

System Architecture Document

Kenan Karavoussanos

Shaylin Pillay

Preshen Goobiah

Marc Karp

October 6, 2018

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Purpose	3
1.2	Scope	3
1.3	Problem Statement	3
1.4	Stakeholders	4
1.5	Overview	4
2	Architectural Goals and Constraints	4
3	Architectural Representation	5
3.1	Architectural Views	5
3.2	Architectural Design Patterns	5
4	Architectural View Decomposition	6
4.1	Use-Case View	6
4.1.1	Use Case Narrative	7
4.2	Design View	8
4.2.1	Class Diagram	8
4.2.2	Hardware Architecture Diagram	9
4.3	Process View	9
4.3.1	Create Application	10
4.3.2	Update Application	11
4.4	Component View	11
4.4.1	Common User Screens	12
4.4.2	Post Graduate Officer	12

4.4.3	Supervisor	15
4.4.4	Post Graduate Coordinator	16
4.5	Database View	17
5	Performance and Unit Testing	18
5.1	Performance Test Details and Results	18
5.2	Unit Testing	19

1 Introduction

This introduction provides a brief overview of System Architecture Document for the current iteration of the Post Graduate Application Approval System. It consists of the purpose, scope, problem statement, project objectives, stakeholders and overview of the rest of the document.

1.1 Purpose

This document provides the reader with an architectural overview of the Post Graduate Application Approval System. The primary purpose of this project is to create a single integrated system that facilitates application review and decision making.

This document is intended to elucidate the major architectural decisions that have been made when designing and implementing the system. This is achieved by viewing the system architecture from various perspectives, called views. These views are intended to explain the system architecture through all levels of the development stack, from front-end to back-end.

1.2 Scope

The scope of this document is the design and implementation of the software based Post Graduate Application Approval System which consists of the application upload by the Post Graduate Officer, the intermediary application review by the Supervisor and the final application review made by the Post Graduate Coordinator.

1.3 Problem Statement

Currently, the School of EIE uses a paper based method for the approval of post graduate applications. The primary reasons for this, is as a result of academics not being trained on the SIMS system and paper trail to be kept for transparency purposes. This paper based system involves manual filling and can result in misplacement of documentation. Supervisors are contacted to review applications when the PGO physically sees them or sends an email. The turnaround time of approval of a PG application, the physical space manual filing takes up and the risk for misplacement of documents are all issues with the current process. In order to alleviate these issues a web based system will be used as a central repository for all applications to the School of EIE and manage the process of approval within the school.

1.4 Stakeholders

The following lists the stakeholders in the development of this system.

School of Electrical and Information Engineering

- Mrs. Mumtaz Adam: The post graduate officer (PGO).
- Professor Ling Cheng: The post graduate coordinator (PGC).
- Supervisors: Various academics within the school who shall supervise applicants.
- The Applicant.

Development Team

- Professor Ekow Otoo: The product owner.

1.5 Overview

The rest of the document will briefly discuss the architectural goals and constraints of the environment followed by a brief description of the chosen architectural views and patterns. The architectural views will then be displayed in detail. Finally, the performance evaluation of the system and a description of the software testing will be discussed.

2 Architectural Goals and Constraints

The architecture of the system has been designed to achieve the following objectives:

1. To assist the application approval process by having all application documents and information in a single digital repository.
2. To assist the Post Graduate Officer with the upload of application documents.
3. To simplify application reviews by having a single integrated view of all important information for each decision maker.
4. To prevent human error by providing notifications and weekly reminders about pending applications.

The significant constraints kept in mind when developing the system were as follows:

1. Security
2. Ease of Use
3. Paperless

3 Architectural Representation

3.1 Architectural Views

The development of the system has various contributors each with their own priorities and tasks. As such, the system needs to be documented from various perspectives to aid, and eventually validate, the completion of a contributor's tasks. The system architecture shall be represented from the following views:

1. Use Case View: This defines the high-level interactions between various actors and the system.
2. Design View: This contains the class and architecture diagrams of the system.
3. Process View: This displays the processes within the system that combine to perform the various interactions defined in the Use Case View.
4. Component View: This displays the User Interface of the system.
5. Database View: This contains the Entity-Relationship Diagram for the system database.

3.2 Architectural Design Patterns

ASP.NET Core framework was used in the implementation of the system. This follows the Model-View-Controller(MVC) design pattern. This design pattern separates the project into three distinct layers:

1. Model: Defines the data structures of the system and directly handles all logic and data within the system. A model class communicates exclusively with its controller.


2. View: A visual representation of a model. Typically in the form of a web page or a component of the web page. The view communicates exclusively with its controller.
3. Controller: Accepts user input and maps it to instructions for models, views and potentially other controllers. Directly responsible for communication between components of the system.

This framework and design pattern was chosen to enhance modularity of the system. This allows for:

- Parallel development
- Efficient code reuse
- Faster bug detection and tracking.
- Greater unit testing coverage.

4 Architectural View Decomposition

4.1 Use-Case View




Use Case Diagram

4.1.1 Use Case Narrative

describe in detail the use cases that use the most critical part of the system
(possibly fully dressed use cases for this)

4.2 Design View

4.2.1 Class Diagram




Class Diagram

Description The classes are described as follows:

- **AuthController**: Handles authentication and displays the login page.
- **HomeController**: The Controller for the home screens.
- **ApplicationController**: Handles all Application related operations i.e create, view, update.
- **Application**: The data structure that stores an application.
- **Programme**: Stores the application's course option.
- **ApplicationFiles**: Stores file metadata and the URL to the file on the Blob storage server.
- **Users**: Stores system user data.
- **Position**: Stores a user's position i.e PGO, Supervisor or PGC.

4.2.2 Hardware Architecture Diagram



Hardware Architecture Diagram


Description The hardware architecture consists of:

- The clients web browser as the layer presenting the PGA System.
- An Azure web app service that hosts and executes the ASP.NET Core MVC web application (PGA System).
- An Azure Database server that contains a SQL database where application details are stored.
- An Azure Blob Storage server where application documents are stored.

4.3 Process View


The following activity diagrams describe the dynamic interactions of the two most architecturally significant use cases, namely, Create Application and Update Application.

4.3.1 Create Application



Activity Diagram for the Create Application Use Case

4.3.2 Update Application




Activity Diagram for the Update Application Use Case

4.4 Component View


This section describes the User Interface for each user:

4.4.1 Common User Screens



The Login Page

4.4.2 Post Graduate Officer



The PGO Logs in and lands on this screen.

Post Graduate Application

HomeApplicationsMumtazLog out

Demographic Details

First Name:

Last Name

Select Supervisor:

Prof. Ekow Otoo

Select Programme:

Masters by Coursework

Application Files

Title

Curriculum Vitae

Choose File

No file chosen

Title

Previous Qualification

Choose File

No file chosen

Title

South African ID or Passport

Choose File

No file chosen

Create

The PGO lands here when they click Create Application.

Post Graduate Application


HomeApplicationsMumtazLog out

Applications in Approval Process

Application ID	Applicant	Programme	Status	Supervisor	Supervisor Decision	PGC Decision	Details
83	Johnny Applesseed	Masters by Coursework	Pending_Supervisor_Approval	Prof. Otoo			View
82	Jo	Masters by Coursework	Pending_PGC_Approval	Prof. Otoo	Reject		View

The PGO lands here when they click Pending Applications from the home page. Here a list of applications with pending decisions, from either a Supervisor or the PGC, is displayed.

13




Once the PGO selects an application to view, this screen is displayed. It displays applicant and application information as well as a file viewer.

Applications To Review						
Application ID	Applicant	Programme	Status	Supervisor Decision	PGC Decision	Details
83	Johnny Appleseed	Masters by Coursework	Pending_PGO_Review	Accept	Accept	View

This page displays applications where the PGC has made a final decision.

4.4.3 Supervisor




The Landing Page for a Supervisor

The screenshot shows the 'Current Applications' section of the Supervisor's landing page. It contains a table with the following data:


ID	Applicant	Programme	Status	Details
83	Johnny Appleseed	Masters by Coursework	Pending_Supervisor_Approval	View

The Supervisor can see all pending applications that need their decision.



The view application page for the Supervisor. It displays applicant and application information, a file viewer and a decision picker.

4.4.4 Post Graduate Coordinator



The Landing Page for the PGC

Post Graduate Application				
Current Applications				
ID	Applicant	Programme	Status	Details
82	Jo	Masters by Coursework	Pending_PGC_Approval	View
83	Johnny Appleseed	Masters by Coursework	Pending_PGC_Approval	View

The PGC can see all pending applications that have been accepted by a Supervisor that need final approval.

Post Graduate Application		
Home	Applications	Log out

Application Details

Applicant:

Johnny Appleseed

Programme:

Masters by Coursework

Supervisor:

Ekow Otoo

Supervisor Decision:

Accept

PGC Decision:

Application Files

Select File: Curriculum Vitae

Test document PDF

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Nulla est purus, ultrices in porttitor
 is, accumsan non quam. Nam consectetur porttitor rhoncus. Curabitur eu est et leo fringit
 autur ut quis venen. Ut et ligula dolor, ut enim consequat tunc. Aliquam porta eros sed
 velit imperdiet agestas. Maecenas tempus eros ut diam ullamcorper et dictum libero.
 tempus. Donec quis sagittis non magna condimentum libero. Quisque imperdiet quam vel
 magna viverra rutrum. Cras viverra molestie urna, vitae vestibulum turpis varius id.
 vestibulum nulla, eros tunc blandum varius, velit sapien blandit metus, ac posuere lorem
 nulla ac dolor. Maecenas urna elit, tristique in dapibus nec, vehicula eu dui. Duis laetia
 fringilla massa. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur
 ridiculus mus. Ut consequat ultricies est, non rhoncus mauri congue porta. Vivamus viverra
 suscipit felis eget condimentum. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient
 montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Integer bibendum sagittis ligula, non faucibus nulla velidit
 vel. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus.
 In aliquet quam et velit blandum accumsan. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis
 parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Vestibulum erat ipsum nec urna tempus
 adipiscing at ac lacus. Praesent id pellentesque orci. Morbi congue viverra nisi nec rhoncus.
 Integer nulla, ipsum tristique convallis, tunc eros elementum elit, et nulla eros ante ac
 mus. In volutpat, ante et pretium ultrices, velit magna suscipit eros, aliquet blandit morce
 ante nec tunc. Nulla facilis. Duis ex vehicula eros. Nulla facilis. Maecenas pellentesque
 volutpat felis, quis tristique ligula lectus vel. Sed nec mi eros. Integer agas eros, vehicula
 ullamcorper metus eget, aliquet in est. Morbi ullamcorper libero nec sagittis diam. Ut
 consectetur dui volutpat. Nulla facilis. Maecenas vestibulum neque cursus tristique.
 Donec ut amet pulvinar orci.

Quisque tristique diletta tristique. Et tunc ipsum eros, tristique eget varius agestas.
 faucibus ac urna. Sed et nec in velit agestas aliquam et a felis. Aenean mauris nulla sceleris nisi,
 ut tempus tunc agestas congue. Nam nulla felis, gravida est agestas et. Integer urna
 dolor. Donec eu leo eros, non laoreet enim. Morbi dictum tempus volutpat. Phasellus
 ultrices nec enim sagittis convallis. Vivamus tristique placerat tunc in aliquam. Cras
 quis mi ac nunc pretium aliquam. Aenean elementum erat ac metus commodo rhoncus.
 Aliquam nulla eros, porta non sagittis quis, accumsan erat erat. Phasellus tristique tempus,
 eget pulvinar augue. Etiam eget velit ac purus fringilla blandit. Quisque odio odio, agestas vel
 tunc et.

Maecenas
 Quisque
 morce
 Phasellus
 Donec

Page 1 / 1

PGC Approval

Decision: Accept


Submit

The view application page for the PGC. It displays applicant and application information, a file viewer and a decision picker.

4.5 Database View

This section contains the Entity-Relationship Diagram for the System Database:

17



5 Performance and Unit Testing

5.1 Performance Test Details and Results

A stress test was conducted on the system. The Microsoft Azure performance testing framework was used. The stress test simulated 40 concurrent users, each sending an average of 5 requests per second for a full minute. The test results were as follows:

- Average response time: 0.08 seconds
- Average total requests per second: 194.67
- Total requests: 10927
- Successful requests: 10927
- Failed requests: 0

5.2 Unit Testing

A total of four test cases were implemented to show the feasibility of the testing framework. In future development of the system, it is expected that many more Unit tests will be implemented.

The following Unit Test Scenarios have been tested:

- Get Application:

This scenario ensures that users can view applications seamlessly when required. Two test cases are used to achieve this. The first test checks if the system returns a valid applicant by passing an application Id. The second test, tests that system throws a valid exception with a description if an invalid (negative id or non-existent) applicationId is accessed. Both these tests ensure that the prototype functions correctly in every scenario that requires it to retrieve an application.

- Get Files:

This scenario ensures that all corresponding applicant documents can be viewed by the user. Three test cases are used to achieve this. The first test tests if the correct number of files is returned for valid application Id. This is important to ensure that files from an applicant do not go missing. The second case tests if the correct file data is returned for valid application Id. This is important to ensure that documents from applicants do not get mixed up. Lastly, the third test tests if a valid exception is thrown if an application does not exist. All these tests in conjunction ensure that the prototype works correctly when required to retrieve application files.

- Add Application:

This scenario tests whether a PGO can add an application to the system without any errors. One test case is used for this, which tests if the application has been added to the context. This is important as the test will fail if the application is not added and hence it ensures that applications do not go missing when added to the system.

- Get Supervisor:

This scenario tests if a valid supervisor is returned when requested by passing a supervisor Id. This ensures that supervisors can be correctly allocated and notified when required. Two test cases are used to achieve this. The first tests if a valid supervisor is returned when

entering a supervisor id and the second tests if an error is thrown if an invalid supervisor Id is entered. This is important to ensure that supervisors are correctly identified by their Id in the system.