

## LU-Decompositions of Matrices

**Definition 1.** Let  $A$  be a square matrix. If there is a lower triangular matrix  $L$  with all diagonal entries equal to 1 and an upper triangular matrix  $U$  such that  $A = LU$ , then we say that  $A$  has an  $LU$ -decomposition.

Suppose  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix and consider the linear system  $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$  of  $n$  equations in  $n$  variables. If  $A$  has an  $LU$ -decomposition, then the system  $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$  can be reduced to two simpler systems  $U\underline{x} = \underline{c}$  and  $L\underline{c} = \underline{b}$ . Whenever the system  $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$  is consistent, we can first solve the system  $L\underline{c} = \underline{b}$  by forward substitution and then the system  $U\underline{x} = \underline{c}$  by backward substitution to obtain all solutions of the system  $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ . We illustrate this with an example first.

**Example 2.** Consider the system

$$Ax = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 & 0 \\ -2 & 7 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -2 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us attempt to reduce  $A$  to its row echelon form  $U$  by the Gauss Elimination Method (GEM) as follows:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 & 0 \\ -2 & 7 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 2R_1, \quad R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - (-1)R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 & -2 \\ 0 & 8 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - (-1)R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = U.$$

Let us consider the  $3 \times 3$  lower triangular matrix  $L$  with all diagonal entries equal to 1 and the subdiagonal entries equal to the respective multipliers used in the above elimination process, namely,

$$L := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It can be easily verified that  $LU = A$ . Now putting  $U\underline{x} = \underline{c}$  and  $L\underline{c} = \underline{b} = [5, -2, 9]^T$ , we see that the solution of  $L\underline{c} = \underline{b}$  is  $\underline{c} = [5, -12, 2]^T$  and the solution of  $U\underline{x} = \underline{c}$  is  $\underline{x} = [1, 1, 2]^T$ .

We shall shortly give conditions under which a matrix has an  $LU$ -decomposition along with a method of finding such a decomposition. In general, however, a matrix need not have an  $LU$ -decomposition, and if it has one, it need not be unique. For example, it is easily seen that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for any real numbers } a, b, c.$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for any real number } a.$$

**Proposition 3.** Suppose an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  can be reduced to its row echelon form  $U$  without any row interchanges, that is, by using only the elementary row operations  $R_i \rightarrow R_i - m_{ij}R_j$  for

$j = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $i = j+1, \dots, n$ . Define an  $n \times n$  matrix  $L := [\ell_{ij}]$  as follows:

$$\ell_{ij} := \begin{cases} m_{ij} & \text{if } i > j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases}$$

Then  $A = LU$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E_{ij}$  be the elementary matrix corresponding to the elementary row operation  $R_i \rightarrow R_i - m_{ij}R_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $i = j+1, \dots, n$ . Consider the product  $G$  of these elementary matrices in the same order as the corresponding elementary row operations carried out on  $A$ . Thus

$$G := [E_{(n-1)}] \cdots [E_{n2}E_{(n-1)2} \cdots E_{42}E_{32}][E_{n1}E_{(n-1)1} \cdots E_{31}E_{21}].$$

Then  $GA = U$ . Now let us consider the matrix  $GL$ . First, the matrix  $E_{21}L$  is obtained by multiplying the first row of  $L$  by  $m_{21}$  and subtracting it from the second row of  $L$ . Hence the matrix  $E_{21}L$  has the same entries as the matrix  $L$  except that the  $(2,1)$ th entry  $m_{21}$  of  $L$  is reduced to 0. Proceeding similarly, the matrix  $[E_{n1}E_{(n-1)1} \cdots E_{31}E_{21}]L$  has the same entries as the matrix  $L$  except that the entries  $m_{21}, \dots, m_{n1}$  in the first column of  $L$  are reduced to 0. Similarly, multiplications by the other elementary matrices appearing in  $G$  reduce all the other subdiagonal entries of  $L$  to 0, while retaining all diagonal and superdiagonal entries of  $L$  in tact. Thus multiplication on the left by  $G$  reduces  $L$  to the  $n \times n$  identity matrix, that is,  $GL = I_n$ . Hence  $GA = U = I_n U = (GL)U = G(LU)$ . Since  $G$  is invertible, we obtain  $A = LU$ .  $\square$

We have already illustrated the above result by considering a specific example. The numbers  $m_{ij}$  appearing in the above result are known as **multipliers**. If for some  $j$  and for some  $i > j$ , there is no need to subtract a multiple of the  $j$ th row from the  $i$ th row because the relevant entry is already equal to 0, then the corresponding multiplier  $m_{ij}$  is equal to 0.

The method of finding an  $LU$ -decomposition of a matrix  $A$  and then reducing the linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  to two triangular systems  $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}$  and  $L\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{b}$  is particularly useful when one wants to solve several linear systems which have the same coefficient matrix  $A$ , but the data vector  $\mathbf{b}$  on the right side varies with the system. This is so, because the two factors  $L$  and  $U$  of  $A$  can be stored in the computer once for all, and then for each different data vector  $\mathbf{b}$ , the two triangular systems can be solved cheaply, that is, with an operation count of the order of  $n^2$  (as compared to the operation count of the order of  $n^3$  needed for the Forward Elimination Phase of the GEM). This situation typically arises in iterative refinements of an approximate solution of a linear system.

**Proposition 4.** *Suppose  $A$  is an invertible matrix. If  $A$  has an  $LU$ -decomposition, then it is unique.*

*Proof.* Let  $A = L_1U_1$  and  $A = L_2U_2$  be  $LU$ -decompositions of  $A$ . Since  $A$  is invertible,  $\det A \neq 0$  and since  $\det A = (\det L_1)(\det U_1) = (\det L_2)(\det U_2)$ , we see that the determinants of  $L_1$ ,  $U_1$ ,  $L_2$  and  $U_2$  are non-zero. In particular,  $L_2$  and  $U_1$  are invertible. Hence  $L_2^{-1}L_1 = U_2U_1^{-1}$ . This implies that  $L_2^{-1}L_1$  is lower triangular as well as upper triangular, so that it is, in fact, a diagonal matrix. Now by appealing to the Gauss-Jordan Method of obtaining the inverse of a matrix, we note that the matrix  $L_2^{-1}$  is also lower triangular with all diagonal entries equal to 1. It follows that all the diagonal entries of the matrix  $L_2^{-1}L_1$  are equal to 1. Thus  $L_2^{-1}L_1 = I_n$ , that is,  $U_2U_1^{-1} = I_n$ . Hence  $L_2 = L_1$  and  $U_2 = U_1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix and for  $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , let  $A(k)$  denote the  $k \times k$  submatrix of  $A$  consisting of the first  $k$  rows and  $k$  columns of  $A$ . If  $A(k)$  is invertible for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ , then  $A$  has a unique  $LU$ -decomposition.*

*Proof.* Let  $A(k)$  be invertible for  $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . Since  $A(1) = [a_{11}]$  is invertible,  $a_{11} \neq 0$ . Using elementary row operations with  $a_{11}$  as the pivot, we may reduce  $A$  to a matrix of the form

$$A' = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a'_{22} & \dots & a'_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & a'_{n2} & \dots & a'_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now the matrix  $A'(2)$  is invertible since it is row equivalent to the invertible matrix  $A(2)$ . Hence  $a'_{22} \neq 0$ . Using elementary row operations with  $a'_{22}$  as the pivot, we may reduce  $A'$  to a matrix of the form

$$A'' = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a'_{22} & a''_{23} & \dots & a''_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & a''_{33} & \dots & a''_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & a''_{n3} & \dots & a''_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Continuing in this manner, we may reduce  $A$  to its row echelon form  $U$ . Note that the first  $n - 1$  diagonal entries of  $U$  are non-zero. Since no row interchanges are used in this process, Proposition 3 shows that  $A = LU$ , where  $L$  is lower triangular with all diagonal entries equal to 1 and  $U$  is upper triangular. This proves the existence of an  $LU$ -decomposition of  $A$ . To prove its uniqueness, consider another  $LU$ -decomposition of  $A$  given by  $A = L_0 U_0$ . Since  $L_0$  is lower triangular and invertible, the matrix  $L_0^{-1}L$  is lower triangular. Further, since  $U$  is upper triangular with the first  $n - 1$  diagonal entries non-zero and  $(L_0^{-1}L)U = U_0$  is also upper triangular, it can be verified that  $L_0^{-1}L$  is, in fact, a diagonal matrix. But all its diagonal entries are equal to 1. Hence  $L_0^{-1}L = I_n$ , the  $n \times n$  identity matrix, that is,  $L_0 = L$ . Consequently,  $U_0 = U$  and we are through.  $\square$