Application of Quantum Mechanics

Yu Lu

April 18, 2023

1 Scattering

1.1 Perturbation methods

Only a few quantum mechanical systems are exactly solvable, such as the free particle, the harmonic oscillator, and the hydrogen atom. The perturbation method allows us to solve for systems that differs from the analytic system only by a small amount. In this section, we are interested in small perturbation from the scattering particles, namely with a potential profile

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \lambda U(\mathbf{r}), \quad \lambda \ll 1,$$

where U(x) goes to zero at least as fast as $1/x^2$ at infinity. The Schrodinger's equation becomes

$$(E - H_{\text{free}}) |\psi\rangle = \lambda U |\psi\rangle$$

with a formal solution

$$|\psi\rangle = |\psi_{\text{free}}\rangle + (E - H_{\text{free}})^{-1}V |\psi\rangle,$$

where $|\psi_{\text{free}}\rangle$ satisfies the free SE $H_{\text{free}}|\psi_{\text{free}}\rangle = E|\psi_{\text{free}}\rangle$. As a differential equation,

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2) \psi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{2m\lambda}{\hbar^2} U(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}). \tag{1}$$

Normally, Eq.(1) can be solved by the Green's function method:

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2) G_0(k; \mathbf{x}) = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'),$$

$$\implies (-q^2 + k^2)\tilde{G}(k, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}, \quad G_0(k; x) = -\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}}{q^2 - k^2},$$

where the translational invariance has been used to produce $G_0(k; \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = G_0(k; \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. In this case, however, the Green's function integrates over the two singularities $q = \pm k$. An " $i\epsilon$ perturbation" can be introduced to move the singularities away from the real axis, and the resulting integral can be evaluated in spherical polars with the aid of residue calculus as

$$G_0^+(k;\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{i \to 0} -\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}}{q^2 - k^2 - i\epsilon} \implies G_0^+(k;\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = -\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{ik|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}.$$

This turns the Schrodinger's equation into an Lippmann-Schwinger equation

$$\psi(k; \mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} - \frac{2m\lambda}{\hbar^2} \int d^3r' \frac{e^{ik|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} U(\mathbf{r}')\psi(k; \mathbf{r}'), \tag{2}$$

comprised up of an incoming plane wave and an outgoing spherical wave from the origin. Looking at the asymptotic behaviour and comparing with the free solution gives the scattering amplitude

$$f(\theta,\phi) = -\frac{2m\lambda}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\int d^3 r' e^{-ik\hat{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\mathbf{r}'} V(\mathbf{r}') \psi(k;\mathbf{r}'). \right]$$

The solution to Eq.(2) can be written as the Born series

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(\mathbf{r}) \lambda^n$$

with iterative solution

$$\phi_0(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}, \quad \phi_{n+1} = \int d^3r' G_0^+(k; \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') V(\mathbf{r}') \phi_n(\mathbf{r}').$$

Evaluated with ϕ_0 , the first-order scattering amplitude is

$$f_1(\theta,\phi) = -\frac{m\lambda}{2\pi\hbar^2}\tilde{U}(\mathbf{q}),$$

which is related to simply the Fourier transform of the potential.

2 Variational Methods

2.1 The theory

When the perturbation is not small, we can resort to the variational approximation for a system with non-degenerative ground state $E_0 < E_1 \le E_2 \le \dots$ Assuming the system has a set of orthonormal eigenbasis $\{\phi_n\}$, the Rayleigh-Ritz quotient

$$R[\psi] \equiv \frac{\langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle} \ge E_0,$$

with equality iff $\psi = \phi_0$. The variational method is simply taking a reasonable parametrised trial wavefunction $\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}; \alpha)$ and minimise the Rayleigh-Ritz quotient in the parameter space. This is usually done by letting

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R[\psi_{\alpha}]}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} = 0,$$

and normalisation is taken care of by the denominator.

Apparently, this is not very systematic and the precision relies solely on the trial wavefunction. Thus, care needs to be taken in constructing the trial wavefunction, considering symmetry, nodes, asymptotic forms, etc. The magic of the variational approximation is that the error in the estimated ground state energy is second order for a first order error in the wavefunction. Mathematically speaking, if $||\psi\rangle - |\phi_0\rangle| < \epsilon$ where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, then $R[\psi] - E_0 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$.

A somewhat systematic approach could be developed by letting the trial wavefunction be a complete Fourier series and then determine the Fourier coefficient from the variational method. We won't go into the details here.

2.2 Seeking the bound state

For example, in calculating the ground state energy of helium, we could either account for the interaction between electrons by the perturbation method or re-parametrise the ground state with no interaction by introducing the effective nuclear charge (i.e. screening). One particular use of the variational approximation is to seek the existence of a bound state, namely any state with E < 0. If the approximate method gives an $E_{\rm approx} < 0$, there must be a bound state with lower energy!

Theorem 1 Virial Theorem

The **ground state** ψ_0 of a homogeneous ventral potential $V(\mathbf{x}) = Ar^n$ in any dimension has kinetic and potential energy

$$2\langle T\rangle_{\psi_0} = n\langle V\rangle_{\psi_0}$$

if it is bounded.

The above theorem can be proved by re-scaling the (assumed) ground state and comparing the limiting cases from the variational methods. Since the kinetic energy is by construction positive definite, the Virial theorem states that bound ceases to exist for $n \le -3$, which is counterintuitive.

Bound states usually exist for potential wells, but may not exist for attracting "scattering potentials" that are asymptotically free (repulsive potentials definitely have no bound states). The variational approximation gives us a method to examine existence of bound states in this case. For homogeneous (power function like) attractive potentials, the virial theorem helps us determine whether bound states exist.

In 1D, there is another result, following from a Gaussian trial wavefunction. If V(x) = 0 for all |x| > L, then a bound state exists if

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x) \, \mathrm{d}x < 0,$$

but the converse is NOT true.

Something can be said about the first excited state as well if we know the ground state exactly: if the trial wavefunction ψ_{α} is orthogonal to the true ground state,

$$\langle \psi_{\alpha} | \psi_0 \rangle = 0 \forall \alpha,$$

then minimising the Rayleigh-Ritz quotient gives the approximate first excited states.

This is pretty useless, since if we know the state analytically, why bother using the variational method? However, when certain symmetries are present (e.g. parity), we can ensure orthogonality by going using a different quantum number.

3 Introduction to solid state physics

In 1D, a lattice has translational invariance V(x) = V(x+a), or equivalently [H,T] = 0, where $\hat{T}_a : x \to x+a$ is the translation operator. A solid can be thought of as a lattice of cations with electrons hopping around. The electrons are not free, since the potential are non-zero at infinity; nor are they bounded, since they can hop around neighbouring atoms. Therefore, the energy are restricted to allowed bands separated by forbidden regions. The energy is continuous within the band and discrete between them.

3.1 Bloch's theorem

Momentum can be regarded as the generator of translation, since

$$\hat{T}_l = e^{il\hat{p}/\hbar}.$$

A free particle has continuous translational invariance and therefore [H,T]=[H,p]=0. Therefore, momentum eigenstates are also energy eigenstates, so we can label the energy eigenstates by k. For discrete translational symmetry T_a , though, the momentum operator is not compatible with the Hamiltonian. However, Bloch's theorem tells us that there is still a "crystal wavevector" associated and can be used to label the state. Furthermore, energy eigenstates in the periodic potential only differ from a plane wave by a periodic function.

Theorem 2 Bloch's theorem in 1D

If the lattice has discrete translational symmetry V(x) = V(x+a), then there **exists** a basis of energy eigenstate $\psi(x)$ that can be written as

$$\psi(x) = e^{ikx} u_k(x)$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u_k(x+a) = u_k(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

The wave-vector k is related to the *crystal momentum* and does not equal the particle momentum $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\partial_x$. This theorem follows from the unitarity of the translation operator T_a . From this, we can write the action translation operator on its eigenvector as multiplication of a pure phase:

$$T_a \psi_k(x) = \psi(x+a) = e^{ika} \psi_k(x)$$

where a is the lattice vector. It is then to define $u_k(x) = e^{-ika}\psi(x)$ that manifestly obeys Bloch's theorem. Since $[H, T_a] = 0$, then it is possible to pick a basis where the Bloch states $\psi(x) = e^{ika}u_k(x)$ are also the energy eigenstates.

3.2 The Floquet Matrix

Bloch's theorem proves the existence of a basis where the Bloch states are also energy eigenstates. However, due to degeneracy in spectrum (does this argument hold?), the energy eigenstates $can \{\psi_1, \psi_2\}$ in general different from eigenstates $\{\psi_{\pm}\}$ of the translation operator. However, they are not linearly independent states and can be related by

$$\psi_{\pm}(x) = A_{\pm}\psi_1(x) + B_{\pm}\psi_2(x) = \mathbf{V}_{\pm}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\psi}, \quad \mathbf{V}_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\pm} \\ B_{\pm} \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{\psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x) \\ \psi_2(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By translational invariance of the setting, $\psi_1(x+1)$ and $\psi_2(x+2)$ are also solutions to the Schrodinger's equation, so they can also be represented as linear combination of energy eigenstates:

$$\psi(x+a) = F(E)\psi(x),$$

where F(E) independent of x is the Floquet matrix satisfying det(F) = 1 (shown by constant Wronskian of SE) and $Tr(F) \in \mathbb{R}$. In other words, the floquet matrix translate the energy eigenstate. Like the Smatrix in scattering, the Floquet matrix contains everything we can know about the band structure.

Now we can translate a Bloch's state using either the eigenvalue of translation operator $\psi_{\pm}(x+a) = Q_{\pm}\psi(\pm)$ or by decomposing it into the basis of ψ_1, ψ_2 and applying the Floquet matrix. Comparing the results gives

$$F^{\top}\mathbf{V}_{+} = Q_{+}\mathbf{V}_{+},$$

so the eigenvalues Q_{\pm} of T_a are also eigenstates of F, satisfying

$$Q^2 - \text{Tr}(F)Q + 1 = 0 \implies Q_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\text{Tr}(F) \pm \sqrt{\text{Tr}(F)^2 - 4}).$$

This gives two possibilities:

- $\text{Tr}(F)^2 \leq 4$ $Q_+ = Q_-^{\star}$ from the quadratic equation, so $Q_{\pm} = e^{\pm ika}$, which is consistent with Bloch's theorem, giving $\psi_{\pm}(x+a) = e^{\pm ika}\psi_{\pm}(x)$. This represents the bands of **allowed energy**.
- Tr(F)² > 4 $Q_{+} = Q_{-}^{-1}$, so one of the eigenvalues have modulus larger than 1. Since $\psi_{\pm}(x+a) = e^{ika}\psi_{\pm}(x)$, the wavefunction diverges at infinity, thus are not normalisable.

For example, in the Kronig-Penny potential

$$V(x) = V_0 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - na),$$

it can be shown that when $V_0 \to \infty$, particles are essentially trapped between delta functions and the spectrum becomes discrete bound states; while when $V_0 \to 0$, particles are essentially free in a single continuum.

3.3 Dispersion relation

The dispersion relation E(k) characterises the potential. For example, in the Kronig-Penny model above, the energy E and crystal wave-number k are related as

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2 y^2}{2ma^2}, \quad f(y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(F) = \cos(ka).$$

Apparently, E is a periodic function of k, giving rise to the different Brillouin zones(BZ)

• 1st BZ: $k \in [-\pi/a, \pi/a]$

• nth BZ:

$$k \in \left[-\frac{n\pi}{a}, \frac{(1-n)\pi}{a} \right] \bigcup \left[\frac{(n-1)\pi}{a}, \frac{n\pi}{a} \right]$$

We could either use the extended zone scheme where different branches of E are plotted on different sections of the real axis (with discontinuities corresponding to band gaps), or we can adopt the reduced zone scheme to plot everything in the first BZ.

3.4 Tight-binding model

As mentioned before, most potentials do not admit analytic solutions, so we usually just study the limiting case of very weak or strong interaction between atoms, namely the tight-binding model and the nearly-free electron model.

For a single atom at x = na, we can model the system as

$$H_n = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V_0(x - na), \quad H_0\psi_n = E_0\psi_n$$

where $V_0(x)$ is a highly localised potential. The lattice can then be modelled as

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x), \quad V(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} V_0(x - na).$$

Since $H \approx H_n$ near the atoms, we know the energy eigenstates needs to behave like ψ_n near the lattice sites, motivating

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikna} \psi_0(x - na) \tag{3}$$

from Bloch's theorem. The tight-binding model makes the two basic assumptions (the first one is implicit from Eq.(3))

- The potential V_0 is so deep that the first excited state is much higher in energy than the ground state, so that we can only consider the ground states;
- The potential V_0 is so narrow that the electrons bound to different sites have negligible mixing: $\langle \psi_{n'} | \psi_n \rangle \approx \delta_{n'n}$;
- Only hopping between adjacent sites are allowed (quantified later.)

We could study the energy spectrum by first imposing a cyclic boundary condition

$$\psi(x) = \psi(x + Na)$$

for some large N and then let $N \to \infty$, giving quantisation of the crystal wavenumber

$$k_m = \frac{2\pi m}{Na}, \qquad -\frac{N}{2} < m \in \mathbb{Z} \le \frac{N}{2}.$$

Therefore, the allowed energy is

$$\langle H \rangle_{\psi} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n,n'=-N/2+1}^{N/2} e^{ik(n-n')a} \left(\langle \psi_{n'} | H_0 | \psi_n \rangle + \langle \psi_{n'} | V(x) - V_0(x-na) | \psi_n \rangle \right)$$

$$\implies E(k) = E_0 - \alpha - 2\gamma \cos(ka),$$

where

$$\alpha = -\langle \psi_n | V(x) - V_0(x - na) | \psi_n \rangle \quad \gg \quad \gamma = -\langle \psi_{n\pm 1} | V(x) - V_0(x - na) | \psi_n \rangle.$$

Alternatively, we could a priori formulate the Hamiltonian as

$$H = E_0 \sum_{n} |\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n| - t \sum_{n} (|\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_{n+1}| + |\psi_{n+1}\rangle \langle \psi_n|)$$

in the finite Hilbert space of dimension N, which would give the same result. Regardless, the energy eigenstates form a single band. The loss of degeneracy at E_0 is a general feature after introducing potentials from multiple atoms, and the absence of a second band reflects that we only take the ground stand into consideration. This, together with the Kronig-Penny model, demonstrates the ubiquity of band structures in lattices.

It is interesting to see that even the slightest mixing γ leads to complete delocalisation of the otherwise tightly bounded energy eigenstate. Furthermore, taylor expanding E(k) around the origin gives a "free-particle" like dispersion relation

$$E \approx \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_{\text{eff}}}, \quad m_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2ta^2}$$

where the effective mass only reflects properties of the lattice, not the electron.

3.5 Nearly-free electron model

Now we consider the opposite extreme with the nearly-free electron model, where

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \lambda U(x), \lambda \ll 1,$$

where U(x + a) = U(x) is a periodic potential with Fourier series

$$U(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} U_l \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{a}l\right) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As usual, we also impose a cyclic boundary condition $\psi(x+Na)=\psi(x)$ to avoid boundary effects. When $\lambda=0$, the eigenstates are the familiar

$$E_0(k) = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}, |k\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Na}} e^{ikx},$$

with k discretised as usual and the spectrum is trivially degenerate with $E_0(-k) = E_0(k)$. The actual energy eigenvalues can be solved by perturbation theory, giving

$$E(k) = E_0(k) + \lambda \langle k|U|k\rangle + \lambda^2 \sum_{k' \neq k} \frac{\langle k|U|k'\rangle \langle k'|U|k\rangle}{E_0(k) - E_0(k')} + \mathcal{O}(k^3)$$

$$= E_0(k) + \lambda U_0 + \sum_{l=-\infty \neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^2 |U_l|^2}{E_0(k) - E_0(k + 2\pi l/a)} + \mathcal{O}(k^3).$$

Therefore, E(k) is the shifted free dispersion relation apart from the edges of Brillouin zones

$$k_l = -\frac{\pi l}{a}.$$

Near the edges, however, the non-degenerative perturbation theory breaks down due to significant mixing $\langle k'|U|k\rangle$. From degenerative perturbation theory, the true energy eigenstate $|\psi\rangle$ can be approximated to the first order as a linear combination in the degenerate subspace spanned by

$$|k'_l\rangle = -\frac{\pi l}{a} + \delta, \quad |k_l\rangle = \frac{\pi l}{a} + \delta.$$

The Schrodinger's equation in this subspace can thus be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle k|H|k\rangle & \langle k|H|k'\rangle \\ \langle k'|H|k\rangle & \langle k'|H|k'\rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = E(k) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix},$$

giving

$$\begin{split} E_{\pm}(k) &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\delta^2 + \frac{\pi^2 l^2}{a^2} \right) + \lambda U_0 \pm \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2 l^2}{m^2 a^2}} \delta^2 + \lambda \left| U_l \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2 l^2}{2ma^2} + \lambda U_0 \underbrace{\pm \lambda \left| U_l \right|}_{\text{band gap}} + \underbrace{\frac{\hbar^2 \delta^2}{2m} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{\lambda \left| U_l \right|} \frac{\hbar^2 l^2 \pi^2}{ma^2} \right)}_{\text{quadratic dispersion}} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^4), \end{split}$$

where the second line expands around the edge of Brillouin zones. Therefore, the otherwise continuous dispersion relation opens up band gaps of width $\Delta E_l = 2\lambda |U_l|$ at the edges of the Brillouin zones. The latest two sections should have justified the ubiquity of band gaps and quadratic dispersion relations in solid state physics.

3.6 Conducting behaviours of solids

We can model a solid as free valence electrons (this is the mean field approximation) filling in the dispersion relation E(k) plus an external electric potential V(x). Discretisation of the momentum space gives N sites, each able to accommodate two electrons. Therefore, an valence-1 element has a half-filled band.

At equilibrium, the lowest N/2 states will be filled symmetrically with $\sum p = 0$. However, as an electric field V(x) = qEx is applied, it would be energetically favourable for electrons on one side of the band to hop to the other side, giving a net momentum and thus a net current flowing. For a valence-2 element, however, one band is filled and if the electric field is not strong enough to overcome the band gap, then the solid cannot respond to the field with a net flow of electrons. This explains conducting behaviours of solids.

3.7 Effective mass

We could identify the group velocity of a wavefunction as

$$v_g = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\mathrm{d}E(k)}{\mathrm{d}k}$$

and define the inertial mass from the acceleration as $m^* = \frac{F}{v_a}$. For an electric field,

$$F = Eq$$
, $dE = Eqv_q dt$,

thus the effective mass can be identified as

$$m^* = \hbar^2 \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 E}{\mathrm{d}k^2}\right)^{-1},$$

which is positive near the centre of a Brillouin zone and negative towards the edges. Therefore, it is better can study the motion of a near-filled band using the motion of positively charged holes (absence of electrons).

4 Lattice

4.1 Bravais Lattice

A lattice is a structure with translational symmetry and a Bravais lattice is a lattice that can be described as

$$\Lambda = \{ \mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbf{l} = l_1 \mathbf{a_1} + l_2 \mathbf{a_2} + l_3 \mathbf{a_3}; l_1, l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, \}$$

where $\mathbf{a_i}$ are the primitive vectors. The choice of primitive vectors are non-unique and can be linked by a linear transformation with determinant 1 (thus preserving that l_i are integers). A Bravais lattice is essentially a lattice where all points are identical. Apparently, not all lattices are Bravais, but any lattice can be written as a superposition of shifted Bravais lattices

$$\bigcup_i \{\Lambda_i + \mathbf{d_i}\}$$