

# **Specware 4.2 Transformation Manual**

## **Specware 4.2 Transformation Manual**

Copyright © 2007-2010 by Kestrel Development Corporation

All rights reserved

The name Specware® is a registered trademark of Kestrel Development Corporation

# Table of Contents

<b>1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Experimental nature .....	1
1.2. Transformations .....	1
1.3. Interaction .....	1
1.4. A (very) simple example.....	2
<b>2. The Transformation Shell .....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1. Entering and leaving the Transformation Shell .....	5
2.2. Focus and navigation .....	5
2.3. Rewrite, unfold, fold .....	6
2.4. Simplification .....	8
2.5. Diverse .....	9
<b>3. Isomorphic type refinement .....</b>	<b>11</b>



# Chapter 1. Introduction

## 1.1. Experimental nature

The “Transformation Shell” is an experimental addition to the Specware system, currently under active development. This manual records a snapshot, and is probably already outdated as you read it.

No guarantees should be expected concerning the correct operation of the Transformation Shell. Assurance, as can be provided by the “correct by construction paradigm”, depends on properly discharging the proof obligations engendered by specs and refinements, which is independent of the operation of the Transformation Shell.

## 1.2. Transformations

Specware 4.2 has a new construct in its “spec calculus” fragment of Metaslang, not yet documented in the language manual. To the productions of **spec-term**, add a new construct **spec-transformation**, with grammar rule:

**spec-transformation** ::= **transform** spec-term **by** transformation-list

**transformation-list** ::= { [ transformation-step { , transformation-step } \* ] }

Transformations are refinements that transform specs by means of rewriting techniques, possibly combining automated strategies with ad hoc rewrite steps, based on higher-order pattern matching so as to apply generic and domain-specific theorems and equational logic. The Transformation Shell can be viewed as an interactive tool for constructing transformation-lists for **spec-transformations**.

## 1.3. Interaction

The Transformation Shell is started from within the Specware Shell, and operates likewise in a read-command -- perform-command -- report-back cycle. The commands issued by the user correspond to **transformation-steps** as occurring in **transformation-lists**, but have a simplified syntax, reducing the number of keystrokes required for entering them. At any time, the Transformation Shell can be made to

produce a transformation-list in proper Metaslang syntax that can be used as is in a `.sw` file.

Most Specware Shell commands are also available from the Transformation Shell and can be invoked directly without need to leave the Transformation Shell. The `proc` command for processing a unit is available, but has additional effects as described in the next chapter. The abbreviation `p` for `proc` is not available; it has been shadowed by the abbreviation `p` for the new Transformation Shell command `move previous`.

## 1.4. A (very) simple example

The following example has been chosen for extreme simplicity, rather than for being realistic or illustrating the potential of transformations. Consider the following spec:

```
spec
  theorem commutative_+ is
    fa (i: Integer, j : Integer) i + j = j + i

  theorem neutral_+_0 is
    fa (i: Integer) i + 0 = i

  op double : Integer -> Integer
  def double i = 0 + 2 * i
endspec
```

The two theorems are proof obligations; under the assumption that they have been or will be discharged, it is safe to apply them in simplifying the definition of `op double`. Assuming that the spec goes by the name `Example`, the user can enter the Transformation Shell by issuing the (Specware Shell) command

```
* transform Example
```

The Transformation Shell responds with

```
Entering Transformation Construction Shell
**
```

Note the slightly different prompt: two asterisks instead of a single one. We give the rest of the dialogue, followed by an explanation:

```
** at double
(fn i -> 0 + 2 * i)
```

```

** lr commutative_+
(fn i -> 2 * i + 0)
** lr neutral+_0
(fn i -> 2 * i)
** done
{at double,
  lr commutative_+,
  lr neutral+_0}
*

```

and the user is back in the Specware Shell, as indicated by the prompt.

The `at op-name` command puts the focus of the Transformation Shell on an **op-definition**; the effect of most transformations is limited to the current focus. By way of feedback, the contents of the focus is printed whenever there is a change. As can be seen by the fact that we have a **lambda-form**, the **expression** the Transformation Shell is operating on may be different from the **expressions** recorded in a **spec-form**. The `lr claim-name` command applies the axiom or theorem, the essence of whose **expression** must be an equality, as a left-to-right rewrite rule. At the `done` command, the list of transformations is given in Metaslang syntax; the elaboration of

```

transform Example by
{at double,
  lr commutative_+,
  lr neutral+_0}

```

results in a `spec` that is identical to `Example` except that the definition of `op double` is now

```

def double i = 2 * i

```





# Chapter 2. The Transformation Shell

## 2.1. Entering and leaving the Transformation Shell

The Transformation Shell is entered from within the Specware Shell by the command

```
transform spec
```

The *spec* argument is optional; the zero-argument version means: use the last argument of the kind “unit” last used for a Specware Shell command. It must, specifically, be a unit defining a spec.

The normal way to leave the Transformation Shell is the command

```
done
```

which returns processing to the interactive Specware Shell loop. Before handing back control to the Specware Shell, the Transformation Shell reports the transformation-list corresponding to the transformations performed, or “No transformations” if none were performed. The command `exit` and its alias `quit` -- actually a Specware Shell commands -- terminates the whole Specware session immediately, without reporting any transformations performed.

## 2.2. Focus and navigation

Most transformations are only applied to a restricted part of the spec, called the (transformation) “focus”. The focussing command

```
at op
```

puts the focus on the defining expression for an `op`.

It is possible to navigate by moving the focus around by issuing a move command

```
move m1 m2 m3 ...
```

in which each navigation directive *m1, m2, ...,* is one of `first`, `last`, `previous`, `next`, `widen`, `search token`, `reverse-search token`, and `all`. The keyword

## Chapter 2. The Transformation Shell

`move` is optional, and each navigation directive may be abbreviated by its first letter; for example, the command `p` is equivalent to `move previous`.

Assuming the focus has been set at `x`, where `op x` is defined by

```
op x : Nat = (1 + 2) * (if 3 = 4 then 5 else 6)
```

the subsequent effect of these navigation commands is as follows:

```
(1 + 2) * (if 3 = 4 then 5 else 6)
** first
1 + 2
** last
2
** previous
1
** next
2
** widen
1 + 2
** search if
if 3 = 4 then 5 else 6
** reverse-search +
1 + 2
** all
(1 + 2) * (if 3 = 4 then 5 else 6)
```

So `first` focusses on the first child of the *current* focus that is an **expression**, `last` focusses on the last child, `previous` and `next` on the previous and next sibling, while `widen` widens the focus to the encompassing **expression**. The effect of `search` and `reverse-search` should be obvious. Finally, `all` widens the focus to the original one.

## 2.3. Rewrite, unfold, fold

In the following two Transformation Shell commands, *claim* is the name of an axiom or theorem occurring in the spec, including any imported specs, whose **expression** is a possibly universally quantified equation. For example, the **expression** can be

```
[a] fa (x : List a) x ++ [] = x
```

In particular, all theorems in the Base library can be used having such a form.

The left-to-right rewrite command

```
lr claim
```

applies the equation, viewed as a rewrite rule, in the left-to-right direction. More precisely, the first subexpression of the focus is found that matches the left-hand side of the equation. The substitution that made the left-hand side match is applied to the right-hand side of the equation, and the result replaces the matched subexpression. The matching algorithm uses higher-order matching; for example,  $1 + 1$  matches  $f(i, i)$  by the substitution

```
(f, i) := (fn x -> x + x, 1)
```

The matching algorithm takes account of the types, which should also match.

The right-to-left rewrite command

```
rl claim
```

applies the equation as a rewrite rule in the right-to-left direction: the first subexpression of the focus is found that matches the right-hand side of the equation, which then is replaced by the left-hand side after applying the matching substitution.

In the following two Transformation Shell commands, *op* is the name of an op that has a definition in the spec, including any imported specs. The definition can occur as an **op-definition**, as in

```
op [a] twice : (a -> a) -> (a -> a)
def twice f x = f(f x)
```

or in the form of an **op-declaration** containing a defining expression, as in

```
op [a] twice (f : a -> a) : a -> a = fn x -> f(f x)
```

For the purpose of using this in (un)folding transformations, these are equivalent.

The unfold command

```
unfold op
```

“unfolds” one or more occurrences of **op-name** *op* in the focus, replacing them by the **expression** defining *op*. So the definition is used very much as if it was an axiom used by an *lr* rewrite command. For example, in the context of a definition for op *twice* as above, `unfold twice` applied to the focus `posNat? (twice pred n)` results in `posNat? (pred (pred n))`.

The fold command

```
fold op
```

“folds” the first occurrence matching the defining expression for *op*, replacing it by *op*.

Note. Folding may introduce circularity in definitions, and the result may therefore be an ill-formed spec. Formally, this means that the proof obligation cannot be discharged for the requirement that the defining equation have a unique solution.

## 2.4. Simplification

The `simplify` command

```
simplify r1 r2 r3 ...
```

applies a rewriting simplifier with the supplied rules *r1 r2*, etcetera, which must be given in the form of `rewrite` commands or `(un)fold` commands.

For example, instead of giving a sequence of `rewrite` commands

```
lr commutative_+  
lr neutral+_0
```

a user can issue a single `simplify` command

```
simplify lr commutative_+ lr neutral+_0
```

If any of the rules is found to apply, the `simplify` command will try to reapply all rules on the whole resulting new contents of the focus, as well as its repertoire of some standard simplification rules.

The `simplify-standard` command

```
simp-standard
```

applies a standard simplifier, without additional rules. The keyword `simp-standard` may be abbreviated to `ss`.

The `partial-evaluation` command

```
partial-eval
```

evaluates the closed subexpressions of the focus -- that is, expressions not containing unbound variables. The keyword `partial-eval` may be abbreviated to `pe`.

The `abstract-common-subexpressions` command

```
abstract-cse
```

abstract common (repeated) subexpressions in the focus expression. For example, applying it to

```
("object " ++ obj, "object " ++ obj ++ newline))
```

results in

```
let csel = "object " ++ obj in
(csel, csel ++ newline)
```

The keyword `abstract-cse` may be abbreviated to `cse`.

## 2.5. Diverse

The `undo` command

```
undo n
```

undoes the last  $n$  commands performed by the The  $n$  parameter is optional, with default 1.

The `print-current-focus` command

```
pc
```

print the current focus expression.

In the course of interactively applying transformations using the Transformation Shell, a user may need to modify the spec being processed in order to proceed, for example by adding a theorem needed for rewriting. The `process` command

```
proc unit-term
```

elaborates the `unit-term` as possibly modified by the user, and restarts the Transformation Shell on the processed spec, re-applying any earlier effectful transformation commands. The `unit-term` is optional; the zero-argument version means: use the same spec as before.

The `trace-rewrites` command

```
trace-rewrites
```

## *Chapter 2. The Transformation Shell*

starts a print trace for individual rewrites. The keyword `trace-rewrites` may be abbreviated to `trr`.

The `untrace-rewrites` command

```
untrace-rewrites
```

turns off printing a trace for individual rewrites. The keyword `untrace-rewrites` may be abbreviated to `untrr`.

# Chapter 3. Isomorphic type refinement

The following transformation is not available through the Transformation Shell but only through the “spec calculus” of Metaslang. One of the alternatives for a transformation-step is an isomorphic-type-refinement, which takes the form

$$\text{isomorphism}(f, g)$$

It operates on a whole spec. The parameters  $f$  and  $g$  must be ops that constitute the witnesses of an isomorphism between two types, say  $T$  and  $T'$ , so that

$$f : T \rightarrow T'$$

and

$$g : T' \rightarrow T$$

are each other’s inverse (and therefore bijections). The effect is that for each op-declaration and op-definition in the spec for an op having some type  $F(T)$  involving  $T$ , a modified copy is added for an op having type  $F(T')$ .

