Journal for Oyster Representations Project

Kenneth Fortino

January 18, 2024

1 Ecosystem services and non-human labor

A key model for conceptualizing the relational structure of oysters within the socio-ecosystem of the Chesapeake Bay is the ecosystems services model. In this model the labor of non-human organisms is included in the economics of the system by modeling the value of the products of this labor if it was performed by humans within the market ¹. The idea behind the ecosystem services model is that the quantification of market value for the products of non-human labor will incentivize the conservation of the ecosystem that supports that labor through 11 market forces². In the case of oysters, researchers have documented a long list 12 of ecosystem services that are provided by oyster reefs, including water quality 13 improvement, shoreline stabilization, and habitat creation³. Grabowski et al. 14 found that the market value of the ecosystem services provide by oyster reefs substantially exceeded the market value of the oysters if they were harvested 16 for meat⁴ thereby suggesting that the market should incentivize the creation of sanctuary reefs (i.e., reefs that are not open to harvest). This conceptualization 18 of oyster reefs as the providers of ecosystem services embeds the reefs and the labor of the ovsters within the capitalist market system and relies on market 20 forces and capitalist values to define conservation goals. The problem with this approach is that the goals of the capitalist system, to maximize productivity and 22 profit, means that the ecosystem services model does not actually incentivize 23 conservation but in fact incentivises intensification⁵ and the maximization of 24 value through optimization and efficiency increases. 25

An example of the co-option of non-human labor for the maximization of production and profit can be seen in the movement to harness the power of soil microbiota to create soil fertility⁶. There is increasing recognition that the

¹(Costanza et al., 1998)

²(Costanza et al., 1998)

³(Grabowski et al., 2012; ?, ?)

⁴The highest value ecosystem services provided by the oyster reefs, according to (Grabowski et al., 2012) was shoreline protection. When this service was included in the analysis, the reefs recovered their cost of construction within 2 years of construction. However, even when shoreline protection was omitted from the analysis, the reefs recovered their cost of construction within a decade.

⁵need to see (Bommarco, Kleijn, & Potts, 2013)

⁶(Krzywoszynska, 2020)

fertility of the soil is the result of the labor of soil microbiota and therefore the productivity of a farm has changed from being "an activity carried out predominantly by human bodies to an activity carried out by the soil biota under human management"⁷. The recognition of this ecosystem service (i.e., the creation of soil fertility), by soil biota, has not lead to the conservation of soil ecosystems but has rather lead to the "direct and indirect manipulation of the lives of the soil biotal in the name of capital accumulation through e.g. greater efficiency and productivity..."8. Although Krzywoszynska do not explicitly reference the ecosystem services model in their example, it is clear that the farmers that they interview see the nonhuman labor of the soil biota primarily through the lens of the services they provide. Krzywoszynska notes that for the farmers, "what matters about agrarian soils... is not so much what they are but what they can do"⁹. This emphasis on the "services" that the soils provide when combined with the goals of a capitalist system — namely the accumulation of surplus value — results in a representation of the system that invites reduction. If the system is not a "system" per se, but rather an aggregation of ecosystem services, then there is no barrier to the isolation and optimization of those services in the name of production. For the farmers interviewed by (Krzywoszynska, 2020) the primary goal of their "collaboration" with the soils was "the promise of greater farm productivity that soil biota enable" 10.

An alternative to the ecosystem services model for understanding ecosystems is the foundation species model. In the foundation species model the persistence of an ecosystem is facilitated by one or a few species that create biotic and abiotic habitat for the other species in the system and stabilizes the biogeochemical environment¹¹. Unlike the ecosystem services model of ecosystems, the foundation species model is not explicitly defined by its relationship with humans or human activities. Humans are incorporated into the ecosystem in relation to the existing structure and processes created by the foundation species. The nature of human relations is not explicitly defined as in the case of the ecosystem services model, where benefits flow from nature to humans 12. Because foundation species are strong interacters ¹³ human–caused alterations to their abundance or function will have disproportionately large effects on the ecosystem. Hemlock forests create a unique physiochemical environment due to the impacts of their leaf litter on soil nutrient content, soil moisture, and light availability, that supports a unique community of facilitated organisms ¹⁴. However, hemlock forests do not reestablish themselves following harvest by hu-

31

33

35

37

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

48

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

59

 $^{^7({\}rm Krzywoszynska},\,2020,\,{\rm p.}\,\,?)$

 $^{^8({\}rm Krzywoszynska},\,2020,\,{\rm p.}\,\,239)$

⁹(Krzywoszynska, 2020, p. 234)

¹⁰(Krzywoszynska, 2020, p. 243)

¹¹cite Foundation species here

 $^{^{12}}$ (Costanza et al., 1998)

¹³Strong interactors are species that have an impact on the structure or function of an ecosystem that is disproportionate to either their abundance or the impact of other species in the system [CITE strong interactors here]

¹⁴(?, ?)

mans but are replaced by hardwood species¹⁵, so the exploitation of hemlock trees as a raw material results in not only the co-opting of the metabolic labor of the hemlock trees but undermines their creative power within the system. The 67 application of the ecosystem services model to this system would recognize that in addition to the market value of the wood provided by the forest, the hemlock 69 forest might also provide services that are valuable to humans, such as recreation, habitat for other valuable species, or a repository of bio-products such as 71 medicine ¹⁶. As a result, the total value of the forest to humans could exceed the market value of the wood and the market should drive its preservation¹⁷. 73 Although under this analysis, the forest may be preserved, the forest ecosystem has been reduced to simply a spreadsheet of services. Battistoni writes¹⁸ 75

Turning ecosystems into property requires that they be represented for the market as an array of individualized services that fails to adequately reflect their actual functioning or necessary independence; thus the complexity and relationality of what is being preserved is often lost as ecosystems are divided into packages of services...

The "complexity and relationality" of the ecosystem that Battistoni refers to here is precisely what is created by the foundation species. It is through the relationships with the other species in the system that the foundation species "creates" a unique ecosystem. In this sense the ecosystem is not an aggregation of services but the result of the emergent properties of organisms in relation.

The foundation species concept shows that the ecosystem that emerges from the labor of the foundation species is more than just a representation applied by humans but has biological materiality. The ecosystem is a "thing" that is created by the relational structure and emergent properties of its constituents in collaboration with the labor of the foundation species. Therefore, as Battistoni notes, the ecosystem has "necessary independence" as well. Through the recognition of the ecosystem's materiality and creative agency, the ecosystem becomes not only economic but also political. That is to say that the ecosystem and it's members are represented not by the value that they bring to the market but as co–creators, as Battistoni says "as a collective distributed undertaking of humans and nonhumans to reproduce, regenerate, and renew a common world" through "hybrid–labor" 19. This idea is also represented by extending Marx's concept of "species–being" to nonhumans, where nonhumans as well as humans labor within a relational framework with others for their own wellbeing 20.

Any attempt at conservation risks creating a distinction between the "natural" and the "human", and then seeking to erase the "human" from the "natural" to return to a preferred "pristine" state. Latour classically showed that the

76

77

78

79

81

82

83

85

87

88

89

91

92

93

94

97

98

100

101

 $^{^{15}(?,?)}$

 $^{^{16}\}mbox{Ecosystem}$ services are often classified as "aesthetic", "resource", "other" — ADD DETAILS AND CITATION HERE

¹⁷(Costanza et al., 1998)

¹⁸(Battistoni, 2017, p. 11)

¹⁹(Battistoni, 2017, p. 6)

²⁰(Fair & McMullen, 2023)

distinction between the nature and culture is a myth of modernity but nonetheless it remains a compelling and persistent model influencing our interactions with the environment. For our present analysis, it becomes relevant in the appli-105 cation of the ecosystem services model to conservation. The ecosystem services model is "the idea that we should care for the non-human world because of all the services it provides to humans to maintain the world we need and want" 108 ²¹. In this conception the needs and wants of humans seen as distinct from the 109 needs and wants of the non-human and therefore permits the exploitation of 110 non-human labor to serve the needs and wants of humans. However, this model 111 fails to recognize the interdependency of the human and non-human worlds for the co-creation of "nature" ²². Barron and Hess propose the concept of the 113 "econo-ecological" system, which highlights the interdependency of human and non-human interactions. This model alludes to the same relational structure 115 that ecologists have recognized in the foundation species model, where the struc-116 ture and function of the system is the result of facilitating interactions between 117 its members, what Barron and Hess call "in-kind" labor interactions. 118

References

107

112

114

```
120
        Barron, E., & Hess, J. (2020, February). Non-human labour: the work of Earth
                    Others. In J. K. Gibson-Graham & K. Dombroski (Eds.), The Handbook of
121
                    Diverse Economies. Edward Elgar Publishing. Retrieved 2023-12-15, from
122
                    https://china.elgaronline.com/view/edcoll/9781788119955/9781788119955.00026.xml
123
                    doi: 10.4337/9781788119962.00026
124
                                                      (2017,
        Battistoni,
                                    A.
                                                                        February)
                                                                                                                               _{
m in}
                                                                                                                                           the
                                                                                                                                                       Work
                                                                                                                                                                       of
                                                                                                            Bringing
125
                    Nature:
                                              From
                                                              Natural
                                                                                    Capital
                                                                                                                    Hybrid
                                                                                                                                        Labor.
                                                                                                                                                                     Po-
126
                    litical
                                     Theory,
                                                            45(1),
                                                                                5-31.
                                                                                                          Retrieved
                                                                                                                                   2023-12-31,
                                                                                                                                                                  from
127
                    http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0090591716638389
128
                    doi: 10.1177/0090591716638389
129
        Bommarco, R., Kleijn, D., & Potts, S. G. (2013, April). Ecological in-
130
                    tensification: harnessing ecosystem services for food security. Trends
131
                    in Ecology & Evolution, 28(4), 230–238. Retrieved 2023-12-20, from
132
                    https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S016953471200273X
                    doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2012.10.012
134
        Costanza, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K.,
135
                    ... others (1998). The value of the world# s ecosystem services and
136
                    natural capital. Ecological economics, 25(1), 3-15. (Publisher: Elsevier
137
                    Science Publishing Company, Inc.)
138
                                           McMullen,
                                                                                                                                                                  The-
        Fair,
                       Н.,
                                   &
                                                                        Μ.
                                                                                           (2023,
                                                                                                              July).
                                                                                                                                      Toward
                                                                                                                                                         a
139
                    ory
                                  of
                                              Nonhuman
                                                                            Species-Being.
                                                                                                                               Environmental
                                                                                                                                                                    Hu-
140
                                                15(2),
                                                                     195-214.
                                                                                                                                   2023-11-30,
                                                                                                                                                                  from
                    manities,
                                                                                                        Retrieved
141
                    https://read.dukeupress.edu/environmental-humanities/article/15/2/195/380194/Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a-Toward-a
142
                    doi: 10.1215/22011919-10422366
143
```

²¹(Barron & Hess, 2020)

²²(Barron & Hess, 2020; ?, ?; Krzywoszynska, 2020)

```
Grabowski, J. H., Brumbaugh, R. D., Conrad, R. F., Keeler, A. G., Opaluch,
144
         J. J., Peterson, C. H., ... Smyth, A. R. (2012). Economic valuation of
145
         ecosystem services provided by oyster reefs. Bioscience, 62(10), 900–909.
146
         (Publisher: American Institute of Biological Sciences Circulation, AIBS,
147
         1313 Dolley ...)
148
    Krzywoszynska,
                       A.
                                 (2020,
                                          May).
                                                       Nonhuman
                                                                     Labor
                                                                              and
149
         the
                 Making
                            of
                                  Resources.
                                                       Environmental \\
                                                                         Human-
150
                             227 - 249.
                   12(1),
                                                             2023-11-30,
                                                                             from
         ities,
                                                Retrieved
151
         https://read.dukeupress.edu/environmental-humanities/article/12/1/227/165258/Nonhuman-I
152
         doi: 10.1215/22011919-8142319
153
    Latour, B. (1993). We have never been modern. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard
154
         University Press.
155
```