## Journal for Oyster Representations Project

Kenneth Fortino

January 8, 2024

## 1 Ecosystem services and non-human labor

A key model for conceptualizing the relational structure of oysters within the socio-ecosystem of the Chesapeake Bay is the ecosystems services mode. In this model the labor of non-human organisms in the system is valued by modeling the monetary value the products of this labor if it was performed by humans within the market <sup>1</sup>. The idea behind the ecosystem services model is that the quantification of market value for the products of non-human labor will incentivize the conservation of the systems that support that labor (i.e., some form of the ecosystem) through market forces <sup>2</sup>. In the case of oysters, researchers have documented a long list of ecosystem services that are provided by oyster reefs, including water quality improvement, shoreline stabilization, and habitat creation <sup>3</sup>. [?] found that the market value of the ecosystem services provide by oyster reefs substantially exceeded the market value of the oysters if they were harvested for meat 4 thereby suggesting that the market should incentivize the creation of sanctuary reefs (i.e., reefs that are not open to harvest). This conceptualization of oyster reefs as the providers of ecosystem services embeds the reefs and the labor of the ovsters within the capitalist market system and relies on market forces and capitalist values to define conservation goals. The problem with this approach is that the goals of the capitalist system, to maximize productivity and profit, means that the ecosystem services model does not actually incentivize conservation but in fact incentivises intensification <sup>5</sup> and the maximization of value through optimization and efficiency increases.

An example of the co-option of non-human labor for the maximization of production and profit can be seen in the movement to harness the power of soil microbiota to create soil fertility <sup>6</sup>. There is increasing recognition that the fertility of the soil is the result of the labor of soil microbiota and therefore

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>[?]
<sup>2</sup>[?]

<sup>3[?]</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The highest value ecosystem services provided by the oyster reefs, according to [?] was shoreline protection. When this service was included in the analysis, the reefs recovered their cost of construction within 2 years of construction. However, even when shoreline protection was omitted from the analysis, the reefs recovered their cost of construction within a decade.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>need to see [?]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>[?]

the productivity of a farm has changed from being "an activity carried out predominantly by human bodies to an activity carried out by the soil biota under human management". The recognition of this ecosystem service (i.e., the creation of soil fertility), by soil biota, has not lead to the conservation of soil ecosystems but has rather lead to the "direct and indirect manipulation [of the lives of the soil biota] in the name of capital accumulation through e.g. greater efficiency and productivity..."  $^8.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>[?] <sup>8</sup>p. 239 [?]