

```
7 [('Tennessee', 'Purdue')]
8 #9 Tennessee vs. #1 Purdue
9
10 Strength of Schedule:
11 Tennessee: 15
12 Purdue: 6
13 Purdue ↑ 9
14
15 Points per game:
16 Tennessee: 78.8 (81)
17 Purdue: 85.3 (26)
18 Purdue ↑ 55
19
20 Opponent Points per game:
21 Tennessee: 57.3 (11)
22 Purdue: 59.0 (17)
23 Tennessee ↑ 6
24
25 Effective FG %:
26 Tennessee: 52.3% (111)
27 Purdue: 59.2% (13)
28 Purdue ↑ 98
29
30 Opponent Effective FG %:
31 Tennessee: 40.8% (21)
32 Purdue: 40.0% (15)
33 Purdue ↑ 6
34
35 Rebound Rate:
36 Tennessee: 55.4% (39)
37 Purdue: 55.1% (43)
38 Tennessee ↑ 4
39
40 3 point statistics:
41 3 point %:
42 Tennessee: 37.5% (62)
43 Purdue: 41.7% (12)
44 Purdue ↑ 50
45 % points from 3:
46 Tennessee: 31.4% (135)
47 Purdue: 21.0% (6)
```

```
48 Purdue ↑ 129
49 Opponent 3 point %:
50 Tennessee: 25.8% (37)
51 Purdue: 21.0% (6)
52 Purdue ↑ 31
53
54 Purdue ↑ 158
55 {158, 'Purdue'}
56 -----
57 --
58
59 [('Kansas', 'Marquette')]
60 #5 Kansas vs. #12 Marquette
61
62 Strength of Schedule:
63 Kansas: 30
64 Marquette: 13
65 Marquette ↑ 17
66
67 Points per game:
68 Kansas: 95.7 (3)
69 Marquette: 82.3 (47)
70 Kansas ↑ 44
71
72 Opponent Points per game:
73 Kansas: 67.0 (96)
74 Marquette: 67.0 (96)
75 Kansas ↑ 0
76
77 Effective FG %:
78 Kansas: 65.7% (3)
79 Marquette: 56.9% (29)
80 Kansas ↑ 26
81
82 Opponent Effective FG %:
83 Kansas: 40.3% (18)
84 Marquette: 44.9% (68)
85 Kansas ↑ 50
86
87 Rebound Rate:
```

```
88 Kansas: 56.1% (34)
89 Marquette: 49.0% (212)
90 Kansas ↑ 178
91
92 3 point statistics:
93 3 point %:
94 Kansas: 43.3% (10)
95 Marquette: 35.8% (95)
96 Kansas ↑ 85
97 % points from 3:
98 Kansas: 30.3% (163)
99 Marquette: 30.8% (129)
100 Marquette ↑ 34
101 Opponent 3 point %:
102 Kansas: 29.9% (106)
103 Marquette: 30.8% (129)
104 Kansas ↑ 23
105
106 Kansas ↑ 281
107 {281, 'Kansas'}
108 -----
---  
109
110
111 [('Colorado', 'Florida St')]
112 #26 Colorado vs. #66 Florida St
113
114 Strength of Schedule:
115 Colorado: 125
116 Florida St: 56
117 Florida St ↑ 69
118
119 Points per game:
120 Colorado: 85.0 (28)
121 Florida St: 84.8 (30)
122 Colorado ↑ 2
123
124 Opponent Points per game:
125 Colorado: 64.5 (62)
126 Florida St: 74.5 (213)
127 Colorado ↑ 151
```

```
128
129 Effective FG %:
130 Colorado: 60.5% (11)
131 Florida St: 55.3% (46)
132 Colorado ↑ 35
133
134 Opponent Effective FG %:
135 Colorado: 44.6% (65)
136 Florida St: 50.7% (209)
137 Colorado ↑ 144
138
139 Rebound Rate:
140 Colorado: 57.0% (26)
141 Florida St: 48.9% (214)
142 Colorado ↑ 188
143
144 3 point statistics:
145 3 point %:
146 Colorado: 48.6% (3)
147 Florida St: 34.9% (117)
148 Colorado ↑ 114
149 % points from 3:
150 Colorado: 30.0% (169)
151 Florida St: 23.6% (18)
152 Florida St ↑ 151
153 Opponent 3 point %:
154 Colorado: 31.4% (146)
155 Florida St: 23.6% (18)
156 Florida St ↑ 128
157
158 Colorado ↑ 451
159 {451, 'Colorado'}
160 -----
---  
161
162
163 [('Utah St', 'Ste F Austin')]
164 #70 Utah St vs. #74 Ste F Austin
165
166 Strength of Schedule:
167 Utah St: 78
```

168 Ste F Austin: 108
169 Utah St ↑ 30
170
171 Points per game:
172 Utah St: 76.8 (111)
173 Ste F Austin: 84.0 (35)
174 Ste F Austin ↑ 76
175
176 Opponent Points per game:
177 Utah St: 69.5 (132)
178 Ste F Austin: 70.3 (148)
179 Utah St ↑ 16
180
181 Effective FG %:
182 Utah St: 52.6% (106)
183 Ste F Austin: 62.9% (8)
184 Ste F Austin ↑ 98
185
186 Opponent Effective FG %:
187 Utah St: 46.7% (104)
188 Ste F Austin: 44.4% (61)
189 Ste F Austin ↑ 43
190
191 Rebound Rate:
192 Utah St: 52.7% (91)
193 Ste F Austin: 50.0% (177)
194 Utah St ↑ 86
195
196 3 point statistics:
197 3 point %:
198 Utah St: 29.2% (268)
199 Ste F Austin: 47.4% (4)
200 Ste F Austin ↑ 264
201 % points from 3:
202 Utah St: 20.5% (328)
203 Ste F Austin: 34.2% (224)
204 Ste F Austin ↑ 104
205 Opponent 3 point %:
206 Utah St: 24.7% (25)
207 Ste F Austin: 34.2% (224)
208 Utah St ↑ 199

```
209
210 Ste F Austin ↑ 85
211 {85, 'Ste F Austin'}
212 -----
213
214
215 [('Syracuse', 'Gonzaga')]
216 #110 Syracuse vs. #13 Gonzaga
217
218 Strength of Schedule:
219 Syracuse: 86
220 Gonzaga: 5
221 Gonzaga ↑ 81
222
223 Points per game:
224 Syracuse: 76.8 (111)
225 Gonzaga: 74.5 (143)
226 Syracuse ↑ 32
227
228 Opponent Points per game:
229 Syracuse: 74.3 (208)
230 Gonzaga: 72.0 (173)
231 Gonzaga ↑ 35
232
233 Effective FG %:
234 Syracuse: 48.1% (207)
235 Gonzaga: 48.5% (198)
236 Gonzaga ↑ 9
237
238 Opponent Effective FG %:
239 Syracuse: 49.6% (186)
240 Gonzaga: 49.2% (178)
241 Gonzaga ↑ 8
242
243 Rebound Rate:
244 Syracuse: 46.4% (287)
245 Gonzaga: 54.8% (51)
246 Gonzaga ↑ 236
247
248 3 point statistics:
```

```
249 3 point %:  
250 Syracuse: 29.3% (262)  
251 Gonzaga: 25.5% (320)  
252 Syracuse ↑ 58  
253 % points from 3:  
254 Syracuse: 26.4% (255)  
255 Gonzaga: 31.7% (159)  
256 Gonzaga ↑ 96  
257 Opponent 3 point %:  
258 Syracuse: 35.2% (250)  
259 Gonzaga: 31.7% (159)  
260 Gonzaga ↑ 91  
261  
262 Gonzaga ↑ 337  
263 {337, 'Gonzaga'}  
264 -----  
---  
265  
266  
267 [('New Mexico', 'Toledo')]  
268 #68 New Mexico vs. #102 Toledo  
269  
270 Strength of Schedule:  
271 New Mexico: 77  
272 Toledo: 170  
273 New Mexico ↑ 93  
274  
275 Points per game:  
276 New Mexico: 77.3 (105)  
277 Toledo: 86.3 (24)  
278 Toledo ↑ 81  
279  
280 Opponent Points per game:  
281 New Mexico: 69.0 (122)  
282 Toledo: 71.7 (170)  
283 New Mexico ↑ 48  
284  
285 Effective FG %:  
286 New Mexico: 46.5% (236)  
287 Toledo: 57.7% (24)  
288 Toledo ↑ 212
```

```
289
290 Opponent Effective FG %:
291 New Mexico: 44.1% (58)
292 Toledo: 59.9% (345)
293 New Mexico ↑ 287
294
295 Rebound Rate:
296 New Mexico: 51.3% (135)
297 Toledo: 49.5% (195)
298 New Mexico ↑ 60
299
300 3 point statistics:
301 3 point %:
302 New Mexico: 33.3% (147)
303 Toledo: 44.4% (7)
304 Toledo ↑ 140
305 % points from 3:
306 New Mexico: 23.3% (290)
307 Toledo: 32.2% (177)
308 Toledo ↑ 113
309 Opponent 3 point %:
310 New Mexico: 25.0% (27)
311 Toledo: 32.2% (177)
312 New Mexico ↑ 150
313
314 New Mexico ↑ 195
315 {'New Mexico', 195}
316 -----
---
317
318
319 [('Richmond', 'UNLV')]
320 #91 Richmond vs. #97 UNLV
321
322 Strength of Schedule:
323 Richmond: 79
324 UNLV: 102
325 Richmond ↑ 23
326
327 Points per game:
328 Richmond: 75.8 (125)
```

329 UNLV: 74.8 (140)
330 Richmond ↑ 15
331
332 Opponent Points per game:
333 Richmond: 63.8 (55)
334 UNLV: 72.8 (186)
335 Richmond ↑ 131
336
337 Effective FG %:
338 Richmond: 54.3% (65)
339 UNLV: 55.3% (47)
340 UNLV ↑ 18
341
342 Opponent Effective FG %:
343 Richmond: 46.2% (95)
344 UNLV: 54.2% (292)
345 Richmond ↑ 197
346
347 Rebound Rate:
348 Richmond: 47.1% (264)
349 UNLV: 48.1% (245)
350 UNLV ↑ 19
351
352 3 point statistics:
353 3 point %:
354 Richmond: 35.1% (111)
355 UNLV: 31.8% (189)
356 Richmond ↑ 78
357 % points from 3:
358 Richmond: 32.7% (114)
359 UNLV: 36.1% (270)
360 Richmond ↑ 156
361 Opponent 3 point %:
362 Richmond: 32.8% (195)
363 UNLV: 36.1% (270)
364 Richmond ↑ 75
365
366 Richmond ↑ 329
367 {329, 'Richmond'}
368 -----

369
370
371 [('Akron', 'Drake')]
372 #92 Akron vs. #104 Drake
373
374 Strength of Schedule:
375 Akron: 129
376 Drake: 130
377 Akron ↑ 1
378
379 Points per game:
380 Akron: 73.0 (172)
381 Drake: 79.3 (72)
382 Drake ↑ 100
383
384 Opponent Points per game:
385 Akron: 66.3 (85)
386 Drake: 79.7 (283)
387 Akron ↑ 198
388
389 Effective FG %:
390 Akron: 52.7% (101)
391 Drake: 53.6% (81)
392 Drake ↑ 20
393
394 Opponent Effective FG %:
395 Akron: 50.2% (197)
396 Drake: 62.1% (355)
397 Akron ↑ 158
398
399 Rebound Rate:
400 Akron: 55.0% (48)
401 Drake: 48.9% (219)
402 Akron ↑ 171
403
404 3 point statistics:
405 3 point %:
406 Akron: 33.0% (160)
407 Drake: 36.8% (76)
408 Drake ↑ 84
409 % points from 3:

```
410 Akron: 36.0% (58)
411 Drake: 41.8% (346)
412 Akron ↑ 288
413 Opponent 3 point %:
414 Akron: 38.1% (311)
415 Drake: 41.8% (346)
416 Akron ↑ 35
417
418 Akron ↑ 408
419 {408, 'Akron'}
420 -----
421
422
423 [('James Mad', 'S Illinois')]
424 #75 James Mad vs. #140 S Illinois
425
426 Strength of Schedule:
427 James Mad: 31
428 S Illinois: 357
429 James Mad ↑ 326
430
431 Points per game:
432 James Mad: 93.8 (7)
433 S Illinois: 81.0 (58)
434 James Mad ↑ 51
435
436 Opponent Points per game:
437 James Mad: 85.8 (335)
438 S Illinois: 61.5 (35)
439 S Illinois ↑ 300
440
441 Effective FG %:
442 James Mad: 52.9% (96)
443 S Illinois: 53.9% (71)
444 S Illinois ↑ 25
445
446 Opponent Effective FG %:
447 James Mad: 48.3% (146)
448 S Illinois: 47.0% (114)
449 S Illinois ↑ 32
```

```
450
451 Rebound Rate:
452 James Mad: 48.7% (230)
453 S Illinois: 57.0% (28)
454 S Illinois ↑ 202
455
456 3 point statistics:
457 3 point %:
458 James Mad: 38.9% (39)
459 S Illinois: 31.3% (210)
460 James Mad ↑ 171
461 % points from 3:
462 James Mad: 33.6% (105)
463 S Illinois: 22.6% (11)
464 S Illinois ↑ 94
465 Opponent 3 point %:
466 James Mad: 30.6% (126)
467 S Illinois: 22.6% (11)
468 S Illinois ↑ 115
469
470 S Illinois ↑ 182
471 {'S Illinois', 182}
472 -----
    ---
473
474
475 [('Pepperdine', 'UC Irvine')]
476 #158 Pepperdine vs. #81 UC Irvine
477
478 Strength of Schedule:
479 Pepperdine: 287
480 UC Irvine: 69
481 UC Irvine ↑ 218
482
483 Points per game:
484 Pepperdine: 77.5 (100)
485 UC Irvine: 75.0 (135)
486 Pepperdine ↑ 35
487
488 Opponent Points per game:
489 Pepperdine: 66.8 (92)
```

```
490 UC Irvine: 68.7 (118)
491 Pepperdine ↑ 26
492
493 Effective FG %:
494 Pepperdine: 52.1% (116)
495 UC Irvine: 50.0% (164)
496 Pepperdine ↑ 48
497
498 Opponent Effective FG %:
499 Pepperdine: 48.3% (148)
500 UC Irvine: 44.2% (59)
501 UC Irvine ↑ 89
502
503 Rebound Rate:
504 Pepperdine: 59.8% (9)
505 UC Irvine: 51.2% (143)
506 Pepperdine ↑ 134
507
508 3 point statistics:
509 3 point %:
510 Pepperdine: 37.0% (72)
511 UC Irvine: 33.3% (147)
512 Pepperdine ↑ 75
513 % points from 3:
514 Pepperdine: 26.1% (260)
515 UC Irvine: 31.0% (136)
516 UC Irvine ↑ 124
517 Opponent 3 point %:
518 Pepperdine: 32.8% (192)
519 UC Irvine: 31.0% (136)
520 UC Irvine ↑ 56
521
522 UC Irvine ↑ 64
523 {64, 'UC Irvine'}
524 -----
---
```

525

526

527 [('Hofstra', 'Wright St')]

528 #99 Hofstra vs. #156 Wright St

529

530 Strength of Schedule:
531 Hofstra: 160
532 Wright St: 36
533 Wright St ↑ 124
534
535 Points per game:
536 Hofstra: 76.3 (116)
537 Wright St: 81.3 (55)
538 Wright St ↑ 61
539
540 Opponent Points per game:
541 Hofstra: 71.0 (161)
542 Wright St: 89.3 (348)
543 Hofstra ↑ 187
544
545 Effective FG %:
546 Hofstra: 55.6% (41)
547 Wright St: 54.9% (52)
548 Hofstra ↑ 11
549
550 Opponent Effective FG %:
551 Hofstra: 47.8% (131)
552 Wright St: 60.7% (349)
553 Hofstra ↑ 218
554
555 Rebound Rate:
556 Hofstra: 49.3% (205)
557 Wright St: 53.6% (71)
558 Wright St ↑ 134
559
560 3 point statistics:
561 3 point %:
562 Hofstra: 36.3% (86)
563 Wright St: 33.3% (147)
564 Hofstra ↑ 61
565 % points from 3:
566 Hofstra: 38.0% (35)
567 Wright St: 34.5% (232)
568 Hofstra ↑ 197
569 Opponent 3 point %:
570 Hofstra: 31.7% (159)

571 Wright St: 34.5% (232)
572 Hofstra ↑ 73
573
574 Hofstra ↑ 97
575 {97, 'Hofstra'}
576 -----

577
578
579 [('UAB', 'Middle Tenn')]
580 #112 UAB at #142 Middle Tenn
581
582 Strength of Schedule:
583 UAB: 44
584 Middle Tenn: 221
585 UAB ↑ 177
586
587 Points per game:
588 UAB: 73.3 (168)
589 Middle Tenn: 68.3 (234)
590 UAB ↑ 66
591
592 Opponent Points per game:
593 UAB: 72.5 (181)
594 Middle Tenn: 61.7 (37)
595 Middle Tenn ↑ 144
596
597 Effective FG %:
598 UAB: 45.7% (258)
599 Middle Tenn: 44.8% (287)
600 UAB ↑ 29
601
602 Opponent Effective FG %:
603 UAB: 48.4% (150)
604 Middle Tenn: 46.6% (103)
605 Middle Tenn ↑ 47
606
607 Rebound Rate:
608 UAB: 54.3% (57)
609 Middle Tenn: 49.8% (183)
610 UAB ↑ 126

```
611
612 3 point statistics:
613 3 point %:
614 UAB: 29.0% (272)
615 Middle Tenn: 31.5% (199)
616 Middle Tenn ↑ 73
617 % points from 3:
618 UAB: 20.5% (329)
619 Middle Tenn: 26.3% (46)
620 Middle Tenn ↑ 283
621 Opponent 3 point %:
622 UAB: 29.2% (89)
623 Middle Tenn: 26.3% (46)
624 Middle Tenn ↑ 43
625
626 UAB ↑ 207
627 {'UAB', 207}
628 -----
---  
629
630
631 [('La Salle', 'Duke')]
632 #214 La Salle at #11 Duke
633
634 Strength of Schedule:
635 La Salle: 326
636 Duke: 4
637 Duke ↑ 322
638
639 Points per game:
640 La Salle: 73.5 (161)
641 Duke: 82.3 (47)
642 Duke ↑ 114
643
644 Opponent Points per game:
645 La Salle: 67.5 (105)
646 Duke: 64.3 (58)
647 Duke ↑ 47
648
649 Effective FG %:
650 La Salle: 52.2% (114)
```

```
651 Duke: 57.6% (25)
652 Duke ↑ 89
653
654 Opponent Effective FG %:
655 La Salle: 50.4% (204)
656 Duke: 45.2% (73)
657 Duke ↑ 131
658
659 Rebound Rate:
660 La Salle: 47.7% (253)
661 Duke: 52.1% (109)
662 Duke ↑ 144
663
664 3 point statistics:
665 3 point %:
666 La Salle: 36.1% (88)
667 Duke: 35.4% (104)
668 La Salle ↑ 16
669 % points from 3:
670 La Salle: 30.6% (155)
671 Duke: 30.1% (113)
672 Duke ↑ 42
673 Opponent 3 point %:
674 La Salle: 34.1% (223)
675 Duke: 30.1% (113)
676 Duke ↑ 110
677
678 Duke ↑ 847
679 {'Duke', 847}
680 -----
681
682
683 [('Indiana St', 'Rice')]
684 #94 Indiana St vs. #184 Rice
685
686 Strength of Schedule:
687 Indiana St: 105
688 Rice: 169
689 Indiana St ↑ 64
690
```

691 Points per game:
692 Indiana St: 88.0 (20)
693 Rice: 70.0 (213)
694 Indiana St ↑ 193
695
696 Opponent Points per game:
697 Indiana St: 79.5 (279)
698 Rice: 84.5 (324)
699 Indiana St ↑ 45
700
701 Effective FG %:
702 Indiana St: 64.7% (4)
703 Rice: 45.0% (281)
704 Indiana St ↑ 277
705
706 Opponent Effective FG %:
707 Indiana St: 51.2% (219)
708 Rice: 64.4% (360)
709 Indiana St ↑ 141
710
711 Rebound Rate:
712 Indiana St: 47.0% (267)
713 Rice: 44.4% (321)
714 Indiana St ↑ 54
715
716 3 point statistics:
717 3 point %:
718 Indiana St: 40.8% (18)
719 Rice: 26.1% (313)
720 Indiana St ↑ 295
721 % points from 3:
722 Indiana St: 34.1% (92)
723 Rice: 40.5% (335)
724 Indiana St ↑ 243
725 Opponent 3 point %:
726 Indiana St: 36.1% (269)
727 Rice: 40.5% (335)
728 Indiana St ↑ 66
729
730 Indiana St ↑ 774
731 {'Indiana St', 774}

732 -----

733
734
735 [('Lg Beach St', 'Iona')]
736 #125 Lg Beach St vs. #183 Iona
737
738 Strength of Schedule:
739 Lg Beach St: 28
740 Iona: 174
741 Lg Beach St ↑ 146
742
743 Points per game:
744 Lg Beach St: 74.4 (145)
745 Iona: 75.0 (135)
746 Iona ↑ 10
747
748 Opponent Points per game:
749 Lg Beach St: 77.2 (249)
750 Iona: 78.0 (264)
751 Lg Beach St ↑ 15
752
753 Effective FG %:
754 Lg Beach St: 49.4% (185)
755 Iona: 50.0% (164)
756 Iona ↑ 21
757
758 Opponent Effective FG %:
759 Lg Beach St: 50.5% (206)
760 Iona: 53.8% (284)
761 Lg Beach St ↑ 78
762
763 Rebound Rate:
764 Lg Beach St: 50.3% (173)
765 Iona: 47.1% (263)
766 Lg Beach St ↑ 90
767
768 3 point statistics:
769 3 point %:
770 Lg Beach St: 32.0% (181)
771 Iona: 37.8% (55)

```
772 Iona ↑ 126
773 % points from 3:
774 Lg Beach St: 25.0% (269)
775 Iona: 35.1% (248)
776 Iona ↑ 21
777 Opponent 3 point %:
778 Lg Beach St: 30.8% (129)
779 Iona: 35.1% (248)
780 Lg Beach St ↑ 119
781
782 Lg Beach St ↑ 298
783 {298, 'Lg Beach St'}
784 -----
    ---
785
786
787 [('Marshall', 'Oakland')]
788 #141 Marshall vs. #173 Oakland
789
790 Strength of Schedule:
791 Marshall: 132
792 Oakland: 42
793 Oakland ↑ 90
794
795 Points per game:
796 Marshall: 72.8 (177)
797 Oakland: 71.6 (191)
798 Marshall ↑ 14
799
800 Opponent Points per game:
801 Marshall: 72.8 (186)
802 Oakland: 71.8 (172)
803 Oakland ↑ 14
804
805 Effective FG %:
806 Marshall: 46.0% (251)
807 Oakland: 51.4% (130)
808 Oakland ↑ 121
809
810 Opponent Effective FG %:
811 Marshall: 47.6% (126)
```

```
812 Oakland: 51.1% (216)
813 Marshall ↑ 90
814
815 Rebound Rate:
816 Marshall: 48.3% (240)
817 Oakland: 49.7% (185)
818 Oakland ↑ 55
819
820 3 point statistics:
821 3 point %:
822 Marshall: 26.4% (309)
823 Oakland: 36.4% (81)
824 Oakland ↑ 228
825 % points from 3:
826 Marshall: 23.7% (286)
827 Oakland: 30.3% (120)
828 Oakland ↑ 166
829 Opponent 3 point %:
830 Marshall: 28.7% (83)
831 Oakland: 30.3% (120)
832 Marshall ↑ 37
833
834 Oakland ↑ 176
835 {176, 'Oakland'}
836 -----
837
838
839 [('App State', 'NC-Wilmgton')]
840 #191 App State vs. #118 NC-Wilmgton
841
842 Strength of Schedule:
843 App State: 207
844 NC-Wilmgton: 259
845 App State ↑ 52
846
847 Points per game:
848 App State: 74.5 (143)
849 NC-Wilmgton: 83.0 (41)
850 NC-Wilmgton ↑ 102
851
```

```
852 Opponent Points per game:  
853 App State: 86.0 (336)  
854 NC-Wilmgton: 73.5 (195)  
855 NC-Wilmgton ↑ 141  
856  
857 Effective FG %:  
858 App State: 46.4% (239)  
859 NC-Wilmgton: 54.2% (67)  
860 NC-Wilmgton ↑ 172  
861  
862 Opponent Effective FG %:  
863 App State: 54.1% (288)  
864 NC-Wilmgton: 51.7% (234)  
865 NC-Wilmgton ↑ 54  
866  
867 Rebound Rate:  
868 App State: 51.3% (139)  
869 NC-Wilmgton: 53.7% (68)  
870 NC-Wilmgton ↑ 71  
871  
872 3 point statistics:  
873 3 point %:  
874 App State: 30.4% (231)  
875 NC-Wilmgton: 37.8% (55)  
876 NC-Wilmgton ↑ 176  
877 % points from 3:  
878 App State: 28.2% (211)  
879 NC-Wilmgton: 34.9% (244)  
880 App State ↑ 33  
881 Opponent 3 point %:  
882 App State: 38.6% (315)  
883 NC-Wilmgton: 34.9% (244)  
884 NC-Wilmgton ↑ 71  
885  
886 NC-Wilmgton ↑ 488  
887 {488, 'NC-Wilmgton'}  
888 -----  
---  
889  
890  
891 [('California', 'TX El Paso')]
```

892 #193 California vs. #136 TX El Paso
893
894 Strength of Schedule:
895 California: 312
896 TX El Paso: 346
897 California ↑ 34
898
899 Points per game:
900 California: 73.3 (168)
901 TX El Paso: 80.0 (65)
902 TX El Paso ↑ 103
903
904 Opponent Points per game:
905 California: 69.8 (138)
906 TX El Paso: 69.5 (132)
907 TX El Paso ↑ 6
908
909 Effective FG %:
910 California: 51.1% (137)
911 TX El Paso: 58.2% (18)
912 TX El Paso ↑ 119
913
914 Opponent Effective FG %:
915 California: 53.2% (270)
916 TX El Paso: 49.1% (176)
917 TX El Paso ↑ 94
918
919 Rebound Rate:
920 California: 56.7% (31)
921 TX El Paso: 50.7% (158)
922 California ↑ 127
923
924 3 point statistics:
925 3 point %:
926 California: 33.7% (142)
927 TX El Paso: 28.6% (279)
928 California ↑ 137
929 % points from 3:
930 California: 31.7% (128)
931 TX El Paso: 32.4% (180)
932 California ↑ 52

```
933 Opponent 3 point %:  
934 California: 37.8% (305)  
935 TX El Paso: 32.4% (180)  
936 TX El Paso ↑ 125  
937  
938 TX El Paso ↑ 161  
939 {161, 'TX El Paso'}  
940 -----  
---  
941  
942  
943 [('Maryland BC', 'Maryland')]  
944 #247 Maryland BC at #65 Maryland  
945  
946 Strength of Schedule:  
947 Maryland BC: 330  
948 Maryland: 34  
949 Maryland ↑ 296  
950  
951 Points per game:  
952 Maryland BC: 82.8 (43)  
953 Maryland: 58.0 (341)  
954 Maryland BC ↑ 298  
955  
956 Opponent Points per game:  
957 Maryland BC: 79.5 (279)  
958 Maryland: 60.0 (21)  
959 Maryland ↑ 258  
960  
961 Effective FG %:  
962 Maryland BC: 52.2% (113)  
963 Maryland: 41.1% (339)  
964 Maryland BC ↑ 226  
965  
966 Opponent Effective FG %:  
967 Maryland BC: 48.5% (156)  
968 Maryland: 45.0% (69)  
969 Maryland ↑ 87  
970  
971 Rebound Rate:  
972 Maryland BC: 49.5% (191)
```

```
973 Maryland: 50.5% (163)
974 Maryland ↑ 28
975
976 3 point statistics:
977 3 point %:
978 Maryland BC: 36.0% (91)
979 Maryland: 21.6% (350)
980 Maryland BC ↑ 259
981 % points from 3:
982 Maryland BC: 28.1% (215)
983 Maryland: 33.3% (203)
984 Maryland ↑ 12
985 Opponent 3 point %:
986 Maryland BC: 30.2% (116)
987 Maryland: 33.3% (203)
988 Maryland BC ↑ 87
989
990 Maryland ↑ 145
991 {145, 'Maryland'}
992 -----
993 -----
994
995 [('S Utah', 'LA Tech')]
996 #228 S Utah at #114 LA Tech
997
998 Strength of Schedule:
999 S Utah: 237
1000 LA Tech: 126
1001 LA Tech ↑ 111
1002
1003 Points per game:
1004 S Utah: 78.0 (90)
1005 LA Tech: 73.0 (172)
1006 S Utah ↑ 82
1007
1008 Opponent Points per game:
1009 S Utah: 83.0 (316)
1010 LA Tech: 72.0 (173)
1011 LA Tech ↑ 143
1012
```

```
1013 Effective FG %:  
1014 S Utah: 48.9% (192)  
1015 LA Tech: 50.9% (148)  
1016 LA Tech ↑ 44  
1017  
1018 Opponent Effective FG %:  
1019 S Utah: 57.8% (334)  
1020 LA Tech: 47.4% (122)  
1021 LA Tech ↑ 212  
1022  
1023 Rebound Rate:  
1024 S Utah: 49.4% (200)  
1025 LA Tech: 54.5% (55)  
1026 LA Tech ↑ 145  
1027  
1028 3 point statistics:  
1029 3 point %:  
1030 S Utah: 34.0% (133)  
1031 LA Tech: 32.0% (180)  
1032 S Utah ↑ 47  
1033 % points from 3:  
1034 S Utah: 34.6% (78)  
1035 LA Tech: 29.4% (95)  
1036 S Utah ↑ 17  
1037 Opponent 3 point %:  
1038 S Utah: 32.4% (180)  
1039 LA Tech: 29.4% (95)  
1040 LA Tech ↑ 85  
1041  
1042 LA Tech ↑ 573  
1043 {'LA Tech', 573}  
1044 -----  
-----  
1045  
1046  
1047 [('Florida Intl', 'Loyola Mymt')]  
1048 #234 Florida Intl vs. #122 Loyola Mymt  
1049  
1050 Strength of Schedule:  
1051 Florida Intl: 106  
1052 Loyola Mymt: 157
```

1053 Florida Intl ↑ 51
1054
1055 Points per game:
1056 Florida Intl: 71.7 (189)
1057 Loyola Mymt: 78.3 (87)
1058 Loyola Mymt ↑ 102
1059
1060 Opponent Points per game:
1061 Florida Intl: 80.7 (297)
1062 Loyola Mymt: 77.3 (253)
1063 Loyola Mymt ↑ 44
1064
1065 Effective FG %:
1066 Florida Intl: 49.2% (188)
1067 Loyola Mymt: 55.8% (40)
1068 Loyola Mymt ↑ 148
1069
1070 Opponent Effective FG %:
1071 Florida Intl: 59.1% (340)
1072 Loyola Mymt: 50.2% (195)
1073 Loyola Mymt ↑ 145
1074
1075 Rebound Rate:
1076 Florida Intl: 44.6% (318)
1077 Loyola Mymt: 50.2% (174)
1078 Loyola Mymt ↑ 144
1079
1080 3 point statistics:
1081 3 point %:
1082 Florida Intl: 30.7% (225)
1083 Loyola Mymt: 39.4% (31)
1084 Loyola Mymt ↑ 194
1085 % points from 3:
1086 Florida Intl: 34.9% (71)
1087 Loyola Mymt: 41.8% (346)
1088 Florida Intl ↑ 275
1089 Opponent 3 point %:
1090 Florida Intl: 37.0% (293)
1091 Loyola Mymt: 41.8% (346)
1092 Florida Intl ↑ 53
1093

```
1094 Loyola Mymt ↑ 532
1095 {'Loyola Mymt', 532}
1096 -----
1097 -----
1098
1099 [('Fresno St', 'N Mex State')]
1100 #152 Fresno St vs. #218 N Mex State
1101
1102 Strength of Schedule:
1103 Fresno St: 266
1104 N Mex State: 254
1105 N Mex State ↑ 12
1106
1107 Points per game:
1108 Fresno St: 78.0 (90)
1109 N Mex State: 65.3 (279)
1110 Fresno St ↑ 189
1111
1112 Opponent Points per game:
1113 Fresno St: 73.5 (195)
1114 N Mex State: 82.7 (312)
1115 Fresno St ↑ 117
1116
1117 Effective FG %:
1118 Fresno St: 63.6% (7)
1119 N Mex State: 44.2% (298)
1120 Fresno St ↑ 291
1121
1122 Opponent Effective FG %:
1123 Fresno St: 49.2% (180)
1124 N Mex State: 56.0% (318)
1125 Fresno St ↑ 138
1126
1127 Rebound Rate:
1128 Fresno St: 58.5% (15)
1129 N Mex State: 44.5% (320)
1130 Fresno St ↑ 305
1131
1132 3 point statistics:
1133 3 point %:
```

```
1134 Fresno St: 44.4% (7)
1135 N Mex State: 33.9% (137)
1136 Fresno St ↑ 130
1137 % points from 3:
1138 Fresno St: 30.8% (151)
1139 N Mex State: 33.8% (214)
1140 Fresno St ↑ 63
1141 Opponent 3 point %:
1142 Fresno St: 37.8% (305)
1143 N Mex State: 33.8% (214)
1144 N Mex State ↑ 91
1145
1146 Fresno St ↑ 1028
1147 {'Fresno St', 1028}
1148 -----
-----
1149
1150
1151 [('McNeese St', 'Texas St')]
1152 #180 McNeese St vs. #200 Texas St
1153
1154 Strength of Schedule:
1155 McNeese St: 298
1156 Texas St: 134
1157 Texas St ↑ 164
1158
1159 Points per game:
1160 McNeese St: 75.0 (135)
1161 Texas St: 66.8 (256)
1162 McNeese St ↑ 121
1163
1164 Opponent Points per game:
1165 McNeese St: 70.5 (151)
1166 Texas St: 72.8 (186)
1167 McNeese St ↑ 35
1168
1169 Effective FG %:
1170 McNeese St: 55.2% (48)
1171 Texas St: 43.3% (316)
1172 McNeese St ↑ 268
1173
```

```
1174 Opponent Effective FG %:  
1175 McNeese St: 56.9% (323)  
1176 Texas St: 46.9% (111)  
1177 Texas St ↑ 212  
1178  
1179 Rebound Rate:  
1180 McNeese St: 49.3% (206)  
1181 Texas St: 55.4% (38)  
1182 Texas St ↑ 168  
1183  
1184 3 point statistics:  
1185 3 point %:  
1186 McNeese St: 46.9% (5)  
1187 Texas St: 26.4% (310)  
1188 McNeese St ↑ 305  
1189 % points from 3:  
1190 McNeese St: 30.0% (169)  
1191 Texas St: 29.8% (104)  
1192 Texas St ↑ 65  
1193 Opponent 3 point %:  
1194 McNeese St: 36.1% (265)  
1195 Texas St: 29.8% (104)  
1196 Texas St ↑ 161  
1197  
1198 Texas St ↑ 120  
1199 {120, 'Texas St'}  
1200 -----  
-----  
1201  
1202  
1203 [('Kennesaw St', 'E Carolina')]  
1204 #239 Kennesaw St at #176 E Carolina  
1205  
1206 Strength of Schedule:  
1207 Kennesaw St: 324  
1208 E Carolina: 344  
1209 Kennesaw St ↑ 20  
1210  
1211 Points per game:  
1212 Kennesaw St: 80.7 (62)  
1213 E Carolina: 79.0 (75)
```

1214 Kennesaw St ↑ 13
1215
1216 Opponent Points per game:
1217 Kennesaw St: 87.7 (341)
1218 E Carolina: 73.0 (191)
1219 E Carolina ↑ 150
1220
1221 Effective FG %:
1222 Kennesaw St: 43.2% (320)
1223 E Carolina: 56.7% (30)
1224 E Carolina ↑ 290
1225
1226 Opponent Effective FG %:
1227 Kennesaw St: 53.2% (271)
1228 E Carolina: 55.2% (309)
1229 Kennesaw St ↑ 38
1230
1231 Rebound Rate:
1232 Kennesaw St: 48.4% (236)
1233 E Carolina: 54.0% (58)
1234 E Carolina ↑ 178
1235
1236 3 point statistics:
1237 3 point %:
1238 Kennesaw St: 23.9% (338)
1239 E Carolina: 34.7% (121)
1240 E Carolina ↑ 217
1241 % points from 3:
1242 Kennesaw St: 21.1% (324)
1243 E Carolina: 35.6% (260)
1244 E Carolina ↑ 64
1245 Opponent 3 point %:
1246 Kennesaw St: 34.7% (236)
1247 E Carolina: 35.6% (260)
1248 Kennesaw St ↑ 24
1249
1250 E Carolina ↑ 547
1251 {'E Carolina', 547}
1252 -----

1253

```
1254
1255 [('Alcorn St', 'TX Christian')]
1256 #318 Alcorn St at #19 TX Christian
1257
1258 Strength of Schedule:
1259 Alcorn St: 171
1260 TX Christian: 339
1261 Alcorn St ↑ 168
1262
1263 Points per game:
1264 Alcorn St: 67.8 (242)
1265 TX Christian: 91.0 (12)
1266 TX Christian ↑ 230
1267
1268 Opponent Points per game:
1269 Alcorn St: 88.5 (346)
1270 TX Christian: 60.5 (28)
1271 TX Christian ↑ 318
1272
1273 Effective FG %:
1274 Alcorn St: 42.4% (329)
1275 TX Christian: 59.1% (14)
1276 TX Christian ↑ 315
1277
1278 Opponent Effective FG %:
1279 Alcorn St: 61.4% (354)
1280 TX Christian: 45.6% (79)
1281 TX Christian ↑ 275
1282
1283 Rebound Rate:
1284 Alcorn St: 46.5% (282)
1285 TX Christian: 60.9% (7)
1286 TX Christian ↑ 275
1287
1288 3 point statistics:
1289 3 point %:
1290 Alcorn St: 29.3% (263)
1291 TX Christian: 37.5% (62)
1292 TX Christian ↑ 201
1293 % points from 3:
1294 Alcorn St: 18.8% (344)
```

```
1295 TX Christian: 32.8% (193)
1296 TX Christian ↑ 151
1297 Opponent 3 point %:
1298 Alcorn St: 41.0% (340)
1299 TX Christian: 32.8% (193)
1300 TX Christian ↑ 147
1301
1302 TX Christian ↑ 1245
1303 {1245, 'TX Christian'}
1304 -----
-----
1305
1306
1307 [('Boston U', 'Davidson')]
1308 #278 Boston U at #132 Davidson
1309
1310 Strength of Schedule:
1311 Boston U: 113
1312 Davidson: 89
1313 Davidson ↑ 24
1314
1315 Points per game:
1316 Boston U: 62.8 (316)
1317 Davidson: 65.7 (274)
1318 Davidson ↑ 42
1319
1320 Opponent Points per game:
1321 Boston U: 69.8 (138)
1322 Davidson: 66.3 (87)
1323 Davidson ↑ 51
1324
1325 Effective FG %:
1326 Boston U: 45.3% (271)
1327 Davidson: 48.1% (209)
1328 Davidson ↑ 62
1329
1330 Opponent Effective FG %:
1331 Boston U: 48.6% (161)
1332 Davidson: 47.7% (128)
1333 Davidson ↑ 33
1334
```

```
1335 Rebound Rate:  
1336 Boston U: 48.7% (231)  
1337 Davidson: 48.1% (242)  
1338 Boston U ↑ 11  
1339  
1340 3 point statistics:  
1341 3 point %:  
1342 Boston U: 34.0% (132)  
1343 Davidson: 39.0% (37)  
1344 Davidson ↑ 95  
1345 % points from 3:  
1346 Boston U: 40.6% (14)  
1347 Davidson: 32.1% (172)  
1348 Boston U ↑ 158  
1349 Opponent 3 point %:  
1350 Boston U: 32.2% (176)  
1351 Davidson: 32.1% (172)  
1352 Davidson ↑ 4  
1353  
1354 Davidson ↑ 201  
1355 {201, 'Davidson'}  
1356 -----  
-----  
1357  
1358  
1359 [('Illinois St', 'High Point')]  
1360 #204 Illinois St vs. #223 High Point  
1361  
1362 Strength of Schedule:  
1363 Illinois St: 255  
1364 High Point: 268  
1365 Illinois St ↑ 13  
1366  
1367 Points per game:  
1368 Illinois St: 67.0 (252)  
1369 High Point: 84.0 (35)  
1370 High Point ↑ 217  
1371  
1372 Opponent Points per game:  
1373 Illinois St: 64.3 (61)  
1374 High Point: 80.3 (292)
```

```
1375 Illinois St ↑ 231
1376
1377 Effective FG %:
1378 Illinois St: 45.1% (277)
1379 High Point: 52.9% (94)
1380 High Point ↑ 183
1381
1382 Opponent Effective FG %:
1383 Illinois St: 43.1% (43)
1384 High Point: 50.0% (189)
1385 Illinois St ↑ 146
1386
1387 Rebound Rate:
1388 Illinois St: 51.9% (113)
1389 High Point: 58.5% (14)
1390 High Point ↑ 99
1391
1392 3 point statistics:
1393 3 point %:
1394 Illinois St: 30.7% (226)
1395 High Point: 35.4% (105)
1396 High Point ↑ 121
1397 % points from 3:
1398 Illinois St: 34.3% (83)
1399 High Point: 37.6% (303)
1400 Illinois St ↑ 220
1401 Opponent 3 point %:
1402 Illinois St: 26.2% (40)
1403 High Point: 37.6% (303)
1404 Illinois St ↑ 263
1405
1406 High Point ↑ 109
1407 {109, 'High Point'}
1408 -----
-----  

1409
1410
1411 [('Prairie View', 'E Kentucky')]
1412 #272 Prairie View at #163 E Kentucky
1413
1414 Strength of Schedule:
```

1415 Prairie View: 206
1416 E Kentucky: 362
1417 Prairie View ↑ 156
1418
1419 Points per game:
1420 Prairie View: 70.5 (206)
1421 E Kentucky: 74.0 (150)
1422 E Kentucky ↑ 56
1423
1424 Opponent Points per game:
1425 Prairie View: 73.5 (195)
1426 E Kentucky: 80.0 (286)
1427 Prairie View ↑ 91
1428
1429 Effective FG %:
1430 Prairie View: 40.9% (341)
1431 E Kentucky: 42.4% (328)
1432 E Kentucky ↑ 13
1433
1434 Opponent Effective FG %:
1435 Prairie View: 46.8% (108)
1436 E Kentucky: 53.4% (273)
1437 Prairie View ↑ 165
1438
1439 Rebound Rate:
1440 Prairie View: 48.1% (244)
1441 E Kentucky: 49.5% (197)
1442 E Kentucky ↑ 47
1443
1444 3 point statistics:
1445 3 point %:
1446 Prairie View: 31.1% (214)
1447 E Kentucky: 28.1% (291)
1448 Prairie View ↑ 77
1449 % points from 3:
1450 Prairie View: 14.9% (355)
1451 E Kentucky: 42.3% (351)
1452 E Kentucky ↑ 4
1453 Opponent 3 point %:
1454 Prairie View: 30.0% (108)
1455 E Kentucky: 42.3% (351)

```
1456 Prairie View ↑ 243
1457
1458 Prairie View ↑ 296
1459 {296, 'Prairie View'}
1460 -----
-----
1461
1462
1463 [('Alab A&M', 'Auburn')]
1464 #350 Alab A&M at #18 Auburn
1465
1466 Strength of Schedule:
1467 Alab A&M: 115
1468 Auburn: 27
1469 Auburn ↑ 88
1470
1471 Points per game:
1472 Alab A&M: 74.0 (150)
1473 Auburn: 82.0 (50)
1474 Auburn ↑ 100
1475
1476 Opponent Points per game:
1477 Alab A&M: 94.5 (359)
1478 Auburn: 69.5 (132)
1479 Auburn ↑ 227
1480
1481 Effective FG %:
1482 Alab A&M: 43.2% (319)
1483 Auburn: 54.1% (68)
1484 Auburn ↑ 251
1485
1486 Opponent Effective FG %:
1487 Alab A&M: 63.6% (359)
1488 Auburn: 43.0% (41)
1489 Auburn ↑ 318
1490
1491 Rebound Rate:
1492 Alab A&M: 53.7% (67)
1493 Auburn: 52.7% (92)
1494 Alab A&M ↑ 25
1495
```

```
1496 3 point statistics:  
1497 3 point %:  
1498 Alab A&M: 28.6% (279)  
1499 Auburn: 38.9% (38)  
1500 Auburn ↑ 241  
1501 % points from 3:  
1502 Alab A&M: 20.3% (333)  
1503 Auburn: 22.9% (12)  
1504 Auburn ↑ 321  
1505 Opponent 3 point %:  
1506 Alab A&M: 47.3% (361)  
1507 Auburn: 22.9% (12)  
1508 Auburn ↑ 349  
1509  
1510 Auburn ↑ 959  
1511 {'Auburn', 959}  
1512 -----  
-----  
1513  
1514  
1515 [('Binghamton', 'Sacred Hrt')]  
1516 #221 Binghamton at #244 Sacred Hrt  
1517  
1518 Strength of Schedule:  
1519 Binghamton: 191  
1520 Sacred Hrt: 300  
1521 Binghamton ↑ 109  
1522  
1523 Points per game:  
1524 Binghamton: 66.5 (261)  
1525 Sacred Hrt: 77.8 (93)  
1526 Sacred Hrt ↑ 168  
1527  
1528 Opponent Points per game:  
1529 Binghamton: 69.3 (129)  
1530 Sacred Hrt: 75.3 (221)  
1531 Binghamton ↑ 92  
1532  
1533 Effective FG %:  
1534 Binghamton: 49.5% (181)  
1535 Sacred Hrt: 49.6% (178)
```

```
1536 Sacred Hrt ↑ 3
1537
1538 Opponent Effective FG %:
1539 Binghamton: 45.9% (85)
1540 Sacred Hrt: 53.4% (275)
1541 Binghamton ↑ 190
1542
1543 Rebound Rate:
1544 Binghamton: 48.6% (233)
1545 Sacred Hrt: 50.8% (152)
1546 Sacred Hrt ↑ 81
1547
1548 3 point statistics:
1549 3 point %:
1550 Binghamton: 31.7% (190)
1551 Sacred Hrt: 38.8% (41)
1552 Sacred Hrt ↑ 149
1553 % points from 3:
1554 Binghamton: 29.3% (188)
1555 Sacred Hrt: 36.6% (279)
1556 Binghamton ↑ 91
1557 Opponent 3 point %:
1558 Binghamton: 30.1% (113)
1559 Sacred Hrt: 36.6% (279)
1560 Binghamton ↑ 166
1561
1562 Binghamton ↑ 139
1563 {139, 'Binghamton'}
1564 -----
-----  
1565
1566
1567 [('Ark Pine Bl', 'Minnesota')]
1568 #334 Ark Pine Bl at #100 Minnesota
1569
1570 Strength of Schedule:
1571 Ark Pine Bl: 356
1572 Minnesota: 244
1573 Minnesota ↑ 112
1574
1575 Points per game:
```

1576 Ark Pine Bl: 81.7 (51)
1577 Minnesota: 79.3 (73)
1578 Ark Pine Bl ↑ 22
1579
1580 Opponent Points per game:
1581 Ark Pine Bl: 94.7 (360)
1582 Minnesota: 64.8 (67)
1583 Minnesota ↑ 293
1584
1585 Effective FG %:
1586 Ark Pine Bl: 52.1% (118)
1587 Minnesota: 53.9% (72)
1588 Minnesota ↑ 46
1589
1590 Opponent Effective FG %:
1591 Ark Pine Bl: 60.2% (346)
1592 Minnesota: 43.0% (42)
1593 Minnesota ↑ 304
1594
1595 Rebound Rate:
1596 Ark Pine Bl: 40.2% (348)
1597 Minnesota: 55.1% (41)
1598 Minnesota ↑ 307
1599
1600 3 point statistics:
1601 3 point %:
1602 Ark Pine Bl: 36.8% (76)
1603 Minnesota: 34.8% (119)
1604 Ark Pine Bl ↑ 43
1605 % points from 3:
1606 Ark Pine Bl: 34.3% (84)
1607 Minnesota: 32.1% (173)
1608 Ark Pine Bl ↑ 89
1609 Opponent 3 point %:
1610 Ark Pine Bl: 34.2% (224)
1611 Minnesota: 32.1% (173)
1612 Minnesota ↑ 51
1613
1614 Minnesota ↑ 1040
1615 {1040, 'Minnesota' }
1616 -----

```
1616 ----  
1617  
1618  
1619 [('Marist', 'N Hampshire')]  
1620 #263 Marist at #216 N Hampshire  
1621  
1622 Strength of Schedule:  
1623 Marist: 203  
1624 N Hampshire: 211  
1625 Marist ↑ 8  
1626  
1627 Points per game:  
1628 Marist: 65.0 (285)  
1629 N Hampshire: 73.7 (157)  
1630 N Hampshire ↑ 128  
1631  
1632 Opponent Points per game:  
1633 Marist: 65.3 (78)  
1634 N Hampshire: 75.3 (223)  
1635 Marist ↑ 145  
1636  
1637 Effective FG %:  
1638 Marist: 49.4% (183)  
1639 N Hampshire: 43.9% (302)  
1640 Marist ↑ 119  
1641  
1642 Opponent Effective FG %:  
1643 Marist: 49.4% (182)  
1644 N Hampshire: 45.9% (89)  
1645 N Hampshire ↑ 93  
1646  
1647 Rebound Rate:  
1648 Marist: 45.9% (298)  
1649 N Hampshire: 46.5% (286)  
1650 N Hampshire ↑ 12  
1651  
1652 3 point statistics:  
1653 3 point %:  
1654 Marist: 30.9% (218)  
1655 N Hampshire: 25.3% (325)  
1656 Marist ↑ 107
```

```
1657 % points from 3:  
1658 Marist: 26.2% (259)  
1659 N Hampshire: 28.8% (86)  
1660 N Hampshire ↑ 173  
1661 Opponent 3 point %:  
1662 Marist: 33.3% (203)  
1663 N Hampshire: 28.8% (86)  
1664 N Hampshire ↑ 117  
1665  
1666 Marist ↑ 39  
1667 {'Marist', 39}  
1668 -----  
-----  
1669  
1670  
1671 [('SC Upstate', 'Ball St')]  
1672 #253 SC Upstate at #227 Ball St  
1673  
1674 Strength of Schedule:  
1675 SC Upstate: 122  
1676 Ball St: 342  
1677 SC Upstate ↑ 220  
1678  
1679 Points per game:  
1680 SC Upstate: 64.0 (295)  
1681 Ball St: 61.5 (325)  
1682 SC Upstate ↑ 30  
1683  
1684 Opponent Points per game:  
1685 SC Upstate: 76.0 (232)  
1686 Ball St: 71.0 (161)  
1687 Ball St ↑ 71  
1688  
1689 Effective FG %:  
1690 SC Upstate: 46.2% (246)  
1691 Ball St: 42.8% (324)  
1692 SC Upstate ↑ 78  
1693  
1694 Opponent Effective FG %:  
1695 SC Upstate: 53.4% (274)  
1696 Ball St: 52.2% (251)
```

```
1697 Ball St ↑ 23
1698
1699 Rebound Rate:
1700 SC Upstate: 41.5% (343)
1701 Ball St: 46.7% (277)
1702 Ball St ↑ 66
1703
1704 3 point statistics:
1705 3 point %:
1706 SC Upstate: 37.2% (70)
1707 Ball St: 33.3% (147)
1708 SC Upstate ↑ 77
1709 % points from 3:
1710 SC Upstate: 34.0% (95)
1711 Ball St: 31.0% (136)
1712 SC Upstate ↑ 41
1713 Opponent 3 point %:
1714 SC Upstate: 36.9% (290)
1715 Ball St: 31.0% (136)
1716 Ball St ↑ 154
1717
1718 SC Upstate ↑ 168
1719 {168, 'SC Upstate'}
1720 -----
1721 -----
1722
1723 [('TX Southern', 'Oral Roberts')]
1724 #291 TX Southern at #185 Oral Roberts
1725
1726 Strength of Schedule:
1727 TX Southern: 3
1728 Oral Roberts: 95
1729 TX Southern ↑ 92
1730
1731 Points per game:
1732 TX Southern: 47.5 (362)
1733 Oral Roberts: 68.7 (232)
1734 Oral Roberts ↑ 130
1735
1736 Opponent Points per game:
```

1737 TX Southern: 74.8 (216)
1738 Oral Roberts: 77.7 (259)
1739 TX Southern ↑ 43
1740
1741 Effective FG %:
1742 TX Southern: 33.1% (362)
1743 Oral Roberts: 47.3% (227)
1744 Oral Roberts ↑ 135
1745
1746 Opponent Effective FG %:
1747 TX Southern: 50.4% (203)
1748 Oral Roberts: 43.9% (55)
1749 Oral Roberts ↑ 148
1750
1751 Rebound Rate:
1752 TX Southern: 46.3% (292)
1753 Oral Roberts: 40.0% (349)
1754 TX Southern ↑ 57
1755
1756 3 point statistics:
1757 3 point %:
1758 TX Southern: 20.2% (357)
1759 Oral Roberts: 31.0% (216)
1760 Oral Roberts ↑ 141
1761 % points from 3:
1762 TX Southern: 26.8% (247)
1763 Oral Roberts: 27.8% (70)
1764 Oral Roberts ↑ 177
1765 Opponent 3 point %:
1766 TX Southern: 36.1% (267)
1767 Oral Roberts: 27.8% (70)
1768 Oral Roberts ↑ 197
1769
1770 Oral Roberts ↑ 221
1771 {'Oral Roberts', 221}
1772 -----

1773
1774
1775 [('Central Mich', 'Stetson')]
1776 #312 Central Mich vs. #188 Stetson

1777
1778 Strength of Schedule:
1779 Central Mich: 176
1780 Stetson: 119
1781 Stetson ↑ 57
1782
1783 Points per game:
1784 Central Mich: 65.6 (276)
1785 Stetson: 62.7 (318)
1786 Central Mich ↑ 42
1787
1788 Opponent Points per game:
1789 Central Mich: 75.2 (220)
1790 Stetson: 72.3 (179)
1791 Stetson ↑ 41
1792
1793 Effective FG %:
1794 Central Mich: 50.6% (157)
1795 Stetson: 50.9% (147)
1796 Stetson ↑ 10
1797
1798 Opponent Effective FG %:
1799 Central Mich: 54.1% (289)
1800 Stetson: 50.3% (198)
1801 Stetson ↑ 91
1802
1803 Rebound Rate:
1804 Central Mich: 48.6% (232)
1805 Stetson: 48.4% (237)
1806 Central Mich ↑ 5
1807
1808 3 point statistics:
1809 3 point %:
1810 Central Mich: 33.0% (161)
1811 Stetson: 35.6% (98)
1812 Stetson ↑ 63
1813 % points from 3:
1814 Central Mich: 29.3% (189)
1815 Stetson: 34.1% (221)
1816 Central Mich ↑ 32
1817 Opponent 3 point %:

```
1818 Central Mich: 34.6% (235)
1819 Stetson: 34.1% (221)
1820 Stetson ↑ 14
1821
1822 Stetson ↑ 152
1823 {152, 'Stetson'}
1824 -----
-----
1825
1826
1827 [('Buffalo', 'Louisiana')]
1828 #338 Buffalo vs. #160 Louisiana
1829
1830 Strength of Schedule:
1831 Buffalo: 345
1832 Louisiana: 198
1833 Louisiana ↑ 147
1834
1835 Points per game:
1836 Buffalo: 70.0 (213)
1837 Louisiana: 78.3 (86)
1838 Louisiana ↑ 127
1839
1840 Opponent Points per game:
1841 Buffalo: 88.0 (342)
1842 Louisiana: 80.0 (286)
1843 Louisiana ↑ 56
1844
1845 Effective FG %:
1846 Buffalo: 44.1% (300)
1847 Louisiana: 53.2% (87)
1848 Louisiana ↑ 213
1849
1850 Opponent Effective FG %:
1851 Buffalo: 63.5% (358)
1852 Louisiana: 52.8% (263)
1853 Louisiana ↑ 95
1854
1855 Rebound Rate:
1856 Buffalo: 51.7% (119)
1857 Louisiana: 47.0% (268)
```

```
1858 Buffalo ↑ 149
1859
1860 3 point statistics:
1861 3 point %:
1862 Buffalo: 27.3% (300)
1863 Louisiana: 32.9% (165)
1864 Louisiana ↑ 135
1865 % points from 3:
1866 Buffalo: 30.0% (169)
1867 Louisiana: 15.9% (2)
1868 Louisiana ↑ 167
1869 Opponent 3 point %:
1870 Buffalo: 40.9% (338)
1871 Louisiana: 15.9% (2)
1872 Louisiana ↑ 336
1873
1874 Louisiana ↑ 489
1875 {489, 'Louisiana'}
1876 -----
-----
1877
1878
1879 [('Idaho St', 'Campbell')]
1880 #262 Idaho St at #281 Campbell
1881
1882 Strength of Schedule:
1883 Idaho St: 316
1884 Campbell: 197
1885 Campbell ↑ 119
1886
1887 Points per game:
1888 Idaho St: 56.3 (349)
1889 Campbell: 60.3 (334)
1890 Campbell ↑ 15
1891
1892 Opponent Points per game:
1893 Idaho St: 67.3 (102)
1894 Campbell: 65.8 (80)
1895 Campbell ↑ 22
1896
1897 Effective FG %:
```

```
1898 Idaho St: 50.3% (159)
1899 Campbell: 47.6% (221)
1900 Idaho St ↑ 62
1901
1902 Opponent Effective FG %:
1903 Idaho St: 47.3% (120)
1904 Campbell: 46.3% (99)
1905 Campbell ↑ 21
1906
1907 Rebound Rate:
1908 Idaho St: 45.7% (301)
1909 Campbell: 50.4% (169)
1910 Campbell ↑ 132
1911
1912 3 point statistics:
1913 3 point %:
1914 Idaho St: 30.2% (237)
1915 Campbell: 28.1% (293)
1916 Idaho St ↑ 56
1917 % points from 3:
1918 Idaho St: 23.1% (293)
1919 Campbell: 26.3% (44)
1920 Campbell ↑ 249
1921 Opponent 3 point %:
1922 Idaho St: 25.6% (35)
1923 Campbell: 26.3% (44)
1924 Idaho St ↑ 9
1925
1926 Campbell ↑ 247
1927 {'Campbell', 247}
1928 -----
1929
1930
1931 ['NC Central', 'Citadel']
1932 #246 NC Central vs. #297 Citadel
1933
1934 Strength of Schedule:
1935 NC Central: 229
1936 Citadel: 252
1937 NC Central ↑ 23
```

1938

1939 Points per game:
1940 NC Central: 63.5 (303)

1941 Citadel: 64.0 (295)

1942 Citadel ↑ 8

1943

1944 Opponent Points per game:
1945 NC Central: 77.8 (261)

1946 Citadel: 69.8 (138)

1947 Citadel ↑ 123

1948

1949 Effective FG %:
1950 NC Central: 45.1% (278)

1951 Citadel: 46.4% (238)

1952 Citadel ↑ 40

1953

1954 Opponent Effective FG %:
1955 NC Central: 54.8% (302)

1956 Citadel: 51.7% (233)

1957 Citadel ↑ 69

1958

1959 Rebound Rate:
1960 NC Central: 46.4% (291)

1961 Citadel: 51.1% (145)

1962 Citadel ↑ 146

1963

1964 3 point statistics:
1965 3 point %:

1966 NC Central: 28.0% (295)

1967 Citadel: 29.3% (267)

1968 Citadel ↑ 28

1969 % points from 3:

1970 NC Central: 30.7% (152)

1971 Citadel: 29.7% (103)

1972 Citadel ↑ 49

1973 Opponent 3 point %:

1974 NC Central: 33.0% (199)

1975 Citadel: 29.7% (103)

1976 Citadel ↑ 96

1977

1978 Citadel ↑ 363

```
1979 {'Citadel', 363}
1980 -----
-----
1981
1982
1983 [('Morgan St', 'Radford')]
1984 #351 Morgan St vs. #171 Radford
1985
1986 Strength of Schedule:
1987 Morgan St: 350
1988 Radford: 67
1989 Radford ↑ 283
1990
1991 Points per game:
1992 Morgan St: 59.0 (339)
1993 Radford: 64.8 (289)
1994 Radford ↑ 50
1995
1996 Opponent Points per game:
1997 Morgan St: 100.7 (362)
1998 Radford: 74.3 (208)
1999 Radford ↑ 154
2000
2001 Effective FG %:
2002 Morgan St: 41.4% (336)
2003 Radford: 46.5% (237)
2004 Radford ↑ 99
2005
2006 Opponent Effective FG %:
2007 Morgan St: 62.8% (356)
2008 Radford: 48.5% (156)
2009 Radford ↑ 200
2010
2011 Rebound Rate:
2012 Morgan St: 39.7% (351)
2013 Radford: 53.1% (83)
2014 Radford ↑ 268
2015
2016 3 point statistics:
2017 3 point %:
2018 Morgan St: 29.6% (253)
```

```
2019 Radford: 30.1% (240)
2020 Radford ↑ 13
2021 % points from 3:
2022 Morgan St: 27.1% (237)
2023 Radford: 27.7% (69)
2024 Radford ↑ 168
2025 Opponent 3 point %:
2026 Morgan St: 40.8% (337)
2027 Radford: 27.7% (69)
2028 Radford ↑ 268
2029
2030 Radford ↑ 1054
2031 {'Radford', 1054}
2032 -----
2033 -----
2034
2035 [('GA Southern', 'Northeastern')]
2036 #314 GA Southern vs. #237 Northeastern
2037
2038 Strength of Schedule:
2039 GA Southern: 161
2040 Northeastern: 159
2041 Northeastern ↑ 2
2042
2043 Points per game:
2044 GA Southern: 69.2 (228)
2045 Northeastern: 71.2 (194)
2046 Northeastern ↑ 34
2047
2048 Opponent Points per game:
2049 GA Southern: 83.4 (319)
2050 Northeastern: 74.4 (210)
2051 Northeastern ↑ 109
2052
2053 Effective FG %:
2054 GA Southern: 45.9% (254)
2055 Northeastern: 51.3% (134)
2056 Northeastern ↑ 120
2057
2058 Opponent Effective FG %:
```

```
2059 GA Southern: 57.1% (325)
2060 Northeastrn: 52.5% (256)
2061 Northeastrn ↑ 69
2062
2063 Rebound Rate:
2064 GA Southern: 46.0% (294)
2065 Northeastrn: 51.3% (137)
2066 Northeastrn ↑ 157
2067
2068 3 point statistics:
2069 3 point %:
2070 GA Southern: 31.3% (209)
2071 Northeastrn: 31.6% (193)
2072 Northeastrn ↑ 16
2073 % points from 3:
2074 GA Southern: 39.9% (18)
2075 Northeastrn: 33.6% (211)
2076 GA Southern ↑ 193
2077 Opponent 3 point %:
2078 GA Southern: 41.5% (344)
2079 Northeastrn: 33.6% (211)
2080 Northeastrn ↑ 133
2081
2082 Northeastrn ↑ 491
2083 {491, 'Northeastrn'}
2084 -----
-----
2085
2086
2087 [('Lehigh', 'Monmouth')]
2088 #261 Lehigh at #302 Monmouth
2089
2090 Strength of Schedule:
2091 Lehigh: 154
2092 Monmouth: 40
2093 Monmouth ↑ 114
2094
2095 Points per game:
2096 Lehigh: 70.3 (208)
2097 Monmouth: 63.7 (300)
2098 Lehigh ↑ 92
```

2099
2100 Opponent Points per game:
2101 Lehigh: 82.7 (312)
2102 Monmouth: 73.0 (191)
2103 Monmouth ↑ 121
2104
2105 Effective FG %:
2106 Lehigh: 45.7% (259)
2107 Monmouth: 44.6% (291)
2108 Lehigh ↑ 32
2109
2110 Opponent Effective FG %:
2111 Lehigh: 51.9% (238)
2112 Monmouth: 46.4% (100)
2113 Monmouth ↑ 138
2114
2115 Rebound Rate:
2116 Lehigh: 46.5% (283)
2117 Monmouth: 45.9% (299)
2118 Lehigh ↑ 16
2119
2120 3 point statistics:
2121 3 point %:
2122 Lehigh: 30.7% (226)
2123 Monmouth: 36.9% (74)
2124 Monmouth ↑ 152
2125 % points from 3:
2126 Lehigh: 32.7% (113)
2127 Monmouth: 31.4% (146)
2128 Lehigh ↑ 33
2129 Opponent 3 point %:
2130 Lehigh: 32.9% (196)
2131 Monmouth: 31.4% (146)
2132 Monmouth ↑ 50
2133
2134 Monmouth ↑ 233
2135 {233, 'Monmouth'}
2136 -----

2137
2138

```
2139 [('Siena', 'WI-Milwaukee')]  
2140 #344 Siena vs. #251 WI-Milwaukee  
2141  
2142 Strength of Schedule:  
2143 Siena: 286  
2144 WI-Milwaukee: 240  
2145 WI-Milwaukee ↑ 46  
2146  
2147 Points per game:  
2148 Siena: 58.8 (340)  
2149 WI-Milwaukee: 71.7 (189)  
2150 WI-Milwaukee ↑ 151  
2151  
2152 Opponent Points per game:  
2153 Siena: 77.3 (253)  
2154 WI-Milwaukee: 90.0 (350)  
2155 Siena ↑ 97  
2156  
2157 Effective FG %:  
2158 Siena: 49.8% (174)  
2159 WI-Milwaukee: 42.6% (325)  
2160 Siena ↑ 151  
2161  
2162 Opponent Effective FG %:  
2163 Siena: 61.1% (352)  
2164 WI-Milwaukee: 59.5% (344)  
2165 WI-Milwaukee ↑ 8  
2166  
2167 Rebound Rate:  
2168 Siena: 50.8% (153)  
2169 WI-Milwaukee: 46.5% (283)  
2170 Siena ↑ 130  
2171  
2172 3 point statistics:  
2173 3 point %:  
2174 Siena: 29.7% (251)  
2175 WI-Milwaukee: 28.2% (287)  
2176 Siena ↑ 36  
2177 % points from 3:  
2178 Siena: 28.1% (216)  
2179 WI-Milwaukee: 44.0% (355)
```

```
2180 Siena ↑ 139
2181 Opponent 3 point %:
2182 Siena: 46.7% (359)
2183 WI-Milwaukee: 44.0% (355)
2184 WI-Milwaukee ↑ 4
2185
2186 Siena ↑ 173
2187 {173, 'Siena'}
2188 -----
2189 -----
2190
2191 [('WI-Grn Bay', 'UC Riverside')]
2192 #335 WI-Grn Bay vs. #275 UC Riverside
2193
2194 Strength of Schedule:
2195 WI-Grn Bay: 311
2196 UC Riverside: 156
2197 UC Riverside ↑ 155
2198
2199 Points per game:
2200 WI-Grn Bay: 52.3 (357)
2201 UC Riverside: 56.7 (348)
2202 UC Riverside ↑ 9
2203
2204 Opponent Points per game:
2205 WI-Grn Bay: 67.3 (102)
2206 UC Riverside: 78.3 (270)
2207 WI-Grn Bay ↑ 168
2208
2209 Effective FG %:
2210 WI-Grn Bay: 43.8% (303)
2211 UC Riverside: 38.5% (355)
2212 WI-Grn Bay ↑ 52
2213
2214 Opponent Effective FG %:
2215 WI-Grn Bay: 42.2% (31)
2216 UC Riverside: 51.4% (223)
2217 WI-Grn Bay ↑ 192
2218
2219 Rebound Rate:
```

```
2220 WI-Grn Bay: 51.5% (129)
2221 UC Riverside: 42.9% (334)
2222 WI-Grn Bay ↑ 205
2223
2224 3 point statistics:
2225 3 point %:
2226 WI-Grn Bay: 19.4% (359)
2227 UC Riverside: 29.0% (270)
2228 UC Riverside ↑ 89
2229 % points from 3:
2230 WI-Grn Bay: 24.8% (272)
2231 UC Riverside: 31.5% (154)
2232 UC Riverside ↑ 118
2233 Opponent 3 point %:
2234 WI-Grn Bay: 26.8% (53)
2235 UC Riverside: 31.5% (154)
2236 WI-Grn Bay ↑ 101
2237
2238 WI-Grn Bay ↑ 453
2239 {453, 'WI-Grn Bay'}
2240 -----
-----
2241
2242
2243 [('Chicago St', 'N Colorado')]
2244 #340 Chicago St vs. #280 N Colorado
2245
2246 Strength of Schedule:
2247 Chicago St: 276
2248 N Colorado: 335
2249 Chicago St ↑ 59
2250
2251 Points per game:
2252 Chicago St: 59.8 (336)
2253 N Colorado: 67.5 (245)
2254 N Colorado ↑ 91
2255
2256 Opponent Points per game:
2257 Chicago St: 69.6 (135)
2258 N Colorado: 79.5 (279)
2259 Chicago St ↑ 144
```

```
2260
2261 Effective FG %:
2262 Chicago St: 40.7% (342)
2263 N Colorado: 45.8% (257)
2264 N Colorado ↑ 85
2265
2266 Opponent Effective FG %:
2267 Chicago St: 50.9% (214)
2268 N Colorado: 51.7% (236)
2269 Chicago St ↑ 22
2270
2271 Rebound Rate:
2272 Chicago St: 46.5% (281)
2273 N Colorado: 48.0% (248)
2274 N Colorado ↑ 33
2275
2276 3 point statistics:
2277 3 point %:
2278 Chicago St: 24.2% (336)
2279 N Colorado: 26.9% (305)
2280 N Colorado ↑ 31
2281 % points from 3:
2282 Chicago St: 23.1% (293)
2283 N Colorado: 38.8% (320)
2284 Chicago St ↑ 27
2285 Opponent 3 point %:
2286 Chicago St: 26.3% (44)
2287 N Colorado: 38.8% (320)
2288 Chicago St ↑ 276
2289
2290 Chicago St ↑ 16
2291 {16, 'Chicago St'}
2292 -----
2293
2294
2295 [('E Michigan', 'Detroit')]
2296 #321 E Michigan at #304 Detroit
2297
2298 Strength of Schedule:
2299 E Michigan: 145
```

2300 Detroit: 14
2301 Detroit ↑ 131
2302
2303 Points per game:
2304 E Michigan: 62.8 (316)
2305 Detroit: 60.5 (331)
2306 E Michigan ↑ 15
2307
2308 Opponent Points per game:
2309 E Michigan: 79.0 (276)
2310 Detroit: 82.0 (307)
2311 E Michigan ↑ 31
2312
2313 Effective FG %:
2314 E Michigan: 47.2% (228)
2315 Detroit: 47.5% (224)
2316 Detroit ↑ 4
2317
2318 Opponent Effective FG %:
2319 E Michigan: 53.0% (268)
2320 Detroit: 55.4% (312)
2321 E Michigan ↑ 44
2322
2323 Rebound Rate:
2324 E Michigan: 46.9% (271)
2325 Detroit: 44.7% (315)
2326 E Michigan ↑ 44
2327
2328 3 point statistics:
2329 3 point %:
2330 E Michigan: 28.9% (273)
2331 Detroit: 30.9% (220)
2332 Detroit ↑ 53
2333 % points from 3:
2334 E Michigan: 26.3% (257)
2335 Detroit: 44.8% (357)
2336 E Michigan ↑ 100
2337 Opponent 3 point %:
2338 E Michigan: 31.7% (162)
2339 Detroit: 44.8% (357)
2340 E Michigan ↑ 195

```
2341
2342 Detroit ↑ 1
2343 {1, 'Detroit'}
2344 -----
2345
2346
2347 [('LIU', 'Columbia')]
2348 #359 LIU at #256 Columbia
2349
2350 Strength of Schedule:
2351 LIU: 127
2352 Columbia: 314
2353 LIU ↑ 187
2354
2355 Points per game:
2356 LIU: 59.3 (337)
2357 Columbia: 70.7 (203)
2358 Columbia ↑ 134
2359
2360 Opponent Points per game:
2361 LIU: 82.7 (312)
2362 Columbia: 76.3 (237)
2363 Columbia ↑ 75
2364
2365 Effective FG %:
2366 LIU: 38.8% (354)
2367 Columbia: 45.6% (260)
2368 Columbia ↑ 94
2369
2370 Opponent Effective FG %:
2371 LIU: 53.7% (281)
2372 Columbia: 49.4% (184)
2373 Columbia ↑ 97
2374
2375 Rebound Rate:
2376 LIU: 39.6% (354)
2377 Columbia: 46.7% (275)
2378 Columbia ↑ 79
2379
2380 3 point statistics:
```

```
2381 3 point %:  
2382 LIU: 31.4% (204)  
2383 Columbia: 33.8% (140)  
2384 Columbia ↑ 64  
2385 % points from 3:  
2386 LIU: 27.0% (242)  
2387 Columbia: 31.4% (148)  
2388 Columbia ↑ 94  
2389 Opponent 3 point %:  
2390 LIU: 39.6% (329)  
2391 Columbia: 31.4% (148)  
2392 Columbia ↑ 181  
2393  
2394 Columbia ↑ 292  
2395 {'Columbia', 292}  
2396 -----  
-----  
2397  
2398  
2399 [('Le Moyne', 'Cal St Nrdge')]  
2400 #355 Le Moyne at #276 Cal St Nrdge  
2401  
2402 Strength of Schedule:  
2403 Le Moyne: 306  
2404 Cal St Nrdge: 305  
2405 Cal St Nrdge ↑ 1  
2406  
2407 Points per game:  
2408 Le Moyne: 64.3 (294)  
2409 Cal St Nrdge: 76.3 (116)  
2410 Cal St Nrdge ↑ 178  
2411  
2412 Opponent Points per game:  
2413 Le Moyne: 88.3 (344)  
2414 Cal St Nrdge: 75.0 (217)  
2415 Cal St Nrdge ↑ 127  
2416  
2417 Effective FG %:  
2418 Le Moyne: 46.1% (248)  
2419 Cal St Nrdge: 50.8% (153)  
2420 Cal St Nrdge ↑ 95
```

```
2421
2422 Opponent Effective FG %:
2423 Le Moyne: 64.8% (361)
2424 Cal St Nrdge: 48.7% (164)
2425 Cal St Nrdge ↑ 197
2426
2427 Rebound Rate:
2428 Le Moyne: 38.1% (361)
2429 Cal St Nrdge: 55.1% (45)
2430 Cal St Nrdge ↑ 316
2431
2432 3 point statistics:
2433 3 point %:
2434 Le Moyne: 31.9% (184)
2435 Cal St Nrdge: 28.9% (273)
2436 Le Moyne ↑ 89
2437 % points from 3:
2438 Le Moyne: 43.2% (6)
2439 Cal St Nrdge: 30.9% (132)
2440 Le Moyne ↑ 126
2441 Opponent 3 point %:
2442 Le Moyne: 40.7% (336)
2443 Cal St Nrdge: 30.9% (132)
2444 Cal St Nrdge ↑ 204
2445
2446 Cal St Nrdge ↑ 914
2447 {'Cal St Nrdge', 914}
2448 -----
2449
2450
2451 [('Army', 'Albany')]
2452 #332 Army at #311 Albany
2453
2454 Strength of Schedule:
2455 Army: 293
2456 Albany: 82
2457 Albany ↑ 211
2458
2459 Points per game:
2460 Army: 55.3 (353)
```

2461 Albany: 75.5 (129)
2462 Albany ↑ 224
2463
2464 Opponent Points per game:
2465 Army: 66.8 (92)
2466 Albany: 87.0 (340)
2467 Army ↑ 248
2468
2469 Effective FG %:
2470 Army: 42.4% (326)
2471 Albany: 46.6% (235)
2472 Albany ↑ 91
2473
2474 Opponent Effective FG %:
2475 Army: 54.8% (304)
2476 Albany: 51.5% (230)
2477 Albany ↑ 74
2478
2479 Rebound Rate:
2480 Army: 50.2% (175)
2481 Albany: 51.3% (134)
2482 Albany ↑ 41
2483
2484 3 point statistics:
2485 3 point %:
2486 Army: 28.3% (286)
2487 Albany: 33.0% (163)
2488 Albany ↑ 123
2489 % points from 3:
2490 Army: 46.2% (2)
2491 Albany: 35.4% (256)
2492 Army ↑ 254
2493 Opponent 3 point %:
2494 Army: 34.8% (242)
2495 Albany: 35.4% (256)
2496 Army ↑ 14
2497
2498 Albany ↑ 393
2499 {393, 'Albany'}
2500 -----

2501
2502
2503 [('W Illinois', 'Valparaiso')]
2504 #327 W Illinois at #325 Valparaiso
2505
2506 Strength of Schedule:
2507 W Illinois: 337
2508 Valparaiso: 355
2509 W Illinois ↑ 18
2510
2511 Points per game:
2512 W Illinois: 69.7 (220)
2513 Valparaiso: 61.3 (326)
2514 W Illinois ↑ 106
2515
2516 Opponent Points per game:
2517 W Illinois: 82.7 (312)
2518 Valparaiso: 70.7 (157)
2519 Valparaiso ↑ 155
2520
2521 Effective FG %:
2522 W Illinois: 43.5% (312)
2523 Valparaiso: 35.1% (361)
2524 W Illinois ↑ 49
2525
2526 Opponent Effective FG %:
2527 W Illinois: 47.2% (118)
2528 Valparaiso: 45.8% (80)
2529 Valparaiso ↑ 38
2530
2531 Rebound Rate:
2532 W Illinois: 52.7% (89)
2533 Valparaiso: 47.6% (256)
2534 W Illinois ↑ 167
2535
2536 3 point statistics:
2537 3 point %:
2538 W Illinois: 25.4% (324)
2539 Valparaiso: 20.8% (353)
2540 W Illinois ↑ 29
2541 % points from 3:

```
2542 W Illinois: 25.8% (263)
2543 Valparaiso: 26.2% (40)
2544 Valparaiso ↑ 223
2545 Opponent 3 point %:
2546 W Illinois: 28.6% (79)
2547 Valparaiso: 26.2% (40)
2548 Valparaiso ↑ 39
2549
2550 W Illinois ↑ 147
2551 {'W Illinois', 147}
2552 -----
-----
2553
2554
2555 Best bets according to the stats:
2556 TX Christian ↑ 1245
2557 Radford ↑ 1054
2558 Minnesota ↑ 1040
2559 Fresno St ↑ 1028
2560 Auburn ↑ 959
2561 Cal St Nrdge ↑ 914
2562 Duke ↑ 847
2563 Indiana St ↑ 774
2564 LA Tech ↑ 573
2565 E Carolina ↑ 547
2566 Loyola Mymt ↑ 532
2567 Northeastern ↑ 491
2568 Louisiana ↑ 489
2569 NC-Wilmgton ↑ 488
2570 WI-Grn Bay ↑ 453
2571 Colorado ↑ 451
2572 Akron ↑ 408
2573 Albany ↑ 393
2574 Citadel ↑ 363
2575 Gonzaga ↑ 337
2576 Richmond ↑ 329
2577 Lg Beach St ↑ 298
2578 Prairie View ↑ 296
2579 Columbia ↑ 292
2580 Kansas ↑ 281
2581 Campbell ↑ 247
```

2582 Monmouth ↑ 233
2583 Oral Roberts ↑ 221
2584 UAB ↑ 207
2585 Davidson ↑ 201
2586 New Mexico ↑ 195
2587 S Illinois ↑ 182
2588 Oakland ↑ 176
2589 Siena ↑ 173
2590 SC Upstate ↑ 168
2591 TX El Paso ↑ 161
2592 Purdue ↑ 158
2593 Stetson ↑ 152
2594 W Illinois ↑ 147
2595 Maryland ↑ 145
2596 Binghamton ↑ 139
2597 Texas St ↑ 120
2598 High Point ↑ 109
2599 Hofstra ↑ 97
2600 Ste F Austin ↑ 85
2601 UC Irvine ↑ 64
2602 Marist ↑ 39
2603 Chicago St ↑ 16
2604 Detroit ↑ 1
2605 ['TX Christian', 'Radford', 'Minnesota', 'Fresno St', 'Auburn', 'Cal St Nrdge', 'Duke', 'Indiana St', 'LA Tech', 'E Carolina', 'Loyola Mymt', 'Northeastrn', 'Louisiana', 'NC-Wilmgton', 'WI-Grn Bay', 'Colorado', 'Akron', 'Albany', 'Citadel', 'Gonzaga', 'Richmond', 'Lg Beach St', 'Prairie View', 'Columbia', 'Kansas', 'Campbell', 'Monmouth', 'Oral Roberts', 'UAB', 'Davidson', 'New Mexico', 'S Illinois', 'Oakland', 'Siena', 'SC Upstate', 'TX El Paso', 'Purdue', 'Stetson', 'W Illinois', 'Maryland', 'Binghamton', 'Texas St', 'High Point', 'Hofstra', 'Ste F Austin', 'UC Irvine', 'Marist', 'Chicago St', 'Detroit']
2606
2607
2608 Time taken for queue: 15 seconds
2609
2610 Time taken for calls/printing: 42 seconds

```
2611
2612 Time taken: 58 seconds
2613
2614 Process finished with exit code 0
2615
```