



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI UDINE

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE, INFORMATICHE E FISICHE

ALPEN-ADRIA-UNIVERSITÄT KLAGENFURT

FACULTY OF TECHNICAL SCIENCES

CORSO DI LAUREA MAGISTRALE IN INFORMATICA INTERNAZIONALE

JOINT DEGREE IN INTERNATIONAL INFORMATICS

MASTER THESIS

**Improving the Efficiency of Retrieval
Effectiveness Evaluation: Finding a Few Good
Topics with Clustering and Dimensionality
Reduction**

Supervisor:

Prof. Stefano MIZZARO

Candidate:

Kevin ROITERO

Co-supervisor:

Prof. Klaus SCHOEFFMANN

Academic year 2015-2016

Abstract

We consider the issue of using fewer topics in the effectiveness evaluation of information retrieval systems. Previous work has shown that using fewer topics is theoretically possible: there exist smaller topic sets that evaluate a population of systems in almost the same way as a full and larger topic set. One of the main issues that remains to be solved is how to find such a small set of a few good topics. To this aim, in this thesis we use a novel approach based on clustering of topics together with dimensionality reduction.

We present various approaches: we consider an a posteriori approach, i.e., we build clusters of topics only after the evaluation exercise (and the relevance assessments) has already been performed; an a priori approach, i.e., before any human assessment has been performed, and thus without using the features produced during assessment; and some approaches that occur during the evaluation process.

We show that clustering is effective in the topic set reduction problem, if the a posteriori approach is considered together with dimensionality reduction (i.e., principal component analysis). We provide furthermore a statistical significance analysis of the obtained result.

We also consider a priori features and features that occur during the evaluation process. The results show that the a priori approaches and the approaches that occur during the evaluation approaches considered are comparable to the random choice of topics. Finally, we provide convincing evidence that straightforward clustering (i.e., without the dimensionality reduction) is not effective in the topic reduction problem.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Information Retrieval	1
1.2	Aims of the Thesis	4
1.3	Structure of the Thesis	5
1.4	Publications	6
I	Background	7
2	Fundamentals	9
2.1	The Relevance Concept	9
2.2	Metrics in IR	13
2.2.1	Precision	14
2.2.2	Recall	14
2.2.3	Precision Recall Curve	15
2.2.4	Average Precision	15
2.2.5	Mean Average Precision (MAP)	16
2.2.6	Geometric Mean Average Precision (GMAP)	16
2.2.7	Logistic Average Precision (logitAP)	17
2.2.8	Yet Another Average Precision (yaAP)	18
2.2.9	P@ <i>n</i>	18
2.2.10	R-Precision	19
2.3	Evaluation of Effectiveness By Means of User Studies	19
2.4	Evaluation of Effectiveness By Means of Test Collections	20
2.5	Conclusions	22
3	Fewer Topics	23
3.1	Background	23
3.2	First Work – A Few Good Topics	24
3.3	Second Work – On Using Fewer Topics	29
3.4	Conclusions	31

II	Clustering of Topics – A Posteriori	33
4	Aims and Methodology	35
4.1	Terms and Notation	35
4.2	Research Questions	36
4.3	Data	38
4.3.1	Ad Hoc Track (TREC-8)	39
4.3.2	Terabyte Track (TREC 2006)	39
4.3.3	Robust Track (TREC 2004)	41
4.3.4	Million Query Track (TREC 2007)	42
4.3.5	Document Pool	42
4.4	Conclusions	43
5	First Experiment: Clustering Using System Effectiveness	45
5.1	Intuition and Description of the Experiment	45
5.2	Coverage Measure	48
5.2.1	Definition	48
5.2.2	Coverage of best, worst, and average topic sets	49
5.3	Cluster of Topics vs. Random Choice	52
5.4	Discussion and Conclusions	54
6	Curse of Dimensionality	57
6.1	Introduction	57
6.2	Curse of Dimensionality Using Space Comparison	57
6.3	Curse of Dimensionality Using Vector Comparison	60
6.4	The Effect of Curse of Dimensionality	62
6.5	Conclusions	62
7	Dimensionality Reduction	63
7.1	Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	63
7.2	Principal Component Analysis with System Effectiveness	68
7.3	Discussion and Conclusions	71
8	Statistical Significance	79
8.1	Sampling Distribution	79
8.2	Statistical Significance – Mann Whitney U test	80
8.3	Discussion and Conclusions	83

III	Clustering of Topics – A Priori	87
9	Second Experiment: Clustering Using Topic Title	89
9.1	Intuition and Description of the Experiment	89
9.2	Topic Structure	91
9.3	Term Frequency and Inverse Document Frequency	92
9.4	Cluster of Topics vs. Random Choice	94
9.5	Discussion and Conclusions	96
10	Third Experiment: Clustering Using Full Topic Narration	99
10.1	Intuition and Description of the Experiment	99
10.2	Cluster of Topics vs. Random Choice	100
10.3	Discussion and Conclusions	102
11	Dimensionality Reduction – A Priori Approach	105
11.1	Intuition and Experiment Description	105
11.2	Discussion and Conclusions	107
12	Further Experiments with Clustering – Variation of Cluster Parameters and Features	109
12.1	Varying Clustering Parameters	109
12.1.1	The Linkage Method	110
12.1.2	The Cluster Algorithm	110
12.1.3	The Distance and the Similarity Measure	110
12.2	More Clustering Features	111
12.2.1	Identifiers of Documents in the Pool	111
12.2.2	Identifiers of Relevant Documents in the Pool	111
12.2.3	Number of Documents in the Pool	112
12.2.4	Number of Relevant Documents in the Pool	112
12.2.5	Percentage of Relevant Documents in the Pool	112
12.2.6	Final Set of Experiments	113
12.3	Discussion and Conclusions	113
IV	Discussion and Future Developments	115
13	Future Developments	117
13.1	Vary the Number of Clusters	117
13.2	More Samples for Each Cardinality	117
13.3	Consider Centroids and Medoids	118
13.4	Cluster on the basis of HITS Algorithm	118
13.5	Entropy of the Documents	118

13.6 Hyper-Graphs	119
13.7 Classifier	119
13.8 Generalization	119
13.9 Discussion and Conclusions	119
14 Summary	121
14.1 Overview	121
14.2 The Answer to the Research Questions	122
14.3 Conclusions	123
Acknowledgments	125
Bibliography	127