

Q1. Assume \vec{u} and \vec{v} are non-zero vectors which are not parallel. To prove parallelogram defined by \vec{u} and $\vec{v} \Rightarrow$ orthogonal diagonals in the parallelogram. since \vec{u} and \vec{v} form diagonals of a rhombus d_1, d_2 can be written as follow

$$\begin{aligned}d_1 &= \vec{u} + \vec{v} \\d_2 &= \vec{u} - \vec{v} \\d_1 \cdot d_2 &= (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) \cdot (\vec{u} - \vec{v}) \\&= \vec{u} \cdot \vec{u} - \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u} - \vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} \\&= \vec{u} \cdot \vec{u} - \vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} \\&= \|\vec{u}\|^2 - \|\vec{v}\|^2 \quad \text{since } \|\vec{u}\| = \|\vec{v}\|, \text{ so } \|\vec{u}\|^2 = \|\vec{v}\|^2 \\&= 0\end{aligned}$$

To prove \Rightarrow , if diagonals are orthogonal

$$\begin{aligned}d_1 \cdot d_2 &= 0 \\(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) \cdot (\vec{u} - \vec{v}) &= 0 \\\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u} - \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u} - \vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} &= 0 \\\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u} &= \vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} \\\|\vec{u}\|^2 &= \|\vec{v}\|^2\end{aligned}$$

Hence \vec{u}, \vec{v} have the same magnitude and are non-parallel, so the formed parallelogram is a rhombus.

Q2. a. Proof by Contradiction Assume $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ in \mathbb{R}^4

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= c_1 \\ 2 &= c_1 + 2c_2 \\ 2 &= c_1 + 2c_2 \\ 3 &= c_1 \\ 1 &= c_1 = 3 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow 1 = 3$ which is clearly false, hence the contradiction. QED

b. To prove $\text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \in \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ Consider

$$c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = c_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_4 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + c_5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 = c_3 + 2c_4$$

$$c_1 + 2c_2 = c_5$$

$$c_1 + 2c_2 = c_5$$

$$c_1 = c_3 + 2c_4$$

$$\text{Let } c_3 = 0, c_4 = \frac{c_1}{2}, c_5 = c_1 + 2c_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in 0 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{c_1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + (c_1 + 2c_2) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ As desired}$$

$$\text{To prove } \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 9 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \in \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow e_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + e_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + e_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = e_4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + e_5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$e_1 + 2e_2 = e_4$$

$$e_3 = e_4 + 2e_5$$

$$\Rightarrow e_3 = e_4 + 2e_5$$

$$e_1 + 2e_2 = e_4$$

$$e_3 = e_1 + 2e_2 + 2e_5$$

$$e_3 - 2e_2 - e_1 = 2e_5$$

$$e_5 = \frac{e_3 - 2e_2 - e_1}{2}$$

$$\text{Let } e_4 = e_1 + 2e_2, e_5 = \frac{e_3 - 2e_2 - e_1}{2}$$

$$\text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 9 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = e_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + e_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + e_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in (e_1 + 2e_2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} +$$

$$\left(\frac{e_3 - 2e_2 - e_1}{2} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\text{since } \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \in \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ and } \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \in$$

$$\text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

QED

Q3. a, Proof by Contradiction

Suppose $\vec{x} \in \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ $\vec{x} = c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k$ for $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{F}$
since \vec{x} is orthogonal to \vec{v}_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{x} \cdot \vec{v}_1 + \vec{x} \cdot \vec{v}_2 + \dots + \vec{x} \cdot \vec{v}_k = 0$$

$$\vec{x} \cdot a_1\vec{v}_1 + \vec{x} \cdot a_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + \vec{x} \cdot a_k \cdot \vec{v}_k = 0, \text{ since } a_n \text{ are scalars, vectors remain orthogonal}$$

$$\vec{x} \cdot (a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k) = 0$$

$$\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} = 0$$

$$\vec{x} = \vec{0}$$

\Rightarrow Hence the contradiction that \vec{x} is $\vec{0}$

QED

b. Proof by Contradiction

Assume $\text{Span}\{\vec{v}\} = \mathbb{R}^3$ for some $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}$

Consider vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_2 \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c_1 v_1 = 0, c_1 v_3 = 1 \Rightarrow v_1 = 0$$

However $c_2 \cdot v_1 = 1$, which contradicts $v_1 = 0$, hence by contradiction $\text{span}\{\vec{v}\} \neq \mathbb{R}^3$

c. Proof by Contradiction Assume $\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\} = \mathbb{R}^3$

Let $\vec{x} = \vec{v}_1 \times \vec{v}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \Rightarrow \vec{x} \in \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}$

Since \vec{x} is cross product of \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 , $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{v}_1 = 0, \vec{x} \cdot \vec{v}_2 = 0 \Rightarrow$ By part a, $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

By Weekly Practice Q7, since $\vec{v}_1 \times \vec{v}_2 = \vec{0}, \vec{v}_1/\vec{v}_2 \Rightarrow \vec{V}_1 = c\vec{V}_2$ $\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\} =$

$\text{Span}\{c\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_2\} = \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_2\}$

However, by part b, $\mathbb{R}^3 \neq \text{Span}\{\vec{v}\}$, hence the contradiction.

QED

Q4. To prove $L \subseteq P \Rightarrow \vec{a} \in P \wedge d \in \text{Span}\{\vec{V}, \vec{w}\}$

$$L = \{\vec{a} + t\vec{d} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Let $t = 0, L = \vec{a}$, since $L \subseteq P, \vec{a} \in P$ Let $L = p, \vec{a} + 0\vec{d} = \vec{b} + r\vec{v} + s_1\vec{w}$

$$\vec{a} = \vec{b} + r_1\vec{v} + s_1\vec{w}$$

for $t \neq 0$, substitute \vec{a} with $\vec{b} + r, \vec{v} + s_1, \vec{w}$ $t\vec{d} + \vec{b} + r_1\vec{v} + s_1\vec{w} = \vec{b} + r\vec{v} + s\vec{w}$

$$t\vec{d} = (r - r_1)\vec{v} + (s - s_1)\vec{w}$$

$$\vec{d} = \frac{r - r_1}{t}\vec{v} + \frac{s - s_1}{t}\vec{w}$$

since $r_1, r, s_1, s, t \in \mathbb{R}, \frac{r-r_1}{t}, \frac{s-s_1}{t} \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow d \in \text{span}\{\vec{v}, \vec{w}\} \Rightarrow \vec{a} \in P \wedge \vec{d} \in \text{span}\{\vec{v}, \vec{w}\}$

To prove $\vec{a} \in P \wedge \vec{d} \in \text{span}\{\vec{v}, \vec{w}\} \Rightarrow L \subseteq p$

$$\vec{a} = \vec{b} + r\vec{v} + s\vec{w}, \vec{d} = c_1\vec{v} + c_2\vec{w}$$

$$L = \left\{ \vec{b} + r\vec{v} + s\vec{w} + t(c_1\vec{v} + c_2\vec{w}) \right\}$$

$$\text{Let} \quad = \left\{ \vec{b} + r\vec{v} + s\vec{w} + tc_1\vec{v} + tc_2\vec{w} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \vec{b} + (r + tc_1)\vec{v} + (s + tc_2)\vec{w} \right\}$$

Since $r, t, c_1, c_2, s \in \mathbb{R}, (r + tc_1), (s + tc_2) \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Rightarrow L \subseteq P$$

$$\Rightarrow L \subseteq P \text{ iff } \vec{a} \in P \wedge \vec{d} \in \text{span}\{\vec{v}, \vec{w}\}$$