

```
1 "C:\krl1901\NBA Stat Grab\venv\Scripts\python.exe" "C
2 :\\krl1901\Stat_Grab v2.0\Stat_Grab.py"
3
4
5
6
7 #43 Xavier at #1 Purdue
8
9 Strength of Schedule:
10 Xavier: 227
11 Purdue: 146
12 Purdue ↑ 81
13
14 Points per game:
15 Xavier: 78.0 (109)
16 Purdue: 92.5 (22)
17 Purdue ↑ 87
18
19 Opponent Points per game:
20 Xavier: 59.5 (35)
21 Purdue: 51.0 (6)
22 Purdue ↑ 29
23
24 Effective FG %:
25 Xavier: 52.5% (128)
26 Purdue: 65.0% (5)
27 Purdue ↑ 123
28
29 Opponent Effective FG %:
30 Xavier: 37.2% (20)
31 Purdue: 34.1% (5)
32 Purdue ↑ 15
33
34 Rebound Rate:
35 Xavier: 50.3% (169)
36 Purdue: 57.9% (40)
37 Purdue ↑ 129
38
39 3 point statistics:
40 3 point %:
```

41 Xavier: 27.5% (262)
42 Purdue: 46.2% (12)
43 Purdue ↑ 250
44 % points from 3:
45 Xavier: 21.2% (287)
46 Purdue: 19.4% (21)
47 Purdue ↑ 266
48 Opponent 3 point %:
49 Xavier: 21.2% (29)
50 Purdue: 19.4% (21)
51 Purdue ↑ 8
52
53 Purdue ↑ 464
54 {464, 'Purdue'}
55 -----
--
56
57
58 #33 Michigan at #49 St Johns
59
60 Strength of Schedule:
61 Michigan: 183
62 St Johns: 220
63 Michigan ↑ 37
64
65 Points per game:
66 Michigan: 95.5 (13)
67 St Johns: 90.0 (34)
68 Michigan ↑ 21
69
70 Opponent Points per game:
71 Michigan: 68.0 (124)
72 St Johns: 74.0 (190)
73 Michigan ↑ 66
74
75 Effective FG %:
76 Michigan: 62.8% (9)
77 St Johns: 59.8% (26)
78 Michigan ↑ 17
79
80 Opponent Effective FG %:

```
81 Michigan: 44.3% (84)
82 St Johns: 47.0% (124)
83 Michigan ↑ 40
84
85 Rebound Rate:
86 Michigan: 56.9% (49)
87 St Johns: 56.3% (59)
88 Michigan ↑ 10
89
90 3 point statistics:
91 3 point %:
92 Michigan: 40.4% (41)
93 St Johns: 50.0% (4)
94 St Johns ↑ 37
95 % points from 3:
96 Michigan: 33.0% (121)
97 St Johns: 25.0% (55)
98 St Johns ↑ 66
99 Opponent 3 point %:
100 Michigan: 35.4% (247)
101 St Johns: 25.0% (55)
102 St Johns ↑ 192
103
104 Michigan ↑ 191
105 {'Michigan', 191}
106 -----
---  
107
108
109 #157 S Dakota St at #58 Kansas St
110
111 Strength of Schedule:
112 S Dakota St: 222
113 Kansas St: 35
114 Kansas St ↑ 187
115
116 Points per game:
117 S Dakota St: 75.0 (141)
118 Kansas St: 76.0 (130)
119 Kansas St ↑ 11
120
```

121 Opponent Points per game:
122 S Dakota St: 81.0 (265)
123 Kansas St: 78.5 (234)
124 Kansas St ↑ 31
125
126 Effective FG %:
127 S Dakota St: 57.1% (56)
128 Kansas St: 44.8% (259)
129 S Dakota St ↑ 203
130
131 Opponent Effective FG %:
132 S Dakota St: 55.3% (275)
133 Kansas St: 55.3% (278)
134 S Dakota St ↑ 3
135
136 Rebound Rate:
137 S Dakota St: 42.0% (322)
138 Kansas St: 51.9% (133)
139 Kansas St ↑ 189
140
141 3 point statistics:
142 3 point %:
143 S Dakota St: 42.1% (29)
144 Kansas St: 31.3% (200)
145 S Dakota St ↑ 171
146 % points from 3:
147 S Dakota St: 32.0% (133)
148 Kansas St: 33.3% (193)
149 S Dakota St ↑ 60
150 Opponent 3 point %:
151 S Dakota St: 40.7% (312)
152 Kansas St: 33.3% (193)
153 Kansas St ↑ 119
154
155 Kansas St ↑ 212
156 {'Kansas St', 212}
157 -----

158
159
160 #34 Villanova at #184 U Penn

161
162 Strength of Schedule:
163 Villanova: 303
164 U Penn: 262
165 U Penn ↑ 41
166
167 Points per game:
168 Villanova: 86.5 (47)
169 U Penn: 70.5 (204)
170 Villanova ↑ 157
171
172 Opponent Points per game:
173 Villanova: 60.0 (41)
174 U Penn: 65.0 (85)
175 Villanova ↑ 44
176
177 Effective FG %:
178 Villanova: 61.4% (15)
179 U Penn: 49.2% (182)
180 Villanova ↑ 167
181
182 Opponent Effective FG %:
183 Villanova: 42.1% (58)
184 U Penn: 44.8% (90)
185 Villanova ↑ 32
186
187 Rebound Rate:
188 Villanova: 57.8% (41)
189 U Penn: 62.0% (9)
190 U Penn ↑ 32
191
192 3 point statistics:
193 3 point %:
194 Villanova: 38.5% (68)
195 U Penn: 34.1% (143)
196 Villanova ↑ 75
197 % points from 3:
198 Villanova: 43.4% (18)
199 U Penn: 28.6% (94)
200 Villanova ↑ 76
201 Opponent 3 point %:

202 Villanova: 32.7% (191)
203 U Penn: 28.6% (94)
204 U Penn ↑ 97
205
206 Villanova ↑ 327
207 {'Villanova', 327}
208 -----

209
210
211 #182 Fla Gulf Cst at #40 Pittsburgh
212
213 Strength of Schedule:
214 Fla Gulf Cst: 156
215 Pittsburgh: 296
216 Fla Gulf Cst ↑ 140
217
218 Points per game:
219 Fla Gulf Cst: 63.0 (279)
220 Pittsburgh: 94.5 (15)
221 Pittsburgh ↑ 264
222
223 Opponent Points per game:
224 Fla Gulf Cst: 69.0 (134)
225 Pittsburgh: 56.0 (16)
226 Pittsburgh ↑ 118
227
228 Effective FG %:
229 Fla Gulf Cst: 49.2% (183)
230 Pittsburgh: 59.6% (29)
231 Pittsburgh ↑ 154
232
233 Opponent Effective FG %:
234 Fla Gulf Cst: 55.6% (283)
235 Pittsburgh: 36.6% (17)
236 Pittsburgh ↑ 266
237
238 Rebound Rate:
239 Fla Gulf Cst: 53.3% (110)
240 Pittsburgh: 63.1% (6)
241 Pittsburgh ↑ 104

```
242
243 3 point statistics:
244 3 point %:
245 Fla Gulf Cst: 38.2% (73)
246 Pittsburgh: 39.3% (58)
247 Pittsburgh ↑ 15
248 % points from 3:
249 Fla Gulf Cst: 61.9% (1)
250 Pittsburgh: 27.1% (73)
251 Fla Gulf Cst ↑ 72
252 Opponent 3 point %:
253 Fla Gulf Cst: 30.8% (149)
254 Pittsburgh: 27.1% (73)
255 Pittsburgh ↑ 76
256
257 Pittsburgh ↑ 766
258 {'Pittsburgh', 766}
259 -----
---  

260
261
262 #207 Old Dominion at #15 Arkansas
263
264 Strength of Schedule:
265 Old Dominion: 259
266 Arkansas: 209
267 Arkansas ↑ 50
268
269 Points per game:
270 Old Dominion: 68.0 (226)
271 Arkansas: 89.5 (35)
272 Arkansas ↑ 191
273
274 Opponent Points per game:
275 Old Dominion: 73.0 (179)
276 Arkansas: 63.5 (67)
277 Arkansas ↑ 112
278
279 Effective FG %:
280 Old Dominion: 54.4% (97)
281 Arkansas: 59.2% (34)
```

282 Arkansas ↑ 63
283
284 Opponent Effective FG %:
285 Old Dominion: 49.1% (164)
286 Arkansas: 40.2% (35)
287 Arkansas ↑ 129
288
289 Rebound Rate:
290 Old Dominion: 50.7% (157)
291 Arkansas: 57.8% (42)
292 Arkansas ↑ 115
293
294 3 point statistics:
295 3 point %:
296 Old Dominion: 28.6% (243)
297 Arkansas: 39.6% (54)
298 Arkansas ↑ 189
299 % points from 3:
300 Old Dominion: 17.6% (320)
301 Arkansas: 28.1% (90)
302 Arkansas ↑ 230
303 Opponent 3 point %:
304 Old Dominion: 32.3% (178)
305 Arkansas: 28.1% (90)
306 Arkansas ↑ 88
307
308 Arkansas ↑ 660
309 {660, 'Arkansas'}
310 -----

311
312
313 #147 W Carolina at #128 Middle Tenn
314
315 Strength of Schedule:
316 W Carolina: 241
317 Middle Tenn: 139
318 Middle Tenn ↑ 102
319
320 Points per game:
321 W Carolina: 71.0 (190)

322 Middle Tenn: 70.5 (204)
323 W Carolina ↑ 14
324
325 Opponent Points per game:
326 W Carolina: 61.0 (48)
327 Middle Tenn: 59.5 (35)
328 Middle Tenn ↑ 13
329
330 Effective FG %:
331 W Carolina: 44.5% (264)
332 Middle Tenn: 45.2% (253)
333 Middle Tenn ↑ 11
334
335 Opponent Effective FG %:
336 W Carolina: 44.8% (90)
337 Middle Tenn: 48.6% (158)
338 W Carolina ↑ 68
339
340 Rebound Rate:
341 W Carolina: 53.1% (113)
342 Middle Tenn: 49.3% (198)
343 W Carolina ↑ 85
344
345 3 point statistics:
346 3 point %:
347 W Carolina: 33.3% (147)
348 Middle Tenn: 34.1% (141)
349 Middle Tenn ↑ 6
350 % points from 3:
351 W Carolina: 29.6% (182)
352 Middle Tenn: 28.6% (94)
353 Middle Tenn ↑ 88
354 Opponent 3 point %:
355 W Carolina: 30.8% (149)
356 Middle Tenn: 28.6% (94)
357 Middle Tenn ↑ 55
358
359 W Carolina ↑ 41
360 {41, 'W Carolina'}
361 -----

362
363
364 #215 Stetson at #3 Houston
365
366 Strength of Schedule:
367 Stetson: 218
368 Houston: 215
369 Houston ↑ 3
370
371 Points per game:
372 Stetson: 55.0 (328)
373 Houston: 83.0 (62)
374 Houston ↑ 266
375
376 Opponent Points per game:
377 Stetson: 71.0 (159)
378 Houston: 40.5 (1)
379 Houston ↑ 158
380
381 Effective FG %:
382 Stetson: 37.1% (331)
383 Houston: 48.8% (193)
384 Houston ↑ 138
385
386 Opponent Effective FG %:
387 Stetson: 49.2% (169)
388 Houston: 33.0% (2)
389 Houston ↑ 167
390
391 Rebound Rate:
392 Stetson: 48.8% (208)
393 Houston: 58.9% (28)
394 Houston ↑ 180
395
396 3 point statistics:
397 3 point %:
398 Stetson: 22.6% (319)
399 Houston: 29.8% (225)
400 Houston ↑ 94
401 % points from 3:
402 Stetson: 38.2% (46)

```
403 Houston: 21.6% (32)
404 Houston ↑ 14
405 Opponent 3 point %:
406 Stetson: 32.3% (178)
407 Houston: 21.6% (32)
408 Houston ↑ 146
409
410 Houston ↑ 912
411 {912, 'Houston'}
412 -----
413 -----
414
415 #165 UCSB at #142 TX El Paso
416
417 Strength of Schedule:
418 UCSB: 191
419 TX El Paso: 356
420 UCSB ↑ 165
421
422 Points per game:
423 UCSB: 76.0 (130)
424 TX El Paso: -- (354)
425 UCSB ↑ 224
426
427 Opponent Points per game:
428 UCSB: 82.0 (274)
429 TX El Paso: -- (354)
430 UCSB ↑ 80
431
432 Effective FG %:
433 UCSB: 59.3% (33)
434 TX El Paso: -- (354)
435 UCSB ↑ 321
436
437 Opponent Effective FG %:
438 UCSB: 49.2% (169)
439 TX El Paso: -- (354)
440 UCSB ↑ 185
441
442 Rebound Rate:
```

```
443 UCSB: 57.6% (45)
444 TX El Paso: -- (354)
445 UCSB ↑ 309
446
447 3 point statistics:
448 3 point %:
449 UCSB: 40.0% (42)
450 TX El Paso: -- (354)
451 UCSB ↑ 312
452 % points from 3:
453 UCSB: 31.6% (146)
454 TX El Paso: -- (354)
455 UCSB ↑ 208
456 Opponent 3 point %:
457 UCSB: 28.6% (94)
458 TX El Paso: -- (354)
459 UCSB ↑ 260
460
461 UCSB ↑ 1284
462 {1284, 'UCSB'}
463 -----
---  
464
465
466 #234 Rider at #60 Nebraska
467
468 Strength of Schedule:
469 Rider: 185
470 Nebraska: 340
471 Rider ↑ 155
472
473 Points per game:
474 Rider: 65.0 (255)
475 Nebraska: 82.5 (66)
476 Nebraska ↑ 189
477
478 Opponent Points per game:
479 Rider: 95.0 (341)
480 Nebraska: 53.0 (10)
481 Nebraska ↑ 331
482
```

483 Effective FG %:
484 Rider: 43.2% (279)
485 Nebraska: 54.0% (103)
486 Nebraska ↑ 176
487
488 Opponent Effective FG %:
489 Rider: 65.3% (350)
490 Nebraska: 34.0% (4)
491 Nebraska ↑ 346
492
493 Rebound Rate:
494 Rider: 51.3% (139)
495 Nebraska: 56.3% (58)
496 Nebraska ↑ 81
497
498 3 point statistics:
499 3 point %:
500 Rider: 26.3% (277)
501 Nebraska: 36.2% (95)
502 Nebraska ↑ 182
503 % points from 3:
504 Rider: 23.1% (270)
505 Nebraska: 19.4% (22)
506 Nebraska ↑ 248
507 Opponent 3 point %:
508 Rider: 43.3% (324)
509 Nebraska: 19.4% (22)
510 Nebraska ↑ 302
511
512 Nebraska ↑ 968
513 {968, 'Nebraska'}
514 -----

515
516
517 #255 Southern at #5 Arizona
518
519 Strength of Schedule:
520 Southern: 19
521 Arizona: 1
522 Arizona ↑ 18

523
524 Points per game:
525 Southern: 80.0 (88)
526 Arizona: 100.0 (4)
527 Arizona ↑ 84
528
529 Opponent Points per game:
530 Southern: 89.5 (320)
531 Arizona: 66.0 (93)
532 Arizona ↑ 227
533
534 Effective FG %:
535 Southern: 58.8% (42)
536 Arizona: 57.4% (54)
537 Southern ↑ 12
538
539 Opponent Effective FG %:
540 Southern: 60.9% (326)
541 Arizona: 47.5% (133)
542 Arizona ↑ 193
543
544 Rebound Rate:
545 Southern: 45.4% (277)
546 Arizona: 60.0% (19)
547 Arizona ↑ 258
548
549 3 point statistics:
550 3 point %:
551 Southern: 50.0% (4)
552 Arizona: 40.9% (39)
553 Southern ↑ 35
554 % points from 3:
555 Southern: 39.4% (36)
556 Arizona: 33.3% (193)
557 Southern ↑ 157
558 Opponent 3 point %:
559 Southern: 36.5% (265)
560 Arizona: 33.3% (193)
561 Arizona ↑ 72
562
563 Arizona ↑ 768

```
564 {768, 'Arizona'}
```

```
565 -----  
---
```

```
566
```

```
567
```

```
568 #252 Florida Intl at #28 Miami
```

```
569
```

```
570 Strength of Schedule:
```

```
571 Florida Intl: 109
```

```
572 Miami: 113
```

```
573 Florida Intl ↑ 4
```

```
574
```

```
575 Points per game:
```

```
576 Florida Intl: 63.5 (275)
```

```
577 Miami: 94.5 (15)
```

```
578 Miami ↑ 260
```

```
579
```

```
580 Opponent Points per game:
```

```
581 Florida Intl: 83.5 (288)
```

```
582 Miami: 66.0 (93)
```

```
583 Miami ↑ 195
```

```
584
```

```
585 Effective FG %:
```

```
586 Florida Intl: 43.8% (272)
```

```
587 Miami: 61.8% (14)
```

```
588 Miami ↑ 258
```

```
589
```

```
590 Opponent Effective FG %:
```

```
591 Florida Intl: 57.0% (296)
```

```
592 Miami: 42.6% (63)
```

```
593 Miami ↑ 233
```

```
594
```

```
595 Rebound Rate:
```

```
596 Florida Intl: 40.8% (329)
```

```
597 Miami: 52.1% (129)
```

```
598 Miami ↑ 200
```

```
599
```

```
600 3 point statistics:
```

```
601 3 point %:
```

```
602 Florida Intl: 24.0% (310)
```

```
603 Miami: 44.9% (19)
```

604 Miami ↑ 291
605 % points from 3:
606 Florida Intl: 28.3% (199)
607 Miami: 28.0% (86)
608 Miami ↑ 113
609 Opponent 3 point %:
610 Florida Intl: 34.9% (234)
611 Miami: 28.0% (86)
612 Miami ↑ 148
613
614 Miami ↑ 1142
615 {'Miami', 1142}
616 -----

617
618
619 #202 Oral Roberts at #139 Missouri St
620
621 Strength of Schedule:
622 Oral Roberts: 260
623 Missouri St: 30
624 Missouri St ↑ 230
625
626 Points per game:
627 Oral Roberts: 71.0 (190)
628 Missouri St: 59.0 (313)
629 Oral Roberts ↑ 123
630
631 Opponent Points per game:
632 Oral Roberts: 75.0 (201)
633 Missouri St: 67.0 (108)
634 Missouri St ↑ 93
635
636 Effective FG %:
637 Oral Roberts: 48.3% (201)
638 Missouri St: 42.3% (288)
639 Oral Roberts ↑ 87
640
641 Opponent Effective FG %:
642 Oral Roberts: 41.3% (46)
643 Missouri St: 40.5% (37)

644 Missouri St ↑ 9
645
646 Rebound Rate:
647 Oral Roberts: 41.4% (326)
648 Missouri St: 43.8% (300)
649 Missouri St ↑ 26
650
651 3 point statistics:
652 3 point %:
653 Oral Roberts: 32.3% (178)
654 Missouri St: 31.0% (204)
655 Oral Roberts ↑ 26
656 % points from 3:
657 Oral Roberts: 42.3% (20)
658 Missouri St: 33.3% (193)
659 Oral Roberts ↑ 173
660 Opponent 3 point %:
661 Oral Roberts: 34.6% (228)
662 Missouri St: 33.3% (193)
663 Missouri St ↑ 35
664
665 Missouri St ↑ 148
666 {148, 'Missouri St'}
667 -----

668
669
670 #240 Stony Brook at #79 Duquesne
671
672 Strength of Schedule:
673 Stony Brook: 211
674 Duquesne: 89
675 Duquesne ↑ 122
676
677 Points per game:
678 Stony Brook: 74.0 (160)
679 Duquesne: 84.5 (55)
680 Duquesne ↑ 105
681
682 Opponent Points per game:
683 Stony Brook: 90.0 (322)

684 Duquesne: 74.5 (195)
685 Duquesne ↑ 127
686
687 Effective FG %:
688 Stony Brook: 47.0% (217)
689 Duquesne: 53.5% (113)
690 Duquesne ↑ 104
691
692 Opponent Effective FG %:
693 Stony Brook: 59.8% (318)
694 Duquesne: 48.4% (152)
695 Duquesne ↑ 166
696
697 Rebound Rate:
698 Stony Brook: 43.8% (300)
699 Duquesne: 50.9% (154)
700 Duquesne ↑ 146
701
702 3 point statistics:
703 3 point %:
704 Stony Brook: 25.0% (294)
705 Duquesne: 32.8% (167)
706 Duquesne ↑ 127
707 % points from 3:
708 Stony Brook: 16.2% (329)
709 Duquesne: 34.0% (221)
710 Duquesne ↑ 108
711 Opponent 3 point %:
712 Stony Brook: 50.0% (343)
713 Duquesne: 34.0% (221)
714 Duquesne ↑ 122
715
716 Duquesne ↑ 770
717 {770, 'Duquesne'}
718 -----

719
720
721 #250 E Tenn St at #69 Butler
722
723 Strength of Schedule:

724 E Tenn St: 329
725 Butler: 311
726 Butler ↑ 18
727
728 Points per game:
729 E Tenn St: 76.0 (130)
730 Butler: 92.5 (22)
731 Butler ↑ 108
732
733 Opponent Points per game:
734 E Tenn St: 79.0 (240)
735 Butler: 55.5 (15)
736 Butler ↑ 225
737
738 Effective FG %:
739 E Tenn St: 50.0% (162)
740 Butler: 59.1% (36)
741 Butler ↑ 126
742
743 Opponent Effective FG %:
744 E Tenn St: 58.5% (310)
745 Butler: 35.5% (11)
746 Butler ↑ 299
747
748 Rebound Rate:
749 E Tenn St: 46.5% (258)
750 Butler: 55.3% (66)
751 Butler ↑ 192
752
753 3 point statistics:
754 3 point %:
755 E Tenn St: 33.3% (147)
756 Butler: 31.9% (187)
757 E Tenn St ↑ 40
758 % points from 3:
759 E Tenn St: 35.5% (80)
760 Butler: 28.9% (107)
761 E Tenn St ↑ 27
762 Opponent 3 point %:
763 E Tenn St: 47.4% (342)
764 Butler: 28.9% (107)

```
765 Butler ↑ 235
766
767 Butler ↑ 968
768 {968, 'Butler'}
769 -----
---
770
771
772 #205 Quinnipiac at #150 U Mass
773
774 Strength of Schedule:
775 Quinnipiac: 345
776 U Mass: 317
777 U Mass ↑ 28
778
779 Points per game:
780 Quinnipiac: 74.0 (160)
781 U Mass: 92.0 (24)
782 U Mass ↑ 136
783
784 Opponent Points per game:
785 Quinnipiac: 70.0 (144)
786 U Mass: 71.0 (159)
787 Quinnipiac ↑ 15
788
789 Effective FG %:
790 Quinnipiac: 56.7% (63)
791 U Mass: 50.0% (162)
792 Quinnipiac ↑ 99
793
794 Opponent Effective FG %:
795 Quinnipiac: 53.4% (252)
796 U Mass: 43.8% (75)
797 U Mass ↑ 177
798
799 Rebound Rate:
800 Quinnipiac: 58.8% (30)
801 U Mass: 51.1% (148)
802 Quinnipiac ↑ 118
803
804 3 point statistics:
```

```
805 3 point %:  
806 Quinnipiac: 43.5% (24)  
807 U Mass: 45.5% (15)  
808 U Mass ↑ 9  
809 % points from 3:  
810 Quinnipiac: 40.5% (30)  
811 U Mass: 34.6% (228)  
812 Quinnipiac ↑ 198  
813 Opponent 3 point %:  
814 Quinnipiac: 29.4% (115)  
815 U Mass: 34.6% (228)  
816 Quinnipiac ↑ 113  
817  
818 U Mass ↑ 109  
819 {'U Mass', 109}  
820 -----  
---  
821  
822  
823 #260 SIU Edward at #67 Missouri  
824  
825 Strength of Schedule:  
826 SIU Edward: 243  
827 Missouri: 79  
828 Missouri ↑ 164  
829  
830 Points per game:  
831 SIU Edward: 47.0 (351)  
832 Missouri: 78.0 (109)  
833 Missouri ↑ 242  
834  
835 Opponent Points per game:  
836 SIU Edward: 63.0 (65)  
837 Missouri: 74.5 (195)  
838 SIU Edward ↑ 130  
839  
840 Effective FG %:  
841 SIU Edward: 37.0% (333)  
842 Missouri: 52.5% (130)  
843 Missouri ↑ 203  
844
```

```
845 Opponent Effective FG %:  
846 SIU Edward: 46.9% (123)  
847 Missouri: 48.3% (147)  
848 SIU Edward ↑ 24  
849  
850 Rebound Rate:  
851 SIU Edward: 43.8% (298)  
852 Missouri: 47.8% (225)  
853 Missouri ↑ 73  
854  
855 3 point statistics:  
856 3 point %:  
857 SIU Edward: 41.7% (33)  
858 Missouri: 31.0% (204)  
859 SIU Edward ↑ 171  
860 % points from 3:  
861 SIU Edward: 31.9% (137)  
862 Missouri: 31.3% (157)  
863 SIU Edward ↑ 20  
864 Opponent 3 point %:  
865 SIU Edward: 21.7% (33)  
866 Missouri: 31.3% (157)  
867 SIU Edward ↑ 124  
868  
869 Missouri ↑ 528  
870 {528, 'Missouri'}  
871 -----  
---  
872  
873  
874 #251 CS Bakersfld at #190 California  
875  
876 Strength of Schedule:  
877 CS Bakersfld: 107  
878 California: 213  
879 CS Bakersfld ↑ 106  
880  
881 Points per game:  
882 CS Bakersfld: 66.0 (245)  
883 California: 75.0 (141)  
884 California ↑ 104
```

```
885
886 Opponent Points per game:
887 CS Bakersfld: 78.5 (234)
888 California: 76.5 (219)
889 California ↑ 15
890
891 Effective FG %:
892 CS Bakersfld: 47.1% (214)
893 California: 53.8% (108)
894 California ↑ 106
895
896 Opponent Effective FG %:
897 CS Bakersfld: 50.0% (180)
898 California: 60.3% (321)
899 CS Bakersfld ↑ 141
900
901 Rebound Rate:
902 CS Bakersfld: 46.3% (263)
903 California: 53.9% (95)
904 California ↑ 168
905
906 3 point statistics:
907 3 point %:
908 CS Bakersfld: 27.3% (264)
909 California: 34.2% (135)
910 California ↑ 129
911 % points from 3:
912 CS Bakersfld: 20.5% (298)
913 California: 46.3% (338)
914 CS Bakersfld ↑ 40
915 Opponent 3 point %:
916 CS Bakersfld: 36.2% (255)
917 California: 46.3% (338)
918 CS Bakersfld ↑ 83
919
920 California ↑ 146
921 {'California', 146}
922 -----
923
924
```

925 #327 VMI at #73 S Carolina
926
927 Strength of Schedule:
928 VMI: 304
929 S Carolina: 68
930 S Carolina ↑ 236
931
932 Points per game:
933 VMI: 75.0 (141)
934 S Carolina: 80.5 (85)
935 S Carolina ↑ 56
936
937 Opponent Points per game:
938 VMI: 93.0 (332)
939 S Carolina: 65.0 (85)
940 S Carolina ↑ 247
941
942 Effective FG %:
943 VMI: 50.8% (154)
944 S Carolina: 64.5% (6)
945 S Carolina ↑ 148
946
947 Opponent Effective FG %:
948 VMI: 54.8% (268)
949 S Carolina: 47.3% (129)
950 S Carolina ↑ 139
951
952 Rebound Rate:
953 VMI: 46.8% (247)
954 S Carolina: 58.0% (38)
955 S Carolina ↑ 209
956
957 3 point statistics:
958 3 point %:
959 VMI: 42.1% (29)
960 S Carolina: 51.2% (3)
961 S Carolina ↑ 26
962 % points from 3:
963 VMI: 32.0% (133)
964 S Carolina: 28.9% (108)
965 S Carolina ↑ 25

```
966 Opponent 3 point %:  
967 VMI: 35.1% (240)  
968 S Carolina: 28.9% (108)  
969 S Carolina ↑ 132  
970  
971 S Carolina ↑ 1035  
972 {1035, 'S Carolina'}  
973 -----  
----  
974  
975  
976 #123 Ste F Austin at #314 NW State  
977  
978 Strength of Schedule:  
979 Ste F Austin: 150  
980 NW State: 295  
981 Ste F Austin ↑ 145  
982  
983 Points per game:  
984 Ste F Austin: 62.0 (290)  
985 NW State: 71.0 (190)  
986 NW State ↑ 100  
987  
988 Opponent Points per game:  
989 Ste F Austin: 67.0 (108)  
990 NW State: 88.0 (312)  
991 Ste F Austin ↑ 204  
992  
993 Effective FG %:  
994 Ste F Austin: 50.9% (145)  
995 NW State: 44.8% (259)  
996 Ste F Austin ↑ 114  
997  
998 Opponent Effective FG %:  
999 Ste F Austin: 39.3% (27)  
1000 NW State: 52.7% (244)  
1001 Ste F Austin ↑ 217  
1002  
1003 Rebound Rate:  
1004 Ste F Austin: 42.6% (317)  
1005 NW State: 49.3% (199)
```

```
1006 NW State ↑ 118
1007
1008 3 point statistics:
1009 3 point %:
1010 Ste F Austin: 35.7% (102)
1011 NW State: 25.8% (285)
1012 Ste F Austin ↑ 183
1013 % points from 3:
1014 Ste F Austin: 24.2% (252)
1015 NW State: 7.1% (2)
1016 NW State ↑ 250
1017 Opponent 3 point %:
1018 Ste F Austin: 28.6% (94)
1019 NW State: 7.1% (2)
1020 NW State ↑ 92
1021
1022 Ste F Austin ↑ 462
1023 {'Ste F Austin', 462}
1024 -----
-----
1025
1026
1027 #344 Central Mich at #63 Florida St
1028
1029 Strength of Schedule:
1030 Central Mich: 214
1031 Florida St: 240
1032 Central Mich ↑ 26
1033
1034 Points per game:
1035 Central Mich: 61.5 (294)
1036 Florida St: 94.0 (17)
1037 Florida St ↑ 277
1038
1039 Opponent Points per game:
1040 Central Mich: 81.5 (271)
1041 Florida St: 67.0 (108)
1042 Florida St ↑ 163
1043
1044 Effective FG %:
1045 Central Mich: 44.1% (269)
```

1046 Florida St: 54.1% (102)
1047 Florida St ↑ 167
1048
1049 Opponent Effective FG %:
1050 Central Mich: 60.5% (322)
1051 Florida St: 34.8% (8)
1052 Florida St ↑ 314
1053
1054 Rebound Rate:
1055 Central Mich: 50.7% (161)
1056 Florida St: 51.1% (148)
1057 Florida St ↑ 13
1058
1059 3 point statistics:
1060 3 point %:
1061 Central Mich: 28.6% (243)
1062 Florida St: 33.3% (147)
1063 Florida St ↑ 96
1064 % points from 3:
1065 Central Mich: 34.1% (103)
1066 Florida St: 16.0% (12)
1067 Florida St ↑ 91
1068 Opponent 3 point %:
1069 Central Mich: 43.2% (323)
1070 Florida St: 16.0% (12)
1071 Florida St ↑ 311
1072
1073 Florida St ↑ 908
1074 {908, 'Florida St'}
1075 -----

1076
1077
1078 #309 Hampton at #214 Norfolk St
1079
1080 Strength of Schedule:
1081 Hampton: 320
1082 Norfolk St: 358
1083 Hampton ↑ 38
1084
1085 Points per game:

1086 Hampton: 80.0 (88)
1087 Norfolk St: -- (354)
1088 Hampton ↑ 266
1089
1090 Opponent Points per game:
1091 Hampton: 92.0 (330)
1092 Norfolk St: -- (354)
1093 Hampton ↑ 24
1094
1095 Effective FG %:
1096 Hampton: 58.0% (49)
1097 Norfolk St: -- (354)
1098 Hampton ↑ 305
1099
1100 Opponent Effective FG %:
1101 Hampton: 65.6% (351)
1102 Norfolk St: -- (354)
1103 Hampton ↑ 3
1104
1105 Rebound Rate:
1106 Hampton: 40.0% (331)
1107 Norfolk St: -- (354)
1108 Hampton ↑ 23
1109
1110 3 point statistics:
1111 3 point %:
1112 Hampton: 32.1% (182)
1113 Norfolk St: -- (354)
1114 Hampton ↑ 172
1115 % points from 3:
1116 Hampton: 33.8% (110)
1117 Norfolk St: -- (354)
1118 Hampton ↑ 244
1119 Opponent 3 point %:
1120 Hampton: 50.0% (343)
1121 Norfolk St: -- (354)
1122 Hampton ↑ 11
1123
1124 Hampton ↑ 659
1125 {659, 'Hampton' }
1126 -----

1126 ----
1127
1128
1129 #358 LIU at #169 Pepperdine
1130
1131 Strength of Schedule:
1132 LIU: 232
1133 Pepperdine: 268
1134 LIU ↑ 36
1135
1136 Points per game:
1137 LIU: 67.0 (236)
1138 Pepperdine: 77.0 (119)
1139 Pepperdine ↑ 117
1140
1141 Opponent Points per game:
1142 LIU: 82.0 (274)
1143 Pepperdine: 66.0 (93)
1144 Pepperdine ↑ 181
1145
1146 Effective FG %:
1147 LIU: 42.6% (285)
1148 Pepperdine: 56.2% (70)
1149 Pepperdine ↑ 215
1150
1151 Opponent Effective FG %:
1152 LIU: 60.0% (319)
1153 Pepperdine: 48.5% (156)
1154 Pepperdine ↑ 163
1155
1156 Rebound Rate:
1157 LIU: 47.9% (221)
1158 Pepperdine: 58.5% (34)
1159 Pepperdine ↑ 187
1160
1161 3 point statistics:
1162 3 point %:
1163 LIU: 35.3% (113)
1164 Pepperdine: 34.4% (132)
1165 LIU ↑ 19
1166 % points from 3:

1167 LIU: 26.9% (218)
1168 Pepperdine: 32.1% (175)
1169 Pepperdine ↑ 43
1170 Opponent 3 point %:
1171 LIU: 43.5% (325)
1172 Pepperdine: 32.1% (175)
1173 Pepperdine ↑ 150
1174
1175 Pepperdine ↑ 827
1176 {827, 'Pepperdine'}
1177 -----

1178
1179
1180 #300 Citadel at #266 Presbyterian
1181
1182 Strength of Schedule:
1183 Citadel: 81
1184 Presbyterian: 273
1185 Citadel ↑ 192
1186
1187 Points per game:
1188 Citadel: 65.0 (255)
1189 Presbyterian: 68.0 (226)
1190 Presbyterian ↑ 29
1191
1192 Opponent Points per game:
1193 Citadel: 73.5 (186)
1194 Presbyterian: 62.0 (57)
1195 Presbyterian ↑ 129
1196
1197 Effective FG %:
1198 Citadel: 50.9% (145)
1199 Presbyterian: 55.1% (86)
1200 Presbyterian ↑ 59
1201
1202 Opponent Effective FG %:
1203 Citadel: 54.0% (259)
1204 Presbyterian: 39.7% (30)
1205 Presbyterian ↑ 229
1206

```
1207 Rebound Rate:  
1208 Citadel: 50.7% (159)  
1209 Presbyterian: 45.8% (271)  
1210 Citadel ↑ 112  
1211  
1212 3 point statistics:  
1213 3 point %:  
1214 Citadel: 33.3% (147)  
1215 Presbyterian: 33.3% (147)  
1216 Citadel ↑ 0  
1217 % points from 3:  
1218 Citadel: 32.3% (129)  
1219 Presbyterian: 24.2% (51)  
1220 Presbyterian ↑ 78  
1221 Opponent 3 point %:  
1222 Citadel: 32.6% (187)  
1223 Presbyterian: 24.2% (51)  
1224 Presbyterian ↑ 136  
1225  
1226 Presbyterian ↑ 142  
1227 {'Presbyterian', 142}  
1228 -----  
-----  
1229  
1230  
1231 #313 Siena at #286 American  
1232  
1233 Strength of Schedule:  
1234 Siena: 168  
1235 American: 71  
1236 American ↑ 97  
1237  
1238 Points per game:  
1239 Siena: 60.5 (301)  
1240 American: 59.5 (311)  
1241 Siena ↑ 10  
1242  
1243 Opponent Points per game:  
1244 Siena: 80.5 (261)  
1245 American: 82.5 (278)  
1246 Siena ↑ 17
```

```
1247
1248 Effective FG %:
1249 Siena: 47.6% (208)
1250 American: 41.0% (306)
1251 Siena ↑ 98
1252
1253 Opponent Effective FG %:
1254 Siena: 64.9% (349)
1255 American: 60.1% (320)
1256 American ↑ 29
1257
1258 Rebound Rate:
1259 Siena: 50.0% (171)
1260 American: 46.6% (253)
1261 Siena ↑ 82
1262
1263 3 point statistics:
1264 3 point %:
1265 Siena: 28.9% (236)
1266 American: 24.6% (303)
1267 Siena ↑ 67
1268 % points from 3:
1269 Siena: 27.3% (211)
1270 American: 36.2% (255)
1271 Siena ↑ 44
1272 Opponent 3 point %:
1273 Siena: 52.6% (351)
1274 American: 36.2% (255)
1275 American ↑ 96
1276
1277 Siena ↑ 81
1278 {81, 'Siena'}
1279 -----
-----
1280
1281
1282 #244 N Florida at #360 S Car State
1283
1284 Strength of Schedule:
1285 N Florida: 335
1286 S Car State: 347
```

1287 N Florida ↑ 12
1288
1289 Points per game:
1290 N Florida: 81.0 (78)
1291 S Car State: 52.0 (343)
1292 N Florida ↑ 265
1293
1294 Opponent Points per game:
1295 N Florida: 70.0 (144)
1296 S Car State: 96.0 (343)
1297 N Florida ↑ 199
1298
1299 Effective FG %:
1300 N Florida: 54.6% (96)
1301 S Car State: 32.2% (351)
1302 N Florida ↑ 255
1303
1304 Opponent Effective FG %:
1305 N Florida: 52.1% (230)
1306 S Car State: 59.4% (316)
1307 N Florida ↑ 86
1308
1309 Rebound Rate:
1310 N Florida: 43.6% (304)
1311 S Car State: 51.9% (131)
1312 S Car State ↑ 173
1313
1314 3 point statistics:
1315 3 point %:
1316 N Florida: 45.8% (13)
1317 S Car State: 0.0% (352)
1318 N Florida ↑ 339
1319 % points from 3:
1320 N Florida: 40.7% (29)
1321 S Car State: 33.3% (193)
1322 N Florida ↑ 164
1323 Opponent 3 point %:
1324 N Florida: 30.0% (130)
1325 S Car State: 33.3% (193)
1326 N Florida ↑ 63
1327

```
1328 N Florida ↑ 644
1329 {'N Florida', 644}
1330 -----
-----
1331
1332
1333 #306 Ark Pine Bl at #337 Central Ark
1334
1335 Strength of Schedule:
1336 Ark Pine Bl: 333
1337 Central Ark: 328
1338 Central Ark ↑ 5
1339
1340 Points per game:
1341 Ark Pine Bl: 79.0 (99)
1342 Central Ark: 53.0 (341)
1343 Ark Pine Bl ↑ 242
1344
1345 Opponent Points per game:
1346 Ark Pine Bl: 101.0 (349)
1347 Central Ark: 70.0 (144)
1348 Central Ark ↑ 205
1349
1350 Effective FG %:
1351 Ark Pine Bl: 47.4% (212)
1352 Central Ark: 38.3% (328)
1353 Ark Pine Bl ↑ 116
1354
1355 Opponent Effective FG %:
1356 Ark Pine Bl: 65.6% (351)
1357 Central Ark: 46.7% (121)
1358 Central Ark ↑ 230
1359
1360 Rebound Rate:
1361 Ark Pine Bl: 45.5% (274)
1362 Central Ark: 37.6% (343)
1363 Ark Pine Bl ↑ 69
1364
1365 3 point statistics:
1366 3 point %:
1367 Ark Pine Bl: 27.6% (260)
```

```
1368 Central Ark: 30.0% (219)
1369 Central Ark ↑ 41
1370 % points from 3:
1371 Ark Pine Bl: 30.4% (166)
1372 Central Ark: 27.6% (79)
1373 Central Ark ↑ 87
1374 Opponent 3 point %:
1375 Ark Pine Bl: 40.0% (306)
1376 Central Ark: 27.6% (79)
1377 Central Ark ↑ 227
1378
1379 Central Ark ↑ 13
1380 {'Central Ark', 13}
1381 -----
1382 -----
1383
1384 Best bets according to the stats:
1385 UCSB ↑ 1284
1386 Miami ↑ 1142
1387 S Carolina ↑ 1035
1388 Nebraska ↑ 968
1389 Butler ↑ 968
1390 Houston ↑ 912
1391 Florida St ↑ 908
1392 Pepperdine ↑ 827
1393 Duquesne ↑ 770
1394 Arizona ↑ 768
1395 Pittsburgh ↑ 766
1396 Arkansas ↑ 660
1397 Hampton ↑ 659
1398 N Florida ↑ 644
1399 Missouri ↑ 528
1400 Purdue ↑ 464
1401 Ste F Austin ↑ 462
1402 Villanova ↑ 327
1403 Kansas St ↑ 212
1404 Michigan ↑ 191
1405 Missouri St ↑ 148
1406 California ↑ 146
1407 Presbyterian ↑ 142
```

```
1408 U Mass ↑ 109
1409 Siena ↑ 81
1410 W Carolina ↑ 41
1411 Central Ark ↑ 13
1412 ['UCSB', 'Miami', 'S Carolina', 'Nebraska', 'Butler',
      'Houston', 'Florida St', 'Pepperdine', 'Duquesne',
      'Arizona', 'Pittsburgh', 'Arkansas', 'Hampton',
      'N Florida', 'Missouri', 'Purdue', 'Ste F Austin',
      'Villanova', 'Kansas St', 'Michigan', 'Missouri',
      'St', 'California', 'Presbyterian', 'U Mass', 'Siena',
      'W Carolina', 'Central Ark']
1413
1414
1415 Time taken for queue: 11 seconds
1416
1417 Time taken for calls/printing: 5 seconds
1418
1419 Time taken: 16 seconds
1420
1421 Process finished with exit code 0
1422
```