a_i$  such that  $\phi(s) \mid a_i$  over all  $i$ , and then we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.12 (ISL 2001/N4)**

Let  $p \geq 5$  be a prime number. Prove that there exists an integer  $a$  with  $1 \leq a \leq p-2$  such that neither  $a^{p-1} - 1$  nor  $(a+1)^{p-1} - 1$  is divisible by  $p^2$ .



Notice that there are exactly  $p - 1$  numbers  $1 \leq a \leq p^2$  such that

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$$

so let these make the set  $\mathcal{A}$ . In addition, let  $m$  be the largest integer such that  $a_m \leq p - 1$ . Then, if the given claim is false, then in each pair  $\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \dots, \{p - 2, p - 1\}$ , at least one of the numbers must be in  $\mathcal{A}$ . As a result, notice that  $m \geq \frac{p-1}{2}$ . However, if  $a$  is a solution, so is  $-a$ , so all the solutions in  $\mathcal{A}$  must lie in the interval  $[1, p - 1] \cup [p^2 - p + 1, p^2 - 1]$ . However, we can clearly give a construction for a number not in this range, simply take the product of the two solutions in the pairs

$$\left\{ \frac{p-3}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{p+3}{2} \right\}$$

which suffices as long as  $p \geq 7$ , contradiction. We may manually verify for  $p = 5$  that the given assertion is true, so we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.13 (USAMO 2018/4)**

Let  $p$  be a prime, and let  $a_1, \dots, a_p$  be integers. Show that there exists an integer  $k$  such that the numbers

$$a_1 + k, a_2 + 2k, \dots, a_p + pk$$

produce at least  $\frac{1}{2}p$  distinct remainders upon division by  $p$ .

Consider the graph  $G_k$  where we join two nodes  $\{i, j\}$  if and only if

$$k \equiv \frac{a_i - a_j}{j - i} \pmod{p}.$$

Then, it is equivalent to show that there exists some  $G_k$  such that there exists at most  $\frac{1}{2}p$  edges. Now, notice that the each  $\{i, j\}$  will only be counted in one of the graphs  $\{G_0, G_1, \dots, G_{p-1}\}$ . As a result, there exists some graph with at most  $\frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{2} = \frac{p-1}{2}$  edges, so we find the required conclusion.  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.14 (Balkan 2016/3)**

Find all monic polynomials  $f$  with integer coefficients satisfying the following condition: there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $p$  divides  $2(f(p)!) + 1$  for every prime  $p > N$  for which  $f(p)$  is a positive integer. (A monic polynomial has a leading coefficient equal to 1.)

We claim that the only solution is  $f(x) = \boxed{x - 3}$ , which we may verify explicitly easily.

Start by noticing that if  $\deg(f) > 1$ , then for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $f(k) > k$  for  $n > k$ , which should not be allowed. Hence,  $f$  is either linear or constant. Clearly, it cannot be constant, so assume it is linear, so that  $f(x) = x - c$  for some positive integer  $c$ . Now, notice that for any  $p$ , we must have that

$$(p - c)! \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \equiv \frac{(p-1)!}{2} \equiv \frac{(p-1)(p-2)(p-3)!}{2} \equiv (p-3)! \pmod{p}.$$

Thus,  $c = 1$  and  $c = 2$  do not work, while  $c = 3$  does work. Henceforth, assume that  $c \geq 4$ . Then, we know for large primes  $p$ , that

$$(p - c)!((p - 3)(p - 4) \cdots (p - c + 1) - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \implies (-3)(-4) \cdots (-c + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

However, the LHS is constant, and since the RHS expects the LHS to increase, we cannot have this. Hence, the only solution is  $f(x) = x + 3$ , as required.  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.15 (Iran MO 2017 Round 3/Final/NT/1)**

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be integers and let  $p$  be a prime number. Suppose that there exist relatively prime positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that

$$x^m \equiv y^n \pmod{p}.$$

Prove that there exists a unique integer  $z$  modulo  $p$  such that

$$x \equiv z^n \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad y \equiv z^m \pmod{p}.$$

Let  $g$  be a primitive root modulo  $p$ . Then, let  $x = g^k$ ,  $y = g^\ell$ , and  $z = g^r$  so that

$$g^{km} \equiv g^{\ell n} \pmod{p} \implies km \equiv \ell n \pmod{p-1}$$

and we wish to show that there is exactly one  $r$  satisfying

$$k \equiv rn \pmod{p-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell \equiv rm \pmod{p-1}.$$

Now, since  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , there exists  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $ma + nb = 1$ .

We first show that there exists at most one  $r$ . Assume there exist at least two,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ . Then, we know that

$$k \equiv r_1 n \equiv r_2 n \pmod{p-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell \equiv r_1 m \equiv r_2 m \pmod{p-1}.$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} (r_1 - r_2)n &\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1} \\ (r_1 - r_2)m &\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, multiplying the first equation by  $b$  and the second by  $a$  and adding, we find that

$$r_1 \equiv r_2 \pmod{p-1}$$

so we have the required conclusion.

We now show that there exists an  $r$ . We claim that  $r = \ell a + kb$  works. Observe:

$$k \equiv \ell a n + k b n \equiv k a m + k b n \equiv k \pmod{p-1}$$

and similarly for the other one, as required.  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.16 (ISL 2015/N3)**

Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers such that  $m > n$ . Define

$$x_k = \frac{m+k}{n+k}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ . Prove that if all the numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}$  are integers, then  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n+1} - 1$  is divisible by an odd prime.

Notice that it suffices to show that  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n+1} - 1$  cannot be of the form  $2^\alpha$  for some integer  $\alpha$ . Now, since

$$n+k \mid m+k \implies n+k \mid m-n$$

over all  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ , we know that

$$\text{lcm}(n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n+1) \mid m-n.$$

Now, there exists  $k$  such that  $\frac{m-n}{n+k}$  has no factors of two, so it must be odd. Adding one, we find that it is even, so the product  $x_1x_2\cdots x_{n+1}$  must be even as well, and subtracting one makes it odd, as required.

All that is left is to show that it cannot be equal to 1, however this is clear, so we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.17 (ELMO 2019/5)**

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a nonempty set of positive integers such that, for any (not necessarily distinct) integers  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , the number  $ab + 1$  is also in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Show that the set of primes that do not divide any element of  $\mathcal{S}$  is finite.

We begin with a claim.

**Claim**

Let  $\mathcal{S}_p$  be the set  $\mathcal{S}$  when reduced modulo  $p$ , where  $p$  is a prime that does not divide any element of  $\mathcal{S}$ . We claim that  $|\mathcal{S}_p| = 1$ .

*Proof.* Assume otherwise. Then, let  $\mathcal{S}_p = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ . Notice that for any  $a \in \mathcal{S}_p$ ,

$$\mathcal{S}_p = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\} = \mathcal{S}_p = \{as_1 + 1, as_2 + 1, \dots, as_n + 1\}.$$

As a result, we must have that

$$s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n \equiv a(s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n) + n \pmod{p} \implies (a-1)(s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n) \equiv -n \pmod{p}$$

which needs to be true over all  $a$ , which clearly cannot hold, if  $n > 2$ , as required.  $\square$

Hence, if the starting term is  $x$ , then we find that

$$x \equiv x^2 + 1 \pmod{p} \implies p \mid x^2 - x + 1$$

so there are finitely many, as desired.  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.18**

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p$  be a prime. Prove that

$$\binom{pa}{pb} \equiv \binom{a}{b} \pmod{p}.$$

This directly follows from Lucas' Theorem.  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.19**

Find a formula for the number of entries in the  $n$ th row of Pascal's triangle that are not divisible by  $p$ , in terms of the base- $p$  expansion of  $n$ .

If  $n = (n_k \cdots n_1 n_0)_p$  then the required answer is:

$$\prod_{i=0}^k (n_i + 1)$$

which we can show easily by considering digit by digit.  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.20 (ELMO 2009/6)**

Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $x$  be an integer such that  $p \mid x^3 - 1$  but  $p \nmid x - 1$ . Prove that

$$p \mid (p-1)! \left( x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \cdots - \frac{x^{p-1}}{p-1} \right).$$

Notice that the given condition is equivalent to  $\text{ord}_p(x) = 3$ , so  $3 \mid p-1$  and  $p \mid x^2 + x + 1$ . Then, since

$$\frac{1}{k} \equiv (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{k} \pmod{p}$$

we find that

$$x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \cdots - \frac{x^{p-1}}{p-1} \equiv \frac{x}{p} \binom{p}{1} + \frac{x^2}{p} \binom{p}{2} + \cdots + \frac{x^{p-1}}{p} \binom{p}{p-1} \pmod{p}.$$

Hence, it remains to show that

$$x \binom{p}{1} + x^2 \binom{p}{2} + \cdots + x^{p-1} \binom{p}{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$$

or

$$(x+1)^p \equiv x^p + 1 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Let  $x^2 + x + 1 = kp$  for some integer  $k$ . Then,

$$(kp - x^2)^p \equiv -x^{2p} \equiv x^p + 1 \pmod{p^2}$$

so we need to show

$$x^{2p} + x^p + 1 \equiv \frac{x^{3p} - 1}{x^p - 1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

However, this is clear, since  $x^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  implies  $x^{3p} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ , and since  $x^p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , we have the required conclusion.  $\square$

**Problem 2.14.21 (ISL 2011/N7)**

Let  $p$  be an odd prime number. For every integer  $a$ , define the number

$$S_a = \frac{a}{1} + \frac{a^2}{2} + \cdots + \frac{a^{p-1}}{p-1}.$$

Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that

$$S_3 + S_4 - 3S_2 = \frac{m}{n}.$$

Prove that  $p \mid m$ .

Since

$$\frac{1}{k} \equiv (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{k} \pmod{p}$$

we know that

$$S_a \equiv \frac{a}{p} \binom{p}{1} - \frac{a^2}{p} \binom{p}{2} + \cdots - \frac{a^{p-1}}{p} \binom{p}{p-1} \equiv \frac{(a-1)^p - a^p + 1}{p} \pmod{p}.$$

Then, it suffices to show that

$$(2^p - 3^p + 1) + (3^p - 4^p + 1) - 3(1 - 2^p + 1) \equiv -2^{2p} + 4 \cdot 2^p - 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

However, this can further be simplified to

$$(2^p - 2)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2} \implies 2^p - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

which is obviously true, as required.  $\square$

### 3 Arithmetic Functions

#### 3.1 Number of Divisors

*No problems.*

#### 3.2 Sum of Divisors

*No problems.*

#### 3.3 Euler's Totient Function

##### Problem 3.3.1

Prove that for all composite  $n$ :

$$\phi(n) \leq n - \sqrt{n}.$$

In addition, prove that for all  $n \notin \{2, 6\}$ ,

$$\phi(n) \geq \sqrt{n}.$$

We start with the first part. Consider the smallest prime  $p$  dividing  $n$ . We know that  $p \leq \sqrt{n}$ , and there will be exactly  $n/p$  multiples of  $p$  that are not relatively prime to  $n$ . Hence,

$$\phi(n) \leq n - \frac{n}{p} \leq n - \sqrt{n}$$

as required.

We now show the second part. It suffices to show that

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (p_i - 1) p_i^{\frac{e_i}{2} - 1} \geq 1$$

where  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ , and let  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ . Now, clearly if  $2, 3 \notin \mathcal{P}$  then we have the necessary conclusion as every term would be greater than 1. Hence, we split into cases.

- If  $2, 3 \in \mathcal{P}$ , then in order for

$$2 \cdot 2^{\frac{e_1}{2} - 1} \cdot 3 \cdot 3^{\frac{e_2}{2} - 1} < 1$$

we must have  $e_1 = e_2 = 1$ , which clearly does not work. However, when multiplying by any other  $(p_i - 1) p_i^{\frac{e_i}{2} - 1}$ , this must then be at least 1, as required. Hence, 6 does not work.

- If  $2 \notin \mathcal{P}$ , but  $3 \in \mathcal{P}$ , then the term

$$2 \cdot 3^{\frac{e_2}{2} - 1}$$

will exceed 1, as required.

- If  $2 \in \mathcal{P}$ , but  $3 \notin \mathcal{P}$ , then notice that in order for

$$2^{\frac{e_1}{2} - 1} < 1$$

we must have  $e_1 = 1$ , and then multiplying by any other term will bring it back up. Hence, 2 does not work.

Thus, having exhausted all cases, we have the necessary conclusion.  $\square$

**Problem 3.3.2 (The Zeta Function)**

The zeta function is defined as

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{1^s} + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \cdots.$$

Note that this is an infinite sum, and does not always converge to a single value. For instance,  $\zeta(-1) = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + \cdots$  clearly diverges.

1. Use the integral test to show that  $\zeta(s)$  converges if and only if  $s > 1$ . In particular, show that  $\zeta(1)$  diverges.
2. Use the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, prove that

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{p^s}{p^s - 1}.$$

3. Use the result above to show that there are an infinite number of primes.

Now, a famous theorem (Basel's problem) states that

$$\frac{1}{1^2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots = \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

Prove that,

$$\left( \frac{6}{\pi^2} \right) n^2 < \sigma(n)\phi(n) < n^2.$$

We begin with the first part. Notice that

$$\int_1^\infty x^{-s} dx = \frac{x^{1-s}}{1-s} \Big|_1^\infty$$

which clearly diverges if  $1 - s > 0$  or  $s < 1$ . To take care of the  $\zeta(1)$  case, notice that

$$\left( \frac{1}{1} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{7} \right) + \cdots > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{4}{8} + \cdots$$

which clearly diverges.

We continue with the second part. Notice that the product

$$\prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right)$$

simply generates all the natural numbers starting from 1 since the expansion of the product will lead to every possible prime factorization exactly once. Hence, this is simply equal to  $\zeta(s)$ .

We continue with the third part. Suppose there are finitely many primes. Then, the product above is finitely, so it converges. However,  $\zeta(1)$  actually diverges, which is a contradiction.

We finish with the last part. We start by letting  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ . Then, notice that

$$\sigma(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{p_i^{e_i+1} - 1}{p_i - 1}$$

and

$$\phi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k (p_i - 1) p_i^{e_i-1}.$$

Hence,

$$\sigma(n)\phi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{p_i^{e_i+1} - 1}{p_i - 1} \cdot (p_i - 1)p_i^{e_i-1} = \prod_{i=1}^k (p_i^{e_i+1} - 1)(p_i^{e_i-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{2e_i} - p_i^{e_i-1}.$$

Dividing this by  $n^2$ , it remains to show that

$$\frac{6}{\pi^2} < \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i^{e_i+1}}\right) < 1.$$

The upper bound is clear, and the lower bound follows since for any  $i$ ,  $e_i + 1 \geq 2$ , as required.  $\square$

### 3.4 Multiplicative Functions

#### Problem 3.4.1

Prove

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \delta(n).$$

Clearly, it is correct for  $n = 1$ . Now, assume  $n \geq 2$  and  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ . Clearly, it is irrelevant what the exponent of  $p_i$  is, so reduce the problem to only consider squarefree  $n$ . Then, there will be a  $-1$  if an odd number of  $p_i$  are chosen, and  $1$  if an even number of the  $p_i$  are chosen. However, it is well known that

$$\sum_{\alpha \text{ even}} \binom{n}{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha \text{ odd}} \binom{n}{\alpha}.$$

Hence, we have that the sum is equal to 0 for  $n \geq 2$  as required.  $\square$

#### Problem 3.4.2

For a positive integer  $n$  we define  $f(n)$  as

$$f(n) = \tau(k_1) + \tau(k_2) + \cdots + \tau(k_m)$$

where  $1 = k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_m = n$  are all divisors of the number  $n$ . Find a formula for  $f(n)$  in terms of the prime factorization of  $n$ .

Notice that we have

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \tau(d).$$

Now, since  $\tau$  is multiplicative, so is  $f$ , and as a result, it suffices to calculate it for prime powers. Let  $n = p^k$ . Then,

$$f(p^k) = \sum_{d|p^k} \tau(d) = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}.$$

Hence, for  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_m^{e_m}$ , we find that

$$f(n) = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(e_i + 1)(e_i + 2)}{2}$$

as required.  $\square$



**Problem 3.4.3**

Prove that:

1.  $\mu * \mathbf{1} = \delta$
2.  $\mathbf{1} * \mathbf{1} = \tau$
3.  $\text{id} * \mathbf{1} = \sigma$
4.  $\phi * \mathbf{1} = \text{id}$ .

In addition, show that:

1.  $*$  is commutative and associative.
2. The identity of  $*$  is  $\delta$ .
3.  $*$  distributes over addition.
4. The convolution of two multiplicative functions is multiplicative.

We start with the first part. The first problem is addressed in [Problem 3.4.1](#), and the second is obvious. The third is also obvious by definition. The fourth is obvious by the fourth problem of the second part, which we show in the relevant section below.

We finish with the second part. Clearly,  $*$  is commutative. It is also associative, since both expressions will just equate to

$$\sum_{d_1 d_2 d_3 = n} f(d_1)g(d_2)h(d_3).$$

In addition, it is clear that the identity is  $\delta$ , since it is only 1 when the input is 1, so

$$f(n) = \delta(1)f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \delta(d)f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

In addition, it clearly distributes over addition, since multiplication and sums distribute over addition as well. Finally, we show that the convolution of two multiplicative functions is also multiplicative. Suppose  $a$  and  $b$  are natural numbers satisfying  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , and  $g, h$  are multiplicative functions. Then,

$$f(ab) = \sum_{d|ab} g(d)h\left(\frac{ab}{d}\right) = \left(\sum_{d|a} g(d)h\left(\frac{a}{d}\right)\right) \left(\sum_{d|b} g(d)h\left(\frac{b}{d}\right)\right) = f(a)f(b)$$

as required.  $\square$

**Problem 3.4.4**

Show that

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} \phi(d)\tau\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

In other words, show  $\phi * \tau = \sigma$ .

We rewrite

$$\tau(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mathbf{1}$$

so that the sum becomes

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} \sum_{e|d} \phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

Now, swap the sums so that

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{e|n} \sum_{d|n/e} \phi\left(\frac{n}{de}\right) = \sum_{e|n} \frac{n}{e} = \sigma(n)$$

as required.

#### Problem 3.4.5

Prove the other direction of the Möbius Inversion formula.

It suffices to show that  $f = g * \mu$  implies  $f * 1 = g$ . However,

$$f = g * \mu \implies f * 1 = (g * \mu) * 1 = g * (\mu * 1) = g * \delta = g$$

as required.  $\square$

#### Problem 3.4.6

The idea in Example 3.4.2 is the fact the following:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n}{n} \right\} = \left\{ \left\{ \frac{k}{d} : 1 \leq k \leq d, \gcd(k, d) = 1 \right\} : d | n \right\}.$$

Remember this idea and use it to prove for any  $n$ ,

$$\sum_{d|n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq d \\ \gcd(k, d) = 1}} \mathbf{1} = n.$$

Use the above to show  $\phi * \mathbf{1} = \text{id}$ . Is this the same proof as the one we gave in 3.3.1?

This problem is trivial by the given lemma, as it is counting over the exact same objects.

For the second statement, it is the same as the proof given in the book of the fact that  $\phi * \mathbf{1} = \text{id}$  since

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq d \\ \gcd(k, d) = 1}} \mathbf{1} = \phi(d).$$

Hence, we are done.  $\square$

### 3.5 Floor and Ceiling Functions

#### Problem 3.5.1

One result we will use again and again throughout the book is the following: If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$n \leq x \implies n \leq \lfloor x \rfloor.$$

This helps to strengthen our bounds. Keep this in mind whenever you have real numbers in integer type inequalities!

Since  $n$  has no fractional part, we may remove the fractional part from  $x$  and the inequality will still hold. Hence, we are done.  $\square$

### Problem 3.5.2

Let  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q \neq 0$ , and  $r$  be the remainder when  $p$  is divided by  $q$ . Show that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{p}{q} \right\rfloor = \frac{p-r}{q}.$$

If  $m = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{q} \right\rfloor$  is the greatest number less than  $\frac{p}{q}$ , then we know that

$$p = qm + r$$

so subtracting  $r$  from both sides and dividing by  $q$  finishes.  $\square$

### Problem 3.5.3

Prove for odd  $n$

$$\left\lfloor \frac{k^n}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{(p-k)^n}{p} \right\rfloor = \frac{k^n + (p-k)^n}{p} - 1.$$

We rewrite as follows:

$$\left\lfloor \frac{k^n}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{(p-k)^n}{p} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{k^n}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{((p-k)^n + k^n) - k^n}{p} \right\rfloor.$$

However, this is just equal to

$$\left\lfloor \frac{k^n}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{-k^n}{p} \right\rfloor + \frac{(p-k)^n + k^n}{p} = \frac{(p-k)^n + k^n}{p} - 1$$

as required.  $\square$

### Problem 3.5.4

The function  $\tau(n)$  doesn't have a nice formula, and is far from continuous. It is very large at some points and very small at just the next input. However, the average function

$$f(n) = \frac{\tau(1) + \tau(2) + \cdots + \tau(n)}{n}$$

is more stable. Show that  $\ln n - 1 \leq f(n) \leq \ln n + 1$ . In other words,  $f(n) = \Theta(\ln n)$ , i.e. growth-wise it behaves like  $\ln n$ .

We know that

$$\tau(1) + \tau(2) + \cdots + \tau(n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{1} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + \cdots + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n} \right\rfloor.$$

Now, we first show the lower bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{1} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + \cdots + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n} \right\rfloor &\geq \frac{n}{1} + \frac{n}{2} + \cdots + \frac{n}{n} - n \\ &= nH_n - n \\ &> n \ln(n) - n \end{aligned}$$

as required. We now show the upper bound:

$$\begin{aligned}\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + \cdots + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n} \right\rfloor &\leq \frac{n}{1} + \frac{n}{2} + \cdots + \frac{n}{n} \\ &= nH_n \\ &\leq n \ln(n) + n\end{aligned}$$

so we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 3.5.5**

Prove that

$$\sigma(1) + \sigma(2) + \cdots + \sigma(n) \leq n^2.$$

This follows from the fact that

$$\sigma(1) + \sigma(2) + \cdots + \sigma(n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n i \left\lfloor \frac{n}{i} \right\rfloor \leq \sum_{i=1}^n n = n^2$$

so we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 3.5.6**

Prove that  $\sigma(n) < n \ln n$ .

Not true; consider  $n = 6$ .  $\square$

### 3.6 Example Problems

*No problems.*

### 3.7 Practice Problems

**Problem 3.7.1**

Find all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor \mid n$ .

Let  $k$  be the integer such that

$$k^2 \leq n < k^2 + 2k + 1.$$

Then, the condition reduces to  $k \mid n$ , so  $n$  must be of the form  $k^2$ ,  $k^2 + k$ , or  $k^2 + 2k$  for some positive integer  $k$ .  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.2**

Let  $a, b, n$  be positive integers with  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ . Prove that

$$\sum_k \left\{ \frac{ak + b}{n} \right\} = \frac{n-1}{2}$$

where  $k$  runs through a complete system of residues modulo  $n$ .

Notice that since  $a$  will just permute the elements of  $k$ , and so will  $b$ , each of

$$\left\{ 0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n} \right\}$$

will occur exactly once, giving a total of  $\frac{n(n-1)/2}{n} = \boxed{\frac{n-1}{2}}$  as required.  $\square$

### Problem 3.7.3

Let  $f(x)$  be defined for all rationals  $x \in [0, 1]$ . If

$$F(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad G(n) = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k,n)=1}}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$$

then prove that  $G = \zeta * F$ , where  $\zeta(n)$  is the sum of the primitive  $n$ th roots of unity.

Notice that  $\zeta = \mu$ , so the condition is equivalent to showing that  $G = \mu * F$ . However, undoing the Mobius inversion yields that it is equivalent to show that  $G * \mathbf{1} = F$ . However, this is clear (as  $G$  simply does casework on the simplest form of the fraction), so we are done.  $\square$

### Problem 3.7.4

Show that for all positive integers  $n$ ,

$$\lfloor \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{n+1} \rfloor = \lfloor \sqrt{4n+1} \rfloor = \lfloor \sqrt{4n+2} \rfloor = \lfloor \sqrt{4n+3} \rfloor.$$

Suppose that  $k^2 \leq 4n+1 < (k+1)^2$ . Then, suppose that  $4n+2 = (k+1)^2$ . We find that this is impossible due to the factor of two in the LHS. Suppose that  $4n+3 = (k+1)^2$ , but this is impossible since squares cannot be 3 (mod 4). Hence, they all must lie in this range, so they are all equal. Now, we know that

$$\frac{k^2 - 1}{4} \leq n < \frac{(k+1)^2 - 1}{4}.$$

If  $k$  is even, then notice that the LHS is not an integer, and the ceiling of this is just  $\frac{k^2}{4}$ . Now, we know that  $n < \frac{(k+1)^2}{4}$  by the upper-bound, so we find that

$$\frac{k}{2} \leq \sqrt{n} < \frac{k+1}{2}.$$

Similarly, if  $k$  is odd, then the upper bound is not an integer, so taking the ceiling, we find that  $n < \frac{(k+1)^2}{4}$ , and we get the same conclusion as above. Applying the same logic on  $\sqrt{n+1}$ , we get that

$$\frac{k}{2} \leq \sqrt{n+1} < \frac{k+1}{2}$$

so adding the two inequalities and taking floors gives the desired result.  $\square$

### Remark

The better way to do this is to note that  $\sqrt{4n+1} < \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{n+1} < \sqrt{4n+3}$ .

### Problem 3.7.5

Prove that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{\tau(n)} \geq \sqrt{n}.$$

Let the divisors of  $n$  be  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{\tau(n)}$ . Then, the given statement is just that

$$\frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_{\tau(n)}}{\tau(n)} \geq \sqrt[\tau(n)]{n^{\tau(n)/2}}$$

which is just a result of AM-GM.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.6 (IMO 1968/6)**

Prove that for any positive integer  $n$ ,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n+2}{4} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n+4}{8} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n+8}{16} \right\rfloor + \dots = n.$$

Consider the binary representation of  $n = (b_k b_{k-1} \dots b_0)_2$ . We know that this sum is just equivalent to the following:

$$b_0 + b_1 + \dots + b_k + \overline{b_k b_{k-1} \dots b_1} + \overline{b_k b_{k-1} \dots b_2} + \dots + b_k.$$

Now, notice that any singular digit  $b_i$  is going to be counted

$$1 + 2^{i-1} + 2^{i-2} + \dots + 2^0 = 2^i$$

times (for lack of a better term, we also consider the place value it's in in each number), so it will fall into the correct place value in  $n$ , and we have the desired conclusion.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.7 (INMO 2014/2)**

Let  $n$  be a natural number. Prove that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + \dots + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n} \right\rfloor + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$$

is even.

It is equivalent to show that

$$\tau(1) + \tau(2) + \dots + \tau(n) + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$$

is even. Suppose that  $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$  is odd. Then, we know that  $(2k+1)^2 \leq n < (2k+2)^2$  for some non-negative integer  $k$ . In that case, notice that the number of  $\tau(i)$  where  $i \leq n$  that are odd is just the number of perfect squares less than or equal to  $n$ , of which there are an odd number. Hence, the total sum will be even. Now, suppose that  $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$  is even. Then,  $(2k)^2 \leq n < (2k+2)^2$  for some non-negative integer  $k$ , and the total number of squares less than or equal to  $n$  will be even, as desired. Thus, we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.8**

Prove that for any integer  $n \geq 1$ :

$$\sum_{d|n} \tau(d)^3 = \left( \sum_{d|n} \tau(d) \right)^2.$$

We begin with a claim.

**Claim**

Both sides of the equation are multiplicative.

*Proof.* It is clear that the RHS is multiplicative. It remains to show the LHS is also multiplicative. Let

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \tau(d)^3.$$

If  $a$  and  $b$  are natural numbers such that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then we wish to show that  $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$ . However, notice that

$$f(ab) = \sum_{d|ab} \tau(d)^3 = \left( \sum_{d|a} \tau(d)^3 \right) \left( \sum_{d|b} \tau(d)^3 \right) = f(a)f(b)$$

as desired.  $\square$

Thus, it suffices to verify this on prime powers, but this is obvious since

$$(1 + 2 + \cdots + n)^2 = 1^3 + 2^3 + \cdots + n^3.$$

Hence, we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.9** (Belarus 1999/B/2)

For  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\sigma(n) < n\sqrt{2\tau(n)}.$$

We case on  $\nu_2(n)$ .

- Suppose that  $\nu_2(n) = 0$ . Then, we wish to show the stricter inequality

$$\sigma(n) < n\sqrt{\tau(n)}.$$

Notice that both sides are multiplicative, so it suffices to show it for prime powers. Let  $n = p^k$ , so that it suffices to show that

$$\frac{p^{k+1} - 1}{p - 1} < p^k \sqrt{k + 1}.$$

However, notice that

$$\frac{p - \frac{1}{p^k}}{p - 1} < 1 < \sqrt{k + 1}$$

so we are done.

- Suppose that  $\nu_2(n) \geq 1$ . Then, let  $k = \frac{n}{2^{\nu_2(n)}}$ . The above conclusion still holds for  $k$ , so we know that

$$\sigma(k) < k\sqrt{\tau(k)}.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$2^{k+1} - 1 < 2^k \sqrt{2 \cdot 2}$$

but this is clear. Hence, multiplying the two inequalities then gives the required conclusion with  $n$ .

Thus, we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.10 (Ireland 1998/6)**

Find all positive integers  $d$  that have exactly 16 positive integral divisors  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{16}$  such that  $1 = d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_{16} = d$ ,  $d_6 = 18$  and  $d_9 - d_8 = 17$ .

We claim the answers are  $\boxed{3834} = 2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 71$ , and  $\boxed{1998} = 2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 37$ , which we may easily verify.

Since  $d_6 = 18$ , we know that  $d_1 = 1$ ,  $d_2 = 2$ ,  $d_3 = 3$ ,  $d_4 = 6$ ,  $d_5 = 9$  as well. Now, clearly  $d$  cannot have just one prime factor. If  $d$  has two prime factors then it must be of the form  $p^7 q$  or  $p^3 q^3$ . If it is the former, then it must be  $2 \cdot 3^7 = 4374$  which does not work. If it is of the latter form, then it must be  $2^3 \cdot 3^3 = 216$  which does not work either. If  $d$  has 3 prime divisors, then it must be of the form  $p^3 q r$ , so it must be of the form  $54r$  for a prime  $r$ .

- If  $r > 54$ , then we know that  $d_8 = 54$ , so  $d_9 = r = 71$ , which indeed works, giving 3834.
- If  $27 < r < 54$ , then we know that  $d_8 = r$ , so  $d_9 = 54$ , which gives the solution  $r = 37$ , so we get the solution 1998.
- If  $18 < r < 27$ , then we know that  $2r - 27 = 17$ , so  $r = 22$ , but this does not work.
- If  $r < 18$ , then this cannot work, as we must have that  $d_6 = 18$ .

Hence, having exhausted all cases, we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.11 (IMO 1991/2)**

Let  $n > 6$  be an integer and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$  be all the natural numbers less than  $n$  and relatively prime to  $n$ . If

$$a_2 - a_1 = a_3 - a_2 = \dots = a_k - a_{k-1} > 0$$

prove that  $n$  must be either a prime number or a power of 2.

We know that  $\{a_i\}$  forms a non-trivial arithmetic progression and that  $k = \phi(n)$ . In addition,  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_{\phi(n)} = n - 1$ , so

$$a_i = \frac{(i-1)(n-2)}{\phi(n)-1} + 1.$$

Now, suppose that  $p \mid n$  is a prime. If  $p \mid \frac{n-2}{\phi(n)-1}$ , then we would be able to solve for  $i$  in the congruence

$$\frac{(i-1)(n-2)}{\phi(n)-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

Hence, it must be false, or  $n = p$ . For now, assume it is the former, because the latter obviously works. We know that

$$\frac{n-2}{\phi(n)-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Now, if  $p \nmid \phi(n) - 1$ , then we must have that  $p \mid n - 2$ , but  $p \mid n$  also. Thus, we must have the  $p = 2$ , so  $n$  is a power of 2, as required. On the other hand, if  $p \mid \phi(n) - 1$ , then write  $n = p^\alpha \beta$ . Notice that

$$\phi(n) \equiv \phi(p^\alpha) \phi(\beta) \equiv (p^\alpha - p^{\alpha-1}) \phi(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Now, if  $\alpha \geq 2$ , then it is congruent to 0 instead, so we must have that  $\alpha = 1$ . Hence,  $n = p\beta$  where  $\gcd(p, \beta) = 1$ . However, now note that  $n = cp^d + 2$  for some  $c$  and  $d$ , but we are suppose to have that  $p \mid cp^d + 2$ , so  $p = 2$ , and the case reduces back to the previous one.

Thus, the only solutions that work are  $n$  prime and when  $n$  is a power of two, as required.  $\square$



**Problem 3.7.12 (ISL 2016/C2)**

Find all positive integers  $n$  for which all positive divisors of  $n$  can be put into the cells of a rectangular table under the following constraints:

- Each cell contains a distinct divisor.
- The sums of all rows are equal.
- The sums of all columns are equal.

We claim that  $n = \boxed{1}$  is the only solution, which clearly works.

Now, assume that  $n \geq 2$ . We will show that it cannot work. Let the table have  $a$  rows and  $b$  columns such that  $a \leq b$ . Then, let WLOG let  $n$  go in the upper-left box. Notice that for each other column, there must exist some divisor of  $n$  that is greater  $\frac{n}{a}$ . However, there are at most  $a - 1$  divisors satisfying this and  $a - 1 < b$ . Hence, there cannot exist a good configuration for  $n \geq 2$ , as required.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.13 (St. Petersburg 1998)**

Prove that the sequence  $\tau(n^2 + 1)$  does not become monotonic from any given point onwards.

Clearly, it cannot be monotonically decreasing, so suppose it is monotonically increasing, such that there exists  $N$  where for any  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\tau((n+1)^2 + 1) \geq \tau(n^2 + 1) + 2.$$

Now, notice that for any  $n > N$ ,

$$\tau(n^2 + 1) \geq \tau((n-1)^2 + 1) + 2 \geq \dots \geq \tau(N^2 + 1) + 2(n - N).$$

Now, for sufficiently large  $n$ , we find that

$$\tau(n^2 + 1) \geq \tau(N^2 + 1) + 2(n - N) \geq n.$$

Now, if  $n$  is even, then notice that there are at most  $2 \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  divisors of  $n^2 + 1$ , so we have the required conclusion, as it cannot hold.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.14 (IMO 1998/3)**

Determine all positive integers  $k$  such that

$$\frac{\tau(n^2)}{\tau(n)} = k$$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We claim the only answer is all odd  $k$ . Let  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_\ell^{e_\ell}$ . Then, the equation is equivalent to

$$\frac{(2e_1 + 1)(2e_2 + 1) \dots (2e_\ell + 1)}{(e_1 + 1)(e_2 + 1) \dots (e_\ell + 1)} = k.$$

Now, since the numerator is odd, we know that  $2 \mid e_i$  over all  $i$ , so take the map  $e_i \mapsto 2e_i$ , transforming the equation into

$$\frac{(4e_1 + 1)(4e_2 + 1) \dots (4e_\ell + 1)}{(2e_1 + 1)(2e_2 + 1) \dots (2e_\ell + 1)} = k.$$

Clearly, this cannot be even, so we set to constructing odd  $k$ .

Notice that it suffices to prove the statement for all primes due to prime factorizations. We do this using strong induction. For the base case, notice that  $\frac{9}{5} \cdot \frac{5}{3} = 3$ . Then, assume it works for all primes less than  $p$ , where  $p$  is also a prime. Then, we will show that it also works for  $p$ . If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$\frac{4 \left( \frac{p-1}{4} \right) + 1}{2 \left( \frac{p-1}{4} \right) + 1} \cdot \left( 2 \left( \frac{p-1}{4} \right) + 1 \right) = p.$$

However, since

$$2 \left( \frac{p-1}{4} \right) + 1 < p$$

it must be representable, so  $p$  works. If  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ , then let  $p = 8a + 3$  and notice that

$$\frac{24a + 9}{12a + 5} \cdot \frac{12a + 5}{6a + 3} \cdot (2a + 1) = p.$$

However, since  $2a + 1 < 8a + 3$ , we are able to represent  $a$ , so we are done. Finally, if  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then let  $p = 8a + 7$  and notice that

$$\frac{56a + 49}{28a + 25} \cdot \frac{28a + 25}{14a + 13} \cdot \frac{14a + 13}{7a + 7} \cdot (a + 1) = p$$

and since  $a + 1 < p$ , it can be represented in the necessary form. Thus, having exhausted all cases, we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.15 (ISL 2004/N2)**

The function  $f : \mathbb{N} \mapsto \mathbb{N}$  is defined by the equality

$$f(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \gcd(k, n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

1. Prove that  $f$  is multiplicative.
2. Prove that for each  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , the equation  $f(x) = ax$  has a solution.
3. Find all  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the equation  $f(x) = ax$  has a unique solution.

We begin with the first part. Notice that

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} d \cdot \phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \text{id} * \phi.$$

Thus, since the convolution of two multiplicative functions is also multiplicative, we are done.

We continue with the second part. Notice that if  $n = p^k$  where  $p$  is prime,

$$f(p^k) = \sum_{i=0}^k p^i \phi(p^{k-i}) = p^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^i (p^{k-i} - p^{k-i-1}) = (1+k)p^k - kp^{k-1}.$$

Hence, if  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_\ell^{e_\ell}$ , then

$$\frac{f(n)}{n} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( 1 + e_i - \frac{e_i}{p_i} \right).$$

Let  $a = q_1^{f_1} q_2^{f_2} \cdots q_m^{f_m}$ . Now, notice that if we set  $\ell = m$ , and

$$1 + e_i - \frac{e_i}{q_i} = q_i^{f_i} \implies e_i = \frac{q_i(q_i^{f_i} - 1)}{q_i - 1}$$

then there clearly exists integer  $e_i$  that satisfies this since the RHS is an integer. Hence, by setting  $p_i = q_i$ , we can make  $a$ , as required.

We finish with the last part. Notice that we also have that  $f(2^{2a-2}) = a \cdot 2^{2a-2}$ . Hence,  $a$  must be a power of two, which clearly satisfies the given constraint, and has exactly one solution.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.16 (ISL 2011/N1)**

For any integer  $d > 0$ , let  $f(d)$  be the smallest possible integer that has exactly  $d$  positive divisors (so for example we have  $f(1) = 1$ ,  $f(5) = 16$ , and  $f(6) = 12$ ). Prove that for every integer  $k \geq 0$  the number  $f(2^k)$  divides  $f(2^{k+1})$ .

Consider the following grid of numbers:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2^1 & 3^1 & 5^1 & 7^1 & \dots \\ 2^2 & 3^2 & 5^2 & 7^2 & \dots \\ 2^4 & 3^4 & 5^4 & 7^4 & \dots \\ 2^8 & 3^8 & 5^8 & 7^8 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

We make the claim that the number formed by selecting the  $k$  smallest numbers in this grid and multiplying them together gives  $f(2^k)$ .

We start by showing that it indeed creates a number with  $2^k$  divisors. Clearly, since  $\tau$  is multiplicative, it suffices to notice that we are just selecting  $x_0$  numbers from the first column,  $x_1$  numbers from the second and so on so that  $x_0 + x_1 + \dots = k$ , and the total number of divisors will just be

$$2^{x_0} \cdot 2^{x_1} \dots = 2^k$$

as required.

We now show that no smaller number satisfies this property. Clearly, for a number  $n = 2^{e_1} 3^{e_2} 5^{e_3} \dots$  to have  $2^k$  divisors, we need for  $e_i + 1$  to be a power of 2 over all positive integers  $i$  (where power is a number of the form  $2^m$  for non-negative integer  $m$ ). As a result, it suffices to decompose  $e_i$  into its binary representation, noting that all the digits must be 1. Then,  $n$  is analogous to selecting some prefix product of each column and multiplying all of the products, such that the total length of each prefix is  $k$ . Hence, the minimum is clearly when we select all the smallest numbers.

Thus, having shown sufficiency and necessity, we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.17 (ELMO 2017/4)**

An integer  $n > 2$  is called *tasty* if for every ordered pair of positive integers  $(a, b)$  with  $a + b = n$ , at least one of  $\frac{a}{b}$  and  $\frac{b}{a}$  is a terminating decimal. Do there exist infinitely many tasty integers?

We claim the answer is no.

Notice that  $n$  must be of the form  $n = 2^m 5^n + 3$ , due to selecting  $a = 3$ . In addition, by selecting  $a = 6$ , we must have that  $n = 2^e 5^f + 6$ . Hence, it suffices to see if there exist infinite tuples  $(m, n, e, f)$  satisfying

$$2^m 5^n = 2^e 5^f + 3.$$

Clearly, one of  $m$  or  $e$  must be zero, so we case.

If  $m = 0$ , then we must have that  $e \geq 1$  by modulo 2. Hence, we now must solve

$$5^n = 2^e 5^f + 3.$$

Clearly, one of  $n$  or  $f$  must be 0. If  $n = 0$ , then there are clearly no solutions, so assume that  $f = 0$ . Then, we wish to find solutions to

$$5^n = 2^e + 3.$$

If  $e = 1$ , then we clearly have a solution, so assume  $e \geq 2$ . Then, by taking modulo 4, we reach a contradiction. Hence, there is only one solution.

If  $e = 0$ , then we know that  $n$  is of the form  $n = 5^f + 6$ . Furthermore, from  $a = 7$ , we find that  $n = 2^x 5^y + 7$ , so we wish to solve

$$5^f = 2^x 5^y + 1.$$

Clearly, one of  $f$  or  $y$  must be zero. If  $f = 0$ , then we find a solution. If  $y = 0$ , then it suffices to find solutions to

$$5^f - 2^x = 1.$$

Now, notice that if  $f$  and  $x$  are greater than 1, then there exist no solutions by Catalan's Conjecture. Hence, there are finitely many solutions  $n$ , as required.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.18 (USA TSTST 2016/4)**

Suppose that  $n$  and  $k$  are positive integers such that

$$1 = \underbrace{\phi(\phi(\cdots \phi(n) \cdots))}_{k \text{ times}}.$$

Prove that  $n \leq 3^k$ .

Let  $n = 2^k p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_\ell^{e_\ell}$ . Let  $f(n)$  denote the number of operations  $\phi$  on  $n$  necessary to reach 2. We then make a claim.

**Claim**

If  $k \geq 1$ , then  $f(n) = k - 1 + e_1 f(p_1) + e_2 f(p_2) + \cdots + e_\ell f(p_\ell)$ . Otherwise,  $f(n) = e_1 f(p_1) + e_2 f(p_2) + \cdots + e_\ell f(p_\ell)$ .

*Proof.* We do this by noting that  $f(2b) = f(b) + 1$  if  $b$  is even, and  $f(ap) = f(a) + f(p)$  if  $a$  and  $p$  are odd and  $p$  is a prime. Both of these conclusions are clear, and directly lead to the desired claim.  $\square$

Thus, it suffices to show that if  $k = 0$ , then

$$p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_\ell^{e_\ell} \leq 3^{e_1 f(p_1) + e_2 f(p_2) + \cdots + e_\ell f(p_\ell) + 1}.$$

Taking the log base 3 of both sides,

$$e_1 \log_3(p_1) + e_2 \log_3(p_2) + \cdots + e_\ell \log_3(p_\ell) \leq e_1 f(p_1) + e_2 f(p_2) + \cdots + e_\ell f(p_\ell) + 1$$

but this is clear, since  $\log_3(p_1) \leq f(p_1)$ . Similarly, we are able to find a similar conclusion when  $k \geq 1$ , so we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.19 (ISL 2016/N2)**

Let  $\tau_1(n)$  be the number of positive divisors of  $n$  which have remainders 1 when divided by 3. Find all positive integral values of the fraction  $\frac{\tau(10n)}{\tau_1(10n)}$ .

We claim the answer is all composite numbers of the form  $ab$  where  $a, b \geq \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$  and 2.

Let  $n = 3^\alpha p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k} q_1^{f_1} q_2^{f_2} \cdots q_\ell^{f_\ell}$  such that all the  $p$  are congruent to 1 (mod 3) and all the  $q$  are congruent to 2 (mod 3). Then, clearly

$$\tau_1(n) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (f_i + 1) \right\rceil \prod_{i=1}^k (e_i + 1).$$

Now, notice that

$$\frac{\tau(n)}{\tau_1(n)} = \frac{(\alpha + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (f_i + 1)}{\left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (f_i + 1) \right\rceil}.$$

Now, if at least one of the  $f_i$  are odd, then the ceiling is irrelevant, the value will be of the value  $2(\alpha + 1)$  which generates all even numbers. Now, if all the  $f_i$  are even, then this is equal to

$$\frac{\tau(n)}{\tau_1(n)} = \frac{2(\alpha + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (f_i + 1)}{1 + \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (f_i + 1)} = 2(\alpha + 1) - \frac{2(\alpha + 1)}{1 + \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (f_i + 1)}.$$

Now, notice that all numbers  $x$  that are not 1 or prime are representable by  $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (f_i + 1)$  since we are actually computing  $\frac{\tau(10n)}{\tau_1(10n)}$ . Hence, if  $2\alpha + 1$  is not prime or 1, then let

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (f_i + 1) = 2\alpha + 1.$$

Then, it becomes

$$2(\alpha + 1) - \frac{2(\alpha + 1)}{1 + \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (f_i + 1)} = 2(\alpha + 1) - 1 = 2\alpha + 1$$

so this generates all composite numbers. Finally, we must show that all odd primes are not possible. Let  $p$  be a prime. Then,

$$(2\alpha + 2 - p) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (f_i + 1) = p.$$

Clearly, the second term on the RHS must equal  $p$  and the first term 1. However, since  $\ell \geq 2$ , this is not allowed, contradiction. Thus, we are done.  $\square$

### Problem 3.7.20 (China 2017/5)

Let  $D_n$  be the set of divisors of  $n$ . Find all natural  $n$  such that it is possible to split  $D_n$  into two disjoint sets  $A$  and  $G$ , both containing at least three elements each, such that the elements in  $A$  form an arithmetic progression while the elements in  $G$  form a geometric progression.

We claim that no  $n$  work.

Suppose  $n \in A$ . Then, the next largest element, call it  $a$ , must divide  $n$ , so it will be impossible to get any non-multiple of  $a$  in  $A$ , and this is clearly a contradiction since 1 must be in  $G$ . Therefore,  $n \in G$ , and let  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ . Notice that clearly,  $k \geq 2$ . Now, notice that at most one of 1,  $p_1$ , and  $p_2$  can be in  $G$  for obvious reasons, and similarly, at most one of  $\frac{n}{p_1}$  and  $\frac{n}{p_2}$  can be in  $G$ . We now case.

- If  $1 \in G$ , then notice that  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $\frac{n}{p_1}$  and  $\frac{n}{p_2}$  must be in  $A$ . However, this implies that

$$p_2 - p_1 = \frac{n}{p_1} - \frac{n}{p_2} \implies n = p_1 p_2$$

which is a contradiction, since  $\tau(n) \geq 6$ .

- If  $p_1 \in G$ , then 1,  $p_2$  and  $\frac{n}{p_1}$  are all in  $A$ . Now, the common difference must be at most  $p_1^2 - 1$ , so

$$n - \frac{n}{p_1} \leq p_1^2 - 1 \implies n \leq p_1^2 + p_1.$$

However, we may write that

$$n \leq p_1^2 + p_1 = p_1(p_1 + 1) \leq p_1 p_2$$

which is a contradiction.

- If  $p_2 \in G$ , then 1,  $p_1$ , and  $\frac{n}{p_2}$  are all in  $A$ . Thus, the common difference must be  $p_2 - 1$ , so

$$n - \frac{n}{p_2} \leq p_2 - 1 \implies n \leq p_2$$

which is clearly a contradiction.

- Finally, if none of 1,  $p_1$ , or  $p_2$  are in  $G$ , then we know that the common difference is at most  $p_1 - 1$ , so we reach the same conclusion as in the previous case.

Thus, having exhausted all cases, we find that no  $n$  work.  $\square$

**Problem 3.7.21 (China TST 2015/3/6)**

For all natural numbers  $n$ , define  $f(n) = \tau(n!) - \tau((n-1)!)$ . Prove that there exist infinitely many composite  $n$ , such that for all naturals  $m < n$ , we have  $f(m) < f(n)$ .

Start by noticing that  $f(p) = \frac{\tau(p!)}{2}$  where  $p \neq 2$  is a prime, and that  $n = 6$  works. We now make a claim.

**Claim**

Let  $p \neq 2$  be a prime. Then,

$$f(2p) > \frac{\tau((2p-1)!)}{2}.$$

*Proof.* Notice that

$$f(2p) = \tau((2p)!) - \tau((2p-1)!)$$

by definition. Now, the only powers the  $(2p)!$  and  $(2p-1)!$  will differ on is the power of 2 and the power of  $p$ . The power of  $p$  in the first term in the RHS will be 2, while the power of  $p$  in the second term will be 1. Furthermore, we know that  $\nu_2((2p)!) = \nu_2((2p-1)!) + 1 > 2$ , so the required conclusion is immediate.  $\square$

We now show that it is possible to generate more  $n$  from a single satisfactory one. Let  $\ell$  be a working number. Then, we know that  $f(m) < f(\ell)$  over all  $m < \ell$ . However, we also know that  $f(\ell) < \tau(\ell!)$ . Hence, let  $p$  be the smallest prime such that  $2p > n$ . Then, if  $2p$  works, we are done. If not, then there must exist some composite number  $c$ , such that

$$f(c) \geq f(2p).$$

In that case, select  $c$  such that  $c$  is the greatest such composite number less than  $2p$ , which then clearly works. Hence, we are done.  $\square$

## 4 Diophantine Equations

### 4.1 Parity

*No problems.*

### 4.2 Factoring Equations

*No problems.*

### 4.3 Using Inequalities

*No problems.*

### 4.4 Modular Contradictions

*No problems.*

### 4.5 Fermat's Last Theorem

*No problems.*

### 4.6 Infinite Descent

*No problems.*

### 4.7 Vieta Jumping

#### **Problem 4.7.1** (Korea MO)

Prove that  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 2xyz$  has no solutions in integers  $x, y, z$  except  $(0, 0, 0)$ .

We proceed by Vieta Jumping. Start by notice that if any one of the variables are zero, then they all must be zero. In addition, of  $x, y$ , and  $z$ , all of them are positive or two are negative. However, these two cases are identical, so assume that  $x, y, z > 0$  henceforth.

#### **Claim**

No two variables are equal.

*Proof.* If two variables are equal then WLOG let them be  $y$  and  $z$ . Then, we know that

$$x^2 + 2z^2 = 2xz^2$$

so  $z \mid x$ . Then, write  $x = z\ell$ , so that the equation becomes

$$z^2\ell^2 + 2z^2 = 2\ell z^3 \implies \ell^2 + 2 = 2\ell z.$$

Then, we know that  $\ell \mid 2$ , so if  $\ell = 1$ , then there are no solutions, and if  $\ell = 2$ , then we don't find any solutions either. Thus, we cannot have two variables equal, as required.  $\square$

Now, WLOG let  $x > y > z$  where  $x + y + z$  is minimized, and define

$$f(t) = t^2 - 2tyz + y^2 + z^2.$$

Clearly, one of the roots of  $f$  is  $x$ , and the other root, must be a positive integer (due to Vieta's formulae), call it  $w$ . Then, notice that

$$f(y) = 2y^2 - 2y^2z + z^2 < y^2(3 - 2z).$$

Now, if  $z = 1$ , then it suffices to solve

$$x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 2xy \implies (x - y)^2 = -1$$

which is impossible. Thus,  $z \geq 2$ , so  $f(y)$  is negative and lies between  $x$  and  $w$ . Now, since  $x > y$ , we know that  $y > w$ , so  $x > w$ . Thus, the triplet  $(x, y, z)$  is not minimal, contradiction.  $\square$

**Problem 4.7.2 (Strong IMO 1988/6)**

Show that if  $ab + 1$  divides  $a^2 + b^2$  for positive integers  $a, b$ , then

$$\frac{a^2 + b^2}{ab + 1} = \gcd(a, b)^2.$$

We wish to solve for  $k$  in the equation

$$\frac{a^2 + b^2}{ab + 1} = k.$$

WLOG let  $a > b$ , since if  $a = b$ ,  $a^2 + 1 \nmid 2a^2$ . Then, rearranging, let

$$f(t) = t^2 - kbt + b^2 - k.$$

Then, one root of this is  $a$ , so let the other root be  $w$ . Notice that by Vieta's formulae,  $w$  is a positive integer, and

$$\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(kb - a, b) = \gcd(w, b)$$

so the greatest common divisor is invariant across this operation. Finally, we wish to show that  $w < b$ , so

$$w = \frac{b^2 - k}{a} \leq \frac{b^2}{a} < b$$

as required. Then, reversing the roles of  $w$  and  $b$ , we can continuously repeat this operation until one of them is zero. Then, we find that the gcd is still invariant, and plugging in  $b = 0$  reveals that the value is the gcd squared.  $\square$

**Problem 4.7.3 (Generalized IMO 1988/6)**

If  $a, b, c$  are positive integers such that

$$0 < a^2 + b^2 - abc \leq c$$

show that  $a^2 + b^2 - abc$  is a perfect square.

We proceed by Vieta Jumping. WLOG let  $a > b$ , since if  $a = b$ , then the conclusion is immediate. In addition, let

$$a^2 + b^2 - abc = k$$

and define

$$f(t) = t^2 - bct + b^2 - k.$$



We know that one root of  $f$  is  $a$ , and the other root (call it  $w$ ) must be a positive integer by Vieta's formulae. We claim that  $w < b$ . Indeed,

$$w = \frac{b^2 - k}{a} \leq \frac{b^2}{a} < b.$$

Thus, we have a method of reducing the solution, and continually reducing will give that the pair contains 0, at which point we are done.  $\square$

#### Problem 4.7.4

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be  $n$  integers. If  $k > n$  is an integer, prove that the only solution to

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = kx_1x_2 \dots x_n$$

is  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$ .

We proceed by Vieta Jumping. Notice that the conclusion is clear for  $n = 1$ , so assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Furthermore, there must be an even number of negative  $x$ , so we may assume that they are all positive (as negating any two is equivalent). Hence, assume that they are all non-zero, since if any one of them is zero, then they all must be zero as well, so assume  $1 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ , and  $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$  is minimized. Then, let

$$f(t) = t^2 - kx_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}t + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2.$$

Clearly, one root of this is  $x_n$ , so let the other root be  $w$ . We know that  $w$  is a positive integer by Vieta's formulae. Furthermore, notice that

$$f(x_{n-1}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + 2x_{n-1}^2 - kx_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}$$

is negative, since

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + 2x_{n-1}^2 \leq nx_{n-1}^2 < kx_{n-1}^2 \leq kx_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}.$$

Thus, since  $x_n > x_{n-1}$  (they cannot be equal, since  $f(x_n)$  and  $f(x_{n-1})$  are distinct), we know that  $x_{n-1} > w$ , so the solution is not minimal, contradiction. Thus, we are done.  $\square$

## 4.8 Pell's Equations

#### Problem 4.8.1

Show that  $\overline{zw} = \overline{z} \cdot \overline{w}$ , i.e conjugation is multiplicative.

Let  $z = a + \sqrt{b}$  and  $w = c + \sqrt{d}$ . Then,

$$\overline{zw} = \overline{ac + a\sqrt{d} + c\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{bd}} = ac - a\sqrt{d} - c\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{bd} = \overline{z} \cdot \overline{w}$$

as required.  $\square$

**Problem 4.8.2**

Let  $x^2 - dy^2 = 1$  be a Pell's equation with fundamental solution  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Let  $(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})$  be the  $n$ th solution. Then, show that

$$x_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( (x_0 + y_0\sqrt{d})^n + (x_0 - y_0\sqrt{d})^n \right) \quad y_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}} \left( (x_0 + y_0\sqrt{d})^n - (x_0 - y_0\sqrt{d})^n \right).$$

We know that the  $n$ th solution, can be generated by  $(x_0 + y_0\sqrt{d})^{n+1}$ , and in order to extract the integer part, we can just add the conjugate to it, and divide by two, since this will get rid of the irrational part. This directly gives the first part. Now, in order to extract the irrational part, we can subtract the conjugate, which eliminates the integer term, and doubles the irrational part. Thus, by dividing by  $2\sqrt{d}$ , we find the required result.  $\square$

**Problem 4.8.3**

Using the Binomial Theorem, show that the terms in **Problem 4.8.2** are integers.

We begin with the first term. Notice that all the terms with a square root part are negated in the second term, and by adding them together, they annihilate, leaving an integer. Now, it suffices to show that the term inside the parenthesis is divisible by two, however this is clear, since it will be doubled between the terms.

We finish with the second term. Notice that all the integer terms drop in the subtraction, leaving only the terms with a  $\sqrt{d}$ . As a result, when we divide by  $\sqrt{d}$ , we are left with an integer. Furthermore, the term is divisible by two, since it is doubled between the terms, so the end result will be an integer, as required.  $\square$

**4.9 Practice Problems****Problem 4.9.1**

Solve in positive integers the equation

$$x^2y + y^2z + z^2x = 3xyz.$$

We claim the only solution arises at  $(x, x, x)$  for a positive integer  $x$ , which clearly works.

We now show that this is the only solution. By AM-GM, we know that

$$x^2y + y^2z + z^2x \geq 3xyz$$

with equality when  $x^2y = y^2z = z^2x$ . This then results in  $x^2 = yz$  and all cyclic permutations. Solving, we then get that  $x = y = z$ , which clearly always works. Thus, we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 4.9.2**

Find all triples of positive integers  $(x, y, z)$  such that

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 3xyz = p$$

for a prime  $p$ .

We claim the only solutions are  $(x, x, x+1)$ , where  $3x+1$  is a prime,  $(x, x, x-1)$ , where  $3x-1$  is a prime, and all permutations, which we can check to work. We now proceed by showing that these are the only ones.

The LHS factors as

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 3xyz = (x + y + z)(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xy - xz - yz).$$

Thus, in order for this to be a prime, one of the terms must be 1 or  $-1$ . Clearly, the first term can be neither of those, since  $x, y, z \geq 1$ . Furthermore, the second term cannot be  $-1$ , since

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \geq xy + xz + yz$$

by AM-GM. Thus, we wish to solve

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xy - xz - yz = 1 \implies (x - y)^2 + (y - z)^2 + (z - x)^2 = 2.$$

Thus, two of the terms must be 1, while the other must be zero. Thus, WLOG assume that  $x = y$ , so that  $z = x \pm 1$ . If  $z = x + 1$ , then expanding the equation yields that  $3x + 1$  is prime, and similarly, if  $z = x - 1$ , then expanding the equation gives that  $3x - 1$  is prime. Thus, we are clearly done.  $\square$

**Problem 4.9.3 (USAMTS 29/3/2)**

Let  $q$  be a real number. Suppose there are three distinct positive integers  $a, b, c$  such that  $q + a, q + b, q + c$  is a geometric progression. Show that  $q$  is rational.

Since it is a geometric progression, we know that

$$(q + b)^2 = (q + a)(q + c) \implies 2bq + b^2 = (a + c)q + ac \implies q = \frac{ac - b^2}{2b - a - c}$$

which is clearly rational, as required.

Now, if  $a + c = 2b$ , then we know that  $b^2 = ac$ , so

$$\frac{a + c}{2} = \sqrt{ac}.$$

Now, by AM-GM, this implies that  $a = c$ , so  $a = b = c$ , which is a degenerate geometric progression, which is not desired. Thus, we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 4.9.4 (IMO 2006/4)**

Determine all pairs  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$  such that

$$1 + 2^x + 2^{2x+1} = y^2.$$

We claim the only solutions are  $(0, 4)$  and  $(4, 23)$ , which we may check to be true.

If  $y$  is even, then the only solution is  $(0, 4)$ . If not, substitute  $y = 2n - 1$  for some positive integer  $n$ . Then, we get after simplification that

$$2^{x-2}(2^{x+1} + 1) = n(n - 1).$$

So, either  $n = a \cdot 2^{x-2}$  or  $n = a \cdot 2^{x-2} + 1$  for some positive integer  $a$ . Substituting the former in gives that

$$2^{x+1} + 1 = a(a \cdot 2^{x-2} - 1).$$

Thus, due to size constraints, we must have  $a = 3$ . We get a similar result for the former. Now, we check both solutions. If  $n = 3 \cdot 2^{x-2}$ , then

$$2^{x+1} + 1 = 9 \cdot 2^{x-2} - 3$$

which gives the solution  $(4, 23)$ . If  $n = 3 \cdot 2^{x-2} + 1$ , then we get no solutions.  $\square$

**Problem 4.9.5 (INMO 2017/6)**

Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and consider the sum

$$x = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{2k} 2^{n-2k} 3^k = \binom{n}{0} 2^n + \binom{n}{2} 2^{n-2} \cdot 3 + \binom{n}{4} 2^{n-4} \cdot 3^2 + \dots$$

Show that  $2x - 1$ ,  $2x$ , and  $2x + 1$  form the sides of a triangle whose area and inradius are also integers.

We rewrite the sum to be

$$x = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{2k} 2^{n-2k} \sqrt{3}^k = \frac{1}{2} \left( (2 + \sqrt{3})^n + (2 - \sqrt{3})^n \right).$$

Now, for the first part, it suffices to show that  $x\sqrt{3(x^2 - 1)}$  is an integer. In other words, we require  $\frac{x^2 - 1}{3}$  to be a perfect square, say  $y^2$ . Rearranging, we find that

$$x^2 - 3y^2 = 1$$

which is a Pell equation. Now, we know that integer solutions to this will be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{1}{2} \left( (2 + \sqrt{3})^n + (2 - \sqrt{3})^n \right) \\ y &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \left( (2 + \sqrt{3})^n - (2 - \sqrt{3})^n \right) \end{aligned}$$

so  $y$  is an integer, as required. Furthermore, since  $x$  is an integer, the area is also an integer. Finally, we wish to show that  $r = \frac{A}{3x}$  is an integer, by this is clear by the same logic, as we require  $\sqrt{\frac{x^2 - 1}{3}}$  to be an integer, so the term inside must be a perfect square, as desired.  $\square$

**Problem 4.9.6**

Find all  $(x, y, n) \in \mathbb{N}^3$  such that  $\gcd(x, n + 1) = 1$  and  $x^n + 1 = y^{n+1}$ .

By Catalan's Conjecture, it suffices to just check the case when  $n = 1$ . Then, we have that  $x + 1 = y^2$  for odd  $x$ . Hence, the answer is just  $(4y^2 - 1, 2y, 1)$  which clearly works.  $\square$

**Problem 4.9.7 (USAMO 1987/1)**

Solve the following equation in nonzero integers  $x, y$ :

$$(x^2 + y)(x + y^2) = (x - y)^3.$$

We claim all the solutions are  $\{(9, -21), (9, -6), (-1, -1), (8, -10)\}$  which all work.

We now show that there exist no other solutions. Expand to find that

$$x^2y^2 + x^3 + y^3 + xy = x^3 - 3x^2y + 3xy^2 - y^3 \implies x^2y^2 + 2y^3 + xy + 3x^2y - 3xy^2 = 0.$$

Now, since  $y \neq 0$ , we may divide by  $y$ , to get that

$$x^2y + 2y^2 + x + 3x^2 - 3xy = 0.$$

Treating this as a quadratic in  $y$ , we find that

$$2y^2 + (x^2 - 3x)y + 3x^2 + x = 0.$$

Solving for  $y$ , we find that

$$\frac{-x^2 + 3x \pm \sqrt{(x^2 - 3x)^2 - 8(3x^2 + x)}}{4} = \frac{-x^2 + 3x \pm |x + 1|\sqrt{x(x - 8)}}{4}.$$

Now, we require for  $x(x - 8)$  to be a perfect square, say  $z^2$ . Then,

$$x^2 - 8x = z^2 \implies (x - 4)^2 = z^2 + 16.$$

Hence,

$$(x - z - 4)(x + z - 4) = 16.$$

Notice that the sum of the two terms must be even, so neither of them can be 1 or  $-1$ . Thus, it suffices to check the cases  $\{2, 8\}$ ,  $\{8, 2\}$ ,  $\{-2, -8\}$ ,  $\{-8, -2\}$ ,  $\{4, 4\}$ ,  $\{-4, -4\}$ . We now consider each of these separately.

- For the case when the terms are  $\{2, 8\}$ , we find that  $x = 9$ , giving the pairs  $(9, -21)$  and  $(9, -6)$ , which both work.
- If the terms are  $\{8, 2\}$ , then we find that  $x = 9$ , so this case is the same as above.
- If the terms are  $\{-2, -8\}$ , then  $x = -1$ , which gives the solution  $(-1, -1)$ , which works.
- If the terms are  $\{-8, -2\}$ , then the case is identical to the above solution.
- If the terms are  $\{4, 4\}$ , then we know that  $x = 8$ , for which we find that  $(8, -10)$  works.
- If the terms are  $\{-4, -4\}$ , then we find that  $x = z = 0$ , which is undesirable.

Thus, having exhausted all cases, we are done.  $\square$

#### Problem 4.9.8

Find all positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  for which

$$1! + 2! + 3! + \cdots + n! = m^2.$$

We claim that the only solutions are  $(m, n) \in \{(1, 1), (3, 3)\}$  which clearly works.

Suppose that  $n \geq 5$ . Then, taking the equation modulo 5, we find that

$$1! + 2! + 3! + 4! \equiv 3 \equiv m^2 \pmod{5}.$$

However, squares modulo 5 are  $\{0, 1, 4\}$ . Thus, we must have  $n \leq 4$ . Trying all such  $n$ , we find that the only solutions are  $(m, n) \in \{(1, 1), (3, 3)\}$ , which clearly work.  $\square$

#### Problem 4.9.9 (EGMO 2013/4)

Find all positive integers  $a$  and  $b$  for which there are three consecutive integers at which the polynomial

$$P(n) = \frac{n^5 + a}{b}$$

takes integer values.

We claim that the only solutions are  $(a, b) \in \{(x, 1), (y, 11)\}$  where  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $11 \mid y \pm 1$ , which we may verify explicitly.

Start by noticing that  $b$  must be odd, since if it is even, then there cannot exist consecutive values where  $b$  divides  $n^5 + a$ . Then, suppose that there exists  $n$  such that  $P(n-1)$ ,  $P(n)$ , and  $P(n+1)$  are all integers. Then, we know that

$$P(n-1) + P(n+1) - 2P(n) = \frac{20n^3 + 10n}{b}$$

is also an integer, so

$$b \mid 10n^3 + 5n.$$

Now, since  $P(n+1) - P(n-1)$  is also an integer, we know that

$$b \mid 10n^4 + 20n^2 + 2.$$

Now, in conjunction with the other dividing condition, we know that

$$b \mid 15n^2 + 2.$$

Furthermore, we know that

$$b \mid 3(10n^3 + 5n) - 2n(15n^2 + 2) = 11n.$$

We can now case.

- If  $b = 1$ , then clearly, any  $a$  works, so the solutions are  $(a, 1)$ .
- If  $b = n$ , then  $n \mid a$ , so write  $a = kn$  for some positive integer  $k$ . Then, we know that  $\frac{(n+1)^5 + kn}{n}$  must be an integer, so  $n = \pm 1$ . If  $n = 1$ , then the conclusion is the same as the above. On the other hand, if  $n = -1$ , then  $b = -1$ , which is not allowed. Thus, there are no solutions here.
- If  $b = 11$ , then we must have that  $11 \mid 15n^2 + 2$ , so

$$n^2 \equiv 5 \pmod{11} \implies n \equiv \{4, 7\} \pmod{11}.$$

Now, we require for  $n^5$ ,  $(n-1)^5$ , and  $(n+1)^5$  to all leave the same residue modulo 11, but notice that

$$3^5 \equiv 4^5 \equiv 5^5 \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \quad \text{and} \quad 6^5 \equiv 7^5 \equiv 8^5 \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$$

so this works. Thus, the solutions here are  $(a, 11)$ , where  $11 \mid a \pm 1$ .

- If  $b = 11n$ , then  $n \mid a$ , so write  $a = kn$  for positive integer  $k$ . Then,  $\frac{(n+1)^5 + kn}{11n}$  must be an integer, so  $n \mid (n+1)^5$  implying that  $n = \pm 1$ . Checking both possibilities, we find no solutions or that they are equivalent to other cases. Thus, we disregard this case.

Thus, having exhausted all cases, we are done.  $\square$