CS1632: Smoke and Exploratory Testing

Wonsun Ahn

Smoke Testing

Smoke Testing (plumbing)

- Send smoke down the pipes to find leaks BEFORE sending water or other fluids
- Why?
 - Won't waste effort: If there is a leak, nothing to clean up
 - Won't cause further damage



Smoke Testing (software)


Minimal testing to ensure that the system is ready for serious testing

- Why?
 - Setting up software / hardware testing environment may be non-trivial
 - No need to spend the effort if system isn't ready for prime time
 - In essence, avoid wasting testers' time

Other names for Smoke Testing

- Confidence Testing
 - Because it's intended to inspire enough confidence to pass to the QA team
- Sanity Testing
 - Because it's intended to check that developer was fully awake when coding
- Build Verification Testing (BVT)
 - Because it's intended to be performed after every build before further testing

A Typical Software Testing Pipeline



When is BVT Run?

- BVT is a form of regression test
 - Checks whether program has regressed due to recent change(s)
- 1. When new code has been committed and a new build created
 - Verifies every single commit ethos of continuous integration (CI)

- 2. Periodically (e.g. every night)
 - Verifies program hasn't regressed during the day

What goes on in a BVT?

- Integration / system tests
 - All modules are integrated for testing (no more mocks!)
 - Typically done on an integration server, inside a virtual machine
 - In the virtual machine:
 - Deploy build from build artifactory
 - Install other software or database required by program
- (Re-)run unit tests that developer should have already run for TDD
 - To catch developers who were too lazy to run them before committing!
- Basic code quality control
 - Linters, bug finders, compilation with full warnings, ...

What happens on BVT Pass / Fail?

- On BVT Pass
 - Notify QA team by (automated) email for further testing
- On BVT Fail
 - That means code repository is in a broken state now!
 - Nobody can add code to the repository until something is done about it.
 - It's the developer's responsibility to do one of two things:
 - Immediately revert repository to known safe version
 (May need to revert all downstream changes along with your change, annoying many)
 - 2. Immediately patch the bug and run BVT again

BVT Needs to be Fast!


- BVT is in the critical path of development
 - On BVT fail, may need to revert all changes done while BVT was running
 - On BVT fail, no commit can happen until BVT passes again
 - Every minute you save in BVT is a minute you save in the development cycle
- Recommended BVT time is ~ 10 minutes
 - A lot of care needs to be put into the test cases you select for BVT
 - You should use the time to cover all major features of your program

BVT Implementation

- In theory, BVT can take any form
 - Scripted / Unscripted
 - If scripted, the same pre-made test plan is run on every build
 - If unscripted, an experienced tester selects a set of tests relevant to code change
 - Automated / Manual
- Given BVT must be fast, usually scripted and automated
- Recently, sometimes unscripted and automated
 - Artificial intelligence is used to automatically select tests relevant to change

Exploratory Testing

A Typical Software Testing Pipeline



What is Exploratory Testing?

- When development team implements a new feature ...
 - Exact requirements of the feature may not have been hashed out yet (What user interface should look like, what user should be allowed to do, ...)
 - Some requirements are still subjective or remain implicit
 - → A detailed test plan for the feature may not be in place yet

- Exploratory Testing: testing without a test plan
 - To have QA team learn more about the system
 - To have QA team influence the development of the system
 - To help crystallize requirements and a formal test plan

Sometimes called "ad hoc" testing

- Not a good term it implies carelessness
- Less rigid != more careless
 - Same way stochastic testing wasn't mindless monkey testing
- A lot of thought and care goes into exploratory testing
 - Tester must use judgement to get good coverage of features
 - Tester must know how to come up with edge and corner cases
 - Tester need more experience than when following a test plan!

How to do Exploratory Testing

- 1. Use your best judgment
- 2. If in doubt about next step, see Step 1.
- But seriously, there is no test plan and no expected behavior
 - Tester must know instinctively what to test
 - Tester must know instinctively what is a defect (or needs enhancement)
- So where to start?
 - Start from the happy path: tests major features as they are meant to be used (Also gives a chance for tester to learn how to use the program)
 - Branch out to edge / corner cases: apply lessons learned in *Breaking Software*

Document Your Actions

- At least, file defects / enhancements into bug management system
 - Be as formal and detailed as possible so that bug is reproducible
- When feature starts to stabilize, also start recording your test cases
 - Can form the beginnings of a more formal test plan

Exploratory Testing Pros

- Fast: Can focus on finding defects quickly
 - Without having to follow minutiae of test plans and record test results
 - Don't need a test plan to begin with!
- Flexible: No overhead in updating tests
 - Test plans (or automated tests) require updating on system change
- Improves tester's knowledge
 - Exploration helps tester learn about system faster than scripted testing
 - Tester can reapply knowledge to better test the program!

Exploratory Testing Cons

- *Unregulated*: Quality depends heavily on tester
 - Since there is no test plan, quality of test will not be uniform
- *Unrepeatable*: Defects may not be reproducible
 - May not be able to reproduce since exact steps were not written down
- Unknown coverage: Hard to tell coverage after testing
 - Don't have traceability matrix, so no way to tell what features were covered
- Hard to automate: Needs a (knowledgeable) human being to do it

Now Please Read Textbook Chapters 10-11