

SC-100 / SC-5007 Compliance Architecture Discussion Exercise

Scenario: “Project Atlas” – A FedRAMP Moderate Cloud Migration

Background

A U.S. federal civilian agency—**The Department of Infrastructure & Resilience (DIR)**—is modernizing its IT systems under a multi-year Zero Trust and cloud transformation initiative called **Project Atlas**.

DIR is migrating from an aging on-premises environment to a **multi-cloud architecture** anchored in **Microsoft 365 GCC High and Azure Government**, with several third-party SaaS platforms still in use for mission systems.

The agency must maintain **FedRAMP Moderate authorization**, comply with **FISMA, NARA records management requirements**, and internal **Inspector General (IG)** audit mandates.

The Data Reality (a.k.a. where things get spicy)

DIR handles a wide mix of data types:

- **Personally Identifiable Information (PII)**
 - Citizen complaints
 - Employee HR records
 - Contractor background investigations
- **Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI)**
 - Infrastructure vulnerability assessments
 - Critical facility diagrams
 - Incident response reports
- **Legal & Oversight Data**
 - Congressional correspondence
 - FOIA requests and responses
 - Litigation hold content
- **Operational Collaboration Data**

- Teams chats during live incidents
- SharePoint document libraries shared across agencies
- Email with state and local government partners

Much of this data lives in:

- Exchange Online
- SharePoint Online
- OneDrive
- Microsoft Teams
- A third-party case management SaaS platform
- Legacy file shares being migrated

The Tensions (These are intentional friction points)

1. Retention vs. Over-Retention

- NARA requires **specific retention schedules** for records.
- Program offices are afraid of deleting *anything*.
- Storage costs are increasing.
- Legal insists some data must be preserved for litigation readiness.

Tension:

“If we delete something we shouldn’t, we’re in trouble.
If we keep everything forever, we’re also in trouble.”

2. Data Loss vs. Mission Velocity

- Analysts routinely export data to Excel and share it via email.
- Teams chats include CUI during live incidents.
- External sharing is necessary with state/local partners—but risky.

Tension:

“We can’t slow down incident response—but we also can’t leak CUI.”

3. Visibility Gaps

- Security teams don’t know:
 - Where sensitive data actually lives
 - Who has access to it
 - Whether it’s being shared externally

Tension:

“We’re accountable for protecting data we can’t fully see.”

4. Audit & Compliance Readiness

- The IG is preparing a FedRAMP/FISMA audit.
- Auditors will ask:
 - How sensitive data is identified
 - How retention is enforced
 - How policy violations are detected and remediated

Tension:

“We can’t scramble every time there’s an audit.”

5. Hybrid & Tool Sprawl

- Not all compliance tooling is Microsoft.
- Some workloads remain on-prem.
- Leadership wants a **single compliance strategy**, not 15 disconnected ones.

Tension:

“Purview can’t do *everything*—but it has to anchor the strategy.”

Student Exercise: You Are the Cybersecurity Architecture Team

Your Mission

Design a **compliance-centric security architecture** that addresses DIR's risks while enabling mission operations.

You are **not required** to implement everything in Microsoft Purview—but your architecture **must clearly demonstrate Purview-aligned concepts**.

Deliverables

1. Data Classification Strategy

- How will the agency **identify and classify**:
 - PII?
 - CUI?
 - Sensitive operational data?
 - What role do the following have:
 - Sensitive Information Types?
 - Auto-labeling?
 - Manual classification?
-

2. Retention & Records Management Approach

- How retention policies align with **NARA schedules**
 - How records are:
 - Declared
 - Retained
 - Disposed of defensibly
 - How litigation holds override normal retention
-

3. Data Loss Prevention (DLP) Strategy

- Where DLP policies are enforced (email, Teams, SharePoint, endpoints)
 - How to:
 - Allow mission-critical sharing
 - Block risky behavior
 - Educate users (policy tips)
-

4. Visibility, Monitoring & Audit Readiness

- How leadership gets visibility into:
 - Data risk
 - Policy violations
 - Compliance posture
 - How audit evidence is produced **without heroics**
-

5. Integration with Non-Microsoft Tools

- How Purview fits into a **broader compliance ecosystem**
 - What stays outside Purview—and how consistency is maintained
-

SC-100 / SC-5007 Exam Alignment

This exercise reinforces:

- Designing solutions for data security & compliance
- Information protection & governance
- Risk management and regulatory compliance
- Microsoft Purview architecture & capabilities
- Tradeoff-based architectural thinking