Dynamic Memory Allocation: Basic Concepts

CSE 238/2038/2138: Systems Programming

Instructor:

Fatma CORUT ERGIN

Slides adapted from Bryant & O'Hallaron's slides

Today

- Basic concepts
- Implicit free lists

Dynamic Memory Allocation

- Programmers use *dynamic memory allocators* (such as malloc) to acquire VM at run time.
 - For data structures whose size is only known at runtime.

Dynamic memory allocators manage an area of process virtual memory known as the *heap*.

Dynamic Memory Allocation

- Allocator maintains heap as collection of variable sized blocks, which are either allocated or free
- Types of allocators
 - Explicit allocator: application allocates and frees space
 - E.g., malloc and free in C
 - Implicit allocator: application allocates, but does not free space
 - E.g. garbage collection in Java, ML, and Lisp
- Will discuss simple explicit memory allocation today

The malloc Package

```
#include <stdlib.h>
void *malloc(size_t size)
```

- Successful:
 - Returns a pointer to a memory block of at least size bytes
 - Address of memory block is a multiple of 8 (for 32-bit) or 16 (for 64 bit)
 - If size == 0, returns NULL
- Unsuccessful: returns NULL (0) and sets errno

void free(void *p)

- Returns the block pointed at by p to pool of available memory
- p must come from a previous call to malloc or realloc

Other functions


- calloc: Version of malloc that initializes allocated block to zero.
- realloc: Changes the size of a previously allocated block.
- **sbrk:** Used internally by allocators to grow or shrink the heap

malloc Example

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
void foo(int n) {
    int i, *p;
    /* Allocate a block of n ints */
    p = (int *) malloc(n * sizeof(int));
    if (p == NULL) {
        perror("malloc");
       exit(0);
    /* Initialize allocated block */
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)</pre>
       p[i] = i;
    /* Return allocated block to the heap */
    free(p);
```


Assumptions Made in This Lecture

- Memory is word addressed.
- Words are int-sized.
- Allocations are double-word aligned.



Allocation Example

#define SOI sizeof(int)



Constraints

Applications

- Can issue arbitrary sequence of malloc and free requests
- free request must be to a malloc'd block

Allocators

- Can't control number or size of allocated blocks
- Must respond immediately to malloc requests
 - *i.e.*, can't reorder or buffer requests
- Must allocate blocks from free memory
 - *i.e.*, can only place allocated blocks in free memory
- Must align blocks so they satisfy all alignment requirements
 - 8-byte (x86) or 16-byte (x86-64) alignment on Linux
- Can manipulate and modify only free memory
- Can't move the allocated blocks once they are malloc'd

Performance Goal 1 Maximize Throughput

- Given some sequence of malloc and free requests:
 - \blacksquare $R_0, R_1, ..., R_k, ..., R_{n-1}$
- Goals: maximize throughput and peak memory utilization
 - These goals are often conflicting
- Throughput:
 - Number of completed requests per unit time
 - Example:
 - 5,000 malloc calls and 5,000 free calls in 10 seconds
 - Throughput is 1,000 operations/second

Performance Goal 2 Maximize Memory Utilization


- Given some sequence of malloc and free requests:
 - \blacksquare $R_0, R_1, ..., R_k, ..., R_{n-1}$
- Def: Aggregate payload P_k
 - malloc(p) results in a block with a payload of p bytes
 - After request R_k has completed, the **aggregate payload** P_k is the sum of currently allocated payloads
- Def: Current heap size H_k
 - Assume H_k is monotonically nondecreasing
 - i.e., heap only grows when allocator uses sbrk
- *Def:* Peak memory utilization after k+1 requests
 - $U_k = (\max_{i < = k} P_i) / H_k$

Fragmentation

- Poor memory utilization caused by fragmentation
 - *internal* fragmentation
 - *external* fragmentation

Internal Fragmentation

 For a given block, internal fragmentation occurs if payload is smaller than block size




Caused by

- Overhead of maintaining heap data structures
- Padding for alignment purposes
- Explicit policy decisions
 (e.g., to return a big block to satisfy a small request)
- Depends only on the pattern of previous requests
 - Thus, easy to measure

External Fragmentation

#define SOI sizeof(int)

Occurs when there is enough aggregate heap memory,
 but no single free block is large enough



- Depends on the pattern of future requests
 - Thus, difficult to measure


Implementation Issues

- How do we know how much memory to free given just a pointer?
- How do we keep track of the free blocks?
- What do we do with the extra space when allocating a structure that is smaller than the free block it is placed in?
- How do we pick a block to use for allocation -- many might fit?
- How do we reinsert freed block?

Knowing How Much to Free

Standard method

- Keep the length of a block in the word preceding the block.
 - This word is often called the *header field* or *header*
- Requires an extra word for every allocated block




Keeping Track of Free Blocks

Method 1: Implicit list using length—links all blocks



Need to tag each block as allocated/free

Method 2: Explicit list among the free blocks using pointers



Need space for pointers

- Method 3: *Segregated free list*
 - Different free lists for different size classes
- Method 4: *Blocks sorted by size*
 - Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

Today

- Basic concepts
- Implicit free lists


Method 1: Implicit List

- For each block we need both size and allocation status
 - Could store this information in two words: wasteful!


Standard trick

- If blocks are aligned, some low-order address bits are always 0
- Instead of storing an always-0 bit, use it as a allocated/free flag
- When reading size word, must mask out this bit

Format of allocated and free blocks



Detailed Implicit Free List Example



Double-word aligned

Allocated blocks: shaded

Free blocks: unshaded

Headers: labeled with "size in words/allocated bit"

Implicit List: Finding a Free Block

■ First fit:

Search list from beginning, choose first free block that fits:

- Can take linear time in total number of blocks (allocated and free)
- In practice it can cause "splinters" at beginning of list

■ Next fit:


- Like first fit, but search list starting where previous search finished
- Should often be faster than first fit: avoids re-scanning unhelpful blocks
- Some research suggests that fragmentation is worse


■ Best fit:

- Search the list, choose the best free block: fits, with fewest bytes left over
- Keeps fragments small—usually improves memory utilization
- Will typically run slower than first fit

Implicit List: Allocating in Free Block

- Allocating in a free block: splitting
 - Since allocated space might be smaller than free space, we might want to split the block






Implicit List: Freeing a Block

- Simplest implementation:
 - Need only clear the "allocated" flag

But can lead to "false fragmentation"




malloc(5*SOI) Oops!

There is enough contiguous free space, but the allocator won't be able to find it

Implicit List: Coalescing


- Join (coalesce) with next/previous blocks, if they are free
 - Coalescing with next block




But how do we coalesce with previous block?

Implicit List: Bidirectional Coalescing

- **Boundary tags** [Knuth73]
 - Replicate size/allocated word at "bottom" (end) of free blocks
 - Allows us to traverse the "list" backwards, but requires extra space
 - Important and general technique!






a = 1: Allocated block

a = 0: Free block


Size: Total block size

Payload: Application data (allocated blocks only)


Constant Time Coalescing




Constant Time Coalescing (Case 1)




Constant Time Coalescing (Case 2)



Constant Time Coalescing (Case 3)



Constant Time Coalescing (Case 4)




Disadvantages of Boundary Tags


- Internal fragmentation
- Can it be optimized?
 - Which blocks need the footer tag?
 - What does that mean?

No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks


- Boundary tag needed only for free blocks
- When sizes are multiples of 4 or more, have 2+ spare bits




No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 1)




No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 2)



No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 3)



No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 3)



Summary of Key Allocator Policies

Placement policy:

- First-fit, next-fit, best-fit, etc.
- Trades off lower throughput for less fragmentation
- Interesting observation: segregated free lists (next lecture)
 approximate a best fit placement policy without having to search
 entire free list

Splitting policy:

- When do we go ahead and split free blocks?
- How much internal fragmentation are we willing to tolerate?

Coalescing policy:

- Immediate coalescing: coalesce each time free is called
- Deferred coalescing: try to improve performance of free by deferring coalescing until needed. Examples:
 - Coalesce as you scan the free list for malloc
 - Coalesce when the amount of external fragmentation reaches some threshold

Implicit Lists: Summary

- Implementation: very simple
- Allocate cost:
 - linear time worst case
- Free cost:
 - constant time worst case
 - even with coalescing
- Memory usage:
 - will depend on placement policy
 - First-fit, next-fit or best-fit
- Not used in practice for malloc/free because of lineartime allocation
 - used in many special purpose applications
- However, the concepts of splitting and boundary tag coalescing are general to all allocators