




Discussion ROC



We learned that in logistic regression, our goal is to create a model with maximum likelihood



But we also have an additional way to further evaluate the model

Introduce: Confusion Matrix




A confusion matrix is a table that is often used to **describe the performance of a classification model**


- True positive (TP): predict **positive**, and actually is **positive**
- True negative (TN): predict **negative**, and actually is **negative**
- False positive (FP): predict **positive**, and actually is **negative**
- False negative (FN): predict **negative**, and actually is **positive**

		True Class	
		Positive	Negative
Predicted Class	Positive	TP	FP
	Negative	FN	TN

Example



Set threshold at 0.5




Predicted



Actual

	Is partner	Is not partner
Is partner	4	1
Is not partner	1	4

Now change threshold to 0.8




Predicted



Actual

	Is partner	Is not partner
Is partner	3	1
Is not partner	2	4

Now change threshold to 0.1



Predicted



Actual

	Is partner	Is not partner
Is partner	4	2
Is not partner	1	3

threshold at 0.5

Predicted

Actual		
	Is partner	Is not partner
Is partner	4	1
Is not partner	1	4

threshold at 0.8

Predicted

Actual		
	Is partner	Is not partner
Is partner	3	1
Is not partner	2	4


threshold at 0.1

Predicted

Actual		
	Is partner	Is not partner
Is partner	4	2
Is not partner	1	3

From these tables, what can we infer?


- **Accuracy:** Overall, how often is the classifier correct?
- **Precision:** When it predicts yes, how often is it correct? (It tells us how much you should trust the model when it says it found something)
- **F Score:** This is a weighted average of the true positive rate (recall) and precision
- **Recall:** (aka sensitivity, true positive rate) It tells us how much the model can find the thing you're looking for



A: accurate and precise



B: precise, but not accurate



C: neither accurate nor precise



D: accurate, but not precise


By looking at the matrices, it is difficult to visualize, so let's make a graph!

threshold at 0.8

	Is partner	Is not partner
Is partner	3	1
Is not partner	2	4

True positive rate = $TP / (TP + FN) = 3 / (3 + 2) = 0.6$

False positive rate = $FP / (FP + TN) = 1 / (1 + 4) = 0.2$




Keep plotting with different threshold, and we will have the following ROC curve graph for this model:




There are 2 things we can consider from ROC curve:

1. We can choose which threshold is good for our goal. For example, we want least false positive rate, we will choose the very left one



2. When we create multiple classification model, each model has its own ROC curve. In general, the best performance model has higher area under the curve (AUC)



But you know, I learned something today



- We use confusion matrix to get TP, FP, TN, FN
- We can evaluate our model's performance from confusion matrix
- On our model, we have to weigh whether to include FP/FN at a cost of accuracy