

Mckinsey Interview Experiences ISB Consulting Club Class of 2006

Compiled by:

Ruchi Bansal Simran Khara Gaurav Balhara Amrit Singh

Index

- 1. Shankar Prasad (2)
- 2. Asish Mohapatra (4)
- 3. Ruchi Bansal (7)
- 4. Gaurav Balhara (3)
- 5. Amandeep Bedi (7)
- 6. Simran Khara (4)
- 7. Gautam P Chhugani (4)
- 8. Pranjal Jain (2)
- 9. Gaurav Bansal (4)
- 10. Sandeep Mohan (1)
- 11. Sridhar S (4)
- 12. Arun Neelkantan (2)

Shankar Prasad

Name	S Shankar Prasad
Company Interviewed With	McKinsey & Co.
Name of Interviewer and	Rohit Pathak, Engagement Manager
designation	
Round	First, Interview #1
(First/Second/Third	
round)	Control Di Til Di Control Cont
Personal interview	Started with PI. The PI was more of a chat than an interview. It
questions (with indicative	went on for more than 15 minutes. The discussion was almost
answers if you choose to share them)	entirely out of my resume, centering around
share menij	1. My academic record
	2. Why I chose to do an MBA at this stage.
	3. What I was handling at HLL
Narration of the case	4. Why consulting?
interview (please be as descriptive as possible)	Suppose a leading FMCG company, say HLL, wants to enter the baby food category in India. How will you decide whether to enter and if you decide to enter, how to enter?
	I first clarified as to what we mean by baby food (this was the first food supplement for babies – for simplicity assumed to be in the age bracket 1-2 years), what is the time frame we are looking at (immediate) and what is the primary motivation for considering this category (growth)
	 I divided the analysis into 3 parts: Market attractiveness, further sub-divided into size, growth, profitability and investments required. Competition (could have been part of attractiveness) Strategic fit & capabilities sub-divided into brands, technology and distribution to begin with.
	Size analysis (potential market) was done the standard way: birth rate, then number of children in age bracket, number of times a day baby is fed, gms per feed, times average price of market leader (Cerelac) per gram. The market size thus calculated came to Rs. 6000 crores. Interviewer was looking for me to say that this looked unreasonably high – which I did. So I was given additional data on average spending per day from which the answer came to Rs. 1500 crores <i>potential</i> . This sounded more reasonable. I guess it was also a way to test if I was comfy with order-of-magnitude FMCG numbers, given my background.
	Next I explored the growth potential, profitability and the market share of competition – all positive. Nestle was the competitor to beat. Overall, decision was to enter.
	Then we discussed various aspects of branding in baby food,

	consumer choice drivers, and whether any of existing HLL brands could be used or a new brand should be launched and the pros and cons. Had a somewhat detailed discussion on technology and options for HLL (develop in-house, buy from outside, JV or takeover an existing player). Distribution channels, again linked to consumer buying behaviour was explored. This discussion drew a lot from my previous experience as well as marketing fundas from courses. At the end, I summarized recommendations along each of the dimensions: 1. Enter the market 2. Consider a brand extension, be aware of safety problems and backlash on existing brands 3. Technology a bottleneck – consider teaming up with smaller cos. 4. Existing channels should suffice.
What do you think went	1. Connected well with interviewer
right for you in the in this	2. Demonstrated knowledge in the sector I come from, comfort
interview?	with numbers and awareness of marketing fundas.
What do you think went	I think because the case was from the same industry where I come
wrong in this interview?	from, I went a little too fast at places, without stopping to explain
	my thinking.
Any tips for the future	Know your industry well
batches based on this	2. Don't make any assumptions (what is baby food?)
interview experience.	3. Having a feel for order-of-magnitude numbers helps
_	4. Be prepared for a discussion-mode PI – can look deceptively
	simple, but don't forget that you are being evaluated on everything
	you say.
	J J ·

Name	C Charalan Duran J
Name	S Shankar Prasad
Company Interviewed With	McKinsey & Co.
	Du Chinish Canlika Dantaan
Name of Interviewer and	Dr. Shirish Sankhe, Partner
designation	T' , I , '
Round	First, Interview #2
(First/Second/Third	
round) Personal interview	Chart 1 - 2th DI O 12 12 -
	Started with PI. Questions like:
questions (with indicative	1. What has been your most significant accomplishment to date –
answers if you choose to share them)	academic and work ex? Why?
share them)	2. What role do you assume in teams?
No. we the second	3. About my role at HLL.
Narration of the case	It was a seemingly open-ended public policy case. <i>Nowadays we</i>
interview (please be as	read about aiming for 10% growth in the economy. I need to understand
descriptive as possible)	from you, in a "mathematical" way:
	 Why is 10% growth required? What should be our approach to go from 7.5% growth to 10% growth?
	2. Primi should be out approach to go from 7.5 % growth to 10% growth:
	I think there are many ways to solve the case. I took a first
	principles approach and started at a very macro level. My initial
	framework was: what should be the objective of the
	government/economy? It should be: welfare of the people. Where
	does welfare come from: availability of merit goods & services, jobs
	for everyone, controlled inflation and freedom of thought,
	expression etc. Then I wanted to link economic growth to these
	objectives and explain how 10% growth would achieve this
	objective. And then the second question would be answered by
	looking at the three segments of the economy (agriculture, industry
	and services), looking at the bottlenecks and suggesting solutions.
	After agreeing on this framework I started off with how economic
	After agreeing on this framework, I started off with how economic growth is required to create jobs. Looking at the pool of
	unemployed workers, additions per year, the desired rate of
	reduction of unemployment, to arrive at the number of jobs to be
	created per year. Then looking at the TFP equation for GDP and
	trying to link it to employment. Didn't have to work out all the
	numbers, just show the approach. Didn't discuss the other aspects, moved on to the "how".
	Started with agriculture. What are the problems? I identified land
	reforms, low productivity and lack of value addition. Had a long
	discussion on how to create jobs in the agri sector – it turned out
	that we should not try and increase the growth rate of agriculture
	from the current 2% but instead, focus on creating jobs for the
	agricultural labourers outside of agriculture (industry, services).
	This leads to the issue of how to educate and train. Then the
	discussion went off the case and into general public policy.
What do you think went	
right for you in the in this	 Structured approach to a seemingly open-ended case. First principles approach.
interview?	2. That principles approach.
What do you think went	Should have read up more on some fundamental things like land
vviiat uo you tiiiik went	Should have read up more on some fundamental things like land

wrong in this interview?	reforms and other things about the Indian economy. This was a hot
	media topic but I felt I was under-prepared.
Any tips for the future	1. Understand macro-economics well
batches based on this	2. Form your own views on the economy and on other "hot" things
interview experience.	and <i>practise</i> articulating them.
	3. Twice during the interview, I was led into a trap. I quickly
	realized it and backtracked without getting deeper into the mess.
	Watch out for traps and change course provided you are convinced
	that you are wrong.

Asish Mohapatra

Name	Asish Mohapatra
Company Interviewed	McKinsey & Co.
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Rohit C Pathak
designation	
Round	First Round – 1st interview
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	We started the interview with a 3-4 minute chat about how painful
questions (with indicative	it can be in the morning to travel and start working right away (He
answers if you choose to	had just arrived).
share them)	
	He then quizzed me about leadership instances at work and in academic career; then I took him into what he believed leadership was. We had a long chat about why leadership was important in consulting. We also spoke about how I was to translate a very explicit form of leadership displayed at work (I was leading operations in a factory) to an implicit environment (like consulting). We talked about what leadership skills are transferable and ones which are not.
	I think the skill that was being tested here was how comfortable I was with the interviewer in the beginning. It was important to engage him in a fruitful conversation that is argumentative but not confrontational. I think basic presence and communication skills were being tested here. Overall, the whole experience lasted about 10 minutes, and when he was convinced that I was comfortable enough, we went into the case.
Narration of the case interview (please be as descriptive as possible)	The case was about a global heavy vehicle manufacturer. The firm was planning to enter India; my job was to devise a strategy for it. A simple case to begin with I thought, but I knew very well that the challenges are higher in a simple case.
	I started off with a simple framework (nothing great, decided the marketing mix and the way to enter – organic was the better route). I also identified the capabilities of the manufacturer that could be transferred to this setting. This went on for about 10 minutes. Here it was important to structure the problem well, following a MECE structure. I also shared some experience of a project with him that we had done in Competitive strategy project that we had done on cars and shared some uniqueness of the Indian market with him. I am not covering this in detail as it was pretty standard and I just had to do the basics right.
	Then he told me to size the truck market in India. I told him that I will follow the stock method of analysis, by which I will estimate the number of trucks currently in operation throughout India. Since I was doing a demand side analysis, I divided the trucks into

variable loads (where capacity utilization is less than equal to 100%) or fixed loads (construction equipment carriers, petrol loads, car containers, etc.). He said that they normally don't do it this way but he wanted me to proceed as he found it interesting. Then, he told me that he wanted me to do it for the car carriers for paucity of time. I went ahead and assumed a certain number of carriers that are bought every year (the number wasn't important, I assumed 1000 for ease of analysis). I understood the supply chain from him and he explained the dealer system to me. Then, I told him that I will assume steady state, as in the number of cars sold are the number of cars manufactured and distributed. I then divided it as per the number of manufacturers, number and location of manufacturing locations, distance, speed distribution, capacity of trucks, capacity utilization, number of hours driven in a day, maintenance days and the average number of days that a truck is driven in a year to arrive at the number of trips made and hence, the number of trucks that were plying. It was important to note that the trucks generally came back completely empty. I also told him that the transporters will like to keep some number of trucks on a standby. He asked me to estimate that as well. I gave him a framework to analyze that. I gave him a framework depending on locations, routes, failure time and MTBFs. He was ok with that. The case was extremely quantitative and was very intensive numerically. I also did a sensitivity analysis on one of the parameters showing him that the whole estimate was sensitive to a few parameters.

Then, I told him that if I link both the cases together, then it gives me an interesting sight into what value proposition the truck manufacturer can give to the Indian transporters. I told him that let's look at each of the variables that I had estimated earlier and see whether we can reduce them. Then, I said that we can try to increase the mileage and increase the size of the truck as well. Then, I told him that both the things might be inversely related. It can also be done that the truck speed can be increased on the back journey. Maintenance days can be decreased. He asked me for a few creative recommendations, which I gave – I don't really remember them now but the method was as stated above.

Then, he asked me for a feedback about the case and discussion overall. I told him about how different the case would have been had the case between about variable loads. He was ok with it.

He then told me to ask him a question. I asked him about the project specifically and to what detail McKinsey went. I also asked him how McKinsey keeps a tab on how clients implement suggestions. The overall case lasted about 25 minutes. I then linked it with one of the questions asked in the personal interview. I told him that it is a challenge that line managers like us will face in a consulting environment, wherein we do not necessarily get to implement. Then, I shared a few jokes that I had played with consultants (mostly technical) and how it might be my turn to be on

	the receiving end now.
What do you think went	I think one of the key facets was to make the case completely
right for you in the in this	seamless, wherein I linked both the PI and cases and both the cases
interview?	
interview:	together.
	Structuring was very important and I think, I did them ok. Rohit
	also complimented me on the fact that I could think of multiple
	dimensions during the interview.
	The other thing was to make the interviewer comfortable, which I
	did by bringing in my own experiences from work and sharing
	jokes at the end.
	Needless to say that Rohit was very supportive and a very good
	listener.
What do you think went	I think I spoke a little too much. I also was too comfortable for
wrong in this interview?	comfort! After the interview, I felt I had spoiled the whole thing.
	•
Any tips for the future	It is important to be your natural self in the interview. Comfort
batches based on this	with numbers is absolutely crucial. It is also important that when
interview experience.	using creativity, structure should not be lost. Never shoot ideas of
	the hat, always be structured. It is important to see and address the
	body language of the interviewer.
	The basic thing that works is that one should focus on bringing out
	one's strengths in the interview. My strengths lay in creativity,
	structuring and quick calculations (which I specifically tried to
	bring out in the interview). Your strengths (preparation will yield
	that) could be very different and you should try to bring them out.
	It is very important to remain cool after the interview since one
	might get a feeling that he's not done well but the results could be
	just the other way round!

Name	Asish Mohapatra
Company Interviewed	McKinsey & Co.
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Shirish Shankhe, Partner
designation	
Round	First Round – 2 nd interview
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	We started the interview with a conversation about my career at IIT
questions (with indicative	and my times in Cuttack (Orissa), my hometown. He was hooked
answers if you choose to	onto my JEE rank and asked me why the number was unique. I
share them)	don't think he wanted a particularly great answer but he wanted to
	check my ability to think on my feet. He asked to give him 5
	different uniquenesses.
	He also asked me why Cuttack wasn't doing as well as a
	Bhubaneswar. To this I replied taking geographic, political, cultural
	and economic reasons into account. We then discussed about my
	Summer Project with Reckitt Benckiser (this was an automation project with a FMCG major). He asked me to explain the labor
	implications of implementing automation. He then went ahead to
	ask me my experiences in dealing with unionized labor at ITC.
	He was also interested in one of the papers that I had written about
	BOP and presented at XLRI. This was about how to bring your best
	people to the BOP. He asked me to explain the paper and then told
	me to explain how useful it can be to the corporate world. My
	observation had told me that he was very practical and won't like
	flowery answers that this is the idea of the millennium! I gave him
	all the strengths and weaknesses of the idea (and real ground level
	ones) in implementation.
	After that he asked me why I was looking forward to a consulting
	career. I told him that it links well to my long term goal, which is
	leading a NGO. I told him that this is one of the reasons that
	McKinsey stands out for me as a firm, with all the public policy and
	pro-bono work. We discussed a bit about India's policy change
	from the '50s to the '70s. I gave him some funda about what I had
	seen in the Commanding Heights video, though he was doing most
	of the talking.
	He was visibly happy with the fact that I said public policy (Later I
	realized that he specializes in public policy at McK) and told me
	that he will give me a case on it.
NT (1 C.1	The whole experience lasted 15 minutes.
Narration of the case	He started off the case asking me what I knew about the Bharat
interview (please be as	Nirman Project. I told him that my knowledge is limited to what I
descriptive as possible)	have read in the papers over the past few weeks and I do not know
	in detail about it. He told me that this case will enhance my
	learning about the challenges facing somebody working in public
	policy. The case was about rural electrification. He said the objective of the
	The case was about rural electrification. He said the objective of the
	central government has now shifted to putting an electric bulb in
	every Indian home by Feb, 2008. He asked me how the Government should go about it.
	Shourd go about it.

I started off telling him that the idea looked unrealistic to me. Assuming that 1 billion is the Indian population and 65% lived in villages, 650 million is the current rural population. Assuming that there are 5 members per rural household, there are 130 million households. Then assuming that 30% of rural India is electrified, 91 million households remain. We just have two years, which is approximately 700 days. Even if we work throughout, 1.3 lakh households have to be electrified every day. This is by no means easy. Add to this fact that there is huge geographic dispersion and the current state of the SEBs, the plan looks unviable. I told him that I found it impractical. To this he replied saying that most public policy projects are such. They lack thinking about the design phase itself. He asked me to go ahead with the problem assuming it is doable.

I approached the problem saying that I would see the project from three standpoints - economic, organizational and operational. On the operational point, I would divide the project into generation and T&D phases. On the economic standpoint, I would look at the ways and means to fund this project. On the organizational front, I would like to see who would own this project. Here, he told me that the question should not be treated like any other consulting case and he is looking for completely creative solutions. I asked him whether I should go ahead with the analysis the way I had structured or he wanted me to do something different. He replied that he is looking for specifically the Generation area. I told him that when it comes to generation, there are four issues that need to be looked at - Performance of conventional energy generation units, New conventional energy units, isolated units and non-conventional sources of energy. He said that I should discuss the non-conventional energy sources first.

I told him that I was aware of solar, wind and bagas (sugarcane by product). He asked me to describe the economics of Solar. I showed it to him that at the current rate, it's unprofitable.

Then, we went to Wind energy. I told him that the issues to look for here are technology, fixed costs and practical viability (availability of areas) where they can be installed. We discussed each one of them in greater detail from then on.

I told him that when it comes to buying technology, it would be very costly and technology transfer has to be on a mutual basis. Then, we went it to the details of the windmill technology and its advantages in the Indian context. He was doing most of the talking here.

He asked me to do a commercial evaluation of all these technologies. I did the same considering three parameters – speed (because the industry has an externality effect), cost and future viability (to incorporate learning curve effects). After shedding some light on each one of them, he asked me to move on to the funding aspect in generation.

I told him that the money here can be drawn from four areas – government, Indian private, Foreign players and debtors. I told him how each one of them was different (most of the logic was thought on the spot)

	We then discussed about the amount of privatization that should be allowed. I was of the opinion that wherever private participation is allowed, it should be in both R&D and generation. Having only one of them was not of any use. He didn't agree to it and he was of the opinion that we weren't ready yet. We closed the interview on an argumentative note. He asked me to ask a question at the end. I asked him what kind of persuasive powers consultants enjoy when it comes to public policy projects. He smiled and gave me a lot of insight into public policy consulting. He appreciated the fact at the end of the interview that I could make people talk. The whole experience lasted close to 20 mins.
What do you think went	With seniors, I think it is very important to listen. It is also
right for you in the in this	important to observe as you go along the interview process that
interview?	every interviewer is looking for a particular skill or dimension in
	your personality. I think in my case, it was creativity, which I
	displayed in the discussion about windmill technology. It is also
	important that whenever you give a radical thought, you should
	back it up by sound strong logic.
What do you think went	I think I didn't control the interview; more often than not, I thought
wrong in this interview?	that I had stuff to speak but could not let it out
Any tips for the future	Keep your cool. Show a good understanding of the things
batches based on this	happening around you (may not be knowledge, but an opinion
interview experience.	usually helps). Do not try to throw facts when they amount to
interview experience.	nothing!

Name	Asish Mohapatra
Company Interviewed	McKinsey & Co.
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Nigel Andrade, Engagement Manager
designation	
Round	Second Round, 1st Interview
(First/Second/Third	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
round)	
Personal interview questions (with indicative answers if you choose to share them)	He asked me about the problems that dealing with a union entails. There were a few more specific questions about my resume, in which I had to describe the work I did. I spoke for some time – maybe 2 minutes.
	Then he asked me the kind of preparation that our batch had done for consulting. I gave him some feedback about how the interview workshop process done by McKinsey could have been better and how different it was from other colleges (stressing on poor institutional memory and ways to deal with it).
	He asked me what my last case was about. When I told him that it was about public policy and I had like it. He said that he also wanted me to do a case in that. The whole conversation lasted close to a little over 10 minutes.
Narration of the case	An extremely short case. It lasted less than 10 minutes.
interview (please be as	He asked me what a government could do to improve the banking
descriptive as possible)	policy of a third-world country.
	To begin with, I told him that there is no generic answer and the
	policy has to be case specific. I also told him that I got a feeling that
	he was speaking from personal experience.
	He said that I was right and asked me to take the case of Bahrain. He asked me what I knew about Bahrain and its banking industry. I
	told him that I knew it was a Muslim country and was staunch in
	protecting Muslim values (I used to collect stamps; I knew that they did not have a word of English – I told him that).
	Then he gave me a good overview about Bahrain (lot of tangential stuff) and told me that the Finance minister was worried that the industry might lose it. He told me it was majorly into fostering
	corporate banks. To begin with I told him that it was a case of B2B marketing and banks would stay if they get a good value proposition in Bahrain. But then, if they get a better value proposition elsewhere, they'll shift. He said I was right and asked me to think ahead.
	I asked him who these banks were. He told me that they were the Middle East bases of MNC banks. I asked him why the banks were there in the first case. He told me that it was centrally located and had liberal laws. I applying the goography and told him that what
	had liberal laws. I analyzed the geography and told him that what struck me was the fact it was close to Dubai. I asked him why not Dubai?
	He told me that there was an announcement by the Dubai officials that they would make their policies freer than Bahrain and would make it a free trading zone.
	I inferred from this saying that this might be a symptom of the real issue but wasn't the actual problem. To this, he agreed.

	I told him that first of all I need to analyze the Dubai threat more closely. I told him first that we need to establish whether Dubai's threat is credible or not (look at their history and look at the economic impact). He asked me to assume that they can do it. Then, I told him to look at the value proposition (including the switching cost to Dubai – local knowledge, skill base) that Bahrain provides and compare to the value proposition that Dubai gives. I told him that it is important to keep a futuristic view in mind. Then, I told him that what strikes me about the case is the fact that in this industry, it is very important to build your local clientele and not rely on foreigners. He said fine. Then, I quizzed him about how developed was Bahrain's retail and corporate banking was. I told him that it would relate very closely to the economic development was in Bahrain. I told him that I felt that the real issue with Bahrain was the fact that the industry relied too much on MNCs without first satisfying local demand. I told him that I would like to create a policy keeping
	this in mind. He asked me to stop the interview then and there.
What do you think went	
right for you in the in this	I think I struck a chord with Nigel very soon. I thought he was looking for some specific skills of structuring and problem
interview?	identification.
What do you think went	The interview was too short to commit any mistakes. I thought I
wrong in this interview?	had become too comfortable having met the interview earlier (he
g	had come down to ISB twice). A bit of formal attitude would have
	helped. But I must admit that I was shocked when the interview
	was over in 15-20 minutes.
Any tips for the future	Thinking on your feet is extremely important at the beginning of
batches based on this	the case. First think commonsensical and then get on to the regular
interview experience.	consulting case analysis. One way of dealing with a person you
	know is to use your previous experience with him (in any which
	way).

Name	Asish Mohapatra
Company Interviewed With	McKinsey & Co.
Name of Interviewer and	Parikshit Jain
designation	, and the second
Round	2 nd Round, 2 nd Interview
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	I was told at the beginning that I won't have a case. He asked me to
questions (with indicative	ask a few questions that I felt were relevant.
answers if you choose to	I asked him how McKinsey makes the environment conducive for
share them)	knowledge sharing. He gave me a few inputs.
	I told him what I had read about McKinsey's knowledge
	management in a HBS case. He heard it attentively. He then gave
	me an idea about the state of affairs now and particularly in India. I
	asked him about how sharing gets linked to performance
	initiatives. I also asked him how other firms (esp. consulting) do it.
	He then asked me to narrate a few instances about ISB. I told him
	that any education program is incomplete without pranks. I told
	him a few pranks that I had played on a few friends in ISB (and vice
	versa)
Narration of the case	No case
interview (please be as	
descriptive as possible)	
What do you think went	I think I showed that I had done my homework well.
right for you in the in this	
interview?	
What do you think went	Hardly any questions from his side.
wrong in this interview?	
Any tips for the future	Be your natural self and try to gauge the interviewer's body
batches based on this	language when telling a story.
interview experience.	

Ruchi Bansal

Name	Ruchi Bansal
Company Interviewed	Mckinsey
With	Wickinscy
Name of Interviewer and	Oliver Ramsbottam
designation	Associate Partner with the Corporate Finance Practice in the Firm's
	Shanghai Office.
	My take: Likes a lot of detail in the case and makes it very
	calculation intensive. Seeks more than one approach to do all types
	of analysis. Relatively stiff.
Round	First, First interview
(First/Second/Third	Thosy i not litter view
round)	
Personal interview	- Run me through your resume.
questions (with indicative	- Are you comfortable working in teams? What kind of team size
answers if you choose to	have you led?
share them)	- Give me a team work example where you faced a problem and
ĺ	how did you resolve it
	- Why consulting?
Narration of the case	How would you evaluate the first year revenue potential for a new
interview (please be as	investment in the business of leasing cranes for commercial
descriptive as possible)	buildings in Beijing? The interviewer gave a background that
, ,	commercial building activity was coming up at a frantic pace in
	Beijing.
	Clarificatory questions:
	Are there any investment constraints on capital investment?
	What is the investment horizon?
	Structure:
	- Identification of revenue streams
	- Estimation of demand for the identified revenue streams
	- Determining competitive landscape for similar services being
	provided by existing competition and potential competitors
	- Based on the above analysis and specific competence area of the
	Company, determine the market share that the new Company
	could achieve in one year's time.
	·
	Revenue streams:
	- Income from renting/leasing of cranes
	- Regular
	- Overtime
	Income was basically broken up into - Price X Volume. Since the
	price could vary significantly across the regular and overtime
	streams, I identified these discreetly.
	- Ancillary services from the business
	Interviewer asked me to concentrate only on regular income from
	leasing of cranes.

	T 1 (* (* /\tau) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
	Demand estimation (Volume - Number of buildings)			
	The interviewer asked me to identify three approaches in which			
	demand estimation could be done. This was further broken down			
	into new infrastructure and replacement infrastructure. Again,			
	here the interviewer asked me to concentrate only on new			
	infrastructure.			
	Top down approach – Using Macroeconomic factors			
	Growth rate expected in the region \rightarrow Level of infrastructure			
	investment required to sustain expected growth rate → Number			
	of new investments in building for given investment → Supply			
	constraints in terms of area available, number of construction			
	companies, manpower required, capital/credit availability etc.			
	to be accounted for \rightarrow Determine number of buildings that are			
	expected to be constructed in the next one year			
	- Bottom up approach - Using Supply based factors			
	Determine the real estate space available for development \rightarrow			
	Identify area that could be developed in the next one year based			
	on other supply constraints \rightarrow determine the number of			
	buildings that would be developed given average building size			
	(based on historical data and regulatory guideline) in the next			
	one year.			
	- Using analyst data			
	We then decided to crack the numbers using the second approach:			
	The buildings have to be developed in a square real estate space measuring 3 sq. km. (assume end to end coverage)			
	Regulatory requirement – Only 1/3 can be developed			
	Average building size - 150 x 150 sq. m			
	Number of buildings that can be developed:			
	(1000 X 1000)/(150 X 150) = approximately 49 (7 X 7)			
	(1000 / 1000)/ (100 / 100) approximately 42 (7 / 7)			
What do you think went	Not much actually!!			
right for you in the in this	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
interview?				
What do you think went	- Few irrelevant opening questions which the interviewer			
wrong in this interview?	questioned why I was asking?			
	- Adopted a supply side approach rather than a demand driven			
	approach for revenues, initially			
	- Lack of structure to anticipate all parts of the problem			
	- Apparent discomfort with calculations			
Any tips for the future	Since PI + Case appears to be a compensatory model, If you haven't			
batches based on this	done well in one, try and do well in the other if you have the			
interview experience.	chance to.			
	•			

Name	Ruchi Bansal			
Company Interviewed	Mckinsey			
With				
Name of Interviewer and	Tilman Ehrbeck			
designation	Partner in North America office in 2002. He recently transferred to Delhi office to join McKinsey's regional leadership team. My take: Easy to make conversation with. Leaves the problem and case analysis very open ended and appreciated at a more strategic perspective			
Round (First/Second/Third round)	First, Second interview			
Personal interview	- Run me through your resume.			
questions (with indicative	- Why consulting?			
answers if you choose to share them)	 A discussion regarding corporate social responsibility function in organizations (this was borne more out of my interest in the area) 			
Narration of the case	A small hospital chain is looking to expand geographically. What			
interview (please be as descriptive as possible)	would be the decision criteria / metrics that you would look at to prioritize the locations?			
	 Few clarificatory questions: What kind of hospital is this? General or specialist? General What kind of reach does it currently have? One metro Is the amount of investment a constraint? No I structured the problem essentially in two parts: As a financial problem, trying to derive the maximum net present value from investment in each geography/location Revenue: Market potential which would require demand estimation, existing penetration by existing players and opportunities to take share from existing players based on this hospital chain's competencies Costs: Cost involved in entering each geography since these are likely to be different. Strategic decision to pre-empt competition in terms of resources such as location, doctors as well as customers since capacity utilization is one of the major drivers in this business. Analysis of competition, both existing and potential(based on competitive intelligence) There was discussion regarding demand estimation in each location. Population → Income classification → Disease incidence in relevant income bracket (no numbers, just a discussion) We discussed alternatives if disease incidence data is not available. I suggested we could draw benchmarks from similar countries where this data was available or data from developed countries during their developing stage. 			

	Remaining was an open ended discussion. The interviewer then asked me to make an assessment, based on my general knowledge and reading, about the locations I would consider this hospital should foray into.
What do you think went	Kept a strategic perspective, which I gauged from the interviewer's
right for you in the in this	style.
interview?	
What do you think went	Did not make the problem structure MECE - Mutually exclusive
wrong in this interview?	and collectively exhaustive. Also, my judgment about the hospital's
	future course of action was fuzzy. I did not take one view but
	rather presented alternatives.
Any tips for the future	Gauge the interviewer's intent!!
batches based on this	
interview experience.	

Name	Ruchi Bansal			
Company Interviewed With	Mckinsey			
Name of Interviewer and	Rajeev Lochan			
designation	Engagement Manager in Mumbai Office.			
	My take: Easy to make conversation with. Very encouraging			
	throughout the interview. Personal interview seemed to affect the overall judgment and his eagerness to help out in the case.			
	overall judgment and his eagerness to help out in the case. Second, First interview			
Round	Second, First interview			
(First/Second/Third				
round) Personal interview	Circa mana lan dambin arramala?			
questions (with indicative	- Give me a leadership example?			
answers if you choose to	What are the two qualities you value in a leader?Describe a situation of failure in team leadership? What did you			
share them)	learn from it? How would you prevent such a situation from			
	occurring again?			
Narration of the case	Life Insurance Company which has been in India for a few years			
interview (please be as	wants to understand whether there is a real opportunity in this			
descriptive as possible)	business in India and what are the strategic options available to it.			
	G - r - r - r - r - r - r - r - r - r -			
	Structured the problem in the following way:			
	- Determine the market size			
	- Identify the degree of regulation in the industry and its impact			
	- Identify the current levels of penetration & therefore the existing			
	potential Determine the level of existing and notantial competition			
	 Determine the level of existing and potential competition Based on own strengths identify the strategic options as below: 			
	- based on own strengths identify the strategic options as below: - Exit market			
	- Stay in market - to determine whether to operate on scale or			
	niche			
	We proceeded with market sizing:			
	No of houselholds → No of households with required income			
	capacity → Percentage of income saved → percentage of saving			
	used on insurance			
	The interviewer then focused on whether the market opportunity			
	was only as much as the current sizing or whether there was			
	potential to improve the same. We explored this line of thought.			
	Major reason for life insurance policy in India : Savings			
	Then we analysed what uses are the total savings of an Indian			
	household put to:			
	- Deposits			
	- Mutual funds			
	- Real estate			
	- Insurance (12%)			
	- Others			
	Deposits & mutual funds represented a clear opportunity for			
	increasing the market size for insurance. I identified that we could			

	use data from other developing markets to understand the savings behaviour and potential for insurance. Interviewer clarified that in similar economies about 30% saving were being spent on insurance. Calculated the real market opportunity with 30% savings and identified that opportunity exists given the current levels of penetration.
What do you think went	There were a lot of estimates that the interviewer was looking for
right for you in the in this	(no. of households), most of which I had a fair idea of. Persistence
interview?	completely paid off!!
What do you think went	No knowing much about the sector (insurance) worked against me
wrong in this interview?	in this one. I had identified market sizing to be done on the basis of
	individuals, it turned out it works better on the basis of households.
	Further, I should have changed focus to why the company had not
	been doing well so far instead of looking at it as a market entry
	case.
Any tips for the future	Persistence!!!
batches based on this	
interview experience.	

Name	Ruchi Bancal			
Company Interviewed	Ruchi Bansal			
With	Mckinsey			
Name of Interviewer and	Rohit Pathak			
designation	Engagement Manager			
designation				
	My take: Easy to make conversation with. Very encouraging			
David	throughout the interview.			
Round	Second, Second interview			
(First/Second/Third round)				
Personal interview	Days are a thorough array was are			
	- Run me through your resume.			
questions (with indicative	- Why consulting?			
answers if you choose to				
share them)	Cond			
Narration of the case	Case 1			
interview (please be as	The case was relating to the baby food segment (product like			
descriptive as possible)	cerelac) market in India for which the potential was visibly huge			
	since 80-90% of the children in the 1-2 years old segment who this			
	segment serves lacked the nutrient requirement and 40-50% the			
	calorie requirement. The interviewer wanted the following things			
	to be done:			
	 Identify the market potential for this segment 			
	- Delineate the marketing plan for a fast moving consumer goods			
	player (like HLL) to enter this industry			
	Market sizing:			
	Population (1 billion) → Children in the 1-2 years old segment			
	(1.5%) → Identify daily requirement per child (80 gms per day) →			
	Determine pack size to calculate market for number of packs (400			
	gms) → Requirement came to 1.08 million packs of Rs 100 each i.e.			
	Rs 108 billion.			
	I also identified that we would need to identify the future potential			
	going forward as well through the population growth rate and			
	more importantly growth rate in the Children in the 1-2 years old			
	segment due to the favorable demographics in India. A proxy for			
	that could be taken to be the birth rate. (we did not build this into			
	the calculation)			
	are entering			
	The interviewer informed me that the market was served only to			
	the extent of Rs 1 billion.			
	die exert of to 1 billion.			
	Lidentified that the reason was that monthly expenditure required			
	I identified that the reason was that monthly expenditure required			
	was Rs 600 (80 gmsx30 daysxRs 100 per pack/400 gms per pack)			
	whereas the average spending capacity of the parents of these			
	children on this food was Rs 200 per month. Therefore, pricing was			
	a critical barrier.			
	Marketing plan:			
	Build the following non compensatory structure for the marketing			
	plan i.e. the final reach of the product would be a function of the			

following three variables:

% of Aware population X % of population that can afford the product X % of population that is reached by the distribution channel = Market share

I then explored ideas for improvement of each of these:

Increasing awareness: Through the following three initiatives:

- Tying up with Non governmental organizations involved in reaching this segment
- Information dissemination by tying up with the extensive Public health infrastructure (since the Government is likely to have an incentive in such an initiative)
- FMCG's own publicity initiatives such as media, radio etc.

Increasing affordability:

Internal measures: Identified major cost components where cost reduction measures could be taken:

- Raw materials: 30% of cost Using recipes of local product variants with same nutrient and calorie value which utilize lower cost raw materials.
- Manufacturing: 20% of cost
 This cost could be reduced through product innovation
- Taxes & duties: 15% (refer external measures)

External measures: Seeking relief from the government on various tax components in the cost structure. This could shave costs to the extent of 12-15% (since the Government is likely to have an incentive in such an initiative)

Availability: Being a major FMCG player, it was assumed that the Company has a sufficient and capable distribution network. (I had clarified this early in the discussion)

Case 2

Market sizing for a drug used during surgeries in ICU

Determine the number of hospitals that would have ICU \rightarrow Determine the number of ICUs \rightarrow Utilisation % of the ICUs (60%) \rightarrow Proportion of surgeries which require the use of this drug (30%) \rightarrow Average usage per day (Rs 5000 per day)

Number of hospitals & ICU rooms

Determined a tiering based on the number of beds in the hospital:

	Beds	ICU/Hosp.	No.	ICU rooms
Tier 1	> 500 beds	50	10	500
Tier 2	100-500 beds	20	30	600
Tier 3	< 100 beds	1000	2	2000
Total				3,000 approx.

Market size: $3,000 \times 60\% \times 360 \times 30\% \times 5000 = \text{Rs 9 billion}$

What do you think went	Being able to structure the case solution well worked for me here.			
right for you in the in this	The interviewer was particularly encouraging that point forth.			
interview?				
What do you think went	I think I jumped the gun to a solution in the case which I should			
wrong in this interview?	have avoided. However, I later rectified this by putting structure to			
	the solution.			
Any tips for the future	You need to put structure for problem diagnosis as well as case			
batches based on this	solution. Clearly very helpful and puts across a structured thinking			
interview experience.	process all throughout!!			

Name	Ruchi Bansal				
Company Interviewed With	Mckinsey				
Name of Interviewer and	Noshir Kaka				
designation	Principal and the global Practice leader of the Business Process				
	Offshoring and Outsourcing Practice of McKinsey and Company.				
	My take: Enjoyed the general discussion, not very case oriented.				
	Personal impact seemed to be a more critical factor.				
Round	Second, Third interview				
(First/Second/Third					
round)					
Personal interview	- Why consulting?				
questions (with indicative	- Long term goals?				
answers if you choose to	- A general discussion regarding the placement policy at ISB,				
share them)	changes from previous years and its impact on the School going				
Nametian of the seco	forward The Takes Re 100 000 and requires substantial cost as dusting				
Narration of the case interview (please be as	The Tatas Rs 100,000 car requires substantial cost reduction				
descriptive as possible)	measures from the Rs 150,000 Indica materials cost. How will you go about analyzing the cost reduction plan?				
descriptive as possible)	go about analyzing the cost reduction plan:				
	Structure:				
	Identify the various cost components and proportion of costs				
	they represented.				
	 Determine cost components which are avoidable due to fewer 				
	facilities/accessories that are likely to be provided than in the				
	Indica. Identify cost reduction from this.				
	- Explored cost reduction possibilities for all materials				
	components based on 80/20 rule				
	1				
	We discussed the following options for each component (engine,				
	axle& gear, auto components & plastic body parts):				
	- Aggregation benefits due to larger scale at which these cars will				
	be manufactured. The interviewer mentioned these had already				
	been exhausted to the maximum possible.				
	- Explored whether each of the components were manufactured				
	internally or externally. Wherever possible, identified cost				
	differentials between internal and external manufacture. The				
	interviewer informed me that there were significant cost				
	differential in in-house and third party manufacture in case of				
	axles & gear, in-house production cost being significantly lower.				
	Total terminals disconsists to 1 211 C				
	I tried to veer the discussion towards possible reasons for cost				
	differential, however, the interviewer asked me to consider				
	corrective action for this problem.				
	We then discussed following two compactive actions:				
	We then discussed following two corrective actions:				
	 All axle & gear production could be transferred in-house. However, I identified that this was already running to capacity. 				
	Therefore, this was considered as a long term option and				
	identified that significant investment would be required to				
	consider this option.				
	consider this option.				

	- Work with the suppliers to transfer best practices from in-house production to the supplier to reduce his cost of production.				
	Interviewer terminated the case discussion at this point saying that we had figured out the general format in which to proceed which was sufficient.				
What do you think went	- Cracked the case in no time (finally!!)				
right for you in the in this	Discussion regarding the placement policy at ISB really perked				
interview?	things up since this was the last interview for him at the end of a				
	long day. Since Noshir was involved in ISB policy making, this				
	topic brought things into a discussion mode.				
What do you think went	Not much. Just that it was not the best time to interview either for				
wrong in this interview?	me (9th for the day!) or for him (probably Nth!), visibly so.				
Any tips for the future	Gauge the interviewer's mood and try to change course/track				
batches based on this	accordingly.				
interview experience.					

Name	Ruchi Bansal				
Company Interviewed	Mckinsey				
With	,				
Name of Interviewer and	Nigel Andrade				
designation	Nigel Andrade is an Engagement Manager in the Mumbai office of				
	McKinsey & Company.				
Round	Third, First interview				
(First/Second/Third					
round)					
Personal interview	Clarified to me the obj		being to test my		
questions (with indicative	quantitative skills. Qu				
answers if you choose to	- Run me through	your resume.			
share them)	- Why consulting?				
		things you would look	out for while making		
N. C.		se your employer?	C 11		
Narration of the case	Cheque processing fac				
interview (please be as descriptive as possible)	Receive cheques at bra	-			
descriptive as possible)	processing centre and				
	details in the system for	-	O		
	RBI now requires scan		-		
	physical copies. There	e are two options availa	ible to meet this		
	requirement:	o choques at branches	scan at branches sand		
	- Option A : Receive cheques at branches, scan at branches, send				
	scanned images to the processing centre as and when they were scanned. Processing centre would enter all details as				
	earlier and forward the images to RBI clearing house by 4 p.m.				
	 Option B: Receive cheques at branches, forward physical 				
	cheques to the processing centre by 10 a.m. PC would scan as				
	well as enter all cheque details and forward the images to RBI				
	clearing house by 4 p.m.				
	How will you evaluate which option to choose from?				
	, in the second				
	First up, I clarified cheques from how many locations were being				
	aggregated at the proc				
	there were 150 branche	es.			
	I discussed with the in	terviewer that I would	like to analyse the		
	problem along two dir				
	- Time taken under	_			
	- Incremental cost to be incurred under the two options				
		. 1 1 11	1		
	The interviewer sugge		•		
	under the two options. The interviewer asked me to identify the				
	various cost heads that may be involved in the two scenarios which				
	we zeroed down as follows:				
	Camping	Option A	Option B		
	Scanning	Rs 5,000 per	Rs 50,000 for a		
	equipment	machine (150 machines)	single high processing ability		
		macimies)	machine		
			шасине		

		T	1
	Courier cost of	Rs 10 per day per	-
	cheques	branch for 300	
		working days	
	Cost of additional	Rs 3,000 per branch	-
	bandwidth	, 1	
	Cost of manpower	0.5 persons per	0.3 persons per
	allocated to the task	branch.	branch.
		Cost of personnel:	Cost of personnel:
		Rs 300,000 p.a.	Rs 300,000 p.a.
		110 000,000 p.m.	1.0 000,000 p.m.
	After doing a costing a	inalveie under both the	ontions I concluded
	that taking only costs i	•	-
	0 5	ino account, Option b	would be more cost
	efficient.		
	The interviewer asked me to make an assessment of which of the		
	above two options I would take. Here I brought in some operations		
	perspective on aggregation of scanning process at the PC.		
What do you think went	I was not uncomfortable about the fact that I was being tested for a		
right for you in the in this	particular skill. In fact	, I acknowledged that I	I expected to be tested
interview?	on this.		
	In the case, there were clearly two parts:		
	- Quantitative cost benefit analysis		
	- Qualitative analysis		
	As per bare facts given by the interviewer I could have left the case		
	at a quantitative analysis stage. Ability to bring in some operations		
	perspective in the qualitative analysis was what clicked.		
What do you think went	I had made an initial assessment that the analysis should be done in		
wrong in this interview?			-
	terms of incremental cost per cheque, which had a redundant step since the number of cheques under both options were same.		
Any tips for the future	Genuine inclination to want to solve the problem has to come		
batches based on this	across. Most of the time, number of questions you ask and how		
	- ,		
interview experience.	persistently you are at it reflects that. So keep at it!!		

Name	Ruchi Bansal
Company Interviewed	Mckinsey
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Samba Natrajan
designation	Partner
Round	Third, Second interview
(First/Second/Third	
round) Personal interview	T - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
questions (with indicative	Leadership exmaples Diographic about and approximately ap
answers if you choose to	Discussion about grade non disclosure policy (he grilled me on this a bit, given I supported grade non disclosure
share them)	even though I was a grader(supposedly!))
Narration of the case	This one really rattled meespecially at that stage of the process.
interview (please be as	Very intense case interview.
descriptive as possible)	Client is a Financial planning & management software company
,	with the following revenue streams
	Products:
	Large enterprises – highly customized, large business
	customers, direct sales force used
	- SMEs - industry specific package- sold through dealers/
	distributors
	- Individual customers through regular off the shelf retail
	channels.
	Services: IT consulting – maintenance & support
	Question 1: Declining revenue and profitability. What kind of data analysis would you perform in order to identify the source of decline?
	a. Products vs. Service
	b. Along large, SME, Individual customer base under products
	c. Geographical segments
	d. Sales force effectiveness analysis
	e. Industry segment wise analysis
	f. Whether we are losing old customers or not getting new
	customers
	Question 2 Assume Enterprise segment is losing revenue. What hypothesis can you generateBroke these down into two parts: Internal causes:
	Product based + People based (developers, salesmen)
	a. Lack of scalability of the product
	b. Perceived quality of product/service not optimum
	 c. Sales force incentives not appropriate d. Lack of ability to technical staff to understand customer requirements.
	External causes: Explored these on the following three lines Competition + Customers + Context
	a. Change in requirement of consumers/obsolescence of product

	,
	b. Competitor actions on pricing
	c. Changes in customer industry regulation
	He asked me to come up with atleast 15 reasons, don't know how many I came up with, but I tried to think along this structured fashion.
	Question 3: Suppose you find out that the sales force has been spending more time selling simpler product to SME than attend larger customersenterprise product needs greater effort. what would you do?
	Identified the indifference point for the sales men between the two incentive structures and accordingly change incentive structure
	Did the calculation and then he asked me for other ways in which it could be implemented other than through change in incentives.
What do you think went	The persisted with the interview through it sure didn't look like it
right for you in the in this	was going too great. Kept thinking aloud while the interviewer
interview?	continued to push me!!
What do you think went wrong in this interview?	The fact that I continued to think at a relatively strategic level whereas the interviewer required me to think at ground level. Thankfully I clarified this in the middle of the interview.
	Personal interview was good, grade non disclosure sparked off a good discussion which I thought clicked well with the interviewer
Any tips for the future	Think aloud whenever hypothesis driven interviews are posed at
batches based on this	youand persistence pays!!
interview experience.	

Gaurav Balhara

Name Gaurav Balhara Company Interviewed With Name of Interviewer and designation Round (First/Second/Third Gaurav Balhara Mckinsey Mckinsey Mrkinsey First Sankhe (Partner) First Round	nic
With Name of Interviewer and designation Round (First/Second/Third Dr. Shirish Sankhe (Partner) First Round	nic
Name of Interviewer and designation Round First/Second/Third Dr. Shirish Sankhe (Partner) First Round	nic
designation Round First Round (First/Second/Third	mic
(First/Second/Third	mic
	nic
cound)	nic
Personal interview 1. Biggest Academic achievement (rank 3) and why ac	.1110
questions (with indicative performance was not good at IIT	
answers if you choose to 2. Views on rolling placement process versus one week placement	acement
share them) process	
3. Leadership instance outside work (talked about Vice car	ptain
IIT D badminton team)	
4. Why I joined Evalueserve?	
Narration of the case	Can this
nterview (please be as task be achieved?	
descriptive as possible) <u>Initial clarification</u>	
Asked if there are any resource constraints and in what time	
have to achieve this task. He simplified by saying time is no	
consideration and resources are limited and hence part of pr	roblem
was to raise resources.	
Case Structure/ Discussion	
I made the following structure:	
1. Resources	
a. Public	
b. Private partnership	
c. Special purpose vehicles	
2. Current level of rural electrification	. 1
a. Segregate states based on low density and h	ugn
density	
3. Regulatory constraints	20.00
a. Role of government. To be a regulator or als implementor	50 an
4. Political costs in changing laws	
a. Eg Will Left parties support privatization	
Based on the above I suggested we will evaluate whether	
centralized or a decentralized model should be followed	1.
He was happy but then said he was more interested in	
evaluating ground realities i.e. So I said, there are three	parts to
electrification.	1
Increasing generation through	
o Thermal	
o Hydel	
 Alternate sources 	
I said will concentrate on thermal as India	as huge
coal reserves they can be used more efficient	

	2. Transmission
	o Who will lay down poles and cables. How will
	players be compensated
	3. Collection:
	 Who takes responsibility? Private player or
	government.
	 Tariff structures. Who will decide private
	player or government
	I completely missed that collections in India are low due
	to corruption.
	After the interview, I asked him whether this target is
	achievable. His take was that it is very difficult and Mckinsey is
	still evaluating various approaches. Hence this case is not
	supposed to have one answer.
What do you think went	Listening carefully to interviewer and expanding on his points.
right for you in the in this	Following a down to earth approach as he was more interested in
interview?	discussing ground realities (e.g. if capacity needs to be increased,
	then which states should get power generation projects. What
	should be the evaluation criterion?)
What do you think went	I had a very nervous start as this was my first interview. I could
wrong in this interview?	have done much better in PI questions.
Any tips for the future	In middle of my case, he told me to set aside my structure and just
batches based on this	have a discussion. This was unexpected but not necessarily a bad
interview experience.	thing. So do not get fazed. Also show by discussing policy
	implications (such as reaction of left parties to privatization), you
	can bring a wow moment in your case.
	Apply a layer of commonsense in your discussion.

Name	Gaurav Balhara
Company Interviewed	Mckinsey
With	, and the second
Name of Interviewer and	Noshir Kaka (Partner)
designation	,
Round	First Round (second interview)
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	I had a 20 minute PI as Noshir knew CEO of Evalueserve (my last
questions (with indicative	company). So there were a lot of questions around Evalueserve.
answers if you choose to	5. Leadership example that you are proud of.
share them)	6. Why did Marc (CEO) open EVS?
	7. What are the main challenges for EVS now?
	8. Had discussion around development of ISB (Noshir was
	involved in writing the business plan of ISB). How it has
	emerged and whether it has measured to his expectations.
	Many of these questions can be asked to people who have worked
	in startups/ growing organizations (EVS was 70 people strong
	when I joined them and within 2.5 years the employee strength was
	600). So the challenges of a small vs. big, fledgling vs. growing
	organization are very diff. Some points I gave were (I do not know
	if it helps but you will get a flavor of some answers in this regard):
	1. Challenges facing EVS today:
	a. Increasing face to face communication between top
	management and new employees (I was fortunate
	enough to work with Marc but new employees do
	not get this chance and this has contributed to
	increase in employee turnover)
	b. Increasing interaction between sales executives and
	teams (majority of sales executives had not even visited EVS offices and hence did not understand
	pressures of people working on projects) c. Recruitment (EVS recruitment quality had dipped
	c. Recruitment (EVS recruitment quality had dipped as now team leads/ AVPs who were not competent
	enough did this task. I admitted that I was
	conducting interviews and I thought that I needed
	2-3 years of mentoring to be really effective)
	Note that none of the above mentioned are really big points but they are
	original ones. Hence be honest, think hard about your experience and do
	not be shy to mention even seemingly small things as independent thought
	is what really matters.
	I also took these questions as small caselets Interviewers sometimes
	will not mention that they are starting the case. In such situations
	do the following:
	1. Keep it at the back of your mind a situation like this can
	arise (could be a discussion around placement process at
	ISB or any other topic under the sun)
	2. Be structured in your communication. Again one mistake
	people do is that they apply structure only during cases. It
	is important to apply structure during PI as it makes the
	communication lucid, increases impact and makes it easier
	The state of the s

	for the interviewer to understand you.
	for the interviewer to understand you.
Narration of the case	A very small case (10 minutes)
interview (please be as descriptive as possible)	Tata Motors wants to build the 1 lakh car. Advise them how to do it?
	Initial clarifications: 1. Current cost structure: a. Engine: 20% b. Chassis, Gear box etc: 25% c. Body: 25% d. Tires, glass etc: 30% 2. Time frame to achieve this task: He said time is not a factor as this is a really difficult thing and Ratan Tata would be happy if this can be achieved Hypothesis: I said that there was no one dominant head on which I should concentrate and hence we should do the following: 1. Explore generic ways to reduce cost 2. Then arrive at decision criterion to apply a method to a particular cost component.
	 Generic ways to reduce cost: a. Reduce price (Economies of scale and scope) b. Reduce Volume of material to be consumed (with price being constant) c. Redesign (say the engine as requirement for a low income person are different. For eg. He might just be happy with a 600 cc engine vs a 1200 cc engine) d. Use substitutes (say plastic/ polymers rather than metal) Decision criterion to apply a particular method to a particular cost component a. Degree of tech involvement (more suitable for engines and hence this really suggests that we should redesign the engine and may be use some substitutes)
	b. Degree of commoditization (more suitable for body and can also use substitutes) Here I concluded that multiple methods could be applied to a cost component and hence this makes our task that much harder. Here he stopped me and said he was happy with the analysis
What do you think went	1. Very good discussion on my work experience. This is where I
right for you in the in this interview?	really got his attention. 2. Saying original points and saying them confidently. I guess my belief in EVS business model and passion was what really impressed him
	3. Case: Formulating the right hypothesis. I knew form his body
	language that I was on the right path
What do you think went	Nothing really. Probably my best interview on the day.
wrong in this interview?	
Any tips for the future	1. Case: I had initially said that based on a criterion, I will apply

batches based on this interview experience.

Name	Gaurav Balhara
Company Interviewed	Mckinsey
With	·
Name of Interviewer and	Katrien Zondag (Recruitment officer - BTO Asia Pacific)
designation	She was also a consultant in Europe.
Round	Second Round (first interview)
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	9. In this round, I did not have much PI. It was a discussion on
questions (with	Offshoring and Outsourcing (O&O) / BTO practice as Katrien is
indicative answers if you	involved with this practice.
choose to share them)	10. Again she did not ask any questions but was giving me a lot of
	gyan on O&O, types of projects, how they add value to clients
	(gave me a couple of examples) and opportunities
NI C CO	
Narration of the case	Client is a meat manufacturer operating in Europe. Their profits are
interview (please be as descriptive as possible)	falling for the last two years.
descriptive as possible)	Initial clarification
	Company has two products a. Hams
	b. Sausages
	2. Only 3 players in the market. Client has 40% market share and
	other two players have 30% each. Clients market share has been
	falling (indicating that it is a revenue problem)
	3. She also said that market size is not growing substantially
	Case Structure/ Discussion
	1. Revenues
	a. Product mix
	i. The process to manufacture hams and sausages
	is very similar. Sausages are made from scarps
	left over from hams.
	b. Price * Quantity (explained in the sections below)
	2. Costs
	a. Fixed
	i. Allocation of overheads such as CEO salary
	ii. Asked how fixed costs can be allocated. I said based on
	1. Revenues
	2. Volume
	3. Number of employees in a division
	b. Variable
	i. Raw materials (she said there was no scope to
	reduce this)
	3. Customers
	a. Drivers of purchase
	i. Only price.
	ii. Quality of product is not a differentiator.
	Retailers were not ready to pay more for better
	quality products
	iii. No Value added service can give any
	competitive advantage

- iv. I concluded that this was a commodity product in a stable market
- b. Customers are retailers who buy the meat, and sell it as their private label product
- 4. Competition
 - a. No new product was introduced in the past
 - b. No new channels were entered by competition
 - c. Competition had reduced the price of sausages by only \$0.01. The customers (retail outlets) were so sensitive to price, that they were switching to competitor products (to both hams and sausages. So a wrong pricing decision in sausages had let to not only decrease in sales of sausages, but also affected sales of hams as consumers, in this case retailers, preferred to buy from one supplier to reduce their transaction costs.

The client did not also decrease the prices as they did cost plus pricing in the following manner:

1. Price = Fixed cost + Variable cost + Margin She gave the following information:

% of total revenues

Hams Sausages

Current	2 years ago
80%	70%
20%	30%

	Fixed	Variable	Margin		Price
Hams	0.8	3.2	0.2	=	4.2
Sausages	0.6	0.4	0	II	1

Competition decreased price of Sausages by \$0.01

Allocation of fixed costs (salaries was the main component) was done based on revenues by products.

The flaws in this method are

- 1. Fixed costs should not be a part of pricing decision (Basic managerial accounting funda)
- 2. With this method, their margin on sausages is 0. Hence they can not reduce prices according to this method.

	Variable	Margin
Hams	3.2	1
Sausages	0.4	0.6

Margin (in %)	
31%	=
150%	=

		Price
,	Ш	4.2
	=	1

I said if you exclude the fixed costs, then in % terms, sausages have a much higher margin. So there is a lot of scope in decreasing price. *Recommendations*

- 1. Exclude Fixed costs from pricing decisions
- 2. Reduce prices in sausages
- 3. As process to manufacture both products is same, make one person in charge of both products. This will ensure that pricing decisions are made in a rational manner. The whole problem cropped up as fixed costs had to be allocated between 2 products

	and there were 2 line managers for the two products.
	After completing the case, she said there were many other aspects to this problem. These were: 1. The client was operating in a small European country and
	had 4 plants. So closing down some of the plants was an option. (had enquired about this but she said that we should neglect it for the time being)
	2. They could also look at entering foreign markets in Europe to boost sales (I had enquired about this)
	3. Also, the sausage process was not efficient. So to improve it they could benchmark it to their ham operation.
	Apparently the ham process was very efficient (she did not
	give reasons for why it was more efficient, probably because it accounted for 80% of revenues and hence
	received more attention). This was new insight to me as one always benchmarks a process to competitors, or to
	processes of similar products etc. But internal
	benchmarking was new to me. 4. This was a family owned business and not a listed
	company. Hence there were a lot of cultural issues which they had to resolve in terms of best management practices,
	mindset of the employees etc.
What do you think went	This finally turned out to be an accounting case and deriving a worn
right for you in the in	pricing decision, its implications from an accounting point of view
this interview?	and also the incentive of line managers were not aligned with the
	overall strategy. The key was to ask the right questions and listen
	carefully to what the interviewer was saying. Also she wanted me to
	interpret the data (even though it was simple data) and derive
	business implications.
What do you think went	A couple of times I jumped the gun. I directly started giving
wrong in this interview?	business implications with out completely analyzing the data (I
	used to do this during practice also). It was also because the data
	was simple. But consciously try to curb this even on simple
	assumptions ad the method by which you arrive at a solution is
	really important and you are evaluated on the process of the case discussion.
Any tips for the future	Just listen to what the interviewer says. She clearly wanted me to go
batches based on this	to accounting and she dropped enough hints during the initial
interview experience.	stages of the interview.
1	
	Also, even though she was from BTO practice, she gave me a
	commodity product case. So the background of interviewer/
	interviewee really does not matter. What they are looking for is the
	ability to analyze a problem from the case facts.

Name	Gaurav Balhara				
Company	Mckinsey				
Interviewed With					
Name of	Nigel Endrade (AP)				
Interviewer and		,			
designation					
Round	Second Round				
(First/Second/Thir					
d round)					
Personal interview	11. Why Mckin	sey?			
questions (with	12. Any questic	ons regarding	consulting and choi	ice of companies in the	
indicative answers	consulting s	space?			
if you choose to	Again I had no	PI questions	and this was a short	interview.	
share them)					
Narration of the				in Delhi and they have	
case interview		-	red by the client to d	iagnose the situation and	
(please be as	turn around th	e company.			
descriptive as					
possible)				astic approach was required	
	and the client ha	d completely g	got the strategy wrong.		
	T 1 1				
	Initial clarificat			1.1	
		_	-	I they enter the IT space?	
		•	usiness and hence th	ere is lack of best	
		nagement pra		1	
	b. They entered the IT space as it offered significant growth				
	_	potential (diversification). They launched this business in 2000.			
	2. What are their products/ services?				
	a. Pro	a. Products			
			are (10-20% revenues	•	
	ii. Knowledge management (20% revenues)				
	b. Ser		ian Darralannant an	A Maintenana (ADM)	
			-	nd Maintenance (ADM)	
	At this point I s	,	% revenues)	comomic duirrous of IT	
	-			conomic drivers of IT	
	explain the follo		oduct segments and	ADM. He took time to	
	explain the folic	Healthcar	Knowledge		
			O	ADM	
	Share of	е	Management	ADM	
	revenues	10-20%	20%	60%-70%	
	levenues			00 /6-70 /6	
	Market	Small market	Small market	Matura atabla marilat	
	Market	market	but growing	Mature, stable market	
		Many		Large players with	
	Competition	players	Less players	established track record	
	Margins	Huge	Huge	Low	
	Drivers of	Not	Customer needs	Established track	
	purchase	known	to be educated	record	
	I concluded tha	at			

Healthcare business should be shut down, as it is a small market with a lot of players and there is no differentiation in products. This was despite the fact that this business had high margins. He said that margins are going down due to competition and the product becoming a commodity. 2. KM is a real option. Company should retain this and put money in taking customers up the learning curve. The parent is a conglomerate and resources should not be a problem. 3. ADM: The client needs to develop a track record. This can be done by doing free pilots for clients, leverage contacts from other business to sell services. (In the feedback after the case, he said the best thing was to sell services to the existing business within the conglomerate. The Client tried this but the other division rejected them on quality concerns) He then wanted me to explore how only services business could be expanded. He said services can be sold on Specialization of a service which can be sold across verticals (e.g. desktop migration) 2. Verticalization (e.g. some mercury simulation service for auto industry) Specialization High Verticalization Low margin was happy with this matrix. He In the feedback he said that my analysis was good but there was one thing I had missed. Instead of completely shutting down healthcare services, it could have been used to set up captive centers for companies and this could be spun off as an advisory service in offshoring practices. What do you think Good analysis, and ability to analyze a problem of an industry with which I went right for you was not comfortable. (I had mentioned explicitly to Nigel that I did not in the in this have a good understanding IT industry). interview? Also kept the interview conversational which was a good thing. What do you think No big blunders in this interview. went wrong in this interview? Any tips for the Nigel likes aggressive approach in the case. So do not hedge you answers. future batches Once I got the crux of the case, he was very helpful and in fact led me to based on this different aspects of the case. interview experience.

Amandeep Bedi

Name	Amandeep Bedi
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	-
Name of Interviewer and	Mr. Nigel Andrade
designation	
Round	R1
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	Recount any Leadership Experience – resume based
questions (with indicative	2. Questions on Grades etc
answers if you choose to	3. Why ISB?
share them)	
Narration of the case	Client is a PSU Bank. Currently the account opening process takes
interview (please be as	between 60 minutes- starting from the time he comes to clerk
descriptive as possible)	counter to the time customer leaves with Passbook, Cheque-book
	and account number. How will you cut it down to < 10 minutes
	and still deliver all the 3. Drew a complicated process map.
	Paris facts sincer at start of sace.
	Basic facts given at start of case:
	Current process (60 minutes): The clerk interacts with customer to
	provide him the account opening form, does the first round
	scrutiny, does the KYC, sends form to officer for approval, officer
	approves, clerk opens account & creates account number &
	passbook, sends to officer to approval, officer approves, clerk
	creates the cheque book, sends to officer to approval, officer
	approves. Clerk hands over the Account Number, Pass book and
	cheque book to customer.
	Facts shared (shared by Nigel only if you ask)
	• Customer is issued form and he submits a filled up form to clerk
	= 15 minutes
	• Scrutiny of form = 10 minutes
	• KYC takes 5 minutes
	• Each approval loop with officer takes – 10 minutes (3 loops=
	30mins)
	Approval cannot be done by Clerk as per union rules
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Key Issues:
	Methodologies recommended
	a. Break down the process into various smaller steps. Do a time-
	work-motion study to understand the component steps. Then do
	a pareto (80/20) and attach the areas which consume the most
	time.
	b. Break down the process into various smaller steps. Classify each
	step as
	1. Adds Value to Customer from a/c opening perspective
	2. Non Value Add – can be minimized, not eliminated
	3. Non Value Add – can be eliminated.

	By experience, 80% of the time is Non Value add (2&3). Do a Pareto within that and work out the various ways of reducing time.
	 I had recommended an Internet based system where major customer information and checks would happen online and only a mandatory KYC (Know Your Customer) process would take place onsite. McKinsey had (a) Combined the jobs of the clericals and officers (b) Used Pre-printed blank welcome kits with cheque books, pass books & account numbers. (c) Only KYC was done onsite (RBI regulations)account names were incorporated subject to KYC confirmation.
	 Ramifications Banking Unions (PSU): How will they react to job rationalisation/ eliminating clerical roles? If you combine the roles (clerk & officer) and make the officer do itwont your salary bill increasesince the initial clerk to officer ratio (5:1) is now (0:5). What emerged is that in most PSUs, experienced clerks earn similar or more to officers. Hence not much of wage impact.
What do you think went right for you in the in this interview?	 Positive frame of mind. Hit on the few key time reduction areas immediately. (Suggested that account opening forms be made available in the open, so customer does not need to come to countersaves 15 minutes in 1 block!!) Defined 2 generic approaches to solve the problem. Had a great discussion after case analysis. Was able to link it up with my work experience.
What do you think went wrong in this interview?	Need for a better structure. Should have put my thoughts together better. Possibly nervousness of R1. This was observed by Nigel, but I think he cognized for it.
Any tips for the future batches based on this interview experience.	Stay Confident & Cool Take control of the Case discussion. If the interviewer presents information in a user-unfriendly or non standard manner, ask him to do it in the way you want to or ask him to help you out in mapping the information in your framework. (Told by Nigel himself)

Name	Amandeep Bedi
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Dr. Shirish Sankhe
designation	
Round	R1
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	1. Why Isb?
questions (with indicative	2. Examples of Leadership experience? Your role in it?
answers if you choose to	3. What is your leadership style – said a few things and also
share them)	mentioned that I was learning.
N. C.	4. Resume based : what is lean manufacturing?
Narration of the case	Client is a no 1 boiler manufacturer in India. His profit margins are
interview (please be as	at 11% while industry expectations are at 15%. Help him out in
descriptive as possible)	reaching this target.
	Tried to evaluate product mix, profitability etc Client makes a
	wide span of products. But interviewer was not interested in
	specifics. Wanted me to identify the key cost & revenue drivers
	Costs
	 Are we sourcing Raw material effectively & cheaply? Can we go to china etc?
	2. Can we re-evaluate Make vs Buy option for all products in
	the Bill of Material.
	3. Are our products over- designed? Do they consume more
	raw material than competition.
	4. Do we have higher fixed costs- new investments, labour<>
	variable costs?
	5. Do we have economies of scale for all products, parts etc?
	Was told to identify sources of revenue to increase margins
	1. Change product mix
	2. Evaluate newer- more profitable markets
	3. Brand your own products (the client is a manufacturer for
	others, not seller) \rightarrow refer to brand and market creation
	costs.
	4. Service Business - Repair, Spares & Consumables (Missed
	this out completely- was finally hinted by interviewer)
	Conclusion: Services were neglected by client. Potential revenue
	source. Client has a large installed base of customers. So he can do
	this business better, build service model and capabilities.
	Options
	1. Sell Maintenance contracts with boilers
	2. target installed base of boilers
	I had added that he can widen his base by targeting all bronds of
	I had added that he can widen his base by targeting all brands of boilers (assuming differences were not significant). Service is a high
	margin business since operations at units are hampered leading to

	production losses in the event of boiler failure.	
What do you think went	Identified multiple revenue options & cost cutting options (except	
right for you in the in this	the one he was looking for). Built well on information/ hint he	
interview?	provided on service. Was able to expand scope of service.	
What do you think went	Missed out the Service aspect of the business, which was a key	
wrong in this interview?	revenue source neglected by the company.	
Any tips for the future	Stay Confident & Cool even if you miss the above fact. Move on	
batches based on this	and build on it. Relate the case or elements of it with work and	
interview experience.	discuss it.	
	Show Energy during the interview. !!	

Name	Amandeep Bedi
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	riciniiocy
Name of Interviewer and	Noshir Kaka
	INUSIIII NAKA
designation	DO4
Round	R21
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	1. Example of Leadership experience.
questions (with indicative	
answers if you choose to	
share them)	
Narration of the case	<u>Case 1</u>
interview (please be as	Client is a music company (RPG-Saregama). It was not making
descriptive as possible)	money.
	Revenue side- affected by Music piracy and music channels. They
	affected price and sales negatively
	Cost Side - Producers still sold music rights at higher prices. Since
	music producers are few- their consolidation hurt the music
	industry bad.
	Additional facts : All big banners like Yashraj films etc end up
	making losses for the company due to high music right costs.
	Typical successes / margins have been in low (B/C segments with
	films like Murder etc)
	inins like white etc)
	<u>Analysis</u>
	Structured case as Customers, Suppliers and Competition.
	Option1 - Increase revenues While analyzing customers- not much information available- no
	segments→ based on discussion, generated multiple sources of
	revenues for music industry
	a. CD/Cassettes
	b. Radio / TV rights – do not provide full song clips to
	channels. Only teasers. If song is a hit, channels would ask
	for it and thus can pay for it.
	c. Internet- Streaming rights
	d. I-pod type devices
	e. Ring tones
	Told to explore the cost options in details.
	Option2 - Decrease costs
	Me :Proposed Risk sharing / royalty based mechanisms.
	NK : All the big banners do not agree to it
	Me : But we can get the smaller producers to agree (NK agreed
	that it can be explored)
	NK: Why do these companies deal with big producers when they
	make losses? What is important in the buyer industry structure
	After some discussionsarrive at the structure – Big producers (A
L	2.5 producers (11

	type) and Small Producers (B&C types)
	type, and omain i foducers (bac types)
	Possible reasons I could come up with include-
	Me: Possible reasons can include a. Long term relationship in the industry b. A large number of smaller successes subsidizing the losses from larger ones c. Association of music companies with big producers offers them clout in the industry to deal with smaller producersthey can thus negotiate better deals with B&C type producers. NK: Yesthe big banners are like loss leaders they help music companies in getting better deals from smaller producers. And also, the industry is very relationship drivensome of the deals are purely based on established long working relationships.
	Case 2: Your client is Tata Motors. The Direct material cost of Tata Indica is Rs 150,000. The basic design is same for the 1 lakh car. How can you bring the cost down to Rs 75000outline the basic levers
	Structured it into 4 areas a. Rationalise the vendor base to get economies of scale and scope. b. Rationalise parts across platforms of vehicles to get further economies c. Rationalise design – Certain parts might be over designed or inefficiently designed leading to increased costs on account of excess materials or additional processing (labour, overhead etc) d. Evaluate every part from a Make or Buy perspective. This would enable focus on core areas and help gain cost advantage in sourcing items like Tyres, O Rings, Nuts-Bolts etc.
	After that he wanted to do a numbers/ quant check on meso the example was extended to calculate certain % values.
What do you think went right for you in the in this interview?	Did cases pretty decently. Was able to structure case 2 pretty decently (in my opinion !!)
What do you think went wrong in this interview?	Could have structured the RPG case better. Was progressing through the case with a very fixed frameshould have prioritised areas of discussion with Noshir. Spent too much time discussing Revenue sources- useless from case perspective.
Any tips for the future batches based on this interview experience.	 Prioritise issues for discussions with the interviewer. Be prepared for anything!! This round had 2 cases, no PI (1 q only)

Name	Amandeep Bedi
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Parikshit Jain
designation	
Round	R22
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	5. Why Isb?
questions (with indicative	6. Examples of Leadership experience? Your role in it?
answers if you choose to	7. What is your leadership style - said a few things and also
share them)	mentioned that I was learning.
	8. Resume based: what is lean manufacturing?
	9. Explain a particular point on the resume? Impact of what I
	did.
	10. Grades: Explain them
	11. Leadership experience from IIT. Was I proud of my
	performance at IITvis a vis ISB?
	12.
Narration of the case	NO CASE!!
interview (please be as	
descriptive as possible)	
What do you think went	
right for you in the in this	
interview?	
What do you think went	
wrong in this interview?	
Any tips for the future	
batches based on this	
interview experience.	

Name	Amandeep Bedi
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Oliver Ramsbottom , Associate Principal, Shanghai Office
designation	1
Round	R23
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview questions (with indicative answers if you choose to	2. What are your Strengths?3. What motivates you?
share them)	
Narration of the case	Case
interview (please be as descriptive as possible)	Client is a confectionary manufacturer making chocolate bars. In the 1980's it had a mfg base in UK. However, in early 90s it set up another facility in France. During mid 90's the business faced decline in revenues and profitability. What could be the reasons / hypothesis and what would you recommend?
	 Enquired about the basic details a. Product mix – single chocolate bar b. Supply- demand check → Demand has been declining. No problems in supply side.
	Discussed the Revenue- Cost equation. Revenue can go down by the following a. Competition introducing newer/ better/cheaper products b. Changing customer behavior- more health conscious people/ availability of substitutes/ imports etc c. Any changes done to product flavour/ taste etcwhich can turn off customers.
	Cost: Discussed the standard Fixed and Variable costs. Was asked to break it down further. Variable – Raw Materials, Distribution etc Fixed – Depreciation, Capacity Utilisation etc
	 <u>a. Fixed Costs</u> – New plant – higher depreciation costs. + Degree of capacity utilisation (this was reason 1) UK – mfg capacity = 15 mn units / year Actual production = 700,000 units per month approx 55% capacity utilisation
	France – mfg capacity = 20 mn units / year Actual production = 650,000 units per month approx 39% capacity utilisation
	These numbers were generally thrown around to evaluate my comfort with numbers.

Interviewer himself jumps to part (b)

b.Variable Costs

Fact 1 Raw material costs are 25% of Sale price vs 20% for competition

- 1/ Asked Oliver on the supplier base (local, import & no of suppliers) and amounts sourced. Decreased revenues could have decreased our sourcing economies. OK with this...wanted more reasons....
- 2/ Checked if both were importing same quality of material. We could be using better quality products compared to competition.
- 3/ Checked if we hedged these items while procuring...(Key Point
- 2) Competition was obviously doing this better and saving costs.

 $\it Fact$ 2 Our Distribution costs are 15% of sale price vs competition 10%

Key differentiators could be...

We have our own trucks .. competition uses 3rd party suppliers

- 1. More costs on account of people, fixed costs of trucks + empty trucks coming back (Low utilisation)
- 2. We send part loads...competition does not worry since his transporters can optimize on truck load thru other customers.

c. General calculations

Another round of quant check. Thrown some numbers to calculate cost per unit of the 2 factories (UK & France) $\,$

Each unit has 200 employees x 40 hrs/week x 50 wks /yr

UK wages = \$15/ hour

France Wages = \$ 20 / hour

After this told to calculate profitability of each unit at both locations given Raw material = 25% of sale price (\$ 2/unit).

Total numbers check

Recommendations

- Rationalise the production quantities at each unit would depend on the overall inward and outward transportation costs also..apart from capacity utilisation. (Excess transport costs in serving new markets would need to be checked for)
- 2. Use excess capacity for producing 3rd party chocolates. Also evaluate if factory can be re-tooled / flexible to manufacture other products apart from chocolate.
- 3. Shut down 1 plant.
 - a. See how efficient it would be operationally. No backup/ excess capacity would then be available as a strategic/ contingency resource.
 - b. Also potential trouble on the political front example if French unit was shut down, there could be a political fallout as well as French customers might boycott your products. (cited Mittal-Arcelor)
 - c. Union issues- long term dispute- can dilute brand value of

	business.
What do you think went	Cracked the Case.
right for you in the in this	Discussed the implications of the recommendations to include
interview?	potential political fallouts (cited Mittal-Arcelor example)
What do you think went	
wrong in this interview?	
Any tips for the future	Be prepared for all sorts of numbers/calculationstake time out in
batches based on this	case there are huge calculationsI did thatsmall calculations
interview experience.	were done verbally.
	Interviewer also wanted to check if I could come up with all types
	of hypothesis on various facts. Be prepared to think creatively and
	use your common sense to remove non relevant stuff.

Name	Amandeep Bedi
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	·
Name of Interviewer and	Ramesh M
designation	
Round	R31
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	4. Examples of Leadership
questions (with indicative	5. What was your contribution? What did you do different?
answers if you choose to	6. Are you happy with your life path? What would you go
share them)	and change?
	7. Why ISB? Why ITC? Why not MBA after IIT?
	8. Biggest achievement!! What did you do?
	9. What other interviews I had and was appearingWhich
	was the best one till now
	10. How was Mckinsey's interview different from BCG?
	Fairly detailed PI
Narration of the case	Client manufactures auto forgings @ 200 per day. Increase it to 400
interview (please be as	per day How?
descriptive as possible)	Enquired if market demand was justified to double
,	outputMarket would be able to accommodate 200 additional
	units.
	Wanted me to identify key levers. After a lot of round-about and
	false stepslearnt that current capacity utilisation was 33% only!!
	Ramesh wanted a list of things to checkthis is what I discussed
	a. Maintenance time records- Breakdown & Planned
	b. Setup/ Changeover times on a/c of high product variety
	c. Non availability of Material
	d. Non availability of Labour - absence/ unmanned breaks
	e. Shut down due to utility failures (electricity etc)
	f. Quality related losses.
What do you think went	Salvaged the situation. Did not start well
right for you in the in this	
interview?	
What do you think went	Missed out critical capacity utilisation points.
wrong in this interview?	
Any tips for the future	Be prepared to be grilled
batches based on this	
interview experience.	

Name	Amandeep Bedi
Company Interviewed With	McKinsey
Name of Interviewer and	Samba V
designation	
Round	R32
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	Went off to case after basic chit chat on the number of interviews
questions (with indicative	etc I had faced in the last 2 days
answers if you choose to	
share them)	
Narration of the case	This seemed a test of whether I could do hypothesis and do some
interview (please be as	basic math.
descriptive as possible)	Client is a Financial planning & management software company.
	3 product lines + 1 service line.
	Large enterprises – highly customized, large business customers, direct sales force used, consultants needed, sold
	at CEO/CIO level.
	SMEs – industry specific package- sold thru dealers/
	distributors etc to anyone who wants to buy
	3. Individual customers thru regular retail channels.
	4. IT consulting – pre/ post support
	Question 1: Revenues are declining. What hypothesis would you
	generate to identify sources of decline
	g. Along segments mentioned above
	h. Geographical segments
	i. Industries
	j. Existing vs New Customers
	Question 2 Assume Enterprise segment is losing revenue. What
	hypothesis can you generate(asked to generate 15came to 11)
	Just think out aloud and discusssome of the hypothesis discussed
	were
	d. Changes in regulations
	e. M&A in the industrycustomers hesitate to buy
	f. Customers think a better product is in the wings and don't
	buy the existing one
	g. New product is complicatedhas greater cost and training
	needs.
	h. Sales force not qualified etc.
	Question 3: Suppose you find out that the sales force has been
	spending more time selling simpler product to SME than attend
	larger customersenterprise product needs greater effort. what
	would you do?
	1. Track sales and channels actively
	2. Change incentive structure
	TAME
	What actions would you take and implications of those

What do you think went	Salvaged the situation. Did not start well
right for you in the in this	
interview?	
What do you think went	
wrong in this interview?	
Any tips for the future	Think out loud with the interviewer.
batches based on this	
interview experience.	

Simran Khara

Name	Simran Khara
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Sujith
designation	34)141
Round	R1
(First/Second/Third	KI
round)	
Personal interview	11. Tell me about yourself
questions (with indicative	
answers if you choose to	12. Contemporary Indian fiction authors and their books
share them)	(As it came up in 'Tell me about yourself')
Narration of the case	Cana
	Client is a philosophysical form detical with a community of X william It.
interview (please be as	Client is a philanthropic foundation with a corpus of \$ X million. It
descriptive as possible)	wants to invest in India with a view to help curb AIDS spreading
	(Patient Care was ruled out). Help it decide: HOW + WHERE +
	HOW MUCH
	Facts provided when asked:
	- Estimate of population afflicted on a Pan India basis
	- Main Source of infection was STD and hence for the
	purpose of the case I was to concentrate on this alone
	- Estimate of segment sizes (Sex Workers, Wives and
	Migrant Labor/Clients of Sex Workers) and the percentage
	afflicted
	- Which Indian states is it concentrated in?
	- What is the cost of a detection test?
	What is the cost of a detection test:
	Analysis
	Stuck to the structure provided by the question of How, Where and
	How Much?
	TIOW MUCIL!
	It was many of a discussion whom I are accepted different alone (and
	It was more of a discussion where I suggested different plans for 2
	of the segments (Sex Workers and the Clients, Wives ignored as it
	was agreed that using protection after marriage was not acceptable
	by most men in this strata)
	Testing in the 'Sex Workers' was to be shelved for the first part of
	the plan as the incremental benefits were small given that they had
	a very high percentage of affliction.
	Suggested that the plan be rolled out 5 states to begin with and in
	areas having brothels near construction sites (migrant labor) and
	highways (truck drivers were a major part of the clientele)
	How much?
	To be tackled by setting milestones that the foundation set to
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

	achieve in terms of 'slowing down spreading of AIDS' in terms of spread after program introduced vs. spread with natural rate) using some publically available estimates of expenditure involved in such programs.
What do you think went	Kept dialogue going throughout and when asked to do a
right for you in the in this	consistency check on numbers, I didn't get particularly flustered
interview?	and caught it asap.
What do you think went	I didn't cross check that the two estimates at Pan India level and
wrong in this interview?	those obtained by a segment wise analysis were not tallying. Hence,
	one segment was understated in terms of affliction percentages.
Any tips for the future	1. Take your time, don't rush
batches based on this	·
interview experience.	

Name	Simran Khara
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Nigel
designation	
Round	R1
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	13. What does leadership mean to you?
questions (with indicative	14. Is Leadership given or taken?
answers if you choose to	15. Why ISB after LSE?
share them)	16. I don't see leadership on your resume, am I missing
	something?
Narration of the case	Casa
interview (please be as	Case Client is a PSU Bank; savings account opening takes 60 mins.
descriptive as possible)	(Entire Chain of Activities was given as an Exhibit with time and
descriptive as possible)	sequence involved) Reduce this to 15 mins.
	sequence involved) reduce this to 15 mins.
	It was a 10 mins case as the PI was long.
	<u>Analysis</u>
	 Identify Critical Path See which activities can be moved off/performed simultaneously/ performed by customer (perhaps at home)/performed beforehand (printing of cheque books)/performed by others (outsourced) See which activities can be simplified, e.g. Form filling took 15 mins (It was a 15 page form, so simplify and shorten form)
	NA: Why do we need the teller? Why can't the officer do the work for the teller? (This would mean slashing off 6 mins of the process as the officer would not need to verify again)
What do you think went	He seemed satisfied with the analysis and pointed out that I
right for you in the in this	structured well. In the personal interview part, he again pointed
interview?	towards a consistency in resume and answers.
What do you think went	·
wrong in this interview?	
Any tips for the future	1. Be honest in all your PI answers, as the inconsistencies are very
batches based on this	apparent on the other side of the table even if you have rehearsed
interview experience.	your answers to perfection.
	-

Name	Simran Khara
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	TYCINIDE
Name of Interviewer and	Daiire I aghan
	Rajiv Lochan
designation	Do
Round	R2
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	17. What would you do differently at ISB if you could start
questions (with indicative	over?
answers if you choose to	
share them)	
Narration of the case	<u>Case</u>
interview (please be as	Client is a bank looking to set up a 100 ATM network or operate it
descriptive as possible)	on a contract. What would be your advice to the CEO?
	Additional facts provided when asked: Real Estate + Machine + IT
	Network + Utility Costs on a monthly basis when owned and run
	by the bank as well as the annual management contract fee when
	outsourced
	<u>Analysis</u>
	To study the differences in Costs between 'Owned and run' and
	'Outsourced' (Owned and then leased out to different banks was
	not an option)
	100 011 0 1 1011)
	It was just a numbers case after the basic costs were identified, from
	the costs angle alone 'Owned and Run' was a better option.
	the costs ungle utone owned und run was a serier option.
	RL: Other than the compelling numbers, what might be the
	advantage of 'owned and run' over 'outsourced'
	advantage of owned and full over outsourced
	Me: Maintenance and service levels can be controlled more
	effectively
	Circuivery
	DI. For what reasons would you force outcoming over a
	RL: For what reasons would you favor outsourcing over owned
	and run?
	Mor
	Me:
	a Fact that cost actimates are surrent and those could be
	a. Fact that cost estimates are current and these could be
	revised downwards as the outsourcing vendor acquires
	scale
	b. Not a core function/the usual outsourcing arguments
TATE OF THE STATE	
What do you think went	He seemed happy with the discussion after the numbers.
right for you in the in this	
interview?	
What do you think went	Initially I assumed the contract fee to be for all 100 ATMs, and then
wrong in this interview?	RL asked me to re-check the numbers and I asked for clarification

	as to whether it was a per ATM fee. Should have asked upfront instead of assuming.
Any tips for the future	1. Take your time, don't rush
batches based on this	
interview experience.	

Name	Simran Khara
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	·
Name of Interviewer and	Shishir
designation	
Round	R2
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	18. Questions regarding Thesis in LSE
questions (with indicative	19. Questions regarding ELP on estimating cement demand
answers if you choose to	and regression (part of ELP)
share them)	
Narration of the case	Case
interview (please be as	Estimate Gasoline Consumption of Cars in Mumbai in one year.
descriptive as possible)	
	Estimation involved:
	- Population of Mumbai
	- Average Income
	- Number of Cars (This was estimated using an
	'affordability ratio'> using the average price of a car,
	average life of a car and fuel and service expense required,
	an average operating expenditure p.a. on a vehicle was
	found. And this operating expenditure would be less than
	20% of Household Income, hence assuming a normal
	distribution of Income, an estimate of number of cars was
	found)
	- Average Distance Traveled per vehicle
	- Average Mileage
What do you think went	Don't know if it counts but I had a fair idea of the average Income
right for you in the in this	figures and differences across states; as questions like "What is the
interview?	average income of Mumbai?" were being met with "What is the
	average income of India, do you think Mumbai will be different, by
	how much?"
What do you think went	
wrong in this interview?	
Any tips for the future	
batches based on this	
interview experience.	

Gautam P Chhugani

Name	Gautam P Chhugani
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	, and the second
Name of Interviewer and	Parikshit Jain
designation	, in the second
Round	R1
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	13. Examples of Leadership experience? Your role in it?
questions (with indicative	14. Resume based : Looked at auto-components industry on
answers if you choose to	my resume and said he would give me an auto-comp case.
share them)	, and a second of the second o
Narration of the case	Client is a leading auto component manufacturer. It wants to
interview (please be as	increase profits in the next few years and wants to reach a target of
descriptive as possible)	\$5bn in revenues. It is currently ay \$1bn.
, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	I suggested there are 2 basic ways to do so:
	- Increase volume
	- Increase value add and thus margins (elaborated below)
	,
	Clarifying questions
	Me: Asked what was the core capability of the manufacturer and
	the kind of products it manufacturers.
	PJ: Forging and forged components
	Me: Geographic presence?
	PJ: India and some acquisitions in Europe.
	Me: Is it into auto- comp outsourcing business?
	PJ: Yes
	Me: Increase Volume as follows:
	I said can we assume is it meeting demand?
	Was asked to make assumptions and proceed.
	Now I moved into a monologue – coz I gathered that's what he
	wants
	Increase volume:
	- So I said if we know it is lagging in demand, we should
	explore expansions options – add domestic capacity,
	acquire capacity externally – (also a increase value add
	option – refer below)
	- Enhance product offering (all types of forged components
	based on core forging capability)
	- Increase share of wallet (Does a single OEM outsource all
	types of auto-components); Have we exploited any
	potential to cross sell – if he is buying powertrain (engine)
	components, should he also buy axle and chasis
	components from us
	- External acquisitions: Dual shore manufacturing – have a
	low cost mfg base in India and China, acquire plants in
	Europe and America which has good customer
	Europe and America which has good customer

	relationships – thus improve customer intimacy by being geographically close to customer and improve share of wallet Increase value add: - Any change in product mix – make more of critical safety components which provide higher margins - Provide full end to end support – from design of components to testing – make attempts to support the entire life cycle of car platforms – this will also enhance value add and thus margins (Explore options to acquire or build R&D centers) - Compare costs in India and China, add capacity in China, if it reduces costs and enhances margins PJ: This should be enough.
What do you think went right for you in the in this interview?	Fully exploited my industry knowledge but yet kept the structure simple. Even when my points were not Mutually exhaustive and collectively exhaustive (MECE), I highlighted the overlap and showed I was aware.
What do you think went wrong in this interview?	It was more of a monologue and not a discussion, but I guess Parikshit was not checking my ability to engage, but just checking my ability to think clearly.
Any tips for the future batches based on this interview experience.	Anything on your CV could be turned into a case. Spend lot of time thinking and reading about companies and industries mentioned on your CV. Whats good/bad about industry? How are companies exploring growth options and so on?

Name	Cautam P Chhugani
	Gautam P Chhugani
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Rajeev Lochan
designation	
Round	R1
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	None
questions (with indicative	11016
answers if you choose to	
share them)	
,	
Narration of the case	Client is an insurance company. They want to know how big is the
interview (please be as	insurance opportunity in India? Finally, given the size of the
descriptive as possible)	opportunity, what would you recommend as the next steps.
	Me: Let me ask the usual clarifying questions, is the company into
	life insurance or non-life? Private company or a public company?
	RL: Life insurance, the client is a private company.
	Me: To understand how big the opportunity is I would want to
	estimate the size of the insurance market.
	RL: In what denomination you would estimate the market.
	Me: \$ mm of life insurance premium
	RL: Great. So go ahead and do it.
	Me: Rajeev, before I get into the number work, it will be useful to
	pictorially or graphically understand where does India stand on
	insurance penetrations relative to the world.
	RL: How would you do that? (It seemed he was very open to new ideas
	as seen from his body language coz he always sounded excited to hear
	something new)
	Me: You plot all the countries by insurance penetration ratios and
	see where India is in the stage of evolution in the insurance market
	RL: How would you define the penetration ratio?
	Me: Insured population by Insurable population
	RL: Great. Now lets get to the number work.
	Me: I took some time to think at this stage.
	Usual method: Indian population, 30% urban, for now lets focus on
	the urban market, % of people who cannot afford insurance, I
	suggested if insurance premium exceeds a particular% of your
	annual income, then its not affordable, such population should be
	excluded or alternatively people below the poverty line. I
	suggested we could break down the population by Income class. As
	we discussed, it stood out that age cannot be a right basis, as people
	get their children insured and old people can also be insured albeit
	at a higher premium.
	RL: Great, let us assume you have done all that and the total size of
	the market comes to \$210mm. LIC's market is 180mm and has 80%
	market share. So what would your conclusion be?
	GC:(I wasn't very fluent at this stagesomehow I got a bit confused at
	this stageno particular reasonprobably I wasn't thinking calmly
	enough Later, with some push from RLthis is what I concluded
	chough Later, with some pash from NEthis is what I concluded

	GC:Ok, so total insured market is then 180mm/ 0.80 which comes to approximately \$205mm. Insurable market is \$210mm. So penetration ratio is very high close to 95%. This leaves private insurers a market of only \$5mm to pursue. So now how many private insurers are there? RL: 12/13 GC: Fine, then it is evident that private insurers are competing quite hard and each has a very miniscule share of the private marketand the nature of the game is to arrest market share from LIC, which may be very difficult. RL: We have now run out of time, so what would you suggest to the company? Me: As of now, the market is very small and competitive for the private insurers. Going forward, the client would have to arrest market share from LIC and would have to do it better than other private players. So the key question client should ask itself? Do I have enough distribution capabilities to gain market share from LICcoz in Insurance distribution pipeline is most critical. (Things like alliances with corporates, third party distributor alliances etc) Secondly, Insurance being a capital intensive business, due to solvency ratios, and unexpected insurance claims, do I have the deep pockets to sustain this market till I become profitable? – high shelf life before break even in insurance business Finally, Do I have the ability to offer innovative and customized insurance products to attract the customers?
What do you think went right for you in the in this interview?	 A great engaging conversation Stayed calm inspite of not getting an answer…kept pushing my nose
What do you think went wrong in this interview?	- Fumbled a bit on number work (It will useful to mention at this stage not surprisinglymy next round with Mck involved heavy number work)
Any tips for the future batches based on this interview experience.	 Power of good communication skills and engagementYou may not be reaching the answerbut by showing enough enthusiasm and energy about solving the caseyou allow the interviewer to help you a lot. Second, I made up for the not so sharp number work in my synthesis and recommendation. I did not restate facts, based on what I concluded and my industry knowledge, I suggested value added insights and recommendations. Lastly, knowing a bit about the industry helps you quote the right metrics like insurance penetration ratios, distribution pipeline etc (Doesn't substitute pure problem solving skills but allows you to sound sensible)

Name	Gautam P Chhugani
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	·
Name of Interviewer and	Oliver Ramsbottom
designation	
Round	R2I had expressed my preference for Corporate Finance and
(First/Second/Third	StrategyOliver was a partner heading recruitment for CF&S
round)	Asia.
Personal interview	None
questions (with indicative	
answers if you choose to	
share them)	
Narration of the case	Our client is a Chocolate confectionary manufacturer started in
interview (please be as	1980. It did quite well till 1990. Post 1990, it has seen a revenue
descriptive as possible)	slowdown and a profitability slowdown. Can you help them figure
	out why?
	Me: I drew the usual profitability tree.
	Revenue and costs
	I took him through volumes (Market size, market share,
	production)
	Price changes, if any?
	Variances in costs?
	OP E
	OR: Fine, lets look at the costs
	Me: Let me list down the various heads of costs
	Variable costs: Direct material, labour, variable overheads
	Fixed costs: SG&A including distribution costs, interest costs,
	I also highlighted the overlap.
	OR: Fine, let me provide you some data. Write it down.
	Raw material costs (% of revenue) For the client is 25%, for
	competitors 20%
	Distribution cost (cents per bar): For the client 0.25c and for the
	competitor 0.15c. Let us explore the distribution cost first.
	Me: How does the client distribute its products? Oliver: It has its own distribution infrastructurethe trucks,
	manpower etc. Me: So can I say the client does distribution in-house. So do our
	competitors not do it in-house?
	Oliver: Yes, you are right, the competitors are outsourcing
	distribution. What do you think is happening here?
	Me: Is it that distribution agent is operating at a higher scale coz he
	is sourcing the business from various manufacturers. If yes, then
	this could be the cause of difference in cost.
	Oliver: That's right. What else? Give me one more reason for
	difference in distribution cost.
	Me: Is it that transporters are making more number of trips, since
	our batches of production are small or not synchronized?
	Oliver: Fine, give me one more.
	Me: On what basis do transporters charge us?
	Oliver: Based on hourly rate.
	Me: This leads me to the hypothesis, that they may be spending lot
	The second secon

of time waiting, at the factory without doing any productive work. Oliver: You are thinking right.....go on

Me: Phew! Is it that turnaround time per truck is too high compared to our competitors.

Oliver: Why do you think that is happening?

Me: Distances are too far from our customers or our suppliers. We are not located at the optimal distance from either customers or suppliers.

Oliver: Great, now lets talk about the raw material cost. Me: So what kind of chocolate is this? Like a Mars bar or something?

Oliver: Perfect.

Me: So let me list down the ingredientsMilk, cocoa, and sugar would be the main ingredients

Oliver: So lets talk about each ingredient separately.

Me: Milk is a commodity.....

Oliver: Is Milk a commodity? Is it traded?

Me: No, its not traded, so its not a commodity (This was my clue....thankfully I picked it up.....you will see below how it was a useful)

Oliver: Go on...think specifically about each ingredient

Me: Cocoa and sugar are commodities.....because they are traded. Oliver: So?

Me: After a pause of thinking....... Have the prices gone up of late? If yes, "They are not hedging the commodity costs"

Oliver: Excellent...You are right...either they are not hedging it or not hedging it as much as they should.

Me: yah....may be not even things like long term supply contracts. *Case part II:*

Oliver: Great fresh page......note down these numbers.....gave me a full profitability statement to make for 2 factories....one in UK and one in France....with capacity utilization to be calculated, units produced, price, RM costs as % of revenue, Labour based on per hour etc.

Me: I did the numbers in a very traditional school boy like fashion, did not try any quick calculation tricks.....was very transparent and vocal about my calculationshelped a lot in showing my comfort with numbers

Oliver: So what is your conclusion?

Me:

	UK	France
Capacity utilization	54%	39%
Profitability %	46.2%	33%

This shows a correlation between profitability and scale utilization. Oliver: So what would you suggest?

Me: Why don't we integrate the facilities.... I did a ball park calculation to see if capacity in UK would be enough to handle production in both UK and France. However, there could be a downside to shutting plant in France...

Oliver: So what are the downsides?

Me: Transportation cost, labour retrenchment cost, loss of customer

	intimacy in France resulting in loss of certain customersOliver kept saying what elsei kept giving him more and more reasons Oliver: Only one thing you missed out real option value, if the French market picks up again Me: Ya rightI agree. Oliver: Thanks Gautam. Excellent. I liked your idea of integrating capacity. I am very happy with the way case has turned out.
What do you think went right for you in the in this interview?	 Oliver kept pushing and I did not give up. I picked up the clues righteg. Hedging of raw material costs, distribution cost etc. Showed number work very clearly on paper and spoke aloud while calculating
What do you think went	- Honestly, Cant think of anythingmy best interview for the
wrong in this interview?	daywas not asked a single case after this.
Any tips for the future batches based on this	- Very closely watch the words of the interviewer so that you
interview experience.	pick up the clueswrite as clearly as you can on paperit helps you organize your thoughts clearly.
incrview experience.	- Again, enjoy the processtreat it like a learning
	processwill help you specially with an interviewer like
	Oliverwho keeps pushing for more and more reasons
	and is never satiated.

Name	Gautam P Chhugani
Company Interviewed	Mckinsey
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Noshir Kaka
designation	
Round	Round 2
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	1) leadership experience/situation
questions (with indicative	2) perseverance
answers if you choose to	
share them)	
Narration of the case	No cases. As I was told later, if they are satisfies with the problem
interview (please be as	solving aspect you may no longer be quizzed on case interviews.
descriptive as possible)	
What do you think went	1) Use the Challenge-Action-Impact framework to prepare
right for you in the in this	answers for teamwork, leadership etc. Prepare beforehand.
interview?	2) Keep telling yourself So what? When you prepare these
	kind of answers
	3) Don't sound rehearsed for such questions. Pick up
	something, you are genuinely proud ofIt will show
	through.
What do you think went	-
wrong in this interview?	
Any tips for the future	- Noshir knew a lot of people from JPMorgan, my prior workplace.
batches based on this	Its good to be able to talk about common people, it just improves
interview experience.	the conversationagain nothing substitutes for being genuine and
	enthusiastic in personal interviews.

Pranjal Jain

Name	PRANJAL JAIN
Company Interviewed	Mckinsey
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Mr. Noshir Kaka, Partner, Heads the BTO practice
designation	•
Round	Round 1, 2 nd interview
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	1) Example of leadership experience
questions (with indicative	My experience of factory start up with HLL. Special focus on
answers if you choose to	the people (their recruiting, training, etc.) aspect of it.
share them)	2) Why was it that you didn't make it thru with mck the time
	you interviewed (I had interviewed while at IITK in Dec
	2002 for analyst post)
	Here I could point out the way I have changed in the last 3 yrs
	both while working with HLL and by doing my MBA (focus on
	peer learning). I gave an example of the way I currently
	understand the position of HLL, with specific mention to its
	annual result (had been announced just 3 days back on 14th
	feb); I had done some basic numbers (ratios) and could
	comment on the performance with a mention of the way ahead
	as it should be for HLL
	3) Was asked about my views on ISB and the way ahead for it.
	Usual suspects, resident faculty; more international students
Narration of the case	SAP India has not been able to expand its operations as it has not
interview (please be as	been able to hire the right number of people, so ramp up was not
descriptive as possible)	possible, help out its HR dept.
	Did some same checks with simple questions to understand the
	situation better.
	Drew a funnel (which represents the hiring process), input to which
	is applicants and output is selected candidates. Understood the
	drop outs (people eliminated) at various stages. Concluded that the
	process can not be made less stringent, so the only way out is to
	increase the input to the funnel.
	Looked at input as market share of a product (dependent on 3
	factors, Awareness, Availability and Purchase intention,
	MARKSTRAT funda). Checked if it's a fair way to look at it. The
	interviewer was pretty satisfied with it.
	Awareness: 2 segments, fresh college grads and IT professionals
	working in other firms who might like to apply to SAP. Realized
	that awareness level is low on campuses and the IT Pros of other
	firms are not being targeted at all.
	Remedy: Campus promotion events, tie ups with schools the way
	Infy et al are having, start a referral programme, start blogs, etc.

Availability: Very poor interface to apply on, extremely low bandwidth of HR dept. takes a month to process applications and by that time the applicants are no longer interested or already have jobs. Measures suggested here. Purchase Intention: No problem here. The quality of work is great and the brand name of SAP is great. In the end, synthesized the case. I believe I was able to structure the case very well and that really helped a lot. 2nd Case: Tata 1 lakh car, need to lower the cost of parts in car to less than 75 K. Structure made, being from a manufacturing background I tried to leverage on my wok knowledge and presented my analysis: Might have to use an alternate MoC (Material of construction), think plastics/composites. Tie up with a global vendor like GE to get the MoC Have all yr auto ancillary suppliers gear up to the challenge of using the MoC Centralized purchasing done by Tata Motors for all ancillary suppliers so as to get economies of scale Rationalize the components being used, get rid of useless components used in the car (I missed out this point and was driven towards it) What do you think went My energy level created very positive vibes from the very right for you in the in this beginning interview? The structuring of the cases was good The way I could point out the change (for the better) that I have undergone since the time I last interviewed with Mck. My leadership examples was pretty strong In the end I asked him about the Mck NASSCOM report (I had read it cover to cover) and wanted to know his views on Indian top 5 IT cos becoming USD 10 Bn firms. He was happy with the question and gave his views. What do you think went Could have been succinct in the cases, at times had to be pushed to wrong in this interview? drop a point and move ahead. Any tips for the future Personal Questions: Prepare very well. The way I see it, write a 10batches based on this 12 page essay about yourself which really is a representation of interview experience. your true self. It should not be swayed by the firm or the kind of job you are interviewing for. It helps to serve as a key for all personal questions and having it all together ensures that there is no contradiction in any answer. It worked very well in my case. (Thanks to an ISB/Mck Alumnus for this advice)

Name PRANJAL JAIN Company Interviewed Mck With Name of Interviewer and designation Round Round 2, 2 nd interview	
With Name of Interviewer and designation Nigel, Associate Partner (BFSI and IT Verticals)	
Name of Interviewer and designation Nigel, Associate Partner (BFSI and IT Verticals)	
designation	
ROUNG ROUND POST OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	
,	
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview None, it was pointed out in the very beginning that only 1 cases	e was
questions (with indicative to be administered. I was asked to ask him questions about	
answers if you choose to work/Mck. I asked him about his failures at Mck.	
Share them) Client is India's largest PSU bank (Client name cant be disclosed)	- 1 @\
,	
interview (please be as Due to recent RBI strictures, cheques can't be delivered physical descriptions as possible)	
descriptive as possible) to a clearing house, so an alternative scheme is to be drawn up	. 1
some how confused this whole system with RTGS and started	.
blabbering out of that when I was checked by Nigel. (Lesson: N	
ever assume anything, if you do, make sure you check it with t	the
interviewer).	
	1
There were basically 2 schemes which were drawn up with reg	-
to cheques processing and movement of information/data. Th	
were to be compared, a result had to be arrived at and an optim	nal
one (possibly a third one was to be suggested).	
	1/
I went about drawing the 2 schemes (what appeared to be child	
play initially was extremely tough). By the end I was thorough	
confused between the schemes as they had so many elements t	to
them. I requested for more time and drew them neatly (that is	
something not very natural to me) and on different sheets of p	
Then I concluded that we need to do a cost benefit analysis (with	
the constraint of acceptable service level) and I went on to ider	
the cost drivers. They included manpower cost, cost of hardware	
like scanners, bandwidth cost (for electronic flow of images), c	ost of
courier and the constraint of service level. At this stage I was	
getting lost due to excess information and was asked to stop as	nd
synthesize the discussion we had had till that time before	
proceeding further.	
Then we did establish the merits of one network structure over	
other and I was then asked to arrive at an even better network.	
The interviewer left me alone in he room for some time to help	
think and I could arrive at an alternate network. Upon discuss:	
was found that I had not taken care of the service level and my	7
design was not feasible.	
What do you think went It was somewhat of a pressure interview and my not cracking	-
right for you in the in this and taking my own sweet time to give my analysis helped me.	Also
interview? some prior knowledge of cheques handling (Thanks to Rohit	

	Kapoor) also did help.
What do you think went	I could not think creatively and could not come up with the most
wrong in this interview?	optimal network structure. Coming up with that would have been
	the "wow" factor.
Any tips for the future	Never crack in a pressure interviewer, if the case is difficult and the
batches based on this	interviewer is asking you to speed up and move when you are not
interview experience.	really done with an issue, DO take your time.

Gaurav Bansal

Name	Gaurav Bansal
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	Wettibey
Name of Interviewer and	Noshir Kaka, Partner and worldwide BTO head
designation	Two file Twitter and World Wide 5 To Tiedd
Round	First
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	Why Consulting?
questions (with indicative	Some questions about my previous work with Inductis.
answers if you choose to	Some questions about my previous work with inductio.
share them)	
Narration of the case	I never realized when my case started; he was asking me the model
interview (please be as	on which Inductis works, and slowly moved towards what are the
descriptive as possible)	challenges for a company like Inductis in expanding. Only when he
descriptive as possible)	said "Or let's say the CEO of JP Morgan, Kevin, or the CEO of
	Infosys comes to you and says that they wanted to expand their
	offshoring strength from 250 to 400, but they were not able to scale
	up.", did I realize that my case had started! I politely asked him, "Is
	this a case, or I should be answering just on the fly?"; to which he
	•
	replied – "Whatever you deem correct. Okay, lets consider it a
	case". I came up with the following issues:
	1) They are not getting quality CVs
	2) There are too many companies wooing the skilled people we
	want
	3) There are some problems in meeting the expectations of the
	workers – challenging work , work-life balance and growth
	opportunities
	4) Where do our workers go after leaving us
	5) Is there some problem with the HR department
	It turned out that the 5 th point was one of the major problems.
	The I was a small and a small with the standard line to
	Then I was given another case – "Mr Murthy is contemplating to
	expand globally and set up centers in US because India gets only
	\$30bn out of the total \$800 bn offshoring/outsourcing market. What
	are the pros and cons?"
	The most important negative point I identified was that that the
	cost arbitrage would not be there. Noshir then asked me to focus on
	advantages, and I came up with the following:
	1) Proximity to the client
	2) Cultural affinity
	3) Better domain knowledge as you are working where the action is
	taking place
	4) Lower telecom costs and hurdles
	5) Political benefits – Democrats are totally against moving jobs to
	Asia, and hence such a move would be politically hailed
What do you think went	This was the very first interview oat 9am on Day 0, so we were able
right for you in the in this	to strike a chord while knowing each other before getting into the

interview?	cases.
What do you think went	Nothing I guess.
wrong in this interview?	
Any tips for the future	Biggest learning – your case can start anytime without you being
batches based on this	explicitly told. This is really true for McK interviewers especially.
interview experience.	Also, they don't always look for structuring – when I was starting
	to jot down some points for my 1st case, Noshir told me not to
	worry about structure and clarificatory questions and other
	formalities; he said "I know you would be master in all this, so I
	just want recommendations and issues which will add value to the
	client".

N.T.	C P 1
Name	Gaurav Bansal
Company Interviewed With	McKinsey
Name of Interviewer and	Oliver
designation	
Round	First round – 2 nd interview
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	1) Tell me about yourself
questions (with indicative	2) Leadership example
answers if you choose to	3) Why MBA? Why ISB?
share them)	4) Why Consulting? How does it fit in your goals?
Narration of the case	Your friend lives in Beijing, and is observing the commercial/office
interview (please be as	buildings being built these days. He is contemplating getting into
descriptive as possible)	the business of leasing cranes to constructors. What do you think of
	this idea?
	The rough framework I told him I would follow is:
	1) The market opportunity
	2) Competition
	3) Contacts, especially with suppliers of cranes
	4) Investments in this business
	5) Risks going forward
	After a little discussion on each of these, he told me that this is an
	estimation case, and I have to estimate the market size of this
	business in \$ terms. There can be several ways to go about it, and I
	took the following one:
	1) An average building is built on a square of side 70m
	2) Assume Beijing to be a square of side 20km
	3) Out of the total are of 400 sq.km, 50sq.km is used for commercial
	purposes
	4) 80% of this, ie 40 sq. km is the area on which a building is
	actually build (the rest 20% for roads, lawns, parking lots etc)
	5) This gives about 8000 buildings which can be built
	6) Assume in the next years, a total 2000 buildings will be build, 400
	each in every year
	7) A building construction requires 3 cranes in the initial 4 months,
	2 cranes in the next 4 months, and 1 crane in the last 4 months,
	completing in whole 1 year
	8) A crane costs \$50,000 per month
	Doing all the calculation should give the total annual market size of
	approximately \$480 million.
What do you think went	I think he was pretty happy with the structuring of my case.
right for you in the in this	
interview?	
What do you think went	At about a couple of places, I made calculation mistakes while
wrong in this interview?	trying to do all the calculations mentally
Any tips for the future	
batches based on this	
interview experience.	

Name	Gaurav Bansal
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	Welvingey
Name of Interviewer and	Katrien
designation	Ratici
Round	Second round – 1st interview
(First/Second/Third	Second Tourid - 1 Interview
round)	
Personal interview	Right in the beginning of the interview, Katrien told me that they
questions (with indicative	are looking me for the BTO practice; for the next 20 minutes we
answers if you choose to	
share them)	discussed how BTO practice is similar to/different from
Share them,	"generalist" practice, but I politely refused to work in BTO practice
	because I wanted to work in various industries rather than focusing
	on IT; moreover, one can always jump from generalist role to BTO,
	though the other way round might be a bit difficult (to me it
	seems!).
	Though this discussion did not have any direct PI questions, I
	ended up telling, in detail, my short-term/long-term goals, how
	Consulting fits in, why MBA etc etc.
Narration of the case	She gave an actual situation she met in China on one of her projects:
interview (please be as	A meat manufacturer in Europe, selling only ham and sausages,
descriptive as possible)	has been seeing declining profits for the last 2 years. So what could
	be the reason behind it?
	I did the following analysis step by step:
	1) What specific did we do 2 years back? - Nothing was the answer.
	2) Have the customer tastes been changing? – No, the market was
	stable
	3) How is the competitive landscape? – 2 competitors, each having
	30% mkt share, while we have 40%.
	4) Revenue-Cost analysis: It turned out that the volumes of
	sausages was going down, while it was constant for ham. The
	reason was that one of the competitors was selling sausages at
	99cents a kg, while we sold at \$1/kg; also, we sold ham at \$4.2/kg.
	The issue then shifted to the question – how was the competitor
	able to sell sausages at 99cents a kg? While analyzing the cost
	structure, it emerged that sausages were being sold at break-even!
	Now the question was - if they are zero margins in sausages, then
	how could lower sausage sales trigger a decline in profits? I asked
	some more questions about how they were manufactured, and
	found that the following process is followed: the company buys
	chunks of meat, makes ham out of them, and the small, leftover
	pieces of meat are used to make sausages. Hence, when the sales of
	sausages went down, it was because they were not able to sell the
	leftover meat at \$1/kg they bought the raw meat at.
	This rang the bell of 'cost allocation'; why should sausages me
	allocated the costs for leftover scrap meat at the same rate as that of
	<u> </u>

_	
	good meat used in ham? Well, one reason unearthed. Actually, there was a bigger reason; the indirect labor costs (salaries of CEO, managers etc) were being allocated very irrationally – though a ham was being sold at 4.2 times the price of a sausage and involved more processing and personnel time, both were allocated the same costs! In fact, a sausage was being allocated a total of 60cents for indirect labor costs, though a ham was being allocated only 80cents.
	I synthesized the case by explaining how cross-subsidization was happening, how this could be because of the shrewdness of the manager of ham operations and the naivety of the manager of sausage operations. It turned out that this was exactly the reason behind all this.
What do you think went	I think the initial talk about BTO practice broke the ice; also, the fact
right for you in the in this	that I was very frank about not joining the BTO practice even if it
interview?	meant not getting an offer from McKinsey, actually went in my
	favor. The best thing about this interview probably was that I
	solved the case in 7-8 minutes which really impressed her.
What do you think went	Nothing.
wrong in this interview?	Trouble.
Any tips for the future	Keep in mind how much time you would have for the case; As we
batches based on this	had lost 20-25 minutes discussing BTO, I was well aware that no
interview experience.	way would I get much time as this was a 30min interview. Hence I
	quickly went through all possible issues, and zeroed in on the
	relevant one.
	Televalit offe.

Name	Causes Parael
- 144	Gaurav Bansal
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Nigel Andrade
designation	
Round	Second round – 2 nd interview
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	After a general chat, Nigel told me that since I had done very well
questions (with indicative	in previous three cases, he saw no point in having a case interview,
answers if you choose to	and hence would just like me to answer two questions:
share them)	1) Give a leadership example
	2) What is the passion in your life, and how are you working
	towards it?
Narration of the case	No case
interview (please be as	
descriptive as possible)	
What do you think went	I think the fact that Nigel told me that I had done well in previous
right for you in the in this	interviews, and that McKinsey is very bullish on giving me an offer,
interview?	paved the way for a more friendly chat.
What do you think went	Nothing.
wrong in this interview?	
Any tips for the future	I was not well prepared for the second "passion in your life"
batches based on this	question, though I was able to handle it pretty well in the end.
interview experience.	Learning - well, prepare your PI well.

Sandeep Mohan

Name	Sandeep Mohan
Company Interviewed With	McKinsey
Name of Interviewer and	Noshir Kaka
designation	
Round	R1
(First/Second/Third	
round) Personal interview	20 147 6 16 0 16 1
questions (with indicative	20. Why Consulting & Mckinsey?21. Examples of Leadership Experience
answers if you choose to	22. Challenges faced in the client environment (I was a project
share them)	manager handling projects at the client site)
3-14-0 4-10-1-1	23. Why not cricket as a career? (used to play for the state
	team)
	24. Key strengths
Narration of the case	<u>Case 1</u>
interview (please be as	I had worked in the healthcare industry for the last 2.5 years of
descriptive as possible)	career with Infosys. Noshir made a case on the spot for the software
	industry and healthcare.
	Nandan Nilakeni approaches you for advice on a product that can
	be created for the US healthcare industry. How do you analyze
	the situation?
	Analysis Since healthcare industry value chain has many players, structured
	case as focusing on the healthcare providers. Confirmed with Noshir. Bucketed the IT drivers into market trends, government regulation & operational efficiency.
	Market trends
	Discussed the broad trends in the US healthcare industry f. Climbing health care costs
	g. Government encouraging customers to take more
	responsibility for their health care spending
	h. Creation of tax deductible Flexible spending accounts
	 i. Consumers transitioning to customers (earlier customers were the payers – employers)
	j. Need for more robust means of identifying customers and
	their medical history to manage chronic diseases
	k. More consumer directed health plans being purchased
	1. Importance of customer relationship management systems
	for health care providers (which currently doesn't exist/
	limited versions)
	Nachin quizzad about the apparent lack of IT and are in LICLIC
	Noshir quizzed about the apparent lack of IT systems in US HC

providers. Asked me to explore historical reasons Me: 1) Misaligned incentives for the providers vis-à-vis accrual of benefits from IT usage. Major beneficiaries are insurance companies 2) Practicing Physicians less likely to make IT investments **Government Regulation** Discussed high cost of regulation in the health care industry (14% of total health care spend) Discussed HIPAA regulations as an example **NK**: Will the providers be able to meet the deadlines set by HIPAA Talked about the security and portability aspects of HIPAA, Timelines for each phase etc **Me**: Compliance will drive some of the major health spending. Ease of enhancement for regulatory compliance will be one of the important aspects of the IT product. **Operational Efficiency** Me: Accidental deaths from inefficient and error-prone processes within hospitals. Operational efficiency to be improved. Importance of IT systems like CPOE, EHR gaining importance. Potential to reduce errors. Standardization of processes within hospitals increases scope for productization. **NK**: To summarize, what are the most important aspects which favor product development as opposed to customized application development in the health care industry? Me: Standardization of requirements is one. Regulatory compliance is another. Asked if I have any questions. Discussed about the trends in IT off-shoring. Asked him about the next wave of off-shoring from Infrastructure management business and how well prepared the Indian players are to meet this challenge in his opinion? What do you think went The topic of discussion was my backyard. Had in-depth discussion right for you in the in this of some of the issues interview? What do you think went Should have focused more on the customized application wrong in this interview? development Vs product development angle from the very beginning. This should have been brought out for all the areas of discussion. Also since it was a case created spontaneously by Noshir, I believe he was looking for some very high level drivers for product Vs customized development decisions. Probably I might have gone a little too detailed in some of the areas. Any tips for the future batches based on this interview experience.

Sridhar S

Name	Sridhar Sankararaman
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Dr. Shirish Sankhe
designation	
Round	R1
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	Entirely acads based questions; abt grades; which is best acad
questions (with indicative	performance – why?; worst – why?
answers if you choose to	performance wity:, worst wity:
share them)	
Narration of the case	Client is a no 1 boiler manufacturer in India. He is now witnessing
interview (please be as	reduce profits. Advise
descriptive as possible)	reduce profits. Advise
descriptive as possible)	I aid out the etweeture. Client this are dust lines arising
	Laid out the structure Client (his product lines, pricing,
	distribution channel), competition (change in competitors, their
	strategy wrt product lines, distribution), Customers (size,
	preferences), Industry (regulatory, new technology) and cost
	dynamics (fixed, variable, etc). Interviewer was happy with the
	structure and said he wanted hypothesis as to why is he losing
	profits:
	New competitors have come up with diff technology for boilers -NO
	The interior size for 2 oners fine structure (due to changes in the
	industry they have been rendered redundant)
	Price war in the industry – reduced profitability – YES
	Then I explored how the competitors are offering a lower price.
	After delving through each item on cost structure, Supply chain,
	etc; it appeared that it was booz the competitors used a new
	technology to manufacture boilers This tech required LESS raw
	material (steel) per unit of boiler boz the manner in whch Steel was
	being cut (he gave some technical gyaan) -boythis took some
	time to get toinfact interviewer helped out here !!!
What do you think went	Was able to expand scope of problem – structured well
right for you in the in this	rvas abie to expanta scope of problem - structured wen
interview?	
What do you think went	Rettor grades may have helped to tall, home abt
_	Better grades may have helped to talk home abt
wrong in this interview?	Charles Confident & Cool area if you miss the chare for Many and
Any tips for the future batches based on this	Stay Confident & Cool even if you miss the above fact. Move on
	and build on it. Show Energy during the interview. !!
interview experience.	

Name	Sridhar Sankararaman
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Abhishek Maheshwari
designation	Tibliotick Marcollwall
Round	R2
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	PI – general talk – feel good
questions (with indicative	11 - general talk - reel good
answers if you choose to	
share them)	
Narration of the case	Airling in deather and accord profits bility
	Airline industry – reduced profitability
interview (please be as	I gave the structure, identified the drivers and was beginning to
descriptive as possible)	explore each itemhe said that he was happy with structure and
	gave me another scenario where an airline had 2 options either to
	fly:
	Brazil to US
	Brazil-Argentina-US
	I explored the pt to pt vs hub and spoke modelwe went thro the
	numbers and looked at the opportunity costs to finally chose the
	right strategy.
	I also looked at non-fin aspects like strategy and future growth
	before choosing the option.
	before encounty the option
	He asked me to list adv and dis adv of both models. I drew a model
	and explored that for 10 routes, pt to pt needs 45 aircrafts (10 C 2)
	_ = = : : :
	while hub and spoke needs only 10. Also identified positives and
	negatives in other aspects such as costs, customer perception, time,
	target segment impact, load factor (utilization rate), pricing, etc
	Incidentally the actual opinion he said was similar to my
	recommendation.
What do you think went	KEPT COOLa smiling facelooked like a discussion than an
right for you in the in this	interview
interview?	Structured well
What do you think went	Could have been more comfortable with numbers – maybe faster
wrong in this interview?	©)
Any tips for the future	Be ready for pep talk, good opportunity to create feel good
batches based on this	sally sall and of the sall and
interview experience.	
interview experience.	

Name	Sridhar Sankararaman
Company Interviewed	McKinsey
With	
Name of Interviewer and	Rajeev Lochan
designation	
Round	R2
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	PI – general talk
questions (with indicative	Work exp, why MBA, why ISB, why not Inv Banking, etc
answers if you choose to	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
share them)	
Narration of the case	International Courier company-losing market share
interview (please be as	
descriptive as possible)	Standard structure –
I F	product mix (packages of all sizes), distribution network
	pricing,
	• competition,
	customer segment (attributes they look for: time, safety of
	packet and prices; convenience – centres for collection-touch
	points),
	<u> </u>
	• industry dynamics,
	cost structure (esp fixed), etc
	Identified problem as local couriers eating market share due to lower costs
	lower costs
	Recommendation:
	As Fixed costs are high; increase volume business by:
	Alliances with Low cost couriers (but quality of service is an
	issue)
	JV's (would help as equity stake)
	Acquisitions (highlighted both positives and negatives)
	Reduce costs internally (explore using excess capacity on other airlines instead of using your own airlines for low volumes)
What do you think went	KEPT COOLa smiling facelooked like a discussion than an
right for you in the in this	interview
interview?	Structured well
	on detailed wen
What do you think went	I thought my best interview – they seemed to think otherwise – this
wrong in this interview?	was my last interview with Mck (did not make it to round 3)
Any tips for the future	Be ready for pep talk, good opportunity to create feel good
batches based on this	be ready for pep unity good opportunity to create reer good
interview experience.	
interview experience.	I .

Name	Sridhar Sankararaman
Company Interviewed With	McKinsey
Name of Interviewer and	Ramsbottom (he a CF guy. Shanghai)
designation Round	R1
	KI
(First/Second/Third	
round)	
Personal interview	Entirely experience based questions; did not miss any line of
questions (with indicative	CV on work exp (as I had Fin work exp)Why not Inv
answers if you choose to	Banking
share them)	
Narration of the case	I want to start a crane leasing biz in Chinaestimate my annual
interview (please be as	profits for next year
descriptive as possible)	
	I Assumed: No regulatory issues, no replacement of cranes with
	better equipment for 1 year
	First zeroed in on city, then city space, available free space,
	plausible for construction next year.
	Identified alternative pricing strategies — ie per diem, per contract,
	on/off season rates (less in rainy,etc)
	on season rates (ress in rainty) etc)
	Lots of numbers given (area – square kms, rates, etc)- he wanted
	me to calculated to the nearest dollar
	Made a calculation mistake (while using square root for area
	estimation)but keep ur coolthey are looking at approach
	estilitation)but keep uf cooftiley are looking at approach
	He then asked me to convert the final answer (\$33,85,263) to the
	nearest pound sterling (DON'T LOSE your cool)I used 1.8 as
	conversion rate to convert it (did not carry a calci©)))
	conversion rate to convert it (and not early a careis)))
	At the end I asked few questions on M&A issues in China (I had
	read up on this after the interview schedule was out)this helped to
	impress him as he was based in the Corp Fin group in China
	impress tunt as he was based in the Corp rin group in Chilla
What do you think went	KEPT COOL
right for you in the in this	
interview?	Stated assumptions clearly and got them approved from interviewer
interview:	
Milest do you think many	Was able to expand scope of problem – structured well
What do you think went	Should NOT goof up on number calculations
wrong in this interview?	Very Charles Confident & Conference (Conservation than the charles & M.
Any tips for the future	Keep Stay Confident & Cool even if you miss the above fact. Move
batches based on this	on and build on it. Show Energy during the interview. !!
interview experience.	

Arun Neelkantan

McKinsey - 1st round

Case 1:

Your client is a ham and sausage manufacturer. Their profits are decreasing. How do you fix it?

Main Points:

Profit equation – the revenues were decreasing. Not much had changed with the costs. The customer demand has been increasing but your market share has been decreasing. There are 2 competitors in the market. The customers are the retailers and they give contracts based on a bid system. You are being consistently underbid by your competitors. The main decrease in revenue has been from the sausage segment while the ham segment has done well. There might be several reasons why you are being underbid – the competitors have a lower cost structure or they might be willing to take a smaller markup, the quality of product might be different, the service provided might be different etc.

The main reason was that our costs are higher. The cost for ham is 4\$/kg of which 20% is Fixed and 80% is variable. The cost for sausage is 1\$/kg of which 60% is Fixed and 40% is variable. The fixed cost allocation was based on number of units and so the allocation was not proper. 80 cents was allocated to ham and 60 cents to sausage. So you can try to reallocate fixed costs to the ham to reduce the cost in the books and so to reduce the bid price.

Will the reallocation result in profits – it depends. The reallocation will increase the costs of the ham and so decrease the profits. However, the reduction is cost of the sausage will give us increased business.

Case 2:

How do you determine the cell phone penetration in the Indian market in 2010?

Main points:

There are several ways to do this – one is to just extrapolate based on the recent past. Another way is to compare with another country (say China) and account for the differences in GDP etc and determine the penetration. Another way to is do a grass roots analysis to obtain the penetration.

Grass roots – I divided the market into urban and rural. Then based on the age groups I assumed a % of users of cell phones, summed them up and obtained the penetration. The key points to consider when determining the usage are – cost of cell phone, income level, need for cell phone, tower service (can you provide service to rural areas) and cost of service.