

Peer review for Adam Österlunds group.

As a developer would the model help you and why/why not?

No it would not cause this is more of a class diagram than a domain model and has a lot of attributes and methods. They don't have all of the necessary classes needed as well as very few relations [1].

Do you think a domain expert (for example the Secretary) would understand the model why/why not?

The model is understandable but again more like a class diagram. There is not enough relations or classes to get anything useful out of it, it's just too small [1].

What are the strong points of the model, what do you think is really good and why?

We can't really find any particular strong points in this model because it is really small and has almost no relations. It is also more like a class diagram than a domain model [2].

What are the weaknesses of the model, what do you think should be changed and why?

The weaknesses we found was that it is more like a class diagram and not abstract enough. It contains methods and has almost no relations [2], [3].

Do you think the model has passed the grade 2 (passing grade) criteria?

No, not all of the requirements has been fulfilled, and the domain model is not really a domain model [1], [2].

References:

1. Larman C. Applying UML and Patterns 3rd Ed, 2005, ISBN: 0131489062, page 221, figure 9.2
2. Larman C. Applying UML and Patterns 3rd Ed, 2005, ISBN: 0131489062, page 223, chapter 9.2
3. Larman C. Applying UML and Patterns 3rd Ed, 2005, ISBN: 0131489062, page 230-233, chapter 9.5