

What to do today ?

Part I. Introduction and Preparation

Part II. Inference under Multivariate Normal Distribution (Chp 4-7)

II.1 Multivariate Normal Distribution (Chp 4)

II.1.1 Multivariate Normal Distribution $MN_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ (Chp 4.1-2)

II.1.2 Estimation of μ and Σ (Chp 4.3)

II.1.3 Properties of $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ and \mathbf{S} (Chp 4.4-5)

II.1.4 More on Normality (Chp 4.6-8)

II.2 Inferences on Mean Vector (Chp 5)

II.2.1 Introduction (Chp5.1-2a)

II.2.2 Hotelling's T^2 and Likelihood Ratio Test (Chp5.2b-3)

II.3 Comparisons of Several Mean Vector (Chp 6)

II.4 Multivariate Linear Regression (Chp 7)

II.1.2 Estimation of μ and Σ - Review

Consider $\mathbf{X} \sim MN_p(\mu, \Sigma)$: what are μ and Σ ?

Suppose $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ are iid observations on \mathbf{X} , how to use the data to estimate μ and Σ ?

II.1.2A. By Method of Moments

- ▶ $E(\mathbf{X}) = \mu$ and thus the MME $\hat{\mu} = \bar{\mathbf{X}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}_i / n$, the sample mean.
- ▶ $V(\mathbf{X}) = \Sigma = E(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}') - (E\mathbf{X})(E\mathbf{X})'$ and thus the MME

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i' - \bar{\mathbf{X}} \bar{\mathbf{X}}'.$$

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})(\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})' = \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbf{S}.$$

II.1.2B. By Maximum Likelihood Estimation

The likelihood function is

$$L(\mu, \Sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_i - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \mu) \right].$$

The MLE $\hat{\mu} = \bar{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbf{S}$ are the same as the MME.

II.1.3 Properties of $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ and \mathbf{S} - Review

- ▶ *Unbiased estimators:* $E(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $E(\mathbf{S}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, while $E(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}) = \frac{n-1}{n}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.
- ▶ *Relationship:* $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ and \mathbf{S} are independent; so are $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$.
- ▶ *Limits:* $\bar{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- ▶ *Distributions:*
 - ▶ $\bar{\mathbf{X}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i / n \sim MN_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}/n)$ by noting
$$\bar{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{1}{n}(\mathbf{I}, \dots, \mathbf{I}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_n \end{pmatrix}.$$
 - ▶ $n\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = (n-1)\mathbf{S} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})'$ follows a *Wishart* distribution with degree of freedom $n-1$.

Definition. If $\mathbf{Z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_m$ are indpt and follow $MN_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, the distribution of $\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{Z}_j \mathbf{Z}_j'$ is the Wishart Distribution $W_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, m)$.

- ▶ Special case of $p = 1$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \sigma^2 = 1$: χ_m^2 -distn
- ▶ Suppose $\mathbf{W}_1 \sim W_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, m_1)$ and $\mathbf{W}_2 \sim W_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, m_2)$. If \mathbf{W}_1 and \mathbf{W}_2 are indpt, $\mathbf{W}_1 + \mathbf{W}_2 \sim W_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, m_1 + m_2)$
- ▶ If $\mathbf{W} \sim W_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, m)$, $\mathbf{CWC}' \sim W_q(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{C}', m)$ when \mathbf{C} is $q \times p$ matrix with rank of q .

II.1.4 More on Normality (Chp 4.6-8)

II.1.4A Assessing normality assumption

- ▶ Check on the univariate marginal distributions by Q-Q plot (textbook p177-180)
- ▶ Use the fact that $(\mathbf{X}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim \chi_p^2$ if $\mathbf{X}_i \sim MV_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$
 - ▶ The proportion of $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ that fall in $\{\mathbf{x} : (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \leq \chi_p^2(.95)\}$ should be about .95 if the data are from a normal distn.
 - ▶ By *Chi-square plot*, check on whether $d_i^2 = (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ form a sample from χ_p^2 .

Example. (Textbook Example 4.14, p186) Four measurements of stiffness.

II.1.4 More on Normality (Chp 4.6-8)

II.1.4B Detecting outliers and data cleaning

- ▶ Make a dot plot for each variable.
- ▶ Make a scatter plot for each pair of variable.
- ▶ Calculate the standardized values $z_{ik} = (x_{ik} - \bar{x}_k) / \sqrt{s_{kk}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $k = 1, \dots, p$, and examine those for unusually large/small values.
- ▶ Calculate $d_i^2 = (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and examine those for unusually large/small values. (In a Chi-square plot, check the points farthest from the origin.)

Example. (cont'd) (Textbook Example 4.15, p190)

II.1.4 More on Normality (Chp 4.6-8)

II.1.4C Examples of transformation to near normality

- ▶ If the original scale is *counts*, consider \sqrt{y} .
- ▶ If the original scale is *proportions*, consider $\text{logit}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{y}{1-y} \right)$.
- ▶ If the original scale is *correlations*, consider Fisher's transformation $z(y) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+y}{1-y} \right)$.
- ▶ In general, consider the Box-Cox transformation:

$$x^{(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} \frac{y^\lambda - 1}{\lambda} & \lambda \neq 0 \\ \ln y & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

To use λ^* that maximizes

$$l(\lambda) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^{(\lambda)} - \bar{x}^{(\lambda)})^2 \right] + (\lambda - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i$$

II.2 Inferences on Mean Vector (Chp 5)

II.2.1 Introduction (Chp5.1-2a)

Consider $\mathbf{X} \sim MN_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$: what are $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, provided $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ are iid observations on \mathbf{X} ?

- ▶ How to use the data to estimate $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$?

e.g. the MME and MLE: $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \bar{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbf{S}$

sufficiently to address all the related issues?

- ▶ How to use the data to test on hypotheses about $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ or/and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$?

What did we do with a univariate normal r.v.?

Recall ... Consider r.v. $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with iid observations X_1, \dots, X_n .

► *Point Estimation.*

- the MME and MLE of μ : $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$
- the MME and MLE of σ^2 : $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{n-1}{n}s^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$

► *Interval Estimation.* e.g. 95% Confidence Interval of μ

- 95% CI of μ with known σ^2 :

$$\begin{array}{cc} (\bar{X} - 1.96\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}, & \bar{X} + 1.96\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}) \\ \text{lower limit} & \text{upper limit} \end{array}$$

$$1.96 = z_{0.025} \text{ with } Z \sim N(0, 1) \text{ and } P(Z > z_{0.025}) = 2.5\%.$$

Interpretation:

To say that we are 95% confident is shorthand for “95% of all possible samples of a given size from this population will result in an interval that captures the unknown parameter.”

Recall ... Consider r.v. $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with iid observations X_1, \dots, X_n .

► *Interval Estimation.* e.g. *95% Confidence Interval of μ*

► 95% CI of μ with unknown σ^2 :

$$\left(\bar{X} - t_{0.025}(n-1) \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{n}}, \quad \bar{X} + t_{0.025}(n-1) \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{n}} \right)$$

s^2 : the sample variance; $t_{\alpha/2}(n-1)$ – the $\alpha/2$ -right tail of the Student t-distribution with $df = n - 1$.

What if $\mathbf{X} \sim MN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ with iid observations $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$? \implies

Chp5.4: Part II.2.3

Recall ... Consider r.v. $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with iid observations X_1, \dots, X_n .

► *Hypothesis Tests about μ . e.g. $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ by Wald-type Testing*

► When σ^2 is known:

► *test statistic.* $Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$ under H_0

► *rejection region.* with pre-determined α ,

(i) when $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$: $\mathcal{R} = \{z : |z| > z_{\alpha/2}\}$

(ii) when $H_1 : \mu < \mu_0$: $\mathcal{R} = \{z : z < -z_{\alpha}\}$

(iii) when $H_1 : \mu > \mu_0$: $\mathcal{R} = \{z : z > z_{\alpha}\}$

► *making decision.* Reject H_0 if $Z_{obs} \in \mathcal{R}$; otherwise, not reject H_0 .

Z-test procedure.

► When σ^2 is unknown:

► *test statistic.* $T = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{s / \sqrt{n}} \sim t(n-1)$ under H_0 with s^2 is the sample variance.

► *rejection region*, with pre-determined α ,

(i) when $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$: $\mathcal{R} = \{t : |t| > t_{\alpha/2}(n-1)\}$

(ii) when $H_1 : \mu < \mu_0$: $\mathcal{R} = \{t : t < -t_{\alpha}(n-1)\}$

(iii) when $H_1 : \mu > \mu_0$: $\mathcal{R} = \{t : t > t_{\alpha}(n-1)\}$

► *making decision.* Reject H_0 if $T_{obs} \in \mathcal{R}$; otherwise, not reject H_0 .

T-test procedure.

What if $\mathbf{X} \sim MN(\mu, \Sigma)$ with iid observations $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$? \implies **Chp**

Recall ... Consider r.v. $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with iid observations X_1, \dots, X_n .

► *Hypothesis Tests about μ . e.g. $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ by Likelihood Ratio Test*

► When σ^2 is known:

- *test statistic.* $R(\mu_0) = \frac{L(\mu_0, \sigma^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n)}{L(\hat{\mu}, \sigma^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n)}$ with $W = -2 \log R(\mu_0) \sim \chi^2(1)$ under H_0
- *rejection region.* with pre-determined α , when $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$: $\mathcal{R} = \{w : w > \chi_\alpha^2\}$
- *making decision.* Reject H_0 if $W_{obs} \in \mathcal{R}$; otherwise, not reject H_0 .

► When σ^2 is unknown:

- *test statistic.* $R(\mu_0) = \frac{L(\mu_0, \hat{\sigma}_0^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n)}{L(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n)}$ with $W = -2 \log R(\mu_0) \sim \chi^2(1)$ under H_0 approximately.
- *rejection region*, with pre-determined α , when $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$: $\mathcal{R} = \{w : w > \chi_\alpha^2\}$
- *making decision.* Reject H_0 if $W_{obs} \in \mathcal{R}$; otherwise, not reject H_0 .

What if $\mathbf{X} \sim MN(\mu, \Sigma)$ with iid observations $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$? \implies **Chp**

5.2b-3: Part II.2.2

II.2.2 Hotelling's T^2 and Likelihood Ratio Test (Chp5.2b-3)

Suppose $\mathbf{X} \sim MN_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ with iid observations $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$

To test on $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_0$ vs $H_1 : \text{otherwise}$ when $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is known

- ▶ *Test statistic.* Note that $\mathbf{Z} = \sqrt{n}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \sim MN(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ under H_0 .

Consider to use

$$W = \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z} = n(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \sim \chi^2(p) \text{ under } H_0$$

- ▶ *Rejection region.* With pre-determined α ,
 $\mathcal{R} = \{w : w > \chi^2_\alpha(p)\}$
- ▶ *Making decision.* Reject H_0 if $W_{obs} \in \mathcal{R}$; otherwise, not reject H_0 .

Wald-test procedure.

Suppose $\mathbf{X} \sim MN_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ with iid observations $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$

To test on $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_0$ vs $H_1 : \text{otherwise}$ when $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is unknown

- ▶ *Test statistic.* Is $\mathbf{T} = \sqrt{n}\mathbf{S}^{-1/2}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \sim MN(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ under H_0 ?

How about

$$W = \mathbf{T}'\mathbf{T} = n(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)' \mathbf{S}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \sim \chi^2(p) \text{ under } H_0?$$

In fact, under H_0 ,

$$W = \mathbf{T}'\mathbf{T} = n(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)' \mathbf{S}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \sim T^2(p, n-1)$$

$T^2(p, n-1)$ is the *Hotelling's distribution*, the same as $\frac{(n-1)p}{n-p} F(p, n-p)$ with $F(p, n-p)$ the F-distribution with the two dfs p and $n-p$.

- ▶ *Rejection region.* With pre-determined α ,
 $\mathcal{R} = \{w : w > T_{\alpha}^2(p, n-1)\}$
- ▶ *Making decision.* Reject H_0 if $W_{obs} \in \mathcal{R}$; otherwise, not reject H_0 .

Hotelling's T^2 -test procedure.

Example. (Textbook p214) Perspiration from 20 healthy females: three components X_1 = sweat rate, X_2 = sodium content, X_3 = potassium content. Test on $H_0 : \mu' = (4, 50, 10)$

11.2.2 Hotelling's T^2 and Likelihood Ratio Test (Chp5.2b-3)

Suppose $\mathbf{X} \sim MN_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ with iid observations $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$

To test on $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_0$ vs $H_1 : \text{otherwise}$. When $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is unknown by the *likelihood ratio test*

$$L(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{np/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{n/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right\}$$

► *Test statistic.*

$$\Lambda = \frac{L(\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_0)}{L(\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}})} = \left(\frac{|\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}|}{|\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_0|} \right)^{n/2}$$

$\Lambda^{2/n}$ is called *Wilks's lambda* and

$$\Lambda^{2/n} = \left(1 + \frac{T^2}{n-1} \right)^{-1}$$

under H_0 .

► *Rejection region.* With pre-determined α , $\mathcal{R} = \{ \lambda : \lambda < c \}$ with c determined by $P_{H_0}(\Lambda < c) = \alpha$.

► *Making decision.* Reject H_0 if $\Lambda_{obs} \in \mathcal{R}$; otherwise, not reject H_0 .

What will we study next?

- ▶ *Part I. Introduction and Preparation*
- ▶ **Part II. Inference under Multivariate Normal Distribution (Chp 4-7)**
 - ▶ **II.1 Multivariate Normal Distribution (Chp 4)**
 - ▶ *II.1.1 Multivariate Normal Distribution $MN_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ (Chp 4.1-2)*
 - ▶ *II.1.2 Estimation of μ and Σ (Chp 4.3)*
 - ▶ *II.1.3 Properties of $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ and \mathbf{S} (Chp 4.4-5)*
 - ▶ *II.1.4 More on Normality (Chp 4.6-8)*
 - ▶ **II.2 Inferences on Mean Vector (Chp 5)**
 - ▶ **II.3 Comparisons of Several Mean Vector (Chp 6)**
 - ▶ *II.4 Multivariate Linear Regression (Chp 7)*