

Q1. (a)

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 &= [T]_\varepsilon^2 \\ &= [T \circ T]_\varepsilon \vec{x} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$= 2 \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} (2 \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{x} + 2 \text{proj}_{\vec{w}} \vec{x} - \vec{x}) + 2 \text{proj}_{\vec{w}} (2 \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{x} + 2 \text{proj}_{\vec{w}} \vec{x} - \vec{x}) - \vec{x} \quad (2)$$

$$= 2 \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} (2 \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{x}) + 2 \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} (2 \text{proj}_{\vec{w}} \vec{x}) - 2 \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{x} + 2 \text{proj}_{\vec{w}} (2 \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{x}) + 2 \text{proj}_{\vec{w}} (2 \text{proj}_{\vec{w}} \vec{x}) - 2 \text{proj}_{\vec{w}} \vec{x} - \vec{x} \quad (3)$$

$$= 4 \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{x} + \vec{0} - 2 \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{x} + \vec{0} + 4 \text{proj}_{\vec{w}} \vec{x} - 2 \text{proj}_{\vec{w}} \vec{x} - \vec{x} \quad (4)$$

$$= 2 (\text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{x} + \text{proj}_{\vec{w}} \vec{x}) - \vec{x} \quad (5)$$

$$= 2\vec{x} - \vec{x} = \vec{x} \quad (6)$$

(b) From part a we know there exist $A^{-1} = A$ s.t.

$$A^{-1}A = [T \circ T]_\varepsilon = AA^{-1} = I_3$$

$\Rightarrow A$ is invertible Therefore, by invertibility criteria - second version, T_A is onto and one to one.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} T \left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \right) &= 2 \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2a \\ 2b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ -c \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

(d) Reflects the vector across one of the x, y or z axis.

Q2. (a)

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ -3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ -3 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\ -3 & -3 & 0 & 3 \\ -3 & -3 & -3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

- (b) $b_1 \det(A_n) = 3^{2n}$ Let $A_n^{-1} = -\frac{1}{3}A_n$, A_n^{-1} is the inverse of A_n meaning A_n is invertible
 $\Rightarrow A_n$ is row equivalent to I_{2n}
 I_{2n} can undergo $2n$ number of Row scale to become the following

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is apparent after some row addition, n row swaps and n row scale with -1 following the Gauss-Jordan elimination method.

By Theorem 6.2.1, Effect of ERO on Determinant, we can conclude $\det(A_n) = 1 \cdot 3^{2n} \cdot (-1)^{2n} = 3^{2n}$

- (c) By Theorem 6.3.1, since $\det(A_n) \neq 0$,
 $\Rightarrow A_n$ is always invertible.

Q3. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{i. Let } z = a + bi \quad w = c + di \\
 &f(2 + w) = f(a + bi + c + di) = f((a + c) + (b + d)i) \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} a + c & -(b + d) \\ b + d & a + c \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c & -d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= f(z) + f(w) \tag{9} \\
 &\text{ii. Let } z = a + bi \\
 &f(tz) = f(ta + tbi) \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} ta & -tb \\ tb & ta \end{bmatrix} = t \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= tf(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &z = a + bi \quad w = c + di \\
 &f(zw) = f(ca + bi)(c + di) \\
 &= f(ac + adi + bci - bd) \\
 &= f((ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i) \\
 &\text{b. Let} \tag{10} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} a(-bd) & -ad - cb \\ ad + cb & ac - bd \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c & -d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= f(z) \cdot f(w)
 \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{C. Let } z = a + bi \quad w = c + di \quad \text{and } f(z) = f(w) \\
 &f(a + bi) = f(c + di) \\
 &\begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c & -d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\Rightarrow a = c, b = d \\
 &\Rightarrow a + bi = c + di \\
 &z = w
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, f is one to one

Q4. (a) Proof by contradiction

Assume all entries of A are real and $A^2 = -I_n$

$$\det(A^2) = \det(-I_n)$$

$$= -1(n \text{ is odd})$$

$$\det(A^2) = \det(A) \det(A) = (\det(A))^2 = -1$$

$$\det A = i$$

However, this contradicts our assumption that all entries are real, otherwise we can't produce a determinant of i , hence the contradiction.

QED

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } A &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ A^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= -I_2 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

(c) Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ whose i, j th entries are given by

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i < j \text{ and } j = n+1-i \\ 1 & \text{if } i > j \text{ and } i = n+1-j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 = AA &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = -I_n \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$