# Patterns in the percent sediment organic matter of arctic lakes

3 Kenneth Fortino

2

9

13

- 4 Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences
- 5 Longwood University
- 6 Tel.: (434) 395-2223
- 7 Fax.: (434) 395-2652
- 8 fortinok@longwood.edu
- 10 Stephen C. Whalen
- 11 Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering
- 12 University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
- 14 Joseph M. Smoak
- 15 Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Geography
- 16 University of South Florida
- 17 LRH: Sediment organic matter in arctic lakes
- 18 RRH: K. Fortino et al.

#### Abstract

- 20 Patterns of sediment organic matter reflect the factors that affect its production and removal. We
- 21 surveyed the percent sediment organic matter of 22 lakes in the Alaskan Arctic and the rate of organic
- 22 matter loss with sediment age in 3 lakes in the same region. The lakes showed organic matter loss with

sediment depth, consistent with the biological oxidation of organic matter. The variation in sediment 23 organic matter among lakes was greater than the variation between shallow and deep locations within 24 the same lake, which is consistent with landscape-scale control of variation in sediment organic matter. 25 In sediments in shallow water, percent sediment organic matter was positively correlated with the 26 amount of light reaching the sediments and the concentration of dissolved oxygen in the overlying 27 28 water, suggesting that differences in organic matter content reflect differences in benthic 29 photosynthesis rates. The percent organic matter of the sediments in deep water was correlated with the percent organic matter in the sediments from shallow water but not environmental variables. The 30 results suggest that variation in sediment organic matter in this region may be influenced by variation 31 in benthic organic matter production more than by the loss of organic matter via mineralization. 32

33

34

Keywords light attenuation; Arctic; Alaska; burial efficiency

#### Introduction

The anthropogenic alteration of the global carbon cycle through forest clearing and the burning of 36 fossil fuels has highlighted the need to understand the distribution and fate of organic carbon in the 37 world's ecosystems. Cole et al. (2007) estimate that globally, lakes store between 0.03 and 0.07 Pg of 38 39 organic carbon per year in their sediments, which is 22% of the total annual carbon burial in all 40 freshwater systems. Despite the magnitude of this pool, variation in the organic mater content of lake 41 sediments remains incompletely characterized. 42 The amount of organic matter present in lake sediments results from the balance of organic matter inputs and losses. Gross primary production and detrital import increase the amount of organic matter 43 44 in the system, while respiration, organic matter export, and non-biological oxidation remove organic matter (Lovett et al. 2006). However, in most lake sediments, the losses due to non-biological 45

oxidation and fluvial export are likely minimal. In oligotrophic lakes typical of those in the Arctic, 46 primary production is often limited. Low water column primary production results in relatively small 47 exports of phytodetritus to the sediments (Wetzel, 2001), and production of sediment organic matter by 48 benthic photosynthesis is limited by light availability (Stanley, 1976a; Bjork-Ramberg, 1983; Hansson, 49 1992; Vadeboncoeur et al. 2001; Ask et al. 2009; Karlsson et al. 2009). Only in shallow lakes with 50 relatively large areas of illuminated sediments does benthic primary production make up a substantial 51 component of whole lake organic matter production (Stanley, 1976b; Vadeboncoeur et al. 2008; 52 Whalen et al. 2008; Ask et al. 2009; Karlsson et al. 2009). Thus oligotrophic lakes are generally 53 thought to receive most of their organic matter inputs from the deposition of organic particles that wash 54 into the lake from the watershed (Molot & Dillon, 1996). 55 The accumulation of sediment organic matter via primary production and allochthonous input is 56 constantly being countered by heterotrophic respiration, which depletes sediment organic matter 57 content (Stanley, 1976b; Ask et al. 2009). Over geologic time scales only a very small proportion of 58 the organic matter deposited in sediments will escape mineralization (Burdige, 2007). However over 59 shorter time scales, the rate of sediment organic matter decomposition is limited by temperature, the 60 availability of electron acceptors (notably oxygen), and organic matter lability (Capone & Kiene, 1988; 61 Canfield, 1994; Burdige, 2007, Fortino et al. 2014). Given the relationship between the input and 62 destruction of sediment organic matter and environmental variables, sediment organic matter content 63 should vary at both within-lake and landscape scales. 64 Landscape-scale descriptions of lake sediment organic matter content are not common in the 65 literature and none that we know of exist for the lakes in the region surrounding Toolik Lake, but such 66 descriptions are valuable to characterize the scale and magnitude of sediment organic matter variation. 67 Since the organic matter content of a sediment sample will reflect the integrated effects of organic 68 matter production, deposition and mineralization history, we hypothesized that variation in the organic 69

matter content of the sediments of lakes surrounding Toolik Lake, AK would correlated with variation 70 in the environmental parameters that reflect the relative rate of water-column and sediment primary 71 production, as well as the mineralization of sediment organic matter. Using a survey of sediment 72 organic matter from 22 lakes in the Alaskan Arctic, we evaluate the variation of sediment organic 73 matter both within and among lakes and correlate this variation with irradiance, dissolved oxygen 74 concentration, and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration in the same lakes. Furthermore we 75 estimate the loss of sediment organic matter with sediment depth (i.e., age) in 3 lakes to evaluate the 76 77 rate of organic matter losses from sediment respiration.

### **Materials and Methods**

### 79 Study Site

78

We sampled 22 small lakes (Table 1) near Toolik Lake in the Alaskan Arctic (Fig. 1). The Toolik Lake 80 region is characteristic of the Alaskan Arctic Foothills, which is dominated by tundra vegetation and 81 82 underlain by continuous permafrost (Ping et al. 1998). The annual mean air temperature is between -10° and -8° C and annual precipitation ranges 140 to 270 mm, of which 40% is snow (Ping et al. 1998). 83 During the summer, air temperatures moderate to an average of 11° C and the region experiences 24-h 84 daylight (Oechel et al. 2000). The region has a complex glacial history with different aged glacial 85 surfaces in close proximity (Hamilton 2003). Lakes E-4, EX 1, GTH 110, GTH 112, GTH 114, GTH 86 87 91, and GTH 98 are located on the older Sagavanirktok surface, which is between 780 and 125 ka (ka: thousand years before present) (Hamilton, 2003). Of these, lakes GTH 112 and EX 1 are also 88 identified to be on deposits of windblown loess (Hamilton 2003). All of the remaining lakes except E-89 2, E-pond, S-3, and GTH 110 are on the younger Itkillik drift phase II drift which is between 25 and 90 11.5 ka Hamilton 2003). Lakes E-2 and E-pond are on the phase I drift which has an age of 120 to 55 91

ka (Hamilton 2003). Lake S-3 is on subglacial meltwater deposits associated with the Itkillik drift and lake GTH 110 occurs partially on the older Sagavanirktok surface and partially on solifluction deposits (Hamilton, 2003). The lake bottoms are a mixture of open mud, macrophyte beds, and cobble covered in fine sediment (Beaty et al. 2006). The open sediments are generally fine grained and organic (Table 1).

## **Core Sampling and Sediment Collection**

Sediments were collected from open mud habitats during the summer using a K-B style gravity corer (Wildlife Supply Company, Yulee, FL). In 2007 all lakes were sampled between June 18 and June 21, except lake NE-8 and GTH 156, which were sampled on June 15 and June 27, respectively. The exact sampling date of GTH 110 was not recorded. Sediment samples were collected in the field at 1 cm increments from the top 10 cm of each core by extruding the core upwards into a basin that fit tightly over the top of the core tube. The basin permitted the capture of the highly flocculent surface sediments and had an outlet at one end that allowed for the transfer of the entire 1 cm sediment column into a pre-weighed 20 ml plastic scintillation vial. Two cores each were collected from a single "shallow" and "deep" location in each lake. The relative designations of "shallow" and "deep" refer to samples collected at the shallowest depth with sufficient sediments for coring and the deepest location in the lake. If the shallowest depth suitable for coring and the maximum depth of the lake were similar, only a single sample was collected and was designated "shallow" or "deep" based on the sample depth relative to the depth of the other lakes in the survey.

In 2008, lakes E-4, S-3 and GTH 91 were sampled in the same manner as the lakes surveyed in 2007 except that 3 replicate cores were collected from each depth and the sediments were collected into a 15 ml glass centrifuge tube. The porewater was extracted from these sediments via centrifugation (1000 or 2000 rpm for 30 min) and the sediments were transferred to glass 20 ml scintillation vials. All

sediments were dried at 40 - 60° C for at least 48 h or 105° C for 12 h. The proportion of organic matter in the sediments was determined via loss on ignition (LOI) where the mass lost from the dried sediments after combustion for 4 h at 550° C was divided by the total dry mass (Wetzel & Likens, 2000). All proportions were converted to percent for analysis and presentation. Dry bulk density was determined as the dry mass the sediment of each core slice, multiplied by the volume of the core slice.

### **Environmental and Spatial Variables**

At the same time the sediments were sampled from a lake we measured select environmental variables. We collected depth profiles of temperature and dissolved oxygen using either a YSI Model 85 multiparameter water quality meter (YSI Incorporated, Yellow Springs, OH) or Hydrolab, Data Sonde 5 (Hach Hydromet, Loveland, CO). All profiles began just below the air-water interface and measurements were collected in 0.5 m intervals to the deepest point in the lake. Photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) was similarly measured in 0.5 m intervals using a LI-192SA underwater 2π quantum sensor with a Li–Cor LI-250 quantum meter (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE). The percent of the surface PPFD reaching the sediments at each depth (hereafter, percent surface irradiance) was estimated using the light attenuation coefficient calculated as the slope of the natural log of PPFD versus depth.

Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was measured from a water sample taken at the same depth as the cores using a Van Dorn sampler (Wildlife Supply Company, Yulee. FL). Samples were filtered through a 0.45 μm polypropylene (PP) filter, acidified with 500 μl of 1N HCl and stored at 4° C until analyzed for DOC on a Shimadzu TOC–V Total Carbon Analyzer (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments Columbia,

## <sup>210</sup>Pb Analysis

MD).

Sediment accumulation rates were determined for lakes E-4, S-3, and GTH 91 using the distribution of 137 <sup>210</sup>Pb. These lakes were chosen for sediment accumulation analysis because they have been studied 138 much more extensively than most of the other lakes in the survey and thus, these additional data would 139 be more valuable overall. To perform the analysis, two sediment cores were collected from the 140 deepest location in each lake using a K-B style sediment corer. The upper 10 cm of the cores were 141 sectioned in 1 cm intervals and dried as described above. The <sup>210</sup>Pb and <sup>226</sup>Ra measurements were made 142 using an intrinsic germanium detector coupled to a multi-channel analyzer (Princeton Gamma-Tech 143 HPGe, Princeton, NJ). Dried sediments were packed and sealed in gamma tubes and activities were 144 calculated by multiplying the counts per minute by a factor (determined from standard calibrations) that 145 includes the gamma-ray intensity and detector efficiency. Identical geometry was used for all samples. 146 The <sup>210</sup>Pb activity was determined by the direct measurement of the 46.5 KeV gamma peak. The <sup>226</sup>Ra 147 activity was determined following a 21 d ingrowth period via <sup>214</sup>Pb granddaughter measurement at 148 137Cs? deserved 351.9 KeV. Accumulation rates were calculated using the constant initial concentration (CIC) model 149 (Appleby & Oldfield, 1992). 150

### **Statistics and Calculations**

The mean percent organic matter content of the sediments (hereafter, mean percent organic matter) was calculated by averaging the percent organic matter in each sediment slice across the entire 10 cm core. The percent organic matter of the sediments near the sediment-water interface (hereafter, surface percent organic matter) is the average of the replicate measures of percent organic matter in the 0 - 1 cm core slice. To evaluate the general pattern of change in percent organic matter with depth, we evaluated the degree of correlation between mean and surface percent organic matter with Pearson's correlation, and tested whether surface percent organic matter was greater than mean percent organic matter in each lake using a paired t-test.

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

In the 3 lakes with dated sediments (i.e., E-4, S-3, and GTH 91), we estimated the rate of sediment organic matter loss with sediment depth in the deep cores by fitting a linear model (least squares) to the change in percent sediment organic matter with depth below the sediment mixing depth identified by the <sup>210</sup>Pb profile. The slope of this relationship (percent organic matter cm<sup>-1</sup>) was scaled to the age of the sediments by multiplying the slope of the loss of percent organic matter with depth times the depth-based sediment accumulation rate (cm y<sup>-1</sup>). Sediment age at the base of the core was determined as the mean cumulative dry mass of sediment in the core (mg cm<sup>-2</sup>) divided by the massbased sediment accumulation rate (mg cm<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) calculated using the <sup>210</sup>Pb analysis. Mean percent organic matter and surface percent organic matter were highly correlated (see Results) so only mean percent organic matter was used in the analysis with the environmental variables. Due to missing data, not all lakes had data for all of the environmental variables (Table 2). vere dosa? The relationship between mean percent organic matter and environmental variables (i.e., the lake depth from where the core was collected, percent surface irradiance, water column dissolved oxygen concentration, DOC, and temperature) were explored using pairwise Pearson's correlations. The correlations were calculated for the entire dataset and for the subset of shallow and deep samples separately. Any comparisons with a correlation coefficient greater than 0.3 were tested for significance. All analyses were performed in R (R Development Core Team, 2009)

#### Results

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

Shallow and deep samples were collected from 20 and 13 of the total 22 lakes, respectively (Table 2).

The mean ( $\pm$  1 standard deviation) depths of the shallow and deep samples were 2.4 ( $\pm$  0.7) and 6.7 ( $\pm$  2.9) m respectively (Table 2). The surface percent organic matter and the mean percent organic matter of the same core were highly correlated (r = 0.86, df = 31, p < 0.001). Surface percent organic matter exceeded mean percent organic matter by an average of 5.4% in a given lake and this difference

occurred significantly greater than would be expected by chance (t = 3.95, df = 32, p = 0.0004; Fig. 2).

The only lakes that did not fit this pattern were lakes S-11 and GTH 98, which had much higher percent organic matter in the sediments near the sediment-water interface than in the sediments overall (Fig. 2).

Due to the lack of suitable conditions to collect samples at both shallow and deep locations in all lakes, samples from both depths were collected in only 11 lakes (42% of the total). Within these lakes the difference between the mean percent organic matter of the shallow and deep samples ranged from -

16.4 to 24.2% with a median difference of 1.5%, indicating the there was slightly greater percent organic matter in the deep samples (Fig. 3). Variation in the mean percent organic matter of the deep samples was significantly and positively correlated with variation in the mean percent organic matter of

the shallow samples from the same lake (r = 0.70, df = 10, p = 0.016; Fig. 3). This pattern was not true

for lakes N-1 and S-3 in which the mean percent organic matter of the shallow sample was much

greater than that of the deep sample (Fig. 3).

Mean percent organic matter in the shallow samples was positively correlated with percent surface irradiance (r = 0.73, df = 11, p = 0.004) and dissolved oxygen concentration in the water above the sediments (r = 0.74, df = 11, p = 0.006; Fig. 4). The percent surface irradiance of the shallow samples was not correlated with the depth from which the sample was taken (r = -0.307, df = 11. p = 0.308), thus indicating actual differences in lake clarity and not just an artifact of sampling depth. Mean percent organic matter in the deep sediments was not significantly correlated with any of the measured environmental factors.

Sediment accumulation rates were calculated for the deep sediments of lakes E-4, S-3, and GTH 91 (Table 3). The <sup>210</sup>Pb profiles of lakes E-4 and S-3 showed evidence of sediment mixing down to 3 and 5 cm respectively but there was no evidence of mixing in lake GTH 91 (Fig. 5). The deep sediments of lake E-4 are accumulating at 12.00 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, which is approximately twice the 6.09 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>

accumulation rate measured in lake S-3. Lake GTH 91 is intermediate with a sediment accumulation rate of 8.11 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3).

Below the mixing depth identified with the <sup>210</sup>Pb profile, the rate of percent organic matter loss with depth in lake E-4 (-0.99 %OM cm<sup>-1</sup>) was approximately half that of lake S-3 (-2.06 %OM cm<sup>-1</sup>) and there was no significant linear relationship between percent organic matter and depth in lake GTH 91 (Fig. 5). Assuming a constant sediment accumulation rate and extrapolating from sediment mass and percent organic matter profiles of the cores, in lake E-4 the 10 cm core represented approximately 62 years of accumulation and the sediments lost 0.16 percent organic matter per year (Table 3). In lake S-3 the 10 cm core represented approximately 121 years of accumulation and the sediments lost 0.17 percent organic matter per year and the sediments at 10 cm in lake GTH 91 were approximately 146 years old (Table 3).

#### Discussion

The percent organic matter of the shallow (1 – 10 cm) lake sediments in our survey ranged from 17.2 - 68.9%, which is bracketed by the 9 – 34% (Bretz and Whalen 2014) and 55 – 81% (Whalen et al. 2013) reported for the shallow sediments of other lakes in the region. These values generally exceed the < 20% sediment organic matter content reported for other arctic lake muddy sediments (Livingstone et al. 1958, Cornwell & Kipphut, 1992, Beaty et al. 2006). The high sediment organic matter of the surface sediments of these lakes is likely the result of low inorganic sediment inputs. The majority of the lakes in the study are located on acidic tundra underlain by permafrost (Ping 1998), which should greatly limit the input of inorganic sediment from the watershed. This observation is supported by the fact that the two lakes with the lowest mean percent organic matter (GTH 112 and EX 1; Table 2) are located on loess deposits (Hamilton 2003, Fortino et al. 2009), which would provide a source of inorganic sediment to the lakes.

Overall, surface percent organic matter was greater than mean percent organic matter (Fig. 2) 230 indicating that there is a loss of organic matter relative to total sediment mass with sediment depth. 231 This loss of organic matter is consistent with the biological oxidation of sediment organic matter during 232 diagenesis. We quantified these losses in the 3 lakes with <sup>210</sup>Pb data. The overall rate of organic matter 233 loss was similar between the two shallow lakes (E-4 and S-3) but this similarity masks differences in 234 sediment accumulation and organic matter loss rate. The reduction in percent organic matter with 235 depth in the deep sediments of lake E-4 (-0.99 %OM cm<sup>-1</sup>) was approximately half of what was 236 measured in lake S-3 (-2.06 %OM cm<sup>-1</sup>) but since the sediment accumulation rate in the deep 237 sediments of lake E-4 (12.00 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) is approximately twice that of lake S-3 (6.09 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>), 238 the rates of organic matter lost per year are similar between the lakes (Table 3). These sediment 239 accumulation rates are within the range of sedimentation rates (4.4 - 18.0 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) observed in 240 other shallow arctic lakes (Hermanson, 1990) but were greater than the rate of 2.7 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> 241 estimated for nearby but larger Toolik Lake (Cornwell and Kipphut, 1992). 242 To estimate the amount of organic matter accumulating in the sediments in these three lakes we 243 used the bulk density measurements to calculate that a column of sediment equal to the depth of our 244 sampling (10 cm) would contain 727, 743, and 1274 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> in lakes E-4, S-3 and GTH 91, 245 respectively. Using the mean percent organic matter of the sediments from each of these lakes (Table 246 2), and the sediment accumulation times (Table 3) we estimate that respectively, lakes E-4, S-3, and 247 GTH 91 are storing 222, 493, and 297 mg of organic matter cm<sup>-2</sup> in the upper 10 cm of sediment that is 248 accumulating at a rate of 3.6, 4.1, and 2.0 mg of organic matter cm<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. 249 Interestingly, the <sup>210</sup>Pb profile of lake GTH 91 suggests that there is limited mixing of the 250 sediments but there was no significant reduction in percent sediment organic matter with depth. 251 Evaluation of the percent organic matter profile in lake GTH 91 shows that the organic matter content 252 of the sediments does not decrease linearly below 4 cm (Fig. 5). Interpreting the loss of sediment 253

organic matter with sediment age as evidence of biological activity assumes that the input of organic matter to the sediments has remained constant over the age of the core. It is possible that there has been a reduction in the accumulation of organic matter in more recent sediments that has obscured patterns produced by biological oxidation.

The shallow sediments of lakes S-11 and GTH 98 have much higher surface percent organic matter than mean percent organic matter (Fig. 2). Our data do not suggest any biological or physical reason why these lakes do not conform to the patterns seen in the other lakes in the dataset, thus we cannot speculate on mechanisms for their uncommon pattern other than to note that under certain conditions the surface sediments of Arctic lakes may differ dramatically from sediments deeper in the sediment column.

Sediment percent organic matter varied mainly among lakes and not at different depths within a lake (Fig. 3), suggesting that sediment organic matter varies with processes occurring at a landscape scale. The organic matter in the lakes certainly derives from a combination of autochthonous and allochthonous sources but the correlations between mean percent organic matter and the measured environmental variables suggest that variation in percent organic matter among lake sediments is affected by differences in the amount of benthic primary production. In the shallow sediments, principal indicators of photosynthesis (e.g., higher percent surface irradiance and greater dissolved oxygen in the overlying water) were correlated with greater percent organic matter (Fig. 4). Although it is possible that differences in organic matter content of the sediments are driving variation in benthic primary production (e.g., via nutrient release), we are interpreting this results as evidence that benthic primary production is supplementing other sources of organic matter to the shallow sediments, as has been seen in other systems within (Stanley, 1976a) and outside of the arctic (Ask et al. 2009). Benthic primary production in shallow arctic ponds is typically limited by light (Whalen et al. 2006) and or

temperature (Stanley et al. 1976b), not nutrients, and therefore should not be affected by variation in sediment organic matter content.

Despite the absence of benthic photosynthesis in the sediments below the photic zone, variation in the percent organic matter of the deep sediments also may be affected by variation in benthic primary production in the shallow portions of the lake. There was a significant positive correlation between the organic matter content of the shallow and deep sediments and in most of the lakes the percent organic matter of the deep sediments was greater than or approximately equal to the percent organic matter of the shallow sediments (Fig. 4). Thus the amount of organic matter observed in the deep regions of the lakes may be influenced by the redistribution of organic matter produced in the photic sediments to the deeper portions of the lake (i.e., focusing). Previous work in the region has found that the material sedimenting from the water column of shallow lakes is derived mainly from resuspended sediments and not phytoplankton biomass (Fortino et al. 2009).

The above pattern does not completely describe the behavior of lakes S-3 and N-1, which were ong those with the highest percent organic many. among those with the highest percent organic matter in their sediments (Table 2). In these lakes the shallow sediments had much greater organic matter content than the deep sediments (Fig. 4). Although the overall high percent organic matter of the deep sediments in these lakes suggests that organic matter from the shallow portions of the lake are being redistributed, it appears that the build-up of organic matter in the euphotic sediments exceeds the transfer of organic matter to the aphotic region of the lake ? literal sedaments? by focusing. It is not clear why these highly organic sediments are not redistributed as in the other lakes. One possibility is that the accumulation of benthic algal biomass is greater than in the other lakes and therefore sufficient to impede the resuspension of the sediments (Holland et al. 1974; Paterson, 1989). In lake N-1 this may be partially the result of a past whole-lake fertilization experiment (Lienesch et al. 2005), which could have stimulated benthic algae production but we do not have any 'should be able to decipher in Profile of YOM evidence as to why S-3 may differ in this respect.

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292.

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

#### **Conclusions**

Our survey of arctic lake sediment organic matter on the Alaskan North Slope found that the surface sediments had high levels of organic matter and are accumulating substantial amounts of organic matter. Our findings further suggest that some of the variation in the organic matter content in arctic lake sediments is due to variation in benthic primary production. Our data show that variation in the organic matter content of the lake sediments occurs mainly at the lake-scale and that the percent organic matter of the shallow sediments is correlated with variation in environmental variables associated with benthic photosynthesis. We acknowledge that other factors operating at the catchment-scale can have a profound impacts on sediment organic matter and undoubtedly much of the unexplained variation in our data is related to these factors, however the significant correlation between variation in sediment organic matter, and light and oxygen, suggests that benthic photosynthesis is affecting sediment organic matter accumulation in small lakes in this region.

Consistent with what has been observed in other systems (Hobbie et al. 1980; den Heyer & Kalff,

1998; Pace & Prairie, 2005), we found that organic matter losses from the sediment via mineralization was constrained relative to overall variation in sediment organic matter, suggesting that differences among lakes are principally driven by variation in organic matter inputs rather than losses.

## Acknowledgments

Invaluable field assistance was provided by Dendy Lofton, Matthew Harrell, Tim Yarborough, and all the members of the Geomorphic Trophic Hypothesis project. We would like to thank the Toolik Lake staff for all of their support during this project. Comments by Dina Leech improved a previous draft of this manuscript. The calculation of the lake and watershed areas and the production of the map in Figure 1 were performed by Randy Fulweber and Jason Stuckey of the Toolik Lake GIS support staff.

Funding was provided by National Science Foundation Grants NSF 0323557 and NSF 0516043.