# CSE2023 Discrete Computational Structures

Lecture 5

### 1.7 Introduction to proofs

- Proof: valid argument that establishes the truth of a mathematical statement, e.g., theorem
- A proof can use hypotheses, axioms, and previously proven theorems
- Formal proofs: can be extremely long and difficult to follow
- Informal proofs: easier to understand and some of the steps may be skipped, or axioms are not explicitly stated

# Some terminology

- Theorem: a mathematical statement that can be shown to be true.
- Proposition: less important theorem
- Axiom (postulate): a statement that is assumed to be true
- Lemma: less important theorem that is helpful in the proof of other results
- Corollary: a theorem that can be established directly from a theorem that has been proved
- Conjecture: a statement proposed to be true, but not proven yet

### Direct proofs of p→q

- First assume p is true
- Then show q must be true (using axioms, definitions, and previously proven theorems)
- So the combination of p is true and q is false never occurs
- Thus p→q is true
- Straightforward
- · But sometimes tricky and require some insight

- Definition:
  - The integer n is even if there exists an integer k such that n=2k, and
  - n is odd if there exists an integer k such that n=2k+1
  - Note that an integer is either even or odd
- Show "If n is an odd integer, then n2 is odd"

# Example

- Note the theorem states  $\forall n(p(n) \rightarrow q(n))$
- By definition of odd integer, n=2k+1, where k is some integer
- $n^2=(2k+1)^2=4k^2+4k+1=2(2k^2+2k)+1$
- By definition of odd integer, we conclude n<sup>2</sup> is an odd integer
- Consequently, we prove that if n is an odd integer, then n<sup>2</sup> is odd

### Example

- "If m and n are both perfect squares, then nm is also a perfect square (an integer a is a perfect square if there is an integer b such that a=b<sup>2</sup>)
- By definition, there are integers s and t such that m=s<sup>2</sup>, and n=t<sup>2</sup>
- Thus, mn=s<sup>2</sup>t<sup>2</sup>=(st)<sup>2</sup> (using commutativity and associativity of multiplication)
- We conclude mn is also a perfect square

### Proof by contraposition

- Indirect proof: sometimes direct proof leads to dead ends
- Based on  $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
- Use ¬q as hypothesis and show ¬p must follow

- Show that "if n is an integer and 3n+2 is odd, then n is odd"
- Use  $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
- Proof by contraposition:
  - Assume n is even, i.e., n=2k, for some k
  - It follows 3n+2=3(2k)+2=6k+2=2(3k+1)
  - Thus 3n+2 is even

# Example

• Prove that if n=ab, where a and b are positive integers, then  $a \le \sqrt{n}$  or  $b \le \sqrt{n}$ 

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$
  
Assume  $\neg (a \le \sqrt{n} \lor b \le \sqrt{n})$ 

$$a > \sqrt{n} \land b > \sqrt{n}$$

$$ab > \sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{n} = n$$

$$ab \neq n$$

1

### Vacuous proof

- Prove p→q is true
- Vacuous proof: If we show p is false and then claim a proof of p→q
  - However, often used to establish special case
- Show that p(0) is true when p(n) is "If n>1, then n²>n" and the domain consists of all integers
- The fact 0<sup>2</sup>>0 is false is irrelevant to the truth value of the conditional statement

### Trivial proof

- Trivial proof: a proof of p→q that uses the fact q is true
  - Often important when special cases are proved
- Let p(n) be "If a and b are positive integers with a≥b, then a<sup>n</sup> ≥b<sup>n</sup> where the domain consists of all integers
- The proposition p(0) is "If a≥b, then a<sup>0</sup> ≥b<sup>0</sup>". a<sup>0</sup> ≥b<sup>0</sup> is true, hence the conditional statement p(0) is true

- Definition: the real number r is rational if there exist integers p and q with q≠0 such that r=p/q
- A real number that is not rational is irrational
- Prove that the sum of two rational numbers is rational (i.e., "For every real number r and every real number s, if r and s are rational numbers, then r+s is rational")
- Direct proof? Proof by contraposition?

#### Direct proof

- Let r=p/q and s=t/u where p, q, t, u, are integers and q≠0, and u≠0.
- r+s=p/q+t/u=(pu+qt)/qu
- Since q≠0 and u≠0, qu≠0
- Consequently, r+s is the ratio of two integers.
   Thus r+s is rational

### Example

- Prove that if n is an integer and n<sup>2</sup> is odd, then n is odd
- Direct proof? Proof by contraposition?

 $p: n^2$  is odd q: n is odd

Direct proof : Let  $n^2 = 2k + 1$ , then  $n = \sqrt{2k + 1}$ 

Proof by contradiction: n = 2k, it follows that  $n^2 = 4k^2 = 2(2k^2)$ 

### Proof by contradiction

- Suppose we want to prove a statement p
- Further assume that we can find a contradiction q such that ¬p→q is true
- Since q is false, but ¬p→q is true, we can conclude ¬p is false, which means p is true
- The statement ¬r^r is contradiction, we can prove that p is true if we can show that ¬p→(¬r^r), i.e., if p is not true, then there is a contradiction

15

- Prove that √₂ is irrational by giving a proof by contradiction
- Let p be the proposition " $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational"
- Thus  $2=a^2/b^2$ ,  $2b^2=a^2$ , and thus  $a^2$  is even
- a<sup>2</sup> is even and so a is even (can easily show if n<sup>2</sup> is even, then n is even). Let a=2c for some integer c, 2b<sup>2</sup>=a<sup>2</sup>=4c<sup>2</sup>, and thus b<sup>2</sup>=2c<sup>2</sup>, and b<sup>2</sup> is even

# Example

- Since b<sup>2</sup> is even, b must be even
- $_{1}$ p leads to  $_{2=a/b}$  where and b have no common factors, and both a and b are even (and thus a common factor), a contradiction
- That is, the statement " $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational" is true

# Proof by contradiction

- Can be used to prove conditional statements
- · First assume the negation of the conclusion
- Then use premises and negation of conclusion to arrive a contradiction
- Reason:  $p \rightarrow q \equiv ((p \land q) \rightarrow F)$

### Proof by contradiction

- Can rewrite a proof by contraposition of a conditional statement p→q as proof by contradiction
- Proof by contraposition: show if ¬ q then ¬ p
- Proof by contradiction: assume p and  $\gamma$  q are both true
- Then use steps of  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$  to show  $\neg p$  is true
- This leads to  $\neg q \rightarrow p \land \neg p$ , a contradiction

21

- Proof by contradiction "If 3n+2 is odd, then n is odd"
- Let p be "3n+2 is odd" and q be "n is odd"
- To construct a proof by contradiction, assume both p and q are both true
- Since n is even, let n=2k, then 3n+2=6k+2= 2(3k+1). So 3n+2 is even, i.e. ¬ p,
- Both p and ¬ p are true, so we have a contradiction

### Example

- Note that we can also prove by contradiction that p→q is true by assuming that p and ¬q are both true, and show that q must be also true
- This implies q and ¬ q are both true, a contradiction
- Can turn a direct proof into a proof by contradiction

23

### Proof of equivalence

- To prove a theorem that is a biconditional statement p → q, we show p → q and q → p
- The validity is based on the tautology (p↔q) ↔((p→q)∧(q →p))

#### Example

- Prove the theorem "If n is a positive integer, then n is odd if and only if n<sup>2</sup> is odd"
- To prove "p if and only if q" where p is "n is odd" and q is "n² is odd"
- Need to show p→q and q→p
   "If n is odd, then n² is odd", and "If n² is odd, then n is odd
- We have proved p→q and q→p in previous examples and thus prove this theorem with iff

### **Equivalent theorems**

- $p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2 \leftrightarrow ... \leftrightarrow p_n$
- For i and j with  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $1 \le j \le n$ ,  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  are equivalent

$$[p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2 \leftrightarrow ... \leftrightarrow p_n] \leftrightarrow [(p_1 \rightarrow p_2) \land (p_2 \rightarrow p_3) \land ... \land (p_n \rightarrow p_1)]$$

- More efficient than prove  $p_i \rightarrow p_j$  for  $i \neq j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$
- Order is not important as long as we have chain

### Example

- Show that these statements about integer n are equivalent
  - − P₁: n is even
  - P<sub>2</sub>: n-1 is odd
  - $-P_3$ :  $n^2$  is even
- Show that by  $p_1 \rightarrow p_2$  and  $p_2 \rightarrow p_3$  and  $p_3 \rightarrow p_1$
- p<sub>1</sub> → p<sub>2</sub>: (direct proof) Suppose n is even, then n=2k for some k. thus n-1=2k-1=2(k-1)+1 is odd

### Example

- p<sub>2</sub> → p<sub>3</sub>: (direct proof) Suppose n-1 is odd, then n-1=2k+1 for some k. Hence n=2k+2, and n<sup>2</sup>=(2k+2)<sup>2</sup>=4k<sup>2</sup>+8k+4=2(2k<sup>2</sup>+4k+2) is even
- p<sub>3</sub>→ p<sub>1</sub>: (proof by contraposition) That is, we prove that if n is not even, then n<sup>2</sup> is not even.
   This is the same as proving if n is odd, then n<sup>2</sup> is odd (which we have done)

# Counterexample

 To show that a statement ∀xp(x) is false, all we need to do is to find a counterexample, i.e., an example x for which p(x) is false

- Show that "Every positive integer is the sum of the squares of two integers" is false
- An counterexample is 3 as it cannot be written as the sum of the squares to two integers
- Note that the only perfect squares not exceeding 3 are 0<sup>2</sup>=0 and 1<sup>2</sup>=1
- Furthermore, there is no way to get 3 as the sum of two terms each of which is 0 or 1

#### Mistakes in proofs

- What is wrong with this proof "1=2"?
  - 1. a=b (given)
  - 2. a<sup>2</sup>=ab (multiply both sides of 1 by a)
  - 3.  $a^2-b^2 = ab-b^2$  (subtract  $b^2$  from both sides of 2)
  - 4. (a-b)(a+b)=b(a-b) (factor both sides of 3)
  - 5. a+b=b (divide both sides of 4 by a-b)
  - 6. 2b=b (replace a by b in 5 as a=b and simply)
  - 7. 2=1 (divide both sides of 6 by b)

Solution: Every step is valid except for one, step 5 where we divided both sides by a-b. The error is that a-b equals zero; division of both sides of an equation by the same quantity is valid as long as this quantity is not zero.

### What is wrong with this proof?

- "Theorem": If n² is positive, then n is positive
   "Proof": Suppose n² is positive. As the statement "If n is positive, then n² is positive" is true, we conclude that n is positive
- p(n): If n is positive, q(n):  $n^2$  is positive. The statement is  $\forall n(p(n) \rightarrow q(n))$  and the hypothesis is q(n). From these, we cannot conclude p(n) as no valid rule of inference can be applied
- Counterexample: n=-1

### What is wrong with this proof?

"Theorem": If n² is positive, then n is positive
 "Proof": Suppose n² is positive. As the

Solution: Let P(n) be "n is positive" and Q(n) be "n² is positive." Then our hypothesis is Q(n). The statement "If n is positive, then  $n^2$  is positive" is the statement  $\forall n(P(n) \rightarrow Q(n))$ . From the hypothesis Q(n) and the statement  $\forall n(P(n) \rightarrow Q(n))$  we cannot conclude P(n), because we are not using a valid rule of inference. Instead, this is an example of the fallacy of affirming the conclusion. A counterexample is supplied by n = -1 for which  $n^2 = 1$  is positive, but n is negative.

is q(n). From these, we cannot conclude p(n) as no valid rule of inference can be applied

• Counterexample: n=-1

### Circular reasoning

- Is the following argument correct?
   Suppose that n<sup>2</sup> is even, then n<sup>2</sup>=2k for some integer k. Let n=2y for some integer y. This shows that n is even
- Wrong argument as the statement "n=2y for some integer y" is used in the proof
- No argument shows n can be written as 2y
- Circular reasoning as this statement is equivalent to the statement being proved

#### **Proofs**

- · Learn from mistakes
- Even professional mathematicians make mistakes in proofs
- Quite a few incorrect proofs of important results have fooled people for years before subtle errors were found
- Some other important proof techniques
  - Mathematical induction
  - Combinatorial proof