

```
1 "C:\krl1901\NBA Stat Grab\venv\Scripts\python.exe" "C
 :\\krl1901\\Stat_Grab v2.0\\Stat_Grab.py"
2
3 Thinking...
4
5
6
7 #68 Nevada at #45 Washington
8
9 Strength of Schedule:
10 Nevada: 216
11 Washington: 176
12 Washington ↑ 40
13
14 Points per game:
15 Nevada: 77.0 (124)
16 Washington: 83.0 (63)
17 Washington ↑ 61
18
19 Opponent Points per game:
20 Nevada: 63.0 (66)
21 Washington: 62.0 (57)
22 Washington ↑ 9
23
24 Effective FG %:
25 Nevada: 47.5% (206)
26 Washington: 59.7% (31)
27 Washington ↑ 175
28
29 Opponent Effective FG %:
30 Nevada: 46.0% (107)
31 Washington: 40.3% (39)
32 Washington ↑ 68
33
34 Rebound Rate:
35 Nevada: 51.6% (135)
36 Washington: 52.1% (128)
37 Washington ↑ 7
38
39 3 point statistics:
40 3 point %:
```

41 Nevada: 26.7% (266)
42 Washington: 35.1% (117)
43 Washington ↑ 149
44 % points from 3:
45 Nevada: 15.6% (325)
46 Washington: 25.0% (55)
47 Washington ↑ 270
48 Opponent 3 point %:
49 Nevada: 33.3% (187)
50 Washington: 25.0% (55)
51 Washington ↑ 132
52
53 Washington ↑ 360
54 {360, 'Washington'}
55 -----
--
56
57
58 #72 San Francisco at #64 Boise St
59
60 Strength of Schedule:
61 San Francisco: 349
62 Boise St: 347
63 Boise St ↑ 2
64
65 Points per game:
66 San Francisco: 84.0 (57)
67 Boise St: -- (351)
68 San Francisco ↑ 294
69
70 Opponent Points per game:
71 San Francisco: 52.0 (8)
72 Boise St: -- (351)
73 San Francisco ↑ 343
74
75 Effective FG %:
76 San Francisco: 64.5% (6)
77 Boise St: -- (351)
78 San Francisco ↑ 345
79
80 Opponent Effective FG %:

```
81 San Francisco: 43.6% (77)
82 Boise St: -- (351)
83 San Francisco ↑ 274
84
85 Rebound Rate:
86 San Francisco: 71.7% (1)
87 Boise St: -- (351)
88 San Francisco ↑ 350
89
90 3 point statistics:
91 3 point %:
92 San Francisco: 40.9% (40)
93 Boise St: -- (351)
94 San Francisco ↑ 311
95 % points from 3:
96 San Francisco: 32.1% (131)
97 Boise St: -- (351)
98 San Francisco ↑ 220
99 Opponent 3 point %:
100 San Francisco: 29.4% (120)
101 Boise St: -- (351)
102 San Francisco ↑ 231
103
104 San Francisco ↑ 1604
105 {'San Francisco', 1604}
106 -----
-----  
107
108
109 #97 UAB vs. #48 Maryland
110
111 Strength of Schedule:
112 UAB: 43
113 Maryland: 116
114 UAB ↑ 73
115
116 Points per game:
117 UAB: 73.5 (164)
118 Maryland: 64.5 (262)
119 UAB ↑ 98
120
```

121 Opponent Points per game:
122 UAB: 75.0 (195)
123 Maryland: 58.5 (31)
124 Maryland ↑ 164
125
126 Effective FG %:
127 UAB: 44.0% (267)
128 Maryland: 45.3% (251)
129 Maryland ↑ 16
130
131 Opponent Effective FG %:
132 UAB: 53.1% (245)
133 Maryland: 43.5% (76)
134 Maryland ↑ 169
135
136 Rebound Rate:
137 UAB: 57.1% (50)
138 Maryland: 52.3% (124)
139 UAB ↑ 74
140
141 3 point statistics:
142 3 point %:
143 UAB: 29.3% (227)
144 Maryland: 20.5% (326)
145 UAB ↑ 99
146 % points from 3:
147 UAB: 24.5% (248)
148 Maryland: 37.0% (267)
149 UAB ↑ 19
150 Opponent 3 point %:
151 UAB: 32.7% (183)
152 Maryland: 37.0% (267)
153 UAB ↑ 84
154
155 Maryland ↑ 104
156 {104, 'Maryland'}
157 -----

158
159
160 #78 Yale at #103 Loyola Mymt

161
162 Strength of Schedule:
163 Yale: 64
164 Loyola Mymt: 353
165 Yale ↑ 289
166
167 Points per game:
168 Yale: 71.0 (192)
169 Loyola Mymt: -- (351)
170 Yale ↑ 159
171
172 Opponent Points per game:
173 Yale: 86.0 (297)
174 Loyola Mymt: -- (351)
175 Yale ↑ 54
176
177 Effective FG %:
178 Yale: 48.3% (199)
179 Loyola Mymt: -- (351)
180 Yale ↑ 152
181
182 Opponent Effective FG %:
183 Yale: 55.5% (275)
184 Loyola Mymt: -- (351)
185 Yale ↑ 76
186
187 Rebound Rate:
188 Yale: 40.0% (327)
189 Loyola Mymt: -- (351)
190 Yale ↑ 24
191
192 3 point statistics:
193 3 point %:
194 Yale: 37.5% (81)
195 Loyola Mymt: -- (351)
196 Yale ↑ 270
197 % points from 3:
198 Yale: 38.0% (48)
199 Loyola Mymt: -- (351)
200 Yale ↑ 303
201 Opponent 3 point %:

202 Yale: 36.8% (263)
203 Loyola Mymt: -- (351)
204 Yale ↑ 88
205
206 Yale ↑ 754
207 {754, 'Yale'}
208 -----

209
210
211 #42 Clemson vs. #129 Davidson
212
213 Strength of Schedule:
214 Clemson: 81
215 Davidson: 121
216 Clemson ↑ 40
217
218 Points per game:
219 Clemson: 77.5 (118)
220 Davidson: 64.0 (266)
221 Clemson ↑ 148
222
223 Opponent Points per game:
224 Clemson: 66.0 (94)
225 Davidson: 61.0 (48)
226 Davidson ↑ 46
227
228 Effective FG %:
229 Clemson: 54.3% (97)
230 Davidson: 51.0% (142)
231 Clemson ↑ 45
232
233 Opponent Effective FG %:
234 Clemson: 47.3% (130)
235 Davidson: 39.5% (31)
236 Davidson ↑ 99
237
238 Rebound Rate:
239 Clemson: 47.8% (219)
240 Davidson: 48.6% (208)
241 Davidson ↑ 11

```
242
243 3 point statistics:
244 3 point %:
245 Clemson: 37.3% (89)
246 Davidson: 53.3% (1)
247 Davidson ↑ 88
248 % points from 3:
249 Clemson: 36.8% (63)
250 Davidson: 21.7% (32)
251 Davidson ↑ 31
252 Opponent 3 point %:
253 Clemson: 35.0% (229)
254 Davidson: 21.7% (32)
255 Davidson ↑ 197
256
257 Clemson ↑ 77
258 {'Clemson', 77}
259 -----
---  
260
261
262 #125 Sam Hous St at #84 Oklahoma St
263
264 Strength of Schedule:
265 Sam Hous St: 111
266 Oklahoma St: 136
267 Sam Hous St ↑ 25
268
269 Points per game:
270 Sam Hous St: 68.5 (224)
271 Oklahoma St: 59.0 (307)
272 Sam Hous St ↑ 83
273
274 Opponent Points per game:
275 Sam Hous St: 68.0 (123)
276 Oklahoma St: 64.0 (73)
277 Oklahoma St ↑ 50
278
279 Effective FG %:
280 Sam Hous St: 39.7% (308)
281 Oklahoma St: 45.5% (242)
```

282 Oklahoma St ↑ 66
283
284 Opponent Effective FG %:
285 Sam Hous St: 48.0% (141)
286 Oklahoma St: 50.0% (176)
287 Sam Hous St ↑ 35
288
289 Rebound Rate:
290 Sam Hous St: 47.0% (241)
291 Oklahoma St: 50.0% (170)
292 Oklahoma St ↑ 71
293
294 3 point statistics:
295 3 point %:
296 Sam Hous St: 22.5% (313)
297 Oklahoma St: 21.7% (319)
298 Sam Hous St ↑ 6
299 % points from 3:
300 Sam Hous St: 19.7% (300)
301 Oklahoma St: 27.8% (85)
302 Oklahoma St ↑ 215
303 Opponent 3 point %:
304 Sam Hous St: 16.3% (14)
305 Oklahoma St: 27.8% (85)
306 Sam Hous St ↑ 71
307
308 Oklahoma St ↑ 44
309 {'Oklahoma St', 44}
310 -----

311
312
313 #156 Weber St at #13 St Marys
314
315 Strength of Schedule:
316 Weber St: 356
317 St Marys: 72
318 St Marys ↑ 284
319
320 Points per game:
321 Weber St: -- (351)

322 St Marys: 72.0 (177)
323 St Marys ↑ 174
324
325 Opponent Points per game:
326 Weber St: -- (351)
327 St Marys: 58.0 (29)
328 St Marys ↑ 322
329
330 Effective FG %:
331 Weber St: -- (351)
332 St Marys: 50.9% (146)
333 St Marys ↑ 205
334
335 Opponent Effective FG %:
336 Weber St: -- (351)
337 St Marys: 40.3% (39)
338 St Marys ↑ 312
339
340 Rebound Rate:
341 Weber St: -- (351)
342 St Marys: 52.6% (118)
343 St Marys ↑ 233
344
345 3 point statistics:
346 3 point %:
347 Weber St: -- (351)
348 St Marys: 35.3% (114)
349 St Marys ↑ 237
350 % points from 3:
351 Weber St: -- (351)
352 St Marys: 33.3% (187)
353 St Marys ↑ 164
354 Opponent 3 point %:
355 Weber St: -- (351)
356 St Marys: 33.3% (187)
357 St Marys ↑ 164
358
359 St Marys ↑ 1530
360 {'St Marys', 1530}
361 -----

362
363
364 #166 San Jose St at #31 Texas Tech
365
366 Strength of Schedule:
367 San Jose St: 258
368 Texas Tech: 273
369 San Jose St ↑ 15
370
371 Points per game:
372 San Jose St: 72.0 (177)
373 Texas Tech: 73.0 (169)
374 Texas Tech ↑ 8
375
376 Opponent Points per game:
377 San Jose St: 64.0 (73)
378 Texas Tech: 46.0 (3)
379 Texas Tech ↑ 70
380
381 Effective FG %:
382 San Jose St: 53.0% (118)
383 Texas Tech: 46.2% (228)
384 San Jose St ↑ 110
385
386 Opponent Effective FG %:
387 San Jose St: 43.6% (78)
388 Texas Tech: 33.6% (4)
389 Texas Tech ↑ 74
390
391 Rebound Rate:
392 San Jose St: 49.2% (198)
393 Texas Tech: 60.8% (16)
394 Texas Tech ↑ 182
395
396 3 point statistics:
397 3 point %:
398 San Jose St: 33.3% (146)
399 Texas Tech: 21.7% (319)
400 San Jose St ↑ 173
401 % points from 3:
402 San Jose St: 29.2% (186)

```
403 Texas Tech: 14.3% (9)
404 Texas Tech ↑ 177
405 Opponent 3 point %:
406 San Jose St: 16.7% (15)
407 Texas Tech: 14.3% (9)
408 Texas Tech ↑ 6
409
410 Texas Tech ↑ 209
411 {'Texas Tech', 209}
412 -----
413 -----
414
415 #181 Gard-Webb at #33 Baylor
416
417 Strength of Schedule:
418 Gard-Webb: 135
419 Baylor: 50
420 Baylor ↑ 85
421
422 Points per game:
423 Gard-Webb: 68.0 (226)
424 Baylor: 88.0 (42)
425 Baylor ↑ 184
426
427 Opponent Points per game:
428 Gard-Webb: 86.0 (297)
429 Baylor: 82.0 (269)
430 Baylor ↑ 28
431
432 Effective FG %:
433 Gard-Webb: 38.9% (314)
434 Baylor: 50.8% (151)
435 Baylor ↑ 163
436
437 Opponent Effective FG %:
438 Gard-Webb: 55.8% (280)
439 Baylor: 51.6% (214)
440 Baylor ↑ 66
441
442 Rebound Rate:
```

```
443 Gard-Webb: 46.5% (255)
444 Baylor: 49.4% (190)
445 Baylor ↑ 65
446
447 3 point statistics:
448 3 point %:
449 Gard-Webb: 26.1% (274)
450 Baylor: 47.4% (12)
451 Baylor ↑ 262
452 % points from 3:
453 Gard-Webb: 26.5% (219)
454 Baylor: 47.4% (336)
455 Gard-Webb ↑ 117
456 Opponent 3 point %:
457 Gard-Webb: 38.9% (291)
458 Baylor: 47.4% (336)
459 Gard-Webb ↑ 45
460
461 Baylor ↑ 591
462 {'Baylor', 591}
463 -----
---  
464
465
466 #187 E Washington at #46 Cincinnati
467
468 Strength of Schedule:
469 E Washington: 6
470 Cincinnati: 252
471 E Washington ↑ 246
472
473 Points per game:
474 E Washington: 65.0 (255)
475 Cincinnati: 81.0 (79)
476 Cincinnati ↑ 176
477
478 Opponent Points per game:
479 E Washington: 88.0 (307)
480 Cincinnati: 59.5 (36)
481 Cincinnati ↑ 271
482
```

```
483 Effective FG %:  
484 E Washington: 45.6% (241)  
485 Cincinnati: 53.3% (113)  
486 Cincinnati ↑ 128  
487  
488 Opponent Effective FG %:  
489 E Washington: 57.1% (292)  
490 Cincinnati: 45.3% (98)  
491 Cincinnati ↑ 194  
492  
493 Rebound Rate:  
494 E Washington: 47.3% (232)  
495 Cincinnati: 57.9% (43)  
496 Cincinnati ↑ 189  
497  
498 3 point statistics:  
499 3 point %:  
500 E Washington: 25.0% (287)  
501 Cincinnati: 35.6% (109)  
502 Cincinnati ↑ 178  
503 % points from 3:  
504 E Washington: 30.0% (173)  
505 Cincinnati: 28.2% (95)  
506 Cincinnati ↑ 78  
507 Opponent 3 point %:  
508 E Washington: 37.8% (277)  
509 Cincinnati: 28.2% (95)  
510 Cincinnati ↑ 182  
511  
512 Cincinnati ↑ 712  
513 {712, 'Cincinnati'}  
514 -----  
---  
515  
516  
517 #182 Bryant at #56 Rutgers  
518  
519 Strength of Schedule:  
520 Bryant: 341  
521 Rutgers: 102  
522 Rutgers ↑ 239
```

523
524 Points per game:
525 Bryant: 59.0 (307)
526 Rutgers: 65.0 (255)
527 Rutgers ↑ 52
528
529 Opponent Points per game:
530 Bryant: 61.0 (48)
531 Rutgers: 56.5 (23)
532 Rutgers ↑ 25
533
534 Effective FG %:
535 Bryant: 41.8% (294)
536 Rutgers: 46.9% (215)
537 Rutgers ↑ 79
538
539 Opponent Effective FG %:
540 Bryant: 38.9% (25)
541 Rutgers: 42.0% (58)
542 Bryant ↑ 33
543
544 Rebound Rate:
545 Bryant: 46.9% (245)
546 Rutgers: 53.8% (92)
547 Rutgers ↑ 153
548
549 3 point statistics:
550 3 point %:
551 Bryant: 39.1% (64)
552 Rutgers: 28.2% (246)
553 Bryant ↑ 182
554 % points from 3:
555 Bryant: 45.8% (8)
556 Rutgers: 31.1% (153)
557 Bryant ↑ 145
558 Opponent 3 point %:
559 Bryant: 6.3% (2)
560 Rutgers: 31.1% (153)
561 Bryant ↑ 151
562
563 Rutgers ↑ 515

```
564 {'Rutgers', 515}
565 -----
566
567
568 #221 Howard at #74 James Mad
569
570 Strength of Schedule:
571 Howard: 137
572 James Mad: 12
573 James Mad ↑ 125
574
575 Points per game:
576 Howard: 88.5 (40)
577 James Mad: 96.0 (11)
578 James Mad ↑ 29
579
580 Opponent Points per game:
581 Howard: 84.0 (285)
582 James Mad: 92.0 (324)
583 Howard ↑ 39
584
585 Effective FG %:
586 Howard: 59.7% (32)
587 James Mad: 50.7% (156)
588 Howard ↑ 124
589
590 Opponent Effective FG %:
591 Howard: 51.5% (213)
592 James Mad: 42.9% (72)
593 James Mad ↑ 141
594
595 Rebound Rate:
596 Howard: 52.4% (122)
597 James Mad: 48.8% (205)
598 Howard ↑ 83
599
600 3 point statistics:
601 3 point %:
602 Howard: 38.5% (71)
603 James Mad: 39.1% (65)
```

```
604 James Mad ↑ 6
605 % points from 3:
606 Howard: 25.4% (234)
607 James Mad: 25.0% (55)
608 James Mad ↑ 179
609 Opponent 3 point %:
610 Howard: 28.3% (98)
611 James Mad: 25.0% (55)
612 James Mad ↑ 43
613
614 James Mad ↑ 49
615 {'James Mad', 49}
616 -----
617
618
619 #170 UC Davis at #153 Montana
620
621 Strength of Schedule:
622 UC Davis: 294
623 Montana: 117
624 Montana ↑ 177
625
626 Points per game:
627 UC Davis: 79.0 (98)
628 Montana: 61.0 (292)
629 UC Davis ↑ 194
630
631 Opponent Points per game:
632 UC Davis: 78.0 (222)
633 Montana: 75.0 (195)
634 Montana ↑ 27
635
636 Effective FG %:
637 UC Davis: 58.3% (52)
638 Montana: 42.2% (287)
639 UC Davis ↑ 235
640
641 Opponent Effective FG %:
642 UC Davis: 60.0% (312)
643 Montana: 46.8% (120)
```

644 Montana ↑ 192
645
646 Rebound Rate:
647 UC Davis: 40.7% (324)
648 Montana: 41.6% (318)
649 Montana ↑ 6
650
651 3 point statistics:
652 3 point %:
653 UC Davis: 44.4% (24)
654 Montana: 25.0% (287)
655 UC Davis ↑ 263
656 % points from 3:
657 UC Davis: 15.2% (328)
658 Montana: 30.4% (140)
659 Montana ↑ 188
660 Opponent 3 point %:
661 UC Davis: 28.6% (100)
662 Montana: 30.4% (140)
663 UC Davis ↑ 40
664
665 UC Davis ↑ 27
666 {27, 'UC Davis'}
667 -----

668
669
670 #251 Idaho St at #12 Iowa St
671
672 Strength of Schedule:
673 Idaho St: 288
674 Iowa St: 279
675 Iowa St ↑ 9
676
677 Points per game:
678 Idaho St: 53.0 (331)
679 Iowa St: 93.5 (19)
680 Iowa St ↑ 312
681
682 Opponent Points per game:
683 Idaho St: 54.0 (14)

684 Iowa St: 45.5 (2)
685 Iowa St ↑ 12
686
687 Effective FG %:
688 Idaho St: 48.0% (202)
689 Iowa St: 58.7% (47)
690 Iowa St ↑ 155
691
692 Opponent Effective FG %:
693 Idaho St: 39.8% (34)
694 Iowa St: 36.4% (17)
695 Iowa St ↑ 17
696
697 Rebound Rate:
698 Idaho St: 45.5% (271)
699 Iowa St: 58.5% (36)
700 Iowa St ↑ 235
701
702 3 point statistics:
703 3 point %:
704 Idaho St: 14.3% (345)
705 Iowa St: 39.5% (60)
706 Iowa St ↑ 285
707 % points from 3:
708 Idaho St: 5.7% (347)
709 Iowa St: 26.3% (65)
710 Iowa St ↑ 282
711 Opponent 3 point %:
712 Idaho St: 8.3% (4)
713 Iowa St: 26.3% (65)
714 Idaho St ↑ 61
715
716 Iowa St ↑ 740
717 {740, 'Iowa St'}
718 -----

719
720
721 #179 Air Force at #151 Delaware
722
723 Strength of Schedule:

724 Air Force: 244
725 Delaware: 312
726 Air Force ↑ 68
727
728 Points per game:
729 Air Force: 68.5 (224)
730 Delaware: 78.0 (110)
731 Delaware ↑ 114
732
733 Opponent Points per game:
734 Air Force: 64.5 (85)
735 Delaware: 57.0 (24)
736 Delaware ↑ 61
737
738 Effective FG %:
739 Air Force: 53.3% (112)
740 Delaware: 60.4% (22)
741 Delaware ↑ 90
742
743 Opponent Effective FG %:
744 Air Force: 42.8% (71)
745 Delaware: 48.1% (144)
746 Air Force ↑ 73
747
748 Rebound Rate:
749 Air Force: 48.0% (217)
750 Delaware: 58.4% (37)
751 Delaware ↑ 180
752
753 3 point statistics:
754 3 point %:
755 Air Force: 33.3% (146)
756 Delaware: 43.5% (26)
757 Delaware ↑ 120
758 % points from 3:
759 Air Force: 35.0% (94)
760 Delaware: 35.7% (245)
761 Air Force ↑ 151
762 Opponent 3 point %:
763 Air Force: 29.5% (125)
764 Delaware: 35.7% (245)

```
765 Air Force ↑ 120
766
767 Delaware ↑ 304
768 {304, 'Delaware'}
769 -----
---
770
771
772 #257 Lehigh at #34 N Carolina
773
774 Strength of Schedule:
775 Lehigh: 87
776 N Carolina: 119
777 Lehigh ↑ 32
778
779 Points per game:
780 Lehigh: 71.5 (188)
781 N Carolina: 86.0 (48)
782 N Carolina ↑ 140
783
784 Opponent Points per game:
785 Lehigh: 79.0 (236)
786 N Carolina: 70.0 (141)
787 N Carolina ↑ 95
788
789 Effective FG %:
790 Lehigh: 45.7% (238)
791 N Carolina: 58.2% (53)
792 N Carolina ↑ 185
793
794 Opponent Effective FG %:
795 Lehigh: 52.9% (242)
796 N Carolina: 46.2% (109)
797 N Carolina ↑ 133
798
799 Rebound Rate:
800 Lehigh: 51.7% (134)
801 N Carolina: 48.1% (214)
802 Lehigh ↑ 80
803
804 3 point statistics:
```

```
805 3 point %:  
806 Lehigh: 23.8% (303)  
807 N Carolina: 35.0% (119)  
808 N Carolina ↑ 184  
809 % points from 3:  
810 Lehigh: 21.0% (285)  
811 N Carolina: 23.8% (48)  
812 N Carolina ↑ 237  
813 Opponent 3 point %:  
814 Lehigh: 34.0% (209)  
815 N Carolina: 23.8% (48)  
816 N Carolina ↑ 161  
817  
818 N Carolina ↑ 441  
819 {441, 'N Carolina'}  
820 -----  
---  
821  
822  
823 #281 NC Central at #95 Georgia  
824  
825 Strength of Schedule:  
826 NC Central: 243  
827 Georgia: 32  
828 Georgia ↑ 211  
829  
830 Points per game:  
831 NC Central: 56.0 (318)  
832 Georgia: 75.5 (138)  
833 Georgia ↑ 180  
834  
835 Opponent Points per game:  
836 NC Central: 99.0 (342)  
837 Georgia: 79.5 (246)  
838 Georgia ↑ 96  
839  
840 Effective FG %:  
841 NC Central: 40.4% (303)  
842 Georgia: 46.6% (222)  
843 Georgia ↑ 81  
844
```

```
845 Opponent Effective FG %:  
846 NC Central: 81.3% (350)  
847 Georgia: 47.1% (126)  
848 Georgia ↑ 224  
849  
850 Rebound Rate:  
851 NC Central: 39.7% (329)  
852 Georgia: 42.1% (312)  
853 Georgia ↑ 17  
854  
855 3 point statistics:  
856 3 point %:  
857 NC Central: 26.1% (274)  
858 Georgia: 31.4% (190)  
859 Georgia ↑ 84  
860 % points from 3:  
861 NC Central: 32.1% (131)  
862 Georgia: 37.8% (274)  
863 NC Central ↑ 143  
864 Opponent 3 point %:  
865 NC Central: 56.5% (349)  
866 Georgia: 37.8% (274)  
867 Georgia ↑ 75  
868  
869 Georgia ↑ 809  
870 {809, 'Georgia'}  
871 -----  
---  
872  
873  
874 #275 N Arizona at #104 Grd Canyon  
875  
876 Strength of Schedule:  
877 N Arizona: 1  
878 Grd Canyon: 318  
879 N Arizona ↑ 317  
880  
881 Points per game:  
882 N Arizona: 52.0 (335)  
883 Grd Canyon: 88.0 (42)  
884 Grd Canyon ↑ 293
```

```
885
886 Opponent Points per game:
887 N Arizona: 95.0 (335)
888 Grd Canyon: 67.0 (107)
889 Grd Canyon ↑ 228
890
891 Effective FG %:
892 N Arizona: 36.4% (331)
893 Grd Canyon: 55.6% (77)
894 Grd Canyon ↑ 254
895
896 Opponent Effective FG %:
897 N Arizona: 62.3% (334)
898 Grd Canyon: 47.7% (136)
899 Grd Canyon ↑ 198
900
901 Rebound Rate:
902 N Arizona: 36.4% (346)
903 Grd Canyon: 59.1% (29)
904 Grd Canyon ↑ 317
905
906 3 point statistics:
907 3 point %:
908 N Arizona: 20.0% (328)
909 Grd Canyon: 33.3% (146)
910 Grd Canyon ↑ 182
911 % points from 3:
912 N Arizona: 17.3% (319)
913 Grd Canyon: 52.4% (347)
914 N Arizona ↑ 28
915 Opponent 3 point %:
916 N Arizona: 28.0% (88)
917 Grd Canyon: 52.4% (347)
918 N Arizona ↑ 259
919
920 Grd Canyon ↑ 973
921 {'Grd Canyon', 973}
922 -----
923
924
```

925 #250 UC Riverside at #189 Portland
926
927 Strength of Schedule:
928 UC Riverside: 204
929 Portland: 276
930 UC Riverside ↑ 72
931
932 Points per game:
933 UC Riverside: 53.0 (331)
934 Portland: 78.0 (110)
935 Portland ↑ 221
936
937 Opponent Points per game:
938 UC Riverside: 82.0 (269)
939 Portland: 73.0 (170)
940 Portland ↑ 99
941
942 Effective FG %:
943 UC Riverside: 36.9% (328)
944 Portland: 50.0% (163)
945 Portland ↑ 165
946
947 Opponent Effective FG %:
948 UC Riverside: 53.8% (252)
949 Portland: 42.0% (59)
950 Portland ↑ 193
951
952 Rebound Rate:
953 UC Riverside: 36.6% (345)
954 Portland: 51.6% (135)
955 Portland ↑ 210
956
957 3 point statistics:
958 3 point %:
959 UC Riverside: 28.6% (235)
960 Portland: 23.3% (308)
961 UC Riverside ↑ 73
962 % points from 3:
963 UC Riverside: 45.3% (11)
964 Portland: 27.6% (79)
965 UC Riverside ↑ 68

```
966 Opponent 3 point %:  
967 UC Riverside: 35.0% (229)  
968 Portland: 27.6% (79)  
969 Portland ↑ 150  
970  
971 Portland ↑ 816  
972 {'Portland', 816}  
973 -----  
-----  
974  
975  
976 #285 Rob Morris at #147 Towson  
977  
978 Strength of Schedule:  
979 Rob Morris: 250  
980 Towson: 92  
981 Towson ↑ 158  
982  
983 Points per game:  
984 Rob Morris: 63.0 (277)  
985 Towson: 63.5 (272)  
986 Towson ↑ 5  
987  
988 Opponent Points per game:  
989 Rob Morris: 77.0 (215)  
990 Towson: 62.0 (57)  
991 Towson ↑ 158  
992  
993 Effective FG %:  
994 Rob Morris: 37.3% (323)  
995 Towson: 45.4% (248)  
996 Towson ↑ 75  
997  
998 Opponent Effective FG %:  
999 Rob Morris: 60.7% (321)  
1000 Towson: 50.5% (195)  
1001 Towson ↑ 126  
1002  
1003 Rebound Rate:  
1004 Rob Morris: 44.3% (290)  
1005 Towson: 57.0% (51)
```

1006 Towson ↑ 239
1007
1008 3 point statistics:
1009 3 point %:
1010 Rob Morris: 22.2% (314)
1011 Towson: 40.0% (44)
1012 Towson ↑ 270
1013 % points from 3:
1014 Rob Morris: 19.0% (303)
1015 Towson: 34.2% (214)
1016 Towson ↑ 89
1017 Opponent 3 point %:
1018 Rob Morris: 36.4% (251)
1019 Towson: 34.2% (214)
1020 Towson ↑ 37
1021
1022 Towson ↑ 761
1023 {761, 'Towson'}
1024 -----

1025
1026
1027 #337 Army at #61 Indiana
1028
1029 Strength of Schedule:
1030 Army: 271
1031 Indiana: 149
1032 Indiana ↑ 122
1033
1034 Points per game:
1035 Army: 49.5 (346)
1036 Indiana: 69.0 (220)
1037 Indiana ↑ 126
1038
1039 Opponent Points per game:
1040 Army: 64.0 (73)
1041 Indiana: 63.0 (66)
1042 Indiana ↑ 7
1043
1044 Effective FG %:
1045 Army: 36.7% (330)

```
1046 Indiana: 55.6% (79)
1047 Indiana ↑ 251
1048
1049 Opponent Effective FG %:
1050 Army: 57.1% (292)
1051 Indiana: 49.2% (167)
1052 Indiana ↑ 125
1053
1054 Rebound Rate:
1055 Army: 53.9% (91)
1056 Indiana: 46.7% (247)
1057 Army ↑ 156
1058
1059 3 point statistics:
1060 3 point %:
1061 Army: 25.0% (287)
1062 Indiana: 30.8% (205)
1063 Indiana ↑ 82
1064 % points from 3:
1065 Army: 39.4% (34)
1066 Indiana: 38.2% (281)
1067 Army ↑ 247
1068 Opponent 3 point %:
1069 Army: 40.0% (300)
1070 Indiana: 38.2% (281)
1071 Indiana ↑ 19
1072
1073 Indiana ↑ 475
1074 {475, 'Indiana'}
1075 -----
-----
1076
1077
1078 #300 Lafayette at #197 Pepperdine
1079
1080 Strength of Schedule:
1081 Lafayette: 35
1082 Pepperdine: 234
1083 Lafayette ↑ 199
1084
1085 Points per game:
```

1086 Lafayette: 55.0 (322)
1087 Pepperdine: 78.0 (110)
1088 Pepperdine ↑ 212
1089
1090 Opponent Points per game:
1091 Lafayette: 74.5 (187)
1092 Pepperdine: 79.0 (236)
1093 Lafayette ↑ 49
1094
1095 Effective FG %:
1096 Lafayette: 42.5% (282)
1097 Pepperdine: 60.0% (26)
1098 Pepperdine ↑ 256
1099
1100 Opponent Effective FG %:
1101 Lafayette: 52.2% (226)
1102 Pepperdine: 58.3% (301)
1103 Lafayette ↑ 75
1104
1105 Rebound Rate:
1106 Lafayette: 47.2% (235)
1107 Pepperdine: 59.3% (27)
1108 Pepperdine ↑ 208
1109
1110 3 point statistics:
1111 3 point %:
1112 Lafayette: 27.6% (253)
1113 Pepperdine: 28.6% (235)
1114 Pepperdine ↑ 18
1115 % points from 3:
1116 Lafayette: 43.6% (17)
1117 Pepperdine: 44.4% (324)
1118 Lafayette ↑ 307
1119 Opponent 3 point %:
1120 Lafayette: 35.4% (240)
1121 Pepperdine: 44.4% (324)
1122 Lafayette ↑ 84
1123
1124 Pepperdine ↑ 353
1125 {353, 'Pepperdine'}
1126 -----

1126 ----
1127
1128
1129 #298 TX A&M-Com at #205 IPFW
1130
1131 Strength of Schedule:
1132 TX A&M-Com: 2
1133 IPFW: 249
1134 TX A&M-Com ↑ 247
1135
1136 Points per game:
1137 TX A&M-Com: 51.0 (342)
1138 IPFW: 82.0 (72)
1139 IPFW ↑ 270
1140
1141 Opponent Points per game:
1142 TX A&M-Com: 77.3 (219)
1143 IPFW: 74.0 (181)
1144 IPFW ↑ 38
1145
1146 Effective FG %:
1147 TX A&M-Com: 38.7% (316)
1148 IPFW: 51.6% (137)
1149 IPFW ↑ 179
1150
1151 Opponent Effective FG %:
1152 TX A&M-Com: 52.2% (228)
1153 IPFW: 56.9% (287)
1154 TX A&M-Com ↑ 59
1155
1156 Rebound Rate:
1157 TX A&M-Com: 41.6% (317)
1158 IPFW: 45.2% (276)
1159 IPFW ↑ 41
1160
1161 3 point statistics:
1162 3 point %:
1163 TX A&M-Com: 21.1% (323)
1164 IPFW: 50.0% (5)
1165 IPFW ↑ 318
1166 % points from 3:

```
1167 TX A&M-Com: 37.3% (56)
1168 IPFW: 34.8% (222)
1169 TX A&M-Com ↑ 166
1170 Opponent 3 point %:
1171 TX A&M-Com: 32.4% (179)
1172 IPFW: 34.8% (222)
1173 TX A&M-Com ↑ 43
1174
1175 IPFW ↑ 222
1176 {'IPFW', 222}
1177 -----
1178 -----
1179
1180 #326 Merrimack at #270 Maine
1181
1182 Strength of Schedule:
1183 Merrimack: 301
1184 Maine: 275
1185 Maine ↑ 26
1186
1187 Points per game:
1188 Merrimack: 55.0 (322)
1189 Maine: 52.0 (335)
1190 Merrimack ↑ 13
1191
1192 Opponent Points per game:
1193 Merrimack: 67.0 (107)
1194 Maine: 69.0 (135)
1195 Merrimack ↑ 28
1196
1197 Effective FG %:
1198 Merrimack: 46.2% (230)
1199 Maine: 38.9% (314)
1200 Merrimack ↑ 84
1201
1202 Opponent Effective FG %:
1203 Merrimack: 53.8% (251)
1204 Maine: 60.5% (317)
1205 Merrimack ↑ 66
1206
```

```
1207 Rebound Rate:  
1208 Merrimack: 42.6% (309)  
1209 Maine: 40.7% (326)  
1210 Merrimack ↑ 17  
1211  
1212 3 point statistics:  
1213 3 point %:  
1214 Merrimack: 21.1% (324)  
1215 Maine: 25.0% (287)  
1216 Maine ↑ 37  
1217 % points from 3:  
1218 Merrimack: 21.8% (278)  
1219 Maine: 35.3% (235)  
1220 Maine ↑ 43  
1221 Opponent 3 point %:  
1222 Merrimack: 28.0% (88)  
1223 Maine: 35.3% (235)  
1224 Merrimack ↑ 147  
1225  
1226 Merrimack ↑ 182  
1227 {'Merrimack', 182}  
1228 -----  
-----  
1229  
1230  
1231 #314 Elon at #296 North Dakota  
1232  
1233 Strength of Schedule:  
1234 Elon: 142  
1235 North Dakota: 3  
1236 North Dakota ↑ 139  
1237  
1238 Points per game:  
1239 Elon: 78.5 (105)  
1240 North Dakota: 68.0 (226)  
1241 Elon ↑ 121  
1242  
1243 Opponent Points per game:  
1244 Elon: 88.5 (311)  
1245 North Dakota: 110.0 (348)  
1246 Elon ↑ 37
```

```
1247
1248 Effective FG %:
1249 Elon: 57.2% (60)
1250 North Dakota: 43.3% (275)
1251 Elon ↑ 215
1252
1253 Opponent Effective FG %:
1254 Elon: 56.4% (284)
1255 North Dakota: 61.3% (327)
1256 Elon ↑ 43
1257
1258 Rebound Rate:
1259 Elon: 45.9% (267)
1260 North Dakota: 45.9% (268)
1261 Elon ↑ 1
1262
1263 3 point statistics:
1264 3 point %:
1265 Elon: 45.2% (20)
1266 North Dakota: 16.0% (339)
1267 Elon ↑ 319
1268 % points from 3:
1269 Elon: 36.3% (70)
1270 North Dakota: 50.0% (338)
1271 Elon ↑ 268
1272 Opponent 3 point %:
1273 Elon: 32.1% (169)
1274 North Dakota: 50.0% (338)
1275 Elon ↑ 169
1276
1277 Elon ↑ 278
1278 {'Elon', 278}
1279 -----
-----
1280
1281
1282 #343 Chicago St at #325 S Indiana
1283
1284 Strength of Schedule:
1285 Chicago St: 198
1286 S Indiana: 36
```

1287 S Indiana ↑ 162
1288
1289 Points per game:
1290 Chicago St: 51.0 (342)
1291 S Indiana: 57.0 (314)
1292 S Indiana ↑ 28
1293
1294 Opponent Points per game:
1295 Chicago St: 68.0 (123)
1296 S Indiana: 74.5 (187)
1297 Chicago St ↑ 64
1298
1299 Effective FG %:
1300 Chicago St: 35.1% (338)
1301 S Indiana: 41.6% (296)
1302 S Indiana ↑ 42
1303
1304 Opponent Effective FG %:
1305 Chicago St: 50.9% (205)
1306 S Indiana: 45.8% (104)
1307 S Indiana ↑ 101
1308
1309 Rebound Rate:
1310 Chicago St: 50.3% (169)
1311 S Indiana: 48.1% (213)
1312 Chicago St ↑ 44
1313
1314 3 point statistics:
1315 3 point %:
1316 Chicago St: 23.1% (310)
1317 S Indiana: 26.7% (266)
1318 S Indiana ↑ 44
1319 % points from 3:
1320 Chicago St: 26.5% (219)
1321 S Indiana: 31.3% (155)
1322 S Indiana ↑ 64
1323 Opponent 3 point %:
1324 Chicago St: 27.1% (72)
1325 S Indiana: 31.3% (155)
1326 Chicago St ↑ 83
1327

```
1328 S Indiana ↑ 225
1329 {225, 'S Indiana'}
1330 -----
-----
1331
1332
1333 Best bets according to the stats:
1334 San Francisco ↑ 1604
1335 St Marys ↑ 1530
1336 Grd Canyon ↑ 973
1337 Portland ↑ 816
1338 Georgia ↑ 809
1339 Towson ↑ 761
1340 Yale ↑ 754
1341 Iowa St ↑ 740
1342 Cincinnati ↑ 712
1343 Baylor ↑ 591
1344 Rutgers ↑ 515
1345 Indiana ↑ 475
1346 N Carolina ↑ 441
1347 Washington ↑ 360
1348 Pepperdine ↑ 353
1349 Delaware ↑ 304
1350 Elon ↑ 278
1351 S Indiana ↑ 225
1352 IPFW ↑ 222
1353 Texas Tech ↑ 209
1354 Merrimack ↑ 182
1355 Maryland ↑ 104
1356 Clemson ↑ 77
1357 James Mad ↑ 49
1358 Oklahoma St ↑ 44
1359 UC Davis ↑ 27
1360 ['San Francisco', 'St Marys', 'Grd Canyon', 'Portland', 'Georgia', 'Towson', 'Yale', 'Iowa St', 'Cincinnati', 'Baylor', 'Rutgers', 'Indiana', 'N Carolina', 'Washington', 'Pepperdine', 'Delaware', 'Elon', 'S Indiana', 'IPFW', 'Texas Tech', 'Merrimack', 'Maryland', 'Clemson', 'James Mad', 'Oklahoma St', 'UC Davis']
1361
```

```
1362
1363 Time taken for queue: 13 seconds
1364
1365 Time taken for calls/printing: 7 seconds
1366
1367 Time taken: 20 seconds
1368
1369 Process finished with exit code 0
1370
```