

AI-Driven Systems for Autonomous Spacecraft Operations

Abstract

The AI-Driven Systems for Autonomous Spacecraft Operations project explores the transformative role of artificial intelligence (AI) in enhancing the efficiency, autonomy, and capabilities of space missions. By leveraging machine learning algorithms for real-time data analysis, AI facilitates advancements in Earth observation, navigation, and satellite operations, reducing reliance on intensive testing and lowering costs. The project addresses the challenges of integrating AI into spacecraft, emphasizing the need for robust, fault-tolerant systems that can withstand the harsh conditions of space. A dedicated AI design, verification, and certification framework is proposed to ensure system reliability and resilience. The research highlights AI's potential in mission planning, anomaly detection, and autonomous decision-making, enabling spacecraft to adapt to unforeseen situations and operate with minimal human intervention. The project underscores the importance of human-on-the-loop control, balancing machine autonomy with human oversight to enhance mission success. As AI continues to evolve, it promises to revolutionize spacecraft design, optimize mission objectives, and facilitate ambitious exploration endeavors, while addressing technical, ethical, and legal challenges to ensure safe and secure operations.

Introduction: Originality of the Research Project

Source DB DB Query Object Graph Fig. 1 Functional diagram of the Prepare step of the AI/ML workflow. framework. A number of FOSS and COTS solutions exist and are implemented either as tools or APIs at the project level (e.g., DVC*or the tf.data.Dataset module†) or as an enterprise-level central dataset repository (e.g., Collibra‡). Beyond storing the data, centralized dataset repositories provide additional features that aid in the management of

work on systems capable of generating reliable responses to these parameters. Furthermore, it is necessary to identify tools to improve access to information in the mission-design and planning phases to manage the information necessary for its success. These tools are called design engineering assistants and can support decision-making for complex engineering problems such as initial input estimation, assisting experts by answering queries related to

trajectories. However, the true nature of most design problems is multi-objective, potentially also including integer decisions variables or non-linear constraints. In this multi-objective setting, the concept of the best design (i.e. the global optimum) is substituted with that of a Pareto front, a collection of non-dominated solutions expressing the trade-offs between different conflicting objectives. Consequently, a set of best possible solutions (Pareto-optimal front) is required to guide engineering

spacecraft design is a vast effort, branching out into many fields of science and engineering. The proposed research obtains several important results towards the design of space missions that provide higher utility to the stakeholders, by being more optimized and not bound to the stagnancy of conservative mission design approaches. These improvements are obtained through innovations in three aspects of the mission design: • exploring the alternative concepts thoroughly and more efficiently

Explainable • Model uncertainty • Model architecture/complexity • Explainability • Explainable AI techniques • Continuous monitoring • Root cause analysis User Experience Rapid • Development time • Ease of update • Model/Data repository • COTS development tools/services High Value • Non-recurring cost • Recurring cost • Improvement over other methods • Automated pipelines • Reuse common solutions • Reduce duplication of efforts Easy to use • UX/UI intuitiveness • Ease of integration • Standards conformity

occur in any stage of the mission. Updates, for example, can come from downlink data, both at the tactical level (e.g. a magnetosphere model might need adjustments), or at the strategic level with trend and history analysis (e.g., the duration of plumes at a certain location can be better estimated given historical data from previous flybys). Figure 7. Variability Definition: allows scientists, engineers, autonomy engineers and operators to input uncertainty with respect to a multitude of aspects that might

of the workflow. Additionally, high-quality datasets for these environments can be difficult to come by and relying on augmentation or simulations potentially introduces unknown vulnerabilities. Questions, such as "How will the model respond to novel inputs?" or "Under what conditions do we expect the outputs of the model to be valid?" need to be addressed. The performance of an AI/ML system will naturally degrade over time whether due to data drift, changes in

can be determined by performance of the model and/or a schedule. After development, the artifacts are tested to ensure proper function and performance. Next a final review is conducted before the packaged automated model pipeline is released for delivery to the target environment. The major steps in the Develop phase are largely the same across the three environments and the differences at workflow level have been discussed in the previous sections. The main differences are the types of artifacts built during

across those meetings, though we also encouraged them to break into reflective discussions about the process and tools along the way. We also collected feedback in the form of survey responses in a journal they used for each day. We had implemented the tools as click-through prototypes only, and user study facilitator needed to "drive" the tools at the the operator's request. This dynamic evoked dialogue about what information they needed to see and why. 14

aspects, but, among all, is mainly described by the network architecture and the state-action spaces; on the other side, the reward formulation embeds the mission objectives. Once these two, or more if needed, cases have been selected, the workflow proposes to train and test them with different levels of initial conditions. This difference can be interpreted in distinct ways: for instance, in Section 4.3, it concerns the randomness level of the initial conditions; while, in

innovators. We will create opportunities to crossflow high caliber personnel from industry into government positions and USSF members to industry or other government agencies to remain current in technology and best practices.

REALISTIC TRAINING

We will make every effort to train in realistic, contested conditions. Our forces are always in competition, and our capabilities are likely among the first targets of an aggressor's action. In both competition and conflict

other similar details; • Using probabilistic, rather than deterministic, transition rules. Population-based algorithms adopt a similar approach, regardless of the applied paradigm and follow from the algorithm below. 1. Initialise the population; 2. Fitness is calculated for each individual in the population; 3. Produce a new population-based on some rules that strictly depend on the fitness of each individual; 4. Repeat steps 2–4 until a condition is met. 4.1.2. Machine learning techniques

6.1. Overview of the Methodology these four coupled models are trained with two different types of random initial conditions following the strategy outlined in the Fig. 6.1. In this way, it was possible to understand how the agent is robust and adaptable. In Section 4.4 the same kind of analysis has been followed to increase again the complexity of the model, in this case in terms of state and action space. Figure 6.1: Schematization of the proposed workflow methodology. 141

bold, future focus informs our force design. We will deliver a streamlined, agile, and innovative organization that sets a new standard in the Department of Defense.

During this period of transformation, our forces must continue to deliver the effects our Nation and Joint Force count on without fail. Commanders responsible for those missions will prioritize efforts to ensure they continue seamlessly despite the disruptions inevitable during

standing, should be investigated first. Classical and specialised methods, on the other hand, are often naive, whereas heuristic and metaheuristic paradigms can be utilised to various conditions. One key advantage of heuristic and metaheuristic paradigms is their robustness. In this context, robustness refers to an algorithm's ability to solve a wide range of problems and even multiple sorts of problems, with only slight changes to account for each problem's specific properties. A stochastic

to the reader. We decided to give importance to work published in the last few years, avoiding the historical perspective of older and well-established fundamental works. Additionally, we decided to avoid publications which are strongly speculative in nature: while visionary ideas are interesting to follow, an important requirement for this survey was a well-motivated applicability for a space-related challenge, ideally inspired by an already established or newly proposed mission concept. This narrow

that the 35 generation. The selected value ensures a balance between effectiveness of the search (lower elite population fractions) and survival of fit individuals (higher elite population fractions). 8.5 Results The investigation on methodologies to improve and automate the space mission and spacecraft design is a vast effort, branching out into many fields of science and

challenges and unlocking new opportunities. By leveraging AI techniques, scientists and engineers can enhance data analysis, enable autonomous systems, improve navigation, and achieve greater efficiency in space missions.

Methodology: To conduct this research initially, an extensive literature search was performed using reputable scientific databases, including IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, and Google Scholar. The search keywords included "artificial intelligence," "AI,"

of the main research topic, corroborating its procedures and techniques. All three cases confirmed the good quality of the proposed methodology, achieving satisfying performance levels in each of the applications' frameworks. Working for a Ph.D. is not an easy road, it is a path full of stops, sudden turns, backs out and comebacks, and surely does not exhaustively explore a research field. But certainly, it helps pushing towards the accomplishment of evermore

spacecraft design is a vast effort, branching out into many fields of science and engineering. The proposed research obtains several important results towards the design of space missions that provide higher utility to the stakeholders, by being more optimized and not bound to the stagnancy of conservative mission design approaches. These improvements are obtained through innovations in three aspects of the mission design: • exploring the alternative concepts thoroughly and more efficiently

to the projected mission progress and performance. Iterations continue, as the team decides to make minor tweaks or drop problematic goals entirely. The team can inspect individual cases or "clusters" of related cases to understand outcomes that might happen onboard and investigate problematic plans that approach undesired limits. Explanation of these problematic cases (either by manually inspecting logs, state history, timelines and traces or by using an automated

Figure 10. Mission Impact tool: shows an overview of the simulations and the impact of updated or newly added goals to the mission progress actual telemetry from downlink and compare it to modeled predicted data (predicts) to support the analysis of onboard health and safety and anomaly detection[16]. The tool resembles conventional downlink analysis tools, plotting onboard state over time overlaid with events, and a list of EVRs. The predicts are clustered, since the uplink process for autonomy

Progress in Aerospace Sciences 144 (2024) 100960 27 developed by The Aerospace Corporation (Fig. 30) looks at a system's capacity to fulfil mission objectives throughout the course of its full lifecycle. Once the mission's requirements and specific functions have been determined, the possible threats that can affect the mission should be identified, along with potential strategies. The threat's goals must be also identified because the strategy is a tool for attaining them [322].

experienced autonomy. In particular, we chose to focus on how scientists would react to probabilistic resource conflicts, whether operators would trust and accept a non-deterministic uplink plan, and whether operators would feel confident in their retrospective reconstruction of onboard behavior and safety upon downlink. To

study these particular facets of operations, we selected the previously described “Mapping Triton and Plume Detection” scenario (see Section 2), and elaborated preliminary tools and

to 70 The impacted scientist felt that the changes had compromised their original observation even though it was still likely to occur, and, as a result, the participants discussed several strategies to make a compromise or otherwise relax the plan without using autonomy. The impacted scientist explained “I would have pushed harder if there was any indication that [the baseline plumes] or both were super important and we were not able to image them again.” At the

Hypothesis, Research Objectives and Envisaged Methodology

Machines, Ensemble Learning. Several other methods consider prior knowledge during learning: in this case, the effects of knowledge representation and learning are joined together. Current- best-hypothesis search and Least-commitment search are examples of these algorithms. Efforts are also being spent in developing methodologies to extract general knowledge from specific examples. Several different types of learning have been developed, including Explanation-based learning, Relevance-based learning,

paramount importance are also the operation research and the Markov decision processes. Some of the fundamental questions of the field, related to AI: - how should we make decisions to improve the outcomes? - how can we change these decisions when the outcomes are evaluated in the far future, or when boundary conditions vary? Neuroscience Neuroscience is involved with studying the brain, which is the main element of the nervous systems in human beings. Despite the majority of

Analysis Once we concluded the study, we transcribed the audio from the sessions and compiled the survey responses. To identify themes, we grouped clusters of related observations into affinity groups, focusing on topics that related to our research questions. Findings In this section, we describe preliminary themes that emerged from our analysis. The user study participants in general successfully used the tools and series of steps to complete their operations tasks. The operators’ participation, inquiries,

version control that tracks the original source and any modifications to a dataset, ensuring traceability of the data lineage. The first step in most machine learning projects, once data is in hand, is exploratory data analysis (EDA). The goals of EDA are to understand the contents of the dataset, identify any trends that might be exploitable via AI/ML, and begin to shape the hypotheses and approaches to explore. The EDA step is often dataset-specific and requires a knowledgeable

of the workflow. Additionally, high-quality datasets for these environments can be difficult to come by and relying on augmentation or simulations potentially introduces unknown vulnerabilities. Questions, such as “How will the model respond to novel inputs?” or “Under what conditions do we expect the outputs of the model to be valid?” need to be addressed. The performance of an AI/ML system will naturally degrade over time whether due to data drift, changes in

Appl. Sci. 2022, 12, 5106 4 of 21 Therefore, all efforts lead to two common goals: (i) to push toward space exploration and scientific discoveries; (ii) to improve life on Earth. Human beings play an essential role in all this, such as specialists who work on the objectives of space missions, astronauts who experience challenging conditions in space, and ordinary citizens involved in the achievement of these challenges, particularly those of improving life on Earth.

such observation as a goal in its corresponding campaign. The tool also allows the direct specification of goals in the form a set of desired activities (e.g. observation, detection) without necessarily using the search mechanism. Figure 5 shows an example of the creation of two goals (one conditional on execution of the other) to monitor a particular location on the surface of Triton, and perform a follow-on observation if a plume is detected. The figure also shows

plan specification, from strategic to tactical, which largely aligns with current mission practices of large ground planning teams at NASA JPL. Building on previous work at JPL [11] [12] [13] [14] we designed a set of UI tools to progressively capture and specify intent as science campaigns. In this work campaigns are

composed by: a constrained set of goals (a desired state value or a high level activity, e.g. survey the magnetosphere, or monitor for plume activity), metrics to evaluate

Progress in Aerospace Sciences 144 (2024) 100960 27 developed by The Aerospace Corporation (Fig. 30) looks at a system's capacity to fulfil mission objectives throughout the course of its full lifecycle. Once the mission's requirements and specific functions have been determined, the possible threats that can affect the mission should be identified, along with potential strategies. The threat's goals must be also identified because the strategy is a tool for attaining them [322].

overall public and pre-accession interest in space. We will not passively wait for the best to respond to marketing, but actively use merit and diversity-based criteria to seek the talent we want.

Our efforts to manage, develop, and retain this talent will be central to the long-term viability and success of the Service. Our small, flat organization allows for deliberate individualized development focused on building space warfighters with the necessary

of the main research topic, corroborating its procedures and techniques. All three cases confirmed the good quality of the proposed methodology, achieving satisfying performance levels in each of the applications' frameworks. Working for a Ph.D. is not an easy road, it is a path full of stops, sudden turns, backs out and comebacks, and surely does not exhaustively explore a research field. But certainly, it helps pushing towards the accomplishment of evermore

Analysis Once we concluded the study, we transcribed the audio from the sessions and compiled the survey responses. To identify themes, we grouped clusters of related observations into affinity groups, focusing on topics that related to our research questions. Findings In this section, we describe preliminary themes that emerged from our analysis. The user study participants in general successfully used the tools and series of steps to complete their operations tasks. The operators' participation, inquiries,

challenges and unlocking new opportunities. By leveraging AI techniques, scientists and engineers can enhance data analysis, enable autonomous systems, improve navigation, and achieve greater efficiency in space missions.

Methodology: To conduct this research initially, an extensive literature search was performed using reputable scientific databases, including IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, and Google Scholar. The search keywords included "artificial intelligence," "AI,"

4. Repeat steps 2–4 until a condition is met. 4.1.2. Machine learning techniques ML approaches are a subset of AI techniques that allows for the creation of analytical models to be automated. It is a branch of AI based on the idea that computers can learn through data, identify patterns and make judgments with small or no human intervention. A ML process is shown in Fig. 20. A model that can be queried by an application is trained based on a data or knowledge base. Regardless of suitable con

decision-making[31]. Sinha R., (2018), Data Mining techniques can extract valuable insights from this data, such as identifying patterns, anomalies, or potential discoveries [32]. Sinha R., (2019), Data Warehousing can provide a centralized repository for storing and integrating data from different sources, facilitating comprehensive analysis and knowledge discovery. By combining these technologies

the training data, hoping it would accurately work for the real ones. Some kind of assurance is needed, that your model has got most of the patterns from the data correct, and its not picking up too much on the noise, i.e. overfitting the training data. The process of deciding whether the numerical results quantifying hypothesized relationships between variables are acceptable as descriptions of the data, is known as validation. Generally, an error estimation for the model is made af-

the mission's objectives. • Initial conditions robustness: where all the parameters involved in the random selection and their relative randomness level are defined or designed. The adopted workflow based on these three aspects is schematized in Fig. 6.1. This methodology is proposed as a sort of vademecum or guidelines to follow for the implementation and testing of a robust DRL-based path or strategy planning agent. Indeed, all three scenarios that will be analysed in the next

and Lin [19] prove in their study how this approach generally yields the best performance when compared to other schemes. 2.3 training & validation A simple, introductory article on the topic can be found on [1] When building a machine learning model, it is absolutely necessary to assess the stability and performance of said model over unseen data, before releasing it as the final product. It cannot just be fit to the training data, hoping it would accurately work for the real ones.

standing, should be investigated first. Classical and specialised methods, on the other hand, are often naive, whereas heuristic and metaheuristic paradigms can be utilised to various conditions. One key advantage of heuristic and metaheuristic paradigms is their robustness. In this context, robustness refers to an algorithm’s ability to solve a wide range of problems and even multiple sorts of problems, with only slight changes to account for each problem’s specific properties. A stochastic

4. Repeat steps 2–4 until a condition is met. 4.1.2. Machine learning techniques ML approaches are a subset of AI techniques that allows for the creation of analytical models to be automated. It is a branch of AI based on the idea that computers can learn through data, identify patterns and make judgments with small or no human intervention. A ML process is shown in Fig. 20. A model that can be queried by an application is trained based on a data or knowledge base. Regardless of suitable con

Hubble Space Telescope and the Kepler mission. Once the schedule is defined, it is uploaded to the spacecraft and executed in a time-tagged way. In general, the definition of the activities is performed not only for the nominal path, but alternate branches of off-nominal conditions are also foreseen and generated. Interestingly, the definition of the timeline of operations is a process as time-dependent as the execution of the operations itself: in certain cases, the look-ahead period can reach

can be determined by performance of the model and/or a schedule. After development, the artifacts are tested to ensure proper function and performance. Next a final review is conducted before the packaged automated model pipeline is released for delivery to the target environment. The major steps in the Develop phase are largely the same across the three environments and the differences at workflow level have been discussed in the previous sections. The main differences are the types of artifacts built during

exploits a Deep Autoencoder to perform image compression. 2.3. Mission Timeline The Φ sat-2 spacecraft is designed for a 14-month lifetime from launch with potential extension up to 2 more years. Figure 5 shows the nominal top-level timeline of the mission, and Table 4 Summary of Mission Phases Table 4 provides a description of the activities undertaken during each phase.

Figure 5 Mission Timeline Phase Duration Activities Launch and Early 2 weeks Launch and separation

Progress in Aerospace Sciences 144 (2024) 100960 5 c) Life Cycle Parameters: Total time required for operation, budget, as well as maximum time required for initial deployment. A high-level process for calculating the decentralisation values from M3 to M4 is depicted in Fig. 5. Because of the underlying network structure, designers are recommended to rely on multi-agent techniques that blend system dynamics and evolution with autonomous behaviour [34]. 2.3. DSS classification

to the projected mission progress and performance. Iterations continue, as the team decides to make minor tweaks or drop problematic goals entirely. The team can inspect individual cases or “clusters” of related cases to understand outcomes that might happen onboard and investigate problematic plans that approach undesired limits. Explanation of these problematic cases (either by manually inspecting logs, state history, timelines and traces or by using an automated

Expected Outcomes / Impact

changes into the main plan. Then the team collectively reviews these preliminary “low fidelity” outputs, implements iterations as needed and approves advancing to the “high fidelity” evaluation of possible outcomes and autonomy output. Such high fidelity evaluation is called here outcome prediction phase. At the outcome prediction phase, simulations produce a more realistic and comprehensive view of the new plan’s impact to the projected mission progress and performance. Itera-

as the metrics and variability specifications. Figure 9 shows the predicted outcomes (for the target tasknet) on the left-hand side, ordered from most likely to least likely, aiding the operator in more easily deciphering the expected behavior of the constructed plans. The green and red arrows inform the impact of an added goal (in this case, observing Plume X) on the outcome distribution. For example, the percentage of cases in which Observation A and B will be both performed

and battery status. Since this view displays an aggregate of all outcomes, the charts on the timeline view showcase the overlaid results in a gradient-like pattern indicating all the possible values for the various outcomes. All in all, this view is crucial for the operator in examining all of the possible outcomes after running through the prediction engine. Figure 9. Mission Planning Prediction Results tool: shows the aggregated summary of all simulation runs for a given task network

of the outcome of the plan, while higher fidelity predictions, which we made available later in the activity, provided estimations based on a Monte Carlo Simulation approach that modeled the outcomes across 10,000 scenarios/outcomes. While participants generally accepted the progression from low fidelity to high fidelity data, conversations with scientist participants revealed that some wanted higher fidelity data available at the beginning of negotiations. In this particular

prognostics system, enabling further predictions. This would enable operators to avoid problems in advance, reducing the rail delays across their network. This has been deployed in early stages and is showing promising results in reducing delays. This has enabled Deutsche Bahn to implement a prototype semi-autonomous FDIR system. 3.2 Prognostics & Prediction With the advent of low cost, high volume Internet of Things (IoT) devices, automated machine health monitoring has become more practical [14]. Machine

inspired by an already established or newly proposed mission concept. This narrow scope allows our survey to be concise while remaining relevant for the interested practitioner. Many times, results obtained by one AI technology for a specific task appear stunning, but perform rather poorly when transferred to a different task, which often happens when its strength and weaknesses are not thoroughly understood. However, due to the pioneering works of many researchers combined with the results of large

paramount importance are also the operation research and the Markov decision processes. Some of the fundamental questions of the field, related to AI: - how should we make decisions to improve the outcomes? - how can we change these decisions when the outcomes are evaluated in the far future, or when boundary conditions vary? Neuroscience Neuroscience is involved with studying the brain, which is the main element of the nervous systems in human beings. Despite the majority of

address the challenges and opportunities in integrating AI in the field of space exploration. Furthermore, the selected papers were scrutinized to extract relevant data and insights that could contribute to the research paper. This involved identifying statistical information, experimental results, case studies, and any other data that supported the findings and conclusions of the respective studies. The extracted data and insights were then used to strengthen the research paper's

of the main research topic, corroborating its procedures and techniques. All three cases confirmed the good quality of the proposed methodology, achieving satisfying performance levels in each of the applications' frameworks. Working for a Ph.D. is not an easy road, it is a path full of stops, sudden turns, backs out and comebacks, and surely does not exhaustively explore a research field. But certainly, it helps pushing towards the accomplishment of evermore

is more exciting because AI and space technologies offer a wide range of opportunities. Nevertheless, the need to understand the ultimate outcome of the technology remains unanswered. Not to mention that even the scientific research community are unable to agree on a precedent arising from the use of AI. Prominent scientists and industry leaders argued that AI could radically transform the way we live and work, potentially threatening our civilisation and even human survival [343]. A

address the challenges and opportunities in integrating AI in the field of space exploration. Furthermore, the selected papers were scrutinized to extract relevant data and insights that could contribute to the research paper. This involved identifying statistical information, experimental results, case studies, and any other data that supported the findings and conclusions of the respective studies. The extracted data and insights

were then used to strengthen the research paper's

Dynamics, DARPA). Excellent examples of applications are also to be found in interplanetary robotics systems, such as NASA Mars Science Laboratory [55]. Robotics – Research applications have differentiated into various fields, encompassing aerial, terrestrial and underwater robots: examples are found in the heavy industry, in paralyzed people aids, computer vision and so on. Other applications involved are the Touring Problems, VLSI layouts, Automatic Assembly Sequencing and so on.

challenges and unlocking new opportunities. By leveraging AI techniques, scientists and engineers can enhance data analysis, enable autonomous systems, improve navigation, and achieve greater efficiency in space missions.

Methodology: To conduct this research initially, an extensive literature search was performed using reputable scientific databases, including IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, and Google Scholar. The search keywords included "artificial intelligence," "AI,"

showcasing its applications and benefits. Researchers have explored the use of AI in satellite operations, enabling efficient monitoring, control, and maintenance of satellites in orbit. Moreover, AI techniques have been employed for data analysis from space missions, enabling the extraction of valuable insights and facilitating scientific discoveries. Robotics is another area where AI has demonstrated its potential, with autonomous robots being deployed for tasks such as planetary

inspired by an already established or newly proposed mission concept. This narrow scope allows our survey to be concise while remaining relevant for the interested practitioner. Many times, results obtained by one AI technology for a specific task appear stunning, but perform rather poorly when transferred to a different task, which often happens when its strength and weaknesses are not thoroughly understood. However, due to the pioneering works of many researchers combined with the results of large

plans to facilitate an iterative design process of science intent, including capturing intent and constructing plans with that intent. We focus on a workflow that includes intent capture/modeling, outcome/execution prediction, explanation of elements in the predicted outcomes (e.g. undesirable performance), as well as advisory techniques (e.g., "to fix undesirable behavior, add/change this constraint"). The proposed workflow aims to facilitate the operators' learning

of the outcome of the plan, while higher fidelity predictions, which we made available later in the activity, provided estimations based on a Monte Carlo Simulation approach that modeled the outcomes across 10,000 scenarios/outcomes. While participants generally accepted the progression from low fidelity to high fidelity data, conversations with scientist participants revealed that some wanted higher fidelity data available at the beginning of negotiations. In this particular

plan specification, from strategic to tactical, which largely aligns with current mission practices of large ground planning teams at NASA JPL. Building on previous work at JPL [11] [12] [13] [14] we designed a set of UI tools to progressively capture and specify intent as science campaigns. In this work campaigns are composed by: a constrained set of goals (a desired state value or a high level activity, e.g. survey the magnetosphere, or monitor for plume activity), metrics to evaluate

liminary design hadn't anticipated. For example, we had designed the system to include progressive disclosure of higher fidelity science goal prediction details. These predictions indicated the probability of each goal being successfully executed, given the order of operations and science targets. Lower-fidelity predictions, which we made available to participants at the activity start, gave operators a rough estimate of the outcome of the plan, while higher fidelity predictions,

intent, and updates that align evolving requirements. We value clear verbal and written communication oriented to inform decisions and implement actions. We also value design approaches to critical thinking, and data-driven problem solving. Over the next year, the Director of Staff will publish guidance that establishes a standard for how space professionals approach structured data-driven decision-making. Like MTOs, a standardized process is not intended to constrain thinking

metric specification, showing the number of plumes metric as an example. Progress and impact is also

shown for each campaign, based on the inputs given in the Mission Planning tool. Metrics are key inputs to evaluate both current and predicted spacecraft performance. Note that most of the metrics (if not all of them) are usually captured and specified early in the mission, or at the strategic planning level. Figure 6. Metric Definition: allows scientists, engineers,

of the workflow. Additionally, high-quality datasets for these environments can be difficult to come by and relying on augmentation or simulations potentially introduces unknown vulnerabilities. Questions, such as "How will the model respond to novel inputs?" or "Under what conditions do we expect the outputs of the model to be valid?" need to be addressed. The performance of an AI/ML system will naturally degrade over time whether due to data drift, changes in

tists, engineers and operators go through the process of intent capture, starting by revisiting the goals for the next flyby(s) based on the downlink data and analysis. Scientists and engineers then have the opportunity to make changes to the goals/plan while getting instant feedback on the viability of their changes and on their impact on overall mission progress and performance. The viability and impact analysis here is based on an initial, low fidelity evaluation of possible onboard

the aggregated summary of all simulation runs for a given task network Mission impact tool—The mission impact UI view (shown in Figure 10) provides an overview of the simulations spanning the whole mission (that is, looking into all flybys) highlighting, the impact of newly-added goals to the the progress and success of the campaigns and to performance trends. This view also shows how the plans perform with respect to key performance indicators, and the uncertainty associated with

(e.g., time series) • Resize/reshape/window Feature Engineering • Custom feature generation • Auto feature generation Data Visualization • Spreadsheet viewer • Basic plotting tools & viewer • Scatter plot/time series • Histogram (1D & 2D) • Image viewer Data Exploration • Automatic data profiling • Data type, range, stats • Cardinality • Correlation matrix • Feature importance • Univariate analysis • Clustering • Bias identification Data Labeling • Ontology definition • Hand labeling • Smart labeling

Explanations on the management of ethical issues and data protection

potentially threatening our civilisation and even human survival [343]. A report on robotics and AI published by the British House of Commons highlighted specific ethical and legal issues, including transparent decision-making, minimising bias, accountability and privacy [325]. The first draft of the "Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI" was published by the European Commission's High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence ('AI HLEG') [344]. According to the guidelines,

Progress in Aerospace Sciences 144 (2024) 100960 30 1. Ethical purpose: AI development, deployment and use should respect fundamental rights and applicable regulations as well as core principles and values to ensure "ethical purpose"; 2. Technical robustness: AI should be technically robust and reliable since its use can cause unintentional harm, even in the presence of good intentions [344]. AI systems use large amounts of data, causing increasing concerns as

the smaller file sizes required for programming, which is becoming compatible with the uplink bandwidth of small satellites [264]. 8.2. Ethical and legal challenges The use of AI in space systems raises a number of ethical and legal questions. Some researchers have identified the need for AI ethicists to help navigate where advances in this technology could lead [328]. This is more exciting because AI and space technologies offer a wide range of

text=Prof uman [344] E. Commission, Have your say: European expert group seeks feedback on draft ethics guidelines for trustworthy artificial intelligence, Available at: <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/have-your-say-european-expert-group-seeks-feedback-draft-ethics-guidelines-trustworthy>, 2018. [345] S.T. Todd, J. Burke, Emerging legal issues in an AI-driven world, Available at:

[326] D. Harkut, K. Kasat, Introductory Chapter: Artificial Intelligence - Challenges and Applications, 2019. [327] J.B. James Manyika, The Promise and Challenge of the Age of Artificial Intelligence, 2018.

[328] A. Pavaloiu, U. Kose, Ethical artificial intelligence - an open question, *Journal of Multidisciplinary Developments* 2 (04/01 2017) 15–27. [329] M.U. Scherer, Regulating artificial intelligence systems: risks, challenges, competencies, and strategies, *Harv. J. Law Technol.* 29 (2015) 353.

good intentions [344]. AI systems use large amounts of data, causing increasing concerns as more data is collected and used. Such high volumes and the level of dependence on such data will keep privacy at the forefront as one of the most significant legal issues to be addressed in the future. For instance, setting ethical parameters within which AI systems operate is paramount in tackling bias, considering the application of AI to data generated in space and prospective on-board AI space sector

potentially threatening our civilisation and even human survival [343]. A report on robotics and AI published by the British House of Commons highlighted specific ethical and legal issues, including transparent decision-making, minimising bias, accountability and privacy [325]. The first draft of the “Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI” was published by the European Commission’s High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence (‘AI HLEG’) [344]. According to the guidelines,

There are times when robots are used to collect and process sensitive information, starting from personal data used in healthcare to proprietary information used in industrial settings. All such information should be protected from unauthorized access and cyber attacks [15]. Ensure safe communication channels between the robots and the cloud in order to protect against breaches of data or tampering.

Data Security • Access management • Sensitive info labeling • User/group access rules Data Version Control • Original source • Commit history with comments Data Standardization • Labeling standards • Column naming standards • Data type and unit standards Data Security • Access management • Sensitive info labeling • User/group access rules Data Source DB DB Query Object Graph Fig. 1 Functional diagram of the Prepare step of the AI/ML workflow.

supporting the safety of orbiting spacecraft and debris mitigation [7]. With an exponentially growing number of space-related practical services and research interests, a new focus has been appropriately made on the defense and protection of spacecraft to ensure the continued flow of information (Cukurtepe and Akgun [13], Jah [20], Brown, Cotton, et al. [7], Contant-Jorgenson, Lála, Schrog, et al. [11]). A few

Data Security • Access management • Sensitive info labeling • User/group access rules Data Version Control • Original source • Commit history with comments Data Standardization • Labeling standards • Column naming standards • Data type and unit standards Data Security • Access management • Sensitive info labeling • User/group access rules Data Source DB DB Query Object Graph Fig. 1 Functional diagram of the Prepare step of the AI/ML workflow.

datasets via data governance. Central dataset repositories can be tied to enterprise authentication services such as Active Directory to provide an easy-to-use and secure way for groups to manage access and modification permissions for their datasets. For unrestricted datasets, a centralized repository makes it easier for developers to find relevant datasets and offers a single source of truth for datasets. Standards can also be implemented at the enterprise level to ensure data is

digital capabilities including software defined networks, data analytics, machine intelligence, cloud edge computing, and modular plug-n-play systems. Digital applies not only to our weapon systems but to our business processes as well, and the Space Force will apply similar techniques to enable a Digital Headquarters. Full implementation of our digital strategy will involve investments in Digital Engineering data and analytics infrastructure to ensure all our data is

data and analytics infrastructure to ensure all our data is discoverable, accessible, understandable, linked, and trusted across multiple security levels. Automation and autonomy will accelerate and streamline our operations and provide analytics to optimize mission and headquarters effectiveness. Applying machine learning and trusted levels of autonomy will allow our personnel to focus on data-driven decision-making instead of manually sorting and

standards. 4 Many living beings could die or could be restricted for life; the environment could be damaged permanently. Loss of information which endangers the existence of the organization. Long-term unavailability of critical data or services without which the organization cannot function. The ML application is

developed and documented with great care. Safety & Security is ensured with processes and techniques that go beyond traditional best practices and industry

intent, and updates that align evolving requirements. We value clear verbal and written communication oriented to inform decisions and implement actions. We also value design approaches to critical thinking, and data-driven problem solving. Over the next year, the Director of Staff will publish guidance that establishes a standard for how space professionals approach structured data-driven decision-making. Like MTOs, a standardized process is not intended to constrain thinking

potentially threatening our civilisation and even human survival [343]. A report on robotics and AI published by the British House of Commons highlighted specific ethical and legal issues, including transparent decision-making, minimising bias, accountability and privacy [325]. The first draft of the “Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI” was published by the European Commission’s High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence (‘AI HLEG’) [344]. According to the guidelines,

disaggregated and desynchronized bureaucratic process that increases risk for our Joint warfighters. We will consolidate and coordinate disparate processes to accelerate decisions and reduce that risk.

Reducing bureaucracy does not mean eliminating the oversight required by law and policy. Rather, it emphasizes empowerment through delegation of decision authority to the most responsive competent authority, and a high degree of accountability. Tight alignment of responsibility,

post-processing, checking for violations such as data values going over limits (e.g. power levels are too high), invalid behaviors or combinations of behaviors (e.g. activity A cannot ever overlap activity B), and for other types of undesired situations. Further, ground systems often include automated notifications to alert operators of an issue, given there may be a very short turn around time for mission engineers to respond to that issue and possibly prevent the loss of science data or

digitally supported decision-making throughout their careers. With digital engineering and fluency as foundational elements, we will drive Digital Operations across our space mission sets to increase all domain awareness and close the kill chain faster with more robust, informed C2 decision options. In doing so, we will fully exploit modern commercially-based digital capabilities including software defined networks, data analytics, machine

potentially threatening our civilisation and even human survival [343]. A report on robotics and AI published by the British House of Commons highlighted specific ethical and legal issues, including transparent decision-making, minimising bias, accountability and privacy [325]. The first draft of the “Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI” was published by the European Commission’s High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence (‘AI HLEG’) [344]. According to the guidelines,

the smaller file sizes required for programming, which is becoming compatible with the uplink bandwidth of small satellites [264]. 8.2. Ethical and legal challenges The use of AI in space systems raises a number of ethical and legal questions. Some researchers have identified the need for AI ethicists to help navigate where advances in this technology could lead [328]. This is more exciting because AI and space technologies offer a wide range of

Despite the numerous advantages offered by AI in space exploration, there are challenges that need to be addressed. One significant concern is the reliability and robustness of AI systems operating in the harsh conditions of space. Ensuring the resilience of AI algorithms to radiation, extreme temperatures, and other space-specific challenges is crucial for the success of future missions. Additionally, ethical considerations surrounding AI decision-making in space, such as the potential for

[326] D. Harkut, K. Kasat, Introductory Chapter: Artificial Intelligence - Challenges and Applications, 2019. [327] J.B. James Manyika, The Promise and Challenge of the Age of Artificial Intelligence, 2018. [328] A. Pavaloiu, U. Köse, Ethical artificial intelligence - an open question, Journal of Multidisciplinary Developments 2 (04/01 2017) 15–27. [329] M.U. Scherer, Regulating artificial intelligence systems: risks, challenges, competencies, and strategies, Harv. J. Law Technol. 29 (2015) 353.

highlighting the open technological, ethical and legal challenges, as well as the ongoing efforts to overcome

these challenges and to facilitate the uptake of AI technology in next-generation satellite systems. 2. Space-flight systems Thousands of active satellites are currently orbiting Earth and, in recent years [7], there has been an exponential growth of RSO [8], especially in the LEO environment [9]. Each satellite’s size, orbital parameters and configuration depend on its intended purpose. The

Comment on resubmission (if applicable)

changes into the main plan. Then the team collectively reviews these preliminary “low fidelity” outputs, implements iterations as needed and approves advancing to the “high fidelity” evaluation of possible outcomes and autonomy out- put. Such high fidelity evaluation is called here outcome prediction phase. At the outcome prediction phase, simulations produce a more realistic and comprehensive view of the new plan’s impact to the projected mission progress and performance. Itera-

be redirected to a previous step or workflow. Generally development begins with data preparation, and continues to model selection, training, and evaluation. In the data preparation stage the model inputs should be kept in a feature store that will also be accessible during the Deploy phase. A feature store is a centralized repository where you standardize the definition, storage, and access of features for training and serving [34]. After evaluation the model should be

addition, new worlds, new science, and new phenomena to observe are appearing on the horizon. The new scientific goals and objectives often require multiple coordinating spacecraft to make simultaneous observations, or to detect events without ground intervention. This increase in the demands for new spacecraft has led to intense research and development efforts for the software applications and processes that are used during a space mission, both on ground, in the Mission

can be determined by performance of the model and/or a schedule. After development, the artifacts are tested to ensure proper function and performance. Next a final review is conducted before the packaged automated model pipeline is released for delivery to the target environment. The major steps in the Develop phase are largely the same across the three environments and the differences at workflow level have been discussed in the previous sections. The main differences are the types of artifacts built during

the ground (in this project we use the MEXEC planning and execution system [4]) in nominal (or most likely) scenarios to check for constraint violations. With respect to impact, the small progress bars to the right of the campaign title shows the impact of that goal in the overall mission compared to the original set of goals. It is important to note that these tools are domain depen- dent, meaning that they are designed to support science goal specification for a multi-flyby mission for a single spacecraft.

across those meetings, though we also encouraged them to break into reflective discussions about the process and tools along the way. We also collected feedback in the form of survey responses in a journal they used for each day. We had implemented the tools as click-through prototypes only, and user study facilitator needed to “drive” the tools at the the operator’s request. This dynamic evoked dialogue about what information they needed to see and why. 14

eventually improve its decision-making capabilities. This type of feedback is identified as a reward, or reinforcement, and can be given either at the end of a series of actions or more frequently. Two main philosophies exist when considering reinforcement learning, passive and active reinforcement: in the first, the agent’s objective is to compute each states’ utility, while in the latter the agent must determine which actions to take. In general, anyway, the methodology used to

tists, engineers and operators go through the process of intent capture, starting by revisiting the goals for the next flyby(s) based on the downlink data and analysis. Scientists and engineers then have the opportunity to make changes to the goals/plan while getting instant feedback on the viability of their changes and on their impact on overall mission progress and performance. The viability and impact analysis here is based on an initial, low fidelity evaluation of possible onboard

benchmark cases in a dedicated analysis. Benchmark. This paragraph reports and sums up the tests carried out to assess the performance of the models discussed up to now, against some simple benchmarks, to confirm

the effectiveness of the learning step and the reward function design. Even if it may seem trivial, the first two comparisons are against no-learning models, meaning that they have not gone through the training procedure: the first simply propagates the free-dynamics starting from

the impact gap in green). Furthermore, the operators are also presented with a few recommendations on how improve the plan to avoid conflicts and aid in campaign success. These recommendations are essential in fitting in with the iterative workflow of plan development. Downlink analysis Subsystem Downlink Analysis Tool—The subsystem downlink analysis tool (shown in Figure 11) allows operators to review Figure 10. Mission Impact tool: shows an overview of the

changes into the main plan. Then the team collectively reviews these preliminary “low fidelity” outputs, implements iterations as needed and approves advancing to the “high fidelity” evaluation of possible outcomes and autonomy output. Such high fidelity evaluation is called here outcome prediction phase. At the outcome prediction phase, simulations produce a more realistic and comprehensive view of the new plan’s impact to the projected mission progress and performance. Itera-

of the outcome of the plan, while higher fidelity predictions, which we made available later in the activity, provided estimations based on a Monte Carlo Simulation approach that modeled the outcomes across 10,000 scenarios/outcomes. While participants generally accepted the progression from low fidelity to high fidelity data, conversations with scientist participants revealed that some wanted higher fidelity data available at the beginning of negotiations. In this particular

that the 35 generation. The selected value ensures a balance between effectiveness of the search (lower elite population fractions) and survival of fit individuals (higher elite population fractions). 8.5 Results The investigation on methodologies to improve and automate the space mission and spacecraft design is a vast effort, branching out into many fields of science and

The output of the factor graph is a maximum-likelihood estimate of the state variables considered, and the marginal distribution of each variable. In future work, this data will be displayed in the Subsystem Downlink Analysis Tool (Figure 11), providing operators with key insight into unmeasured variables and, critically, with the likelihood of each considered hypothesis - helping operators assess the state of the spacecraft and understand why autonomy made its decisions. 6. USER STUDY Study Design

Date: 07/23 Revision: v4

As Published in “Precision Medicine for Long and Safe Permanence of Humans in Space” 7 Current AI Technology in Space

Figure 2: Comparison of Computational Density Per Watt of State-of-the-art Rad-Hard Processors (BAE RAD750, CAES Gaisler GR740, and BAE RAD5545) and Commercial Embedded Processors (Xilinx Zynq 7020 and Intel Core i7-4610Y) [21] Likewise, the power efficiency of rad-hard processors, which can be estimated from the

be redirected to a previous step or workflow. Generally development begins with data preparation, and continues to model selection, training, and evaluation. In the data preparation stage the model inputs should be kept in a feature store that will also be accessible during the Deploy phase. A feature store is a centralized repository where you standardize the definition, storage, and access of features for training and serving [34]. After evaluation the model should be

aspects, but, among all, is mainly described by the network architecture and the state-action spaces; on the other side, the reward formulation embeds the mission objectives. Once these two, or more if needed, cases have been selected, the workflow proposes to train and test them with different levels of initial conditions. This difference can be interpreted in distinct ways: for instance, in Section 4.3, it concerns the randomness level of the initial conditions; while, in

learning algorithms and problems: there is a component of an algorithm to be improved; the agent possesses prior knowledge; data is represented in a specific way; a feedback action provides guidance during learning. When a specific algorithm needs to learn from its surrounding world, three main learning algorithms are available to the designer, and will be discussed later: reinforcement learning, supervised learning, unsupervised

learning. Learning from examples

Adapt- ability The pre-trained model may not adapt optimally to the specifics of the target task. **Data Efficiency** It often requires fewer samples to adapt to the target task due to prior knowledge. **Risk of Negative Transfer** In some cases, transferring knowl- edge may harm the performance of the target task if the source task is too dissimilar. Table 4.11: Advantages and disadvantages of transfer learning and fine-tuning techniques for deep reinforcement learning. 99

characteristics of this method, that distinguish it from all the others, are the trial-and-error search and the reward. In fact, the learning agent starts without any knowledge about the optimal action to take for a particular problem, and therefore it is forced to discover which are the ones that yield the greatest reward number. Each action taken may affect not only the immediate reward but also the future development of the problem and, then, the overall sequence of

have to negotiate a future that is getting more and more crowded with familiar, unfamiliar and unfriendly players all vying for technological and strategic superiority. Threats to the space realm and its sustaining infrastructure have escalated as a result of this change [318]. Ground and aerospace system architectures must offer a high level of resilience in order to ensure mission success. Resilience is therefore a crucial design factor that should be traded off against cost and capabilities when

disaggregated and desynchronized bureaucratic process that increases risk for our Joint warfighters. We will consolidate and coordinate disparate processes to accelerate decisions and reduce that risk.

Reducing bureaucracy does not mean eliminating the oversight required by law and policy. Rather, it emphasizes empowerment through delegation of decision authority to the most responsive competent authority, and a high degree of accountability. Tight alignment of responsibility,

resourcing and oversight functions.

To ensure our force design offers the Joint Force assured effects, the SWAC will analyze opportunities to enhance the resilience of legacy systems as an interim step to fielding a force designed to operate in a warfighting domain. The SWAC will develop future force structures that meet evolving mission requirements, are resilient to the threat, and are cost- informed. The SWAC will execute Service wargaming

changes into the main plan. Then the team collectively reviews these preliminary “low fidelity” outputs, implements iterations as needed and approves advancing to the “high fidelity” evaluation of possible outcomes and autonomy out- put. Such high fidelity evaluation is called here outcome prediction phase. At the outcome prediction phase, simulations produce a more realistic and comprehensive view of the new plan’s impact to the projected mission progress and performance. Itera-

array of high-fidelity simulations. The collected predicted outcomes can then be used by the uplink team to not only observe the expected execution, but also attach confidence values to the various goals and activities within the generated plans. As such, repeated simulation runs and collection of the outcomes fit within the proposed iterative workflow of uplink operations, which ultimately serves the goal of increasing the confidence of the uplink team in the expected behavior

Bibliography (max. 15 references, not included in character limits)

of the main research topic, corroborating its procedures and techniques. All three cases confirmed the good quality of the proposed methodology, achieving satisfying performance levels in each of the applications’ frameworks. Working for a Ph.D. is not an easy road, it is a path full of stops, sudden turns, backs out and comebacks, and surely does not exhaustively explore a research field. But certainly, it helps pushing towards the accomplishment of evermore

supporting the safety of orbiting spacecraft and debris mitigation [7]. With an exponentially growing number of space-related practical ser- vices and research interests, a new focus has been appropriately made on the defense and protection of spacecraft to ensure the continued flow of information (Cukurtepe and Akgun [13], Jah [20], Brown, Cot- ton, et al. [7], Contant-Jorgenson, Lála, Schrog, et al. [11]). A few

challenges and unlocking new opportunities. By leveraging AI techniques, scientists and engineers can enhance data analysis, enable autonomous systems, improve navigation, and achieve greater efficiency in space missions.

Methodology: To conduct this research initially, an extensive literature search was performed using reputable scientific databases, including IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, and Google Scholar. The search keywords included "artificial intelligence," "AI,"

to the reader. We decided to give importance to work published in the last few years, avoiding the historical perspective of older and well-established fundamental works. Additionally, we decided to avoid publications which are strongly speculative in nature: while visionary ideas are interesting to follow, an important requirement for this survey was a well-motivated applicability for a space-related challenge, ideally inspired by an already established or newly proposed mission concept. This narrow

address the challenges and opportunities in integrating AI in the field of space exploration. Furthermore, the selected papers were scrutinized to extract relevant data and insights that could contribute to the research paper. This involved identifying statistical information, experimental results, case studies, and any other data that supported the findings and conclusions of the respective studies. The extracted data and insights were then used to strengthen the research paper's

of the main research topic, corroborating its procedures and techniques. All three cases confirmed the good quality of the proposed methodology, achieving satisfying performance levels in each of the applications' frameworks. Working for a Ph.D. is not an easy road, it is a path full of stops, sudden turns, backs out and comebacks, and surely does not exhaustively explore a research field. But certainly, it helps pushing towards the accomplishment of evermore

Source DB DB Query Object Graph Fig. 1 Functional diagram of the Prepare step of the AI/ML workflow. framework. A number of FOSS and COTS solutions exist and are implemented either as tools or APIs at the project level (e.g., DVC*or the tf.data.Dataset module†) or as an enterprise-level central dataset repository (e.g., Collibra‡). Beyond storing the data, centralized dataset repositories provide additional features that aid in the management of

Eirates), Northrop Grumman Corporation (United States), and the SmartSat Cooperative Research Centre (Australia) for their support of this work through the Grant No. FSU-2022-013, the Collaborative Research Project No. RE-04143, and the Doctoral Research Project No. 2.13s, respectively. The authors would also like to thank Dr Andoh Afful, Dr Suraj Bijjahalli and Prof. Wei Xiang and Mr Thomas Fahey for their insightful feedback, which helped to improve the quality of this article. References

Analysis Once we concluded the study, we transcribed the audio from the sessions and compiled the survey responses. To identify themes, we grouped clusters of related observations into affinity groups, focusing on topics that related to our research questions. **Findings** In this section, we describe preliminary themes that emerged from our analysis. The user study participants in general successfully used the tools and series of steps to complete their operations tasks. The operators' participation, inquiries,

the mission's objectives. • Initial conditions robustness: where all the parameters involved in the random selection and their relative randomness level are defined or designed. The adopted workflow based on these three aspects is schematized in Fig. 6.1. This methodology is proposed as a sort of vademecum or guidelines to follow for the implementation and testing of a robust DRL-based path or strategy planning agent. Indeed, all three scenarios that will be analysed in the next

were then used to strengthen the research paper's arguments and provide evidence for the benefits and applications of AI in the field of space. Overall, the literature search and analysis process involved thorough exploration of reputable scientific databases, careful selection of articles based on specific keywords, review of methodologies employed in the selected studies, and extraction of relevant data and insights. This

Analysis Once we concluded the study, we transcribed the audio from the sessions and compiled the survey responses. To identify themes, we grouped clusters of related observations into affinity groups, focusing on topics that related to our research questions. **Findings** In this section, we describe preliminary themes that

emerged from our analysis. The user study participants in general successfully used the tools and series of steps to complete their operations tasks. The operators' participation, inquiries,

of the main research topic, corroborating its procedures and techniques. All three cases confirmed the good quality of the proposed methodology, achieving satisfying performance levels in each of the applications' frameworks. Working for a Ph.D. is not an easy road, it is a path full of stops, sudden turns, backs out and comebacks, and surely does not exhaustively explore a research field. But certainly, it helps pushing towards the accomplishment of evermore

challenges and unlocking new opportunities. By leveraging AI techniques, scientists and engineers can enhance data analysis, enable autonomous systems, improve navigation, and achieve greater efficiency in space missions.

Methodology: To conduct this research initially, an extensive literature search was performed using reputable scientific databases, including IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, and Google Scholar. The search keywords included "artificial intelligence," "AI,"

to the reader. We decided to give importance to work published in the last few years, avoiding the historical perspective of older and well-established fundamental works. Additionally, we decided to avoid publications which are strongly speculative in nature: while visionary ideas are interesting to follow, an important requirement for this survey was a well-motivated applicability for a space-related challenge, ideally inspired by an already established or newly proposed mission concept. This narrow

the output of an ANN to a reference model. The algorithm iteratively compares the output of the network to the model, and by applying a corrective action on the network weights and biases, the output is adapted to match the desired one. The training is generally based on previous experience, although methods that modify the parameters of the network exist. Three types of learning algorithms have been developed. Supervised learning denotes a method in which some input vectors (training

standing, should be investigated first. Classical and specialised methods, on the other hand, are often naive, whereas heuristic and metaheuristic paradigms can be utilised to various conditions. One key advantage of heuristic and metaheuristic paradigms is their robustness. In this context, robustness refers to an algorithm's ability to solve a wide range of problems and even multiple sorts of problems, with only slight changes to account for each problem's specific properties. A stochastic

4.6. Closing Remarks extensively tested to assess the model robustness and sensitivity, aimed at specifically analysing the case of uncertainty in the state and how it affects the performance of the algorithm. The first results showed how the main models are not robust to the noisy input state. Therefore, to overcome this problem, two different methods have been proposed and analysed: a re-training procedure and a transfer-learning procedure. Both of them improve the capabilities of

go in and outputs come out and there is little insight into how the model is making its determination. The seemingly non-deterministic nature of AI/ML systems may cause some end users to be hesitant to trust AI/ML systems. AI/ML systems will also need to meet more standard software metrics for reliability and security as they suffer many of the same vulnerabilities that standard software systems do. There needs to be procedures in place to mitigate radiation

prone to bias and can be exploited to generate damaging or misleading resources. It is critical to be aware of these hazards and to take pre cautions to mitigate them. ANN is a type of AI that tries to replicate the way the human brain works. The processing units are ANN that are composed of inputs and outputs. ANN are a kind of ML technology that is inspired by biology and is supposed to work in way similar to the brain (loosely). Fig. 21 depicts the main types of NN types [3,81].

of the main research topic, corroborating its procedures and techniques. All three cases confirmed the good quality of the proposed methodology, achieving satisfying performance levels in each of the applications' frameworks. Working for a Ph.D. is not an easy road, it is a path full of stops, sudden turns, backs out and comebacks, and surely does not exhaustively explore a research field. But certainly, it helps pushing towards the accomplishment of evermore

defined as Target (TRG). In this thesis, the chaser represents the inspecting spacecraft and the target is the unknown and uncooperative object. During the work, the following four reference frames will be used as defined in [27]: • ECI-reference frame. This is the system which has the origin in the centre of the Earth and the plane as the Earth's equator (Earth-centered Inertial (ECI)). The \hat{I} is directed along the vernal equinox, and the \hat{K}

Eirates), Northrop Grumman Corporation (United States), and the SmartSat Cooperative Research Centre (Australia) for their support of this work through the Grant No. FSU-2022-013, the Collaborative Research Project No. RE-04143, and the Doctoral Research Project No. 2.13s, respectively. The authors would also like to thank Dr Andoh Afful, Dr Suraj Bijjahalli and Prof. Wei Xiang and Mr Thomas Fahey for their insightful feedback, which helped to improve the quality of this article. References

Chapter 2. Background & State-of-the-Art $\hat{i} = r/r$, $\hat{k} = h/h$, $\hat{j} = \hat{k} \times \hat{i}$ where h is the angular momentum. In this way, it is possible to declare the chaser-target relative position both in ECI: $r = r_{TRG} - r_{CHS}$ (2.4) and in LVLH reference frames: $r = x\hat{i} + y\hat{j} + z\hat{k}$ (2.5) Then, subtracting Eq. 2.3 to Eq. 2.2, and substituting Eq. 2.4, the following relative acceleration can be retrieved in ECI reference frame: $\ddot{r} = -\mu(r_{CHS} + r) / r^3 + \ddot{r}_{CHS} + \ddot{r}_{TRG}$ (2.6)

Analysis Once we concluded the study, we transcribed the audio from the sessions and compiled the survey responses. To identify themes, we grouped clusters of related observations into affinity groups, focusing on topics that related to our research questions. Findings In this section, we describe preliminary themes that emerged from our analysis. The user study participants in general successfully used the tools and series of steps to complete their operations tasks. The operators' participation, inquiries,