

Problem 1:

let  $A = [l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n]$   $O(n)$

$A.sort()$  # I assume  $.sort()$  would sort  $A$  in ascending order.  $O(n \log n)$

$A.reverse()$  # in decreasing order  $O(n)$

count = 0  $O(1)$

for  $i$  in  $A$ :  $O(n)$

if  $i \geq h$ : # we eliminate all the ropes with length  $> h$ , since  $O(1)$

count ++ # they don't need pairs  $O(1)$

highestIdx = count  $O(1)$

lowestIdx = n  $O(1)$

while highestIdx < lowestIdx: # pairing the rest ropes  $O(n)$

if ( $A[\text{highestIdx}] + A[\text{lowestIdx}] \geq h$ ):  $O(1)$

count ++  $O(1)$

highestIdx ++  $O(1)$

lowestIdx --  $O(1)$

else:  $O(1)$

lowestIdx --  $O(1)$

return count  $O(1)$

We see that the overall complexity is  $O(n \log n)$ .

## Problem 2:

```
struct task // setup
{ double s, f; // this task's starting & finishing time
  bool operator < (const point & other) const
  { // overload the "<" operator, s.t. task i < task j: if task i.s < task j.s, or
    if (this->s < other.s) // if task i.s = task j.s && task i.f < task j.f,
      return true; // all the other situations makes task i > task j.
    else if (this->s == other.s)
      if (this->f < other.f)
        return true;
      return false;
    return false; } };
```

### # Pseudocodes:

let  $A = [\text{task } 1, \text{task } 2, \dots, \text{task } n]$   $O(n)$

$A.\text{sort}()$   $O(n \log n)$

let  $H = []$   $O(1)$  # the purpose of  $H$  is to store the tasks we do not  
we let  $H$  be a hash table  $\uparrow$  # check. For example, in the codes below, if  $S(j)$  contains  
# task  $i$ , then  $|S(i)| \geq |S(j)|$  for sure, so we skip checking task  $i$ .

$\text{curMin} = \infty$   $O(1)$  # keep track the  $\min(S(j))$ .

$\text{curIdx} = 0$   $O(1)$  # keep track the index of  $\min(S(j))$ .

# now consider the task  $j$ , we want to compute the maximum number of tasks  
# can be done before  $s(j)$ , call it  $k$ , and the maximum number of tasks can be  
# done after  $f(j)$ , call it  $m$ . So  $S(j) = k + 1 + m$ .

for  $j$  in range  $(0, n)$ :  $O(n)$

if  $j$  in  $H$ :  $O(1)$  since  $H$  is hash table

continue

# for loop continued in next page

$k = 0, i = 0, \text{lastTaskIdx} = 0$  # if  $i = 0$ , it is the first one, no last task.  
 repeatedly binary search for  $i$  s.t.:  $O(\log n)$  # task  $i$  starts after the last task ends  
 $((A[i].f < A[j].s) \&\& ((i == 0) \parallel (A[i].s > A[\text{lastTaskIdx}].f)))$   
 $k++$   $O(1)$  # task  $i$  ends before task  $j$  starts  
 $\text{lastTaskIdx} = i$   $O(1)$

$m = 0, i = n, \text{lastTaskIdx} = n$   
 repeatedly binary search for  $i$  s.t.:  $O(\log n)$   
 $((A[i].s < A[j].f) \&\& ((i == n) \parallel (A[i].f < A[\text{lastTaskIdx}].s)))$   
 $m++$   $O(1)$   
 $\text{lastTaskIdx} = i$   $O(1)$   
 $H.\text{insert}(i)$   $O(1)$

if  $\text{curMin} > m + 1 + k$ :  $O(1)$   
 $\text{curIdx} = j$   $O(1)$   
# now the for loop ends.

$\text{return}(A[\text{curIdx}])$

# recall that we created the hash table "H" to avoid checking the later tasks  
 # which the current tasks include. Thus, if 2 tasks are compatible, the later  
 # one will not be checked, and if 2 tasks are not compatible, there would not  
 # be a binary search between them. so binary search occurs for a constant time.

Overall, the algorithm is  $O(n \log n)$

### Problem 3:

When there are two tasks  $i$  and  $j$ , and assume they are accomplished consecutively, also assume the starting date is the same, say the  $k$ -th day. So there are  $T-k$  days remained. If  $T-k > d_i + d_j$ :

① when  $i$  is completed first:  $i$  would make  $(T-k-d_i) \cdot r_i$  revenue, and  
 $j$  would make  $(T-k-d_i-d_j) \cdot r_j$  revenue,  
in total  $(T-k-d_i-d_j)(r_i+r_j) + d_j \cdot r_i$  revenue.

② when  $j$  is completed first:  $i$  would make  $(T-k-d_i-d_j) \cdot r_i$  revenue, and  
 $j$  would make  $(T-k-d_j) \cdot r_j$  revenue,  
in total  $(T-k-d_i-d_j)(r_i+r_j) + d_i \cdot r_j$  revenue.

Thus,  $i$  should be completed earlier than  $j$  if

$$(T-k-d_i-d_j)(r_i+r_j) + d_j \cdot r_i > (T-k-d_i-d_j)(r_i+r_j) + d_i \cdot r_j$$

$$d_j \cdot r_i > d_i \cdot r_j$$

$$\frac{r_i}{d_i} > \frac{r_j}{d_j}.$$

However, if  $\frac{r_i}{d_i} > \frac{r_j}{d_j}$  and  $d_i + d_j < T-k$ : if  $(d_i > T-k \text{ \& } d_j > T-k)$ , or  $(d_i > T-k > d_j)$ , we should complete  $d_i$ ; if  $(d_i < T-k < d_j)$ , then we should complete  $d_j$ .  
If  $(d_i < T-k)$  and  $(d_j < T-k)$ , then we don't have time to complete either.

# now our pseudocodes are:

$A = [\text{task}_1, \text{task}_2, \dots, \text{task}_n]$   $O(n)$

$A.\text{sort}()$  # by increasing order of  $\frac{r_i}{d_i}$   $O(n \log n)$

$\text{taskOrder} = []$   $O(1)$

for  $i$  in  $A$ :  $O(n)$

if  $d_i \leq T$ :  $O(1)$

$\text{taskOrder}.\text{append}(d_i)$   $O(1)$

$T = T - d_i$   $O(1)$

In total, this algorithm  
is  $O(n \log n)$ .

return  $\text{taskOrder}$  # the task in the front means it should be done first.  $O(1)$

#### Problem 4:

We can regard each town as a vertex, and each road as an edge.

Since the towns are all connected, we have  $m \geq n-1$ .

Now suppose there are  $n$  towns, and Alice in town- $i$ , Bob in town- $j$ , Charlie in town- $k$ .

Then we run Dijkstra's algorithm 3 times from town- $i$ , town- $j$ , town- $k$  to get the shortest distance from these three towns to all other towns, with each  $t(e)$  as weight.

Then, the town- $x$  with minimum  $\max(\text{dist}(\text{town-}i, \text{town-}x), \text{dist}(\text{town-}j, \text{town-}x), \text{dist}(\text{town-}k, \text{town-}x))$  is the town we are looking for.

Notice that Dijkstra's algorithm is  $O(m \log n + n \log n) = O(m \log n)$ , and run it three times is still  $O(m \log n)$ . Finding the minimum time to reach the town is  $O(n)$ . So in total,  $O(m \log n)$ .

## Problem 5.

We shall use heap queue:

let  $A = [\text{planet1}, \text{planet2}, \dots, \text{planetN}]$  #  $A$  contains all planets including  $s$  &  $t$

let  $E = [(\text{planetFrom1}, \text{planetTo1}), (\text{planetFrom2}, \text{planetTo2}), \dots, (\text{planetFromm}, \text{planetTo.m})]$

#  $E$  contains all edges in form of 2-entry tuples.

let  $C = [C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n]$  #  $C$  contains the capacity of each planet, same planet has  
# same index as in  $A$ .

$\text{minDays}(s, t, C, E)$ : #  $s$  &  $t$  are the indices of planet  $s$  &  $t$  in  $A$  and  $C$ .

Set every planets' dist as  $\infty$ .

$\text{dist}[s] = 0$  # set the distance of planet  $s$  as 0

$\text{heap} = []$  # min heap

for  $i$  in range(1, n+1):

$\text{heap.append}([ \text{dist}[i], i ])$

$\text{heap.heapify}()$

$\text{days} = 0$

for planets in heap:

    distance,  $u = \text{heap.pop}()$

    if  $u == t$ : # reached  $t$

        return  $C[u] + \text{days}$

    for  $v$  in  $A$ : # update other nodes.

        if  $\text{dist}[v] > \text{dist}[u] + C[u]$ :

$\text{dist}[v] = \text{dist}[u] + C[u]$

$\text{heap.push}([ \text{dist}[v], v ])$

$\text{days} += C[u]$