
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Score** | **Research Question/Objective** | **Methodology & Results** | **Discussion/Conclusion** | **Poster Organization & Layout** | **Presentation & Q/A** |
| **1** | The research question/objective was missing or was not supported with background information. | There was no discussion of the study methodology or the study findings. | There was no discussion/conclusion. | The content is poorly laid out, and the text is difficult to read. | The speaker was unenthusiastic and demonstrated poor knowledge of the project. |
| **2** | The research question/objective was not clearly stated or was not directly related to the provided background information. | There was some discussion of the study methodology and the study findings. | There was minimal discussion/conclusion. | The content provided is limited and does not improve understanding of the project. | The speaker demonstrated poor knowledge of the project. |
| **3** | The research question/objective was clearly stated, but was not directly related to the provided background information. | The study methodology was provided, but lacked key information to understand what was done. Results were discussed but data presentation was not clear. | The discussion/conclusion had little connection to the research question/objective. | Appropriate content was provided, but not organized well. | The speaker demonstrated some knowledge of the project, and responded well to some questions. |
| **4** | The research question/objective was clearly stated, and was directly related to the provided background information. | The study methodology and results were clearly described. | The discussion/conclusion was presented and provided a clear connection to the research question/objective. | The content was presented clearly, but difficult to follow in the absence of the speaker. | The speaker was knowledgeable of the project, and answered most questions. |
| **5** | The research question/objective was clearly stated. It was directly related to the provided background information. and connections to previous literature and broader issues were clear. | The study methodology was clearly described. Results were clearly described and sufficiently addressed the research question/objective. | The discussion/conclusion was clearly presented and placed the research into the broader context of the scientific discipline. | The content was clearly presented, and easy to follow in the absence of the presenter. | The speaker spoke naturally, with enthusiasm, and had strong knowledge of the project. Answered questions clearly. |

 Use QR code to access poster judging form