



Made By:
Kenan Gazwan

Complexity Analysis

ICS202-Summary

King Fahd University of
Petroleum and Minerals

Telegram: @KenanGazwan

(Complexity Analysis)

✓ Efficiency:

The efficiency of any algorithm can be measured according to the:

- Time Efficiency, and
- Space Efficiency

To evaluate the efficiency of an algorithm, we use logical units that express a relationship such as:

- $(n) \rightarrow$ the size of an array or file
- $T \rightarrow$ the amount of time required to process the data

Hence, the relationship between $[n]$ and $[T]$ is $T(n)$

✓ Basic Operations (Counted as One)

- Arithmetic operations: $(*)$, $(/)$, $(\%)$, $(+)$, $(-)$
- Boolean operations: $\&\&$, $||$, $!$
- Assignment statements
- Reading of primitive types
- Writing of primitive types
- Simple conditionals: $\text{if } (x < 12)$
- Method calls (Note: Execution time of a method itself may not be constant)
- Return statement
- Memory access (such array indexing)
- $++$, $+=$, or $*=$ consist of two basic operations

```
Example(){
    sum = 0;          //1
    for(k = 1; k <= 5; k++){ //1 + 6 + 5*2
        sum = sum + 5;    //5*2
    }
    return k;         //1
}
```

Total = 1 + 1 + 6 + 10 + 10 + 1 = 29
 $T(n) = 29$, independent of n , $T(n) = c$

✓ Asymptotic Complexity

Since counting the exact number of basic operations takes a lot of time and sometimes impossible, we can always focus on **Asymptotic Complexity**.

Asymptotic Complexity is used by disregarding lower order terms of a function to express the efficiency of an algorithm.

☒ **Example: For the following function $\rightarrow T(n) = n^2 + 100n + 100$**

We need just the dominant term $[n^2]$, and the rest of terms are disregarded.

Hence, $T(n) \approx n^2$

Why are the lower terms disregarded?

This is because for very large values of n , the lower terms are insignificant:

| | Dominant term | Total | Lower terms | Contribution of lower terms |
|--------|---------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| N | n^2 | $n^2 + 4n + 4$ | $4n + 4$ | Lower Terms Contribution in % |
| 10 | 100 | 144 | 44 | 30.55 % |
| 100 | 10,000 | 10,404 | 404 | 3.88 % |
| 1,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,004,004 | 4004 | 0.39 % |
| 10,000 | 100,000,000 | 100,040,004 | 40,004 | 0.039 % |

Large Value! \rightarrow

\leftarrow Insignificant Contribution!

$$1 < \log n < \sqrt{n} < n < n \log n < n^2 < n^3 < \dots < 2^n < 3^n < \dots < n^n$$

✓ Big-O Notation (Upper Bounds of Functions)

It specifies asymptotic complexity that estimates **the rate of function growth**.

Definition: $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ if there exists positive numbers c and N such that:

$$f(n) \leq cg(n) \text{ for all } n \geq N$$

Types Of Time Functions: -

| | |
|-------------|-------------|
| $O(1)$ | Constant |
| $O(\log n)$ | Logarithmic |
| $O(n)$ | Linear |
| $O(n^2)$ | Quadratic |
| $O(n^3)$ | Cubic |
| $O(2^n)$ | Exponential |

Properties of Big-O Notation: -

If $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ and $g(n)$ is $O(h(n))$, then $f(n)$ is $O(h(n))$

If $f(n)$ is $O(h(n))$ and $g(n)$ is $O(h(n))$, then $(f(n) + g(n))$ is $O(h(n))$

The function an^k is $O(n^k)$, where a is a constant

The function n^k is $O(n^{k+\epsilon})$ for any $\epsilon \geq 0$

If $f(n) = cg(n)$, then $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$, where c is a constant

If $f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$, then $f(n)$ is $O(\max\{g(n), h(n)\})$

If $f(n) = g(n) * h(n)$, then $f(n)$ is $O(g(n) * h(n))$

The function $\log_a n$ is $O(\log_b n)$ for any positive numbers $a > 1$ and $b > 1$

$\log_a n$ is $O(\lg n)$ for any positive number $a > 1$, where $\lg n$ is $\log_2 n$

Warnings about O-Notation: -

- Big-O notation cannot compare algorithms in the same complexity class.
- Big-O notation only gives sensible comparisons of algorithms in different complexity classes when n is large.

✓ Big-Ω [Big-Omega] Notation (Lower Bounds of Functions)

Definition: $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ if there exists positive numbers c and N such that:

$$f(n) \geq cg(n) \text{ for all } n \geq N$$

✓ The difference between this definition and the definition of big-O notation is **the direction of inequality**.

One definition can be turned into the other by replacing “ \geq ” with “ \leq ”

✓ There is an **interconnection** between O and Ω notations expressed by the equivalence:

$$f(n) \text{ is } \Omega(g(n)) \text{ if and only if } g(n) \text{ is } O(f(n))$$

✓ Big-Θ [Big-Theta] Notation (Average)

Definition: $f(n)$ is $\Theta(g(n))$ if there exist positive numbers c_1 , c_2 , and N such that $c_1 g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n)$ for all $n \geq N$

✓ Finding c and n given a function: -

Ex: $f(n) \leq cg(n)$

1. Divide both sides of the inequality by $g(n) \rightarrow \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \leq c$
2. Choose any value you want for n , and substitute it in the inequality.
3. Evaluating the inequality, the value of c must be found $\rightarrow \text{resultNumber} \leq c$
4. Finally,
 - n = The value chosen in step2.
 - $c = \text{resultNumber}$ or $c > \text{resultNumber}$

✓ Best, Worst, and Average Case Complexities: -

Best-Case: The smallest number of operations carried out by the algorithm for given input

Worst-Case: The largest number of operations carried out by the algorithm for a given input

Average-Case: The number of operations carried out by the algorithm on average for all inputs.

$$\sum_{\text{for each input } i} (\text{Probability of input } i * \text{Cost of input } i)$$

✓ We are usually interested in the **worst-case** complexity.

✓ Finding Asymptotic Complexity (Some Common Loops): -

- `for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++)` → $O(n)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n 1 = n \approx O(n)$$

- `for (int i = 0; i <= n; i=i+2)` → $O(n)$

Notice: we cannot use i as an iterator because it does not increase by 1, so we must find another iterator that increases by 1.

$i: 0, 2, 4, \dots, 2R$ (R = number of iterations) → $n = 2R \rightarrow \underline{R = n/2}$

$$\sum_{S=0}^R 1 = (R - S) + 1 = \left(\frac{n}{2} - 0\right) + 1 \approx O(n)$$

The General Formula for This Case:

- `for (int i = k; i <= n; i = i + m)`

Finding an Iterator that increases by 1:

$i: k, k + m, k + 2m, \dots, k + mR$ (R = number of iterations, k = starting value) →

$n = k + mR \rightarrow \underline{R = (n - k) / m}$

$$\sum_{S=0}^R 1 = (R - S) + 1 = \left(\frac{n - k}{m} - 0\right) + 1 \approx O(n)$$

- `for (int i = 1; i <= n; i=i*2)` → $O(\log(n))$

Notice: we cannot use i as an iterator because it does not increase by 1, so we must find another iterator that increases by 1.

$i: 2^0, 2^1, 2^2, 2^3, 2^4, \dots, 2^R$ (R = number of iterations) → $n = 2^R \rightarrow \underline{R = \log n}$

$$\sum_{S=0}^R 1 = (R - S) + 1 = (\log n - 0) + 1 \approx O(\log(n))$$

```

▪ for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
    for (int j = 1; j <= n; j++) {
        STATEMENT;
    }
}

```

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n n = n \sum_{i=1}^n 1 = n^2 = \approx O(n^2)$$

```

▪ for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
    for (int j = 1; j <= i; j++) {
        STATEMENT;
    }
}

```

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \approx O(n^2)$$

Summary: -

- `for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++)` → $O(n)$
- `for (int i = 0; i <= n; i=i+2)` → $O(n)$
- `for (int i = k; i < n; i=i+2)` → $O(n)$
- `for (int i = n; i > 1; i--)` → $O(n)$
- `for (int i = 1; i < n; i=i*2)` → $O(\log(n))$
- `for (int i = n; i > 1; i=i/2)` → $O(\log(n))$