

CS1632: Static analysis, Part 1

Wonsun Ahn

Dynamic vs Static Testing

- Dynamic test – Code is executed by the test
 - Everything that we have done so far!
- Static test – Code is not executed by the test
 - Defect is found through analysis of code

Kinds of Static Tests

- Code review / walk-through
- Compiling
- Code coverage
- Linters
- Bug finders
- Formal verification

Why Static Test?

- Often easier than dynamic testing
 - No need to come up with test cases
 - No need to set up software / hardware to run the program
- Can pinpoint a defect better than a dynamic test can
 - A dynamic test just tells you there is a defect with a certain input
 - A static test analyzes the code and tells you exactly which line of code to fix
- Can often find defects that dynamic testing would miss
 - Dynamic testing is limited by its test cases – may miss certain behavior
 - A static test analyzes the entire code to look for defects

Why not (only) Static Test?

- Does not find all defects
 - E.g. just because a program compiles, doesn't mean it is bug free!
 - E.g. just because you did a code review, doesn't mean it is bug free!
 - With formal verification, you *can* catch all defects – but more on that later
- Often reports false positives
 - False positive – as in the test reports a defect but it turns out there is none
 - E.g. you thought you found a bug through a code review, but it wasn't a bug
 - Even automated tools like linters and bug finders are prone to false positives

Kinds of Static Tests

- Code review / walk-through – Eyeballing your code, next!
- Compiling
- Code coverage
- Linters
- Bug finders
- Formal verification

Kinds of Static Tests

- Code review / walk-through
- **Compiling**
- Code coverage
- Linters
- Bug finders
- Formal verification

Compiler

- First job of compiler is to translate source code to machine code
- Second job is to perform static checks on source code
 - Errors – code does not adhere to language rules
 - Syntax errors: Compiler cannot parse code – structural problems
 - Type errors: Tries to perform operation that is illegal for that data type
 - Warnings – code adheres to language rules but looks suspicious
 - Uninitialized variable – why use an unknown value?
 - Unused variable – did you forget to use this variable?
 - Dead code (unreachable code) – then why did you write it?
 - Implicit type conversion – are you okay with the value changing?

Compiler – Use it to the fullest!

- Warnings are their weight in gold
 - Programmers fix errors but tend to ignore warnings because it compiles
 - The compiler is trying to tell you something valuable, why ignore it?
- Let your compiler do static checking to the fullest
 - “gcc -Wall” gcc command line option turns on all warnings
 - “gcc -Werror” gcc command line option turns warnings into errors
 - In some scripting languages, there is “use strict;” and/or “use warnings;”
 - JavaScript, Perl, ...
 - Put at top of source code enables more strict static checking

Choice of Language is Important

- Language decides effectiveness of compiler static analysis
 - The more semantic information is exposed, the more effective the analysis
 - E.g. trying to analyze assembly language code is not very effective
- Language features that help / harm compiler checks
 - Strong data types in Java:

```
String x = "1"; // x is of type String  
x++;           // java compiler type error!
```
 - Weak data types in JavaScript:

```
var x = "1";    // x is untyped  
x++;           // x == 2 (yes, not joking)
```


→ Exactly why TypeScript (JavaScript with typing) is gaining popularity

Kinds of Static Tests

- Code review / walk-through
- Compiling
- **Code coverage**
- Linters
- Bug finders
- Formal verification

Code Coverage

- How much of the codebase is covered by a particular test suite.
- You need to execute a test suite so isn't this dynamic testing?
 - Yes, but a fair bit of static analysis is required to measure code coverage
 - Involves analyzing code and instrumenting with counters before running (e.g. Counter to see if a method was called at the beginning of method)
- Code coverage can mean different things though!

Code Coverage Example 1

```
class Duck {
    public String quack(int x) {
        if (x > 0) {
            return "Quack!";
        } else {
            return "Negative Quack!";
        }
    }
    public String quock() {
        return "Quock!";
    }
}

assertEquals("Quack!", quack(1));
assertEquals("Negative Quack!", quack(-4));
```

- What is the code coverage?

Method Coverage

```
class Duck {  
    public String quack(int x) {  
        if (x > 0) {  
            return "Quack!";  
        } else {  
            return "Negative Quack!";  
        }  
    }  
    public String quock() {  
        return "Quock!";  
    }  
}  
  
assertEquals("Quack!", quack(1));  
assertEquals("Negative Quack!", quack(-4));
```

- Method coverage = $1 / 2 = 50\%$

Statement Coverage

```
class Duck {  
    public String quack(int x) {  
        if (x > 0) {  
            return "Quack!";  
        } else {  
            return "Negative Quack!";  
        }  
    }  
    public String quock() {  
        return "Quock!";  
    }  
}  
  
assertEquals("Quack!", quack(1));  
assertEquals("Negative Quack!", quack(-4));
```

- Statement coverage = 3 / 4 = 75%

Code Coverage Example 2

```
public static int noogie(int x) {  
    if (x < 10) {  
        return 1;  
    } else {  
        if ((int) Math.sqrt(x) % 2 == 0) {  
            return (x / 0);    // Defect  
        } else {  
            return 3;  
        }  
    }  
}  
  
assertEquals(1, noogie(5));  
assertEquals(3, noogie(81));  
assertEquals(3, noogie(9));
```

- What is the code coverage?

Code Coverage Example 2

```
public static int noogie(int x) {  
    if (x < 10) {  
        return 1;  
    } else {  
        if ((int) Math.sqrt(x) % 2 == 0) {  
            return (x / 0);    // Defect  
        } else {  
            return 3;  
        }  
    }  
}
```

```
assertEquals(1, noogie(5));  
assertEquals(3, noogie(81));  
assertEquals(3, noogie(9));
```

- Method coverage = 1 / 1 = 100%

Code Coverage Example 2

```
public static int noogie(int x) {  
    if (x < 10) {  
        return 1;  
    } else {  
        if ((int) Math.sqrt(x) % 2 == 0) {  
            return (x / 0); // Defect  
        } else {  
            return 3;  
        }  
    }  
}  
  
assertEquals(1, noogie(5));  
assertEquals(3, noogie(81));  
assertEquals(3, noogie(9));
```

- Statement coverage = 4 / 5 = 80%

Other Kinds of Code Coverage

- Branch coverage: % of branch directions (e.g. if statement) covered
- Condition coverage: % of boolean expressions covered
- Path coverage: % of paths in method covered
- Parameter value coverage: % of (common) parameter values covered
- Entry/Exit coverage: % of method calls / returns covered
- Remember, all are proxy metrics for the ideal coverage metric
 - $(\text{Number of defects found}) / (\text{Total number of defects})$
 - 100% coverage in any of these metrics does not mean 100% defect coverage

What does Statement Coverage tell you?

- Where more tests would be useful and where tests are missing
 - If you only have 10% coverage, you probably want to add more tests
- But 100% coverage does not mean defect free
- Consider the following...

What does Statement Coverage tell you?

```
public int divide(int x, int y) {  
    return x / y;  
}
```

```
// 100% (statement) coverage! WOO-HOO!  
assertEquals(1, divide(1, 1));
```

- Moments later ... somebody calls `divide(1, 0)`

Things 100% Statement Coverage Can't Catch

- Defects triggered by different input values that cover the same paths
 - We saw this just now with x / y ;

- Defects on a path with covered statements but was never traversed

```
int foo (int a, b) {  
    int x = 2;  
    if (a == 0) { x--; }  
    if (b == 0) { x--; }  
    return (int) 10 / x;  
}
```

→ Testing `foo(1, 0)` and `foo(0, 1)` will get you 100% coverage,
But does not traverse the path of `foo(0, 0)`, the one triggering the defect

- And more!

Kinds of Static Tests

- Code review / walk-through
- Compiling
- Code coverage
- **Linters**
- Bug finders
- Formal verification

Coding Style is Important

- Poorly written code can cause issues
- Multiple people writing code in different styles cause issues

Imagine reading this (VALID!) code...

```
public int DOSOMETHING(int num) {  
    int nUmScHnIrPs = num * 2;  
    int NumNirps = nUmScHnIrPs - 1;  
    if (NumNirps >  
6) {  
        if (NumNirps < 10)  
        {  
            return 1;  
        } else  
        {  
            return 4;  
        }  
    }  
    return 5;  
}
```

Linters Enable A Team to Use Same Style

- Used very commonly, partly because it is so easy to use
- Any SW company worth its salt has a style guide
- Style guide can be documented (e.g. in XML) and passed to linter
 - Checks on indentation
 - Checks on variable / method / class naming
 - Checks on comment formatting
 - Checks on code metrics
 - ...

Linters

- Standalone
 - **CheckStyle**: Java Linter (we will use in our next exercise!)
 - CppLint: C++ Linter
 - ESLint: JavaScript Linter
- Included in your compiler
 - “javac -Xlint”: -Xlint is an option in javac that enables internal linter
 - Clang-tidy – part of the Clang C++ compiler

Kinds of Static Tests

- Code review / walk-through
- Compiling
- Code coverage
- Linters
- **Bug finders**
- Formal verification

Bug Finders

- Looks for patterns that are common signs of defects
 - Many false positives: a pattern match is not necessarily a defect
 - Many false negatives: bugs that don't fit pattern will not be detected
 - Pattern DB updated continuously through open source community
- Pattern match may signal...
 - A defect
 - Confusing code that will later likely lead to defect
 - Performance issues
 - Even security vulnerabilities

Example

```
public void doStuff(int x) {  
    if (x == 0) {  
        x = 1;  
    } else {  
        x = 3;  
    }  
    x = 6;  
}
```

- Can you tell why this may be flagged?

Useless method

- The whole method is a no-op
 - Has no return value
 - Has no side effects
- May be a sign that programmer forgot to do something
- Otherwise, remove method and all calls to it

Example

```
public static void main(String[] args) {  
    double x = 0.1;  
    double y = 0.2;  
    double z = x + y;  
    if (z == 0.3) {  
        System.out.println("math works!");  
    } else {  
        System.out.println("math is arbitrary!");  
    }  
}
```

- Can you tell why this may be flagged?

Direct Comparison of Floating-Point Values

- Floating-point values are approximations
- Always check to see if values are within an epsilon of each other, e.g.
 - `if (Math.abs(z - 0.3) < 0.0001) { ... }`
- Or use `BigDecimal`, `Rational`, etc.

Example

```
public double calculate() {  
    int x = Math.sqrt(90);  
    return x;  
}
```

X will always be the same value

- Just put the calculated value instead of calculating each time
- `Math.sqrt(90) == 9.486832980505138, so ...`

```
public double calculate() {  
    return 9.486832980505138;  
}
```

Example from a Google project

```
class MutableDouble {  
    private double value_  
    public boolean equals(final Object o) {  
        return o instanceof MutableDouble &&  
            ((MutableDouble)o).doubleValue() == doubleValue();  
    }  
    public Double doubleValue() {  
        return value_  
    }  
}
```

- Can you tell where the bug is?

Example from a Google project

```
class MutableDouble {  
    private double value_  
    public boolean equals(final Object o) {  
        return o instanceof MutableDouble &&  
            ((MutableDouble)o).doubleValue() == doubleValue();  
    }  
    public Double doubleValue() {  
        return value_  
    }  
}
```

- Can you tell where the bug is?

Comparison of boxed values

- Double is a boxed object so == compares references to objects
- `o.doubleValue() == doubleValue()` in `equals(Object o)` compares references not values!
 - Must change **Double** `doubleValue()` to **double** `doubleValue()`
 - That way, == operator compares double values
- Added as a pattern after discovery!

Example of Cross-site Scripting

```
public void doGet(HttpServletRequest req, HttpServletResponse res) {  
    String target = req.getParameter("url");  
    InputStream in = getResourceAsStream("META-INF/resources/" + target);  
    if (in == null) {  
        res.getWriter().println("<p>Unable to locate resource: " + target);  
        return;  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

- Where is the security vulnerability?

Example of Cross-site Scripting

```
public void doGet(HttpServletRequest req, HttpServletResponse res) {  
    String target = req.getParameter("url");  
    InputStream in = getResourceAsStream("META-INF/resources/" + target);  
    if (in == null) {  
        res.getWriter().println("<p>Unable to locate resource: " + target);  
        return;  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

- Where is the security vulnerability?

Display of Unsanitized user input

- Target is a user provided string
 - Can potentially contain JavaScript code that executes on website!
 - Must sanitize string before displaying
 - Sanitization: Removing all HTML tags that can be used to inject code
- Added as a pattern after discovery!

Bug Finder Tools

- Java
 - Findbugs: bug-finding static analysis software
 - **Spotbugs**: a successor to Findbugs
(We will use in our next exercise!)
- C/C++
 - CppCheck: Findbugs equivalent for C/C++
 - Splint: Bug finder with focus on security vulnerabilities

Kinds of Static Tests

- Code review / walk-through
- Compiling
- Code coverage
- Linters
- Bug finders
- Formal verification – Wait for Part 2!