Date: 2022/06/07

Task Group: Fast Interrupts

Chair: Dan Smathers

Co-Chair: Kevin Chen

Number of Attendees: 4

Meetings Disclaimers Video:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1y\_XWJus8M5ZwSQ2cvEOzCjlOmsmXOnN4/view

Current issues on github: <a href="https://github.com/riscv/riscv-fast-interrupt/issues">https://github.com/riscv/riscv-fast-interrupt/issues</a>

Previous meeting minutes: <a href="https://github.com/riscv/riscv-fast-interrupt/tree/master/minutes">https://github.com/riscv/riscv-fast-interrupt/tree/master/minutes</a>

Fast Interrupt DoD (Definition of Done) Status: (new location) <a href="https://wiki.riscv.org/display/HOME/Fast+Interrupts+TG">https://wiki.riscv.org/display/HOME/Fast+Interrupts+TG</a>

#### Next meeting agenda (06/21/22)

Easy?:

Pull #198 (issues #197/#232) - xRET SAIL-like pseudo-code

#214/#215 – mscratchesw pseudo-code clarification.

#212,213/pull #216 – parameter text fix/clarification

#220 – ok to generate exceptions to clic mem mapped regs?

Longer review:

Discuss #195/pull #196 – clarification of intthresh and xstatus.xie

Discuss xcliccfg pull. (for issue #96) - mcliccfg/scliccfg/ucliccfg

Discuss #100/205/211 – xnxti side effects

Discuss #160/#200/pull #201 – user mode interrupts

Discuss mlvl proposal (#226 – formerly #96) - wait for community feedback

#191 – question about sw vectoring now that hw vector table can be execute only. (discuss with krste)

# **Meeting minutes**

Pull #218. merged. clicintattr typo fixed

Pull #198 – explain what ctl is in pseudo-code. current-instruction. Need to update pull before merging.

Pull#215 – sscratch just affects what can access it. xscratch is written if there is a priv mode difference. unusual to use sscratch in m-mode, but spec says if different priv mode than before then swap. so have to look at mstatus to know. so in m-mode, m-mode should look at mstatus.mpp to determine if there was a priv state change. Need to update pull before merging.

Pull #216 – merged. parameter text clarification.

Issue #220 – for reserved clic memory region accesses, we do not specify any specific trap behavior. add note saying this to the spec.

Issue #29 – merged pull #190 clarifying cliccinttrig connection to debug module.

Issue 195/pull #217 – merged.

Issue #221 – make a proposal pull. try to understand pending use-case better. Why need to see multiple pending. With this option, need to reserve entire space. scalable groups of 32? Aggregate method of accessing.

Issue #240 – mscratchcsw should not exist in non-CLIC mode. need to clarify in spec. trying to read in orig system, trap. if support both clic and clint and read in clint,

### Github updates since last minutes:

## **Specification updates since last minutes:**

# **Open issue status:**

Issues that can be closed? (simpler issues to discuss in blue)

#49 – reopened: prev spec had single control for multiple harts but current spec implies control per hart and implications. Closed by #231? Dependent on issue #96/226 discussion?

#197/pull #198 – clarification of executing a lower-priv xRET on intstatus and inhv. Also closes issue #232?

#160/200 - can rewrite to allow for but not assume n-extension is available. probably combine #160 with #200. closed by pull #201?

#202/pull #238 –writes/reads to clic mem mapped registers. (discuss if 64b store ordering is required. Pull is different from TG discussion)

#213 – how does CLICMTVECALIGN match AIA and code-size reduction. Closed with pull #216?

#214/pull #215 – xscratchesw pseudo-code (similar to #197 pseudo-code). Pull has fix so that m-mode looks at mstatus.mpp for sscratch behavior.

#220 – Access to reserved address space – OK for implementation to generate access fault (not required but allowed?) Closed by Pull #243?

#232 – return addr computation – Close with pull #198? (clarify CTL meaning in pseudo-code)

#240/pull #241 – change mscratchesw to use mstatus.mpp in pseudocode? does it matter if not visible in non-clic mode?

## **Need spec updates:**

- #75 move hw vectoring to separate section in spec waiting until other issue spec updates before making this large text change.
- #100 reserve usage of immediate bits (0-UIE?),2,4 in xnxti imm usage since those mstatus bits are WPRI. Related to #205/211
- #158 change CLICCTRLBITS to CLICMLPBITS. (resolve #226 first?)
- #221 compressed clicintip/clicintie status registers (1-bit per interrupt) similar to AIA eip0-eip63? Add justification and create a pull with a proposal.
- #239 Dret should honor inhy

#### **Need more discussion:**

- #96 proposed reformat of cliccfg. bit spec change, small hw change. Tried to close with Pull #183. more discussion about xcliccfg.nmbits. change to a programmable boundary value? discuss more. created a new pull #231 to go thru change implications. Separated xcliccfg.mlvl proposal to issue #226.
- #102 preemptible interrupt handler code (for section 7.2)
- #108 pushint/popint? defer to broader discussion on providing hardware stacking of interrupt contexts (possibly post-1.0).
- #171 CLICCFGLBITS parameter related to #80, #158. (resolve #226 first)
- #191 reopened. question about sw vectoring.
- #205 xnxti side-effect question. csrrsi rd, mnxti, uimm[4:0]. Pseudo-code shows uimm needs to be non-zero to cause side-effects (updating mintstatus, mcause.exccode/interupt). Is this restriction necessary? Related to #100/#211?
- #211 xnxti text vs. Pseudo-code clarification required. Related to #100/205?
- #219 Interrupt ID ordering recommendations
- #222 legacy s-mode/u-mode timer interrupt setup
- #226 replace clicintattr.mode with xcliccfg.xlvl proposal (formerly #96)
- #228 dpc treatment can breakpoints be set in hw vector table? (related to #230). Code-reduction TG chose to not allow setting breakpoints on hw vector table. https://github.com/riscv/riscv-code-size-reduction/issues/161
- #229 clicintattr.mode WARL behavior (moot if #226 accepted)

#230 – can hwvector table loads be interrupted by higher priv interrupts? Can table load be interrupted by debug mode? so dret should check xcause.xinhv to interpret dpc correctly? Related to issue #228.

#### Issues need to be worked:

- #91 DTS entry have linux group review DTS example.
- #107 heritage of features. keep researching and adding references to bibliography.
- #185 SAIL model implementation of CLIC (probably waiver)
- #186 CLIC architecture tests
- #187 QEMU CLIC implementation update
- #242 spike required if sail is waived?

# Issues waiting on ratification (encoding/opcode consistency review needed)

#88 – CSR address mapping

## Issues punted for rev1, keep open for future enhancements:

- #92/Pull #181 hypervisor compatibility. Pull #181 with Initial hypervisor extension proposal for CLIC. Punted for rev1.
- #99 horizontal interrupt window. punted for rev1
- #101 xnxti to trigger on equal level. punted for rev1
- #106 allow level change. Punted for rev1
- #192 allow mix of CLIC/CLINT at different priv modes punted for rev1