

Website Vulnerability Scanner Report

✓ <https://google-gruyere.appspot.com/483657129468267760113935315904600948815/>

! The Light Website Scanner didn't check for critical issues like SQLi, XSS, Command Injection, XXE, etc. [Upgrade to run Deep scans](#) with 40+ tests and detect more vulnerabilities.

Summary

Overall risk level:

Low

Risk ratings:

High:

0

Medium:

0

Low:

6

Info:

12

Scan information:

Start time: May 08, 2024 / 18:25:52

Finish time: May 08, 2024 / 18:26:13

Scan duration: 21 sec

Tests performed: 18/18

Scan status:

Finished

Findings

Missing security header: Content-Security-Policy

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://google-gruyere.appspot.com/483657129468267760113935315904600948815/	Response does not include the HTTP Content-Security-Policy security header or meta tag Request / Response

Details

Risk description:

The risk is that if the target application is vulnerable to XSS, lack of this header makes it easily exploitable by attackers.

Recommendation:

Configure the Content-Security-Header to be sent with each HTTP response in order to apply the specific policies needed by the application.

References:

https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Content_Security_Policy_Cheat_Sheet.html
<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/Content-Security-Policy>

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

Missing security header: Referrer-Policy

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://google-gruyere.appspot.com/483657129468267760113935315904600948815/	Response headers do not include the Referrer-Policy HTTP security header as well as the <meta> tag with name 'referrer' is not present in the response. Request / Response

Details

Risk description:

The risk is that if a user visits a web page (e.g. "http://example.com/pricing/") and clicks on a link from that page going to e.g.

"https://www.google.com", the browser will send to Google the full originating URL in the **Referer** header, assuming the Referrer-Policy header is not set. The originating URL could be considered sensitive information and it could be used for user tracking.

Recommendation:

The Referrer-Policy header should be configured on the server side to avoid user tracking and inadvertent information leakage. The value **no-referrer** of this header instructs the browser to omit the Referer header entirely.

References:

https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/Security/Referer_header:_privacy_and_security_concerns

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

Missing security header: Strict-Transport-Security

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://google-gruyere.appspot.com/483657129468267760113935315904600948815/	Response headers do not include the HTTP Strict-Transport-Security header Request / Response

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk is that lack of this header permits an attacker to force a victim user to initiate a clear-text HTTP connection to the server, thus opening the possibility to eavesdrop on the network traffic and extract sensitive information (e.g. session cookies).

Recommendation:

The Strict-Transport-Security HTTP header should be sent with each HTTPS response. The syntax is as follows:

Strict-Transport-Security: max-age=<seconds>[; includeSubDomains]

The parameter **max-age** gives the time frame for requirement of HTTPS in seconds and should be chosen quite high, e.g. several months. A value below 7776000 is considered as too low by this scanner check.

The flag **includeSubDomains** defines that the policy applies also for sub domains of the sender of the response.

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

Missing security header: X-Content-Type-Options

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://google-gruyere.appspot.com/483657129468267760113935315904600948815/	Response headers do not include the X-Content-Type-Options HTTP security header Request / Response

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk is that lack of this header could make possible attacks such as Cross-Site Scripting or phishing in Internet Explorer browsers.

Recommendation:

We recommend setting the X-Content-Type-Options header such as **X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff**.

References:

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/X-Content-Type-Options>

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

Robots.txt file found

CONFIRMED

URL

<https://google-gruyere.appspot.com/robots.txt>

▼ Details

Risk description:

There is no particular security risk in having a robots.txt file. However, it's important to note that adding endpoints in it should not be considered a security measure, as this file can be directly accessed and read by anyone.

Recommendation:

We recommend you to manually review the entries from robots.txt and remove the ones which lead to sensitive locations in the website (ex. administration panels, configuration files, etc).

References:

<https://www.theregister.co.uk/2015/05/19/robotstxt/>




Classification:

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

🚩 Server software and technology found

UNCONFIRMED ⓘ

Software / Version	Category
 Google Cloud	IaaS
 Google Cloud Trace	Performance
 HTTP/3	Miscellaneous

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk is that an attacker could use this information to mount specific attacks against the identified software type and version.

Recommendation:

We recommend you to eliminate the information which permits the identification of software platform, technology, server and operating system: HTTP server headers, HTML meta information, etc.

References:

https://owasp.org/www-project-web-security-testing-guide/stable/4-Web_Application_Security_Testing/01-Information_Gathering/02-Fingerprint_Web_Server.html

Classification:

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

🚩 Security.txt file is missing

CONFIRMED

URL

Missing: <https://google-gruyere.appspot.com/.well-known/security.txt>

▼ Details

Risk description:

There is no particular risk in not having a security.txt file for your server. However, this file is important because it offers a designated channel for reporting vulnerabilities and security issues.

Recommendation:

We recommend you to implement the security.txt file according to the standard, in order to allow researchers or users report any security issues they find, improving the defensive mechanisms of your server.

References:

<https://securitytxt.org/>

Classification:

🚩 Website is accessible.

🚩 Nothing was found for vulnerabilities of server-side software.

🚩 Nothing was found for client access policies.

🚩 Nothing was found for use of untrusted certificates.

🚩 Nothing was found for enabled HTTP debug methods.

🚩 Nothing was found for secure communication.

🚩 Nothing was found for directory listing.

🚩 Nothing was found for domain too loose set for cookies.

🚩 Nothing was found for HttpOnly flag of cookie.

🚩 Nothing was found for Secure flag of cookie.

🚩 Nothing was found for unsafe HTTP header Content Security Policy.

Scan coverage information

List of tests performed (18/18)

- ✓ Starting the scan...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - Content Security Policy...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - Referrer...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - Strict-Transport-Security...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - X-Content-Type-Options...
- ✓ Checking for website technologies...
- ✓ Checking for vulnerabilities of server-side software...
- ✓ Checking for client access policies...
- ✓ Checking for robots.txt file...
- ✓ Checking for absence of the security.txt file...
- ✓ Checking for use of untrusted certificates...
- ✓ Checking for enabled HTTP debug methods...
- ✓ Checking for secure communication...
- ✓ Checking for directory listing...
- ✓ Checking for domain too loose set for cookies...
- ✓ Checking for HttpOnly flag of cookie...
- ✓ Checking for Secure flag of cookie...
- ✓ Checking for unsafe HTTP header Content Security Policy...

Scan parameters

Target: <https://google-gruyere.appspot.com/483657129468267760113935315904600948815/>

Scan type: Light
Authentication: NULL

Scan stats

Unique Injection Points Detected:	5
URLs spidered:	5
Total number of HTTP requests:	13
Average time until a response was received:	190ms
