The Book of Math (Notes)

Kevin Kuo

November 28, 2020

Forward and Disclaimer

These are math notes made by a student (with a physics major and math minor) based off text books. It may contain misconceptions and misinterpretations, thus should not be viewed in the same light of a text book. Use at your own risk and mental sanity.

Symbols

Logic

Name	Symbol	Comment
Exists	3	There exists at least one
For all	A	
Not exists	∄	There does not exist
Exists one	∃!	There only exists one and only one
And	\wedge	
Or	V	Inclusive or
Not	¬	
Logically implies	\Longrightarrow	If
Logically implied by	←	Only if
Logically equivalent	\iff	If and only if
Implies	\longrightarrow	
Implied by	←	
Double Implication	\longleftrightarrow	

Set Notation

Name	Symbol	Comment
Empty Set	Ø	The set that is empty
Natural Numbers	\mathbb{N}	Set of natural numbers not containing 0, equivalent to
		the set of positive integers
Integers	$\mathbb Z$	Set of integers
Rational Numbers	\mathbb{Q}	
Algebraic Numbers	\mathbb{A}	
Real Numbers	\mathbb{R}	
Complex Numbers	$\mathbb C$	
In	€	
Not in	∉	
Owns	Э	Has an element
Proper Subset	C	Subset that is not itself
Subset	\subseteq	
Superset)	Superset that is not itself
Proper Superset	⊇	

Power set	ေ
Union	U
Intersection	\cap
Difference	\

Relationships

Name	Symbol	Comment
Defined	Ė	
Approximate	≈	
Equivalent	≡	Isomorphic (Group Theory)
Congruent	≅	Homomorphic (Group Theory)
Proportional	\propto	

Operators

Name	Symbol	Comment
	\oplus	
	\otimes	
	•	
	0	Convolution
Dagger	†	Complex conjugate transpose of a matrix

Arrows

Name	Symbol	Comment
Maps to	\mapsto	

Hebrew

Name	\mathbf{Symbol}	Comment
Aleph	×	Carnality of infinite sets that can be well ordered

Other

Name	\mathbf{Symbol}	Comment
Real part	R	Real part of a number
Imaginary part	I	Imaginary part of a number

Book Constitution

Intents and Purpose

The goal of this book is to organize mathematical knowledge of topics related to the study of physics or the author's interest. It is meant to be used as a source of for future reference, not as a textbook for students new to the topics. It is a notebook of a student, thus should be treated as one and not as a textbook. At most, it could be used as a study guide along side a textbook. Definitely not as the main source for acquiring knowledge.

Layout and Organization

The book is split into parts each containing a field of study mathematics, or a topic large enough to justify giving it its own part. Each part contains chapters that focuses on a particular topic required to understand the field, with sections dedicated to describing a particular knowledge required for the topic.

As axioms, definitions, theorems, corollary, and proofs are integral and abundant to the study of mathematics, each will have a unique style. Each environment and its styles are displayed as follows:

Axiom 0.1: Axiom name

Example Axiom Axioms are the "ground rules" of the set.

Theorem 0.0.1: Theorem name or citation

Example Theorem An important logical result from the axioms, with proof.

Conjecture 0.0.1: Name of conjecture or citation

Example Conjecture A hypothesis, without proof.

Corollary 0.0.1.1:

Example Corollary An implication as a result of a theorem.

Lemma 0.0.1.1:

Example Lemma Small theorems that build up to a larger theorem.

Proposition 0.0.1.1:

Example Proposition Example proposition.

Proof: Logical deductions that results in a theorem. Proofs I've written will be in grey, which may or may not be correct. □

Definition 0.0.1: Word

Example Definition The definition of a word.

Example 0.0.1 An example.

Remark. Remark A comment by the author in the textbooks used.

Observation. Example Observation A remark by me.

Question. Example Question A question from me for a mystery to be answered later.

Contents

Ι	Logic	1
1	Proofs	3
II	Numbers	5
2	Natural $\mathbb N$	7
3	Integers \mathbb{Z}	9
4	Rationals $\mathbb Q$	11
5	Constructible	13
6	$\textbf{Algebraic} \ \mathbb{A}$	15
7	Reals $\mathbb R$	17
8	Complex $\mathbb C$	19
II	I Real Analysis	21
9	Metric Spaces	23
I	Complex Analysis	25
10	Basics	27
	10.1 Complex Numbers	27

10.2 Triangle Inequality	28
10.3 Polar and Exponential Form	29
10.3.1 Properties of Polar and Exponential Form	31
10.3.2 Properties of Arguments	31
10.4 Roots of z	32
10.5 Complex Conjugate	34
10.6 Operations as Transformations	35
10.7 Complex Analysis Definitions	36
11 Analytic Functions	41
12 Conformal Mapping	43
V Ordinary Differential Equations	45
VI Nonlinear Dynamics	47
VII Partial Differential Equations	49
VIII Integral Equations	51
IX Linear Algebra	53
13 Markov Chains	55

X Tensors	57
XI Riemann Geometry	59
XII Abstract Algebra	61
14 Groups	63
15 Rings 15.1 Ideals	65
16 Integral Domains	67
17 GCD Domains	69
18 Unique Factorization Domains	71
19 Principal Ideal Domains	73
20 Fields	7 5
XIII Galois Theory	77
XIV Lie Theory	7 9
21 Lie Groups	81
22 Lie Algebra	83

XV C-Star Algebra	85
XVI Set Theory	87
XVII Model Theory	89
XVIII Statistics	91
XIX Tips and Tricks	93
23 Integration Techniques	95
23.1 DI Method (Integration Table)	95
23.2 Feynman Integration	95
XX Index	97
XXI Bibliography	99

Part I

Logic

Proofs

Part II

Numbers



content...

Natural \mathbb{N}

Integers \mathbb{Z}

Rationals \mathbb{Q}

Constructible

Algebraic \mathbb{A}

Reals \mathbb{R}

Complex $\mathbb C$

Part III Real Analysis

Resources used in part III

1. Kenneth A. Ross - Elementary Analysis (2nd Ed.) $\left[1\right]$

Metric Spaces

Part IV Complex Analysis

Resources used in part IV

Primary:

- 1. Brown and Churchill Complex Variables and Applications [2] Supplement:
 - 1. A. David Wunsch Complex Variables with Applications [3]

Chapter 10

Basics

10.1 Complex Numbers

$$\mathbb{C} = \{ x + iy \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}, i = \sqrt{-1} \}$$

Complex numbers are elements of the complex field (\mathbb{C}), therefore, they obey all the properties of a field.

We will denote complex numbers by z = x + iy with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, and refer the real part as $\Re(z) = \operatorname{Re}(z) = x$ and imaginary part as $\Im(z) = \operatorname{Im}(z) = y$. Complex numbers can also be defined as an ordered pair z = (x, y) which is interpreted as points in the complex plane. (x, 0) are points on the real axis while (0, y) are points in the imaginary axis.



We add and multiply complex numbers in the usual way:

$$z_1 + z_2 = (x_1 + iy_1) + (x_2 + iy_2)$$

$$= (x_1 + x_2) + i(y_1 + y_2)$$

$$z_1 z_2 = (x_1 + iy_1)(x_2 + iy_2)$$

$$= (x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2) + i(x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)$$

 $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}$, there is an unique additive inverse (-z) and $\forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, there is an unique

multiplicative inverse (z^{-1}) such that

$$z + (-z) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -z = -x - iy$$


$$zz^{-1} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_1x_2 - y_1y_2) = 1 \land (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow z^{-1} = \frac{x_1}{x_1^2 + y_1^2} - i\frac{y_1}{x_1^2 + y_1^2}$$
where and uniqueness of the inverses can be easily preven

The existence and uniqueness of the inverses can be easily proven.

The addition of complex numbers may also be interpreted as akin to vector addition.



10.2 Triangle Inequality

It is not analysis without a section dedicated to the triangle inequality.

Definition 10.2.1: Modulus
$$|z| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = \sqrt{z\overline{z}}$$

It is obvious why the definition is not $|z| = \sqrt{x^2 + (iy)^2}$ as problems arise when x = y. The modulus is the distance of z from (0,0). \bar{z} is the complex conjugate of z, which is explored in section 10.5

Theorem 10.2.1: Triangle Inequality

$$\forall\,z_1,z_2\in\mathbb{C}\big[\big|z_1+z_2\big|\leq \big|z_1\big|+\big|z_2\big|\big]$$

From the theorem, we can derive a similar inequality:

$$|z_1| = |z_1 + z_2 - z_2| \le |z_1 + z_2| + |-z_2| \implies |z_1| - |z_2| \le |z_1 + z_2|$$

An important property of polynomials is observed when theorem 10.2.1 is applied to polynomials.

Corollary 10.2.1.1:

Consider the polynomial P(z) where $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_0 \neq 0$, and $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$P(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \ldots + a_n z^n$$

Then $\forall z, \exists R \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, |z| < R \text{ such that}$

$$\left| \frac{1}{P(z)} \right| < \frac{2}{|a_n| R^n}$$

Proof: Consider

$$w = \frac{P(z)}{z_n} - a_n = \frac{a_0}{z^n} + \frac{a_1}{z^{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z}$$

$$\implies wz^n = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_{n-1} z^{n-1}$$

$$\implies |w||z|^n \le |a_0| + |a_1||z| + \dots + |a_{n-1}||z|^{n-1}$$

$$\implies |w| \le \frac{|a_0|}{|z|^n} + \frac{|a_1|}{|z|^{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{|a_{n-1}|}{|z|}$$

$$\implies |w| < n \frac{|a_n|}{2n} = \frac{|a_n|}{2}$$

$$\implies |w| < n \frac{|a_n|}{2n} = \frac{|a_n|}{2}$$

$$\implies |a_n + w| \ge ||a_n| - |w|| > \frac{|a_n|}{2}$$

$$\implies |P_n(z)| = |a_n + w||z|^n > \frac{|a_n|}{2}|z|^n > \frac{|a_n|}{2}R^n$$

$$\implies \left|\frac{1}{P(z)}\right| < \frac{2}{|a_n|R^n}$$

$$z \ne 0$$

$$\Rightarrow wz^n = a_0 + a_1z + \dots + a_{n-1}z^{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow |sufficiently large $R < |z| \text{ s.t.}$

$$\forall m, \ 0 \le m \le n - 1, \ \frac{|a_m|}{|z|^{n-m}} < \frac{|a_n|}{2n}$$

$$R < |z|$$

$$\implies |P_n(z)| = |a_n + w||z|^n > \frac{|a_n|}{2}|z|^n > \frac{|a_n|}{2}R^n$$

$$R < |z|$$$$

This tells us that if z is a solution to a polynomial P(z), then the reciprocal of the polynomial 1/P(z) is bounded above by R = |z|. (i.e. It is bounded by a circle of radius |z|.)

10.3 Polar and Exponential Form

Definition 10.3.1: Argument of z

Consider any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ where $z \neq 0$. Let θ be the angle in radians between z and the real axis . Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, the argument of z:

$$\arg(z) = \theta + 2n\pi$$

We know $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \theta + 2\pi n = \theta$. This leads us to the definition of the principal argument of z.

Definition 10.3.2: Principal Argument of z

Consider any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ where $z \neq 0$. Let θ be the angle in radians between z and the real axis. Then for $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, the principal argument of z:

$$Arg(z) = \theta$$

It is clear that $arg(z) = Arg(z) + 2n\pi$.

Definition 10.3.3: Polar Form of z

Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let r = |z|, and $\theta = \arg(z)$. Then $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, z \neq 0$:

$$z = x + iy = r(\cos(\theta) + i\sin(\theta))$$

Notice that all three definitions require that $z \neq 0$ as θ is undefined at z = 0.

Theorem 10.3.1: Euler's Formula

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos(\theta) + i\sin(\theta)$$


Combining definition 10.3.3 with theorem 10.3.1, we obtain the Exponential Form of z:

Definition 10.3.4: Exponential Form of z

Consider any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and let r = |z| and $\theta = \operatorname{Arg}(z)$. Then the exponential form of z:

$$z = re^{i\theta}$$

Note: $\theta = \tan^{-1}(y/x)$ and $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$.



10.3.1 Properties of Polar and Exponential Form

It would be easier to work with the exponential form of z then convert it to the polar form later. The exponential form of a complex number is part of the exponential family of functions, thus possess all the properties of the family. Consider any complex number $z_1 = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}$ and $z_2 = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}$.

$$z_1 z_2 = r_1 r_2 e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} \qquad \qquad z^n = r^n e^{in\theta} \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

A special case arrives for integer exponential of z on the unit circle.

Theorem 10.3.2: de Moivre's Formula

Consider any $z = e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{C}$ on the unit circle, and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}[|z| = 1 \implies (\cos(\theta) + i\sin(\theta))^n = \cos(n\theta) + i\sin(n\theta)]$$

Proof: Consider $z = e^{i\theta}$ and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$z^n = (e^{i\theta})^n = e^{in\theta} = \cos(n\theta) + i\sin(n\theta)$$

The proof hints that theorem 10.3.2 can be generalized to $\forall n \in \mathbb{R}$, which we will see shortly in ??. Using theorem 10.3.2, we can obtain the double angle identities.

Corollary 10.3.2.1: Double Angle Identities

$$cos(2\theta) = cos^2(\theta) - sin^2(\theta)$$
 $sin(2\theta) = 2sin(\theta)cos(\theta)$

Proof: Consider any z on the unit circle, that is $z = e^{i\theta}$.

$$(\cos(\theta) + i\sin(\theta))^2 = \cos(2\theta) + i\sin(2\theta)$$
Theorem 10.3.2
$$\implies \cos^2(\theta) - \sin^2(\theta) + i2\sin(\theta)\cos(\theta) = \cos(2\theta) + i\sin(2\theta)$$

Equating the real and imaginary parts yield the desired results.

10.3.2 Properties of Arguments

Recall from section 10.3.1:

$$z_1 z_2 = r_1 r_2 e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} \qquad \qquad z^n = r^n e^{in\theta} \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

The arguments for the arguments of products of any $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ follows immediately from the properties of the exponential.

Corollary 10.3.2.2: Arguments of Products

$$\arg(z_1 z_2) = \arg(z_1) + \arg(z_2) \qquad \operatorname{Arg}(z_1 z_2) = \operatorname{Arg}(z_1) + \operatorname{Arg}(z_2)$$

$$\arg(z^n) = n \arg(z) \qquad \operatorname{Arg}(z^n) = n \operatorname{Arg}(z)$$

Proof:

$$z_1 z_2 = r_1 r_2 e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}$$

$$\implies \arg(z_1 z_2) = \arg(z_1) + 2n_1 \pi + \arg(z_2) + 2n_2 \pi \qquad n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\implies \arg(z_1 z_2) = \arg(z_1) + \arg(z_2)$$

$$\implies \operatorname{Arg}(z_1 z_2) = \operatorname{Arg}(z_1) = \operatorname{Arg}(z_2)$$

$$z^n = r^n e^{in\theta}$$

$$\implies \arg(z^n) = n \arg(z) + 2n\pi \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\implies \arg(z^n) = n \arg(z)$$

$$\implies z^n = n \operatorname{Arg}(z)$$

It is clear that:

$$\operatorname{arg}\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) = \operatorname{arg}(z_1) - \operatorname{arg}(z_2)$$
 $\operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) = \operatorname{Arg}(z_1) - \operatorname{Arg}(z_2)$

10.4 Roots of z

In definition 10.3.4, you might be wondering why $z^n = r^n e^{in\theta}$ is not for $n \in \mathbb{R}$. That is because there is more things to consider, which we will explore in this section. Recall that $z = re^{(i\theta)} = re^{i(\theta+2n\pi)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 10.4.1: Exponential of z

Consider any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$z^{x} = \left(re^{i(\theta+2n\pi)}\right)^{x} = r^{x}e^{ix(\theta+2n\pi)}$$

For $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$, it is clear that $z^x = r^x e^{ix(\theta + 2n\pi)} \neq r^x e^{ix\theta}$, since $2nx\pi = 0 \iff nx \in \mathbb{Z}$. In order to define the roots of z we must need a more general and proper definition of z.


Definition 10.4.2: Roots of z_0

Consider any $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$z_0^{\frac{1}{m}} = r_0^{\frac{1}{m}} e^{i\left(\frac{\theta_0 + 2n\pi}{m}\right)} = r_0^{\frac{1}{m}} e^{i\left(\frac{\theta_0}{m} + \frac{2n\pi}{m}\right)}$$

Taking the m-th root of $z_0 \in C$ scales θ_0 by 1/m, and provides solutions at equally spaced by $2\pi/m$ on a circle of radius $r^{1/m}$. That is, the roots lie on the vertices of a regular n-sided polygon inscribed in a circle of radius $|z|^{1/m}$.

Example 10.4.1 Consider $z_0 = 32e^{i(5/6)\pi}$, then $z_0^{(1/5)} = 3e^{i(\pi/6)+i(2/5)n\pi}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The radius went from 35 to $35^{(1/5)} = 2$, and five roots appear equally spaced with distance of $(2/5)\pi$ on a circle with radius 2. Before and after graphs are as follows, note graph on right is zoomed in:



We can see that the roots of z_0 form a set:

Definition 10.4.3: Set of roots of z_0

Consider the m-th root of any $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let:

$$z_0 = r_0 e^{i\theta_0} \qquad c_0 = r_0^{1/m} e^{i\theta_0/m} \qquad \omega_n = e^{\frac{i2\pi}{m}} \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then the set of roots of z_0 :

$$z_0^{1/m} = \left\{ c_k = c_0 \omega_m^k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, \ 0 \le k < m \right\}$$

 c_0 is the principal root. The root corresponding to the principal argument of z.

Definition 10.4.4: Principal Root

Consider the m-th root of any $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. The principal root of z_0 is defined as:


$$c_0 = r_0^{\frac{1}{m}} e^{i\frac{\theta_0}{m}}$$

Example 10.4.2 Recall from the previous example: $z_0 = 32e^{i(5/6)\pi}$. This gives us

$$c_0 = 32^{1/5}e^{i\pi/6} = 2e^{i\pi/6}$$
 $\omega_5 = e^{i2\pi/5}$

Then

$$\begin{split} c_0 &= c_0 \omega_5^0 = 2 e^{i\pi/6} \\ c_1 &= c_0 \omega_5^1 = 2 e^{i\pi/6} e^{i2\pi/5} = 2 e^{i17\pi/30} \\ c_2 &= c_0 \omega_5^1 = 2 e^{i\pi/6} e^{i4\pi/5} = 2 e^{i29\pi/30} \\ c_3 &= c_0 \omega_5^1 = 2 e^{i\pi/6} e^{i6\pi/5} = 2 e^{i41\pi/30} = 2 e^{-i19\pi/30} \\ c_4 &= c_0 \omega_5^1 = 2 e^{i\pi/6} e^{i8\pi/5} = 2 e^{i53\pi/30} = 2 e^{-i7\pi/30} \end{split}$$



10.5 Complex Conjugate

Definition 10.5.1: Complex Conjugate

The complex conjugate of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is denoted \bar{z} .

$$\bar{z} = x - iy = r(\cos(\theta) - i\sin(\theta)) = re^{-i\theta}$$

Graphically, it is the reflection of z across the real axis.

It is then easy to see

$$\operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{z + \overline{z}}{2i} \qquad |z|^2 = z\overline{z}$$

As $Re(z) = x = r\cos(\theta)$ and $Im(z) = y = r\sin(\theta)$ and using definition 10.3.4, we can obtain the complex forms of sine and cosine:


Definition 10.5.2: Complex Sine and Cosine

$$\cos(\theta) = \frac{e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}}{2}$$
 $\sin(\theta) = \frac{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}}{2i}$


10.6 Operations as Transformations

Consider any $z \in \mathbb{C}$. A function $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ can be viewed as transformations of the complex plane.

Example 10.6.1 (Addition as sliding) Consider any $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $z_0 = a + ib$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Addition by z_0 can be seen as a shift in the complex plane by a + bi. (i.e. It takes the origin and shifts it by z_0 .)



Example 10.6.2 (Multiplication as scaling and rotation) Consider any $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $z_0 = re^{i\theta}$. Multiplication by z_0 scales the entire complex plane by r and rotates it by θ . (Imagine rotating and stretching out a net.)




10.7 Complex Analysis Definitions

Definition 10.7.1: Neighbourhood

A neighbourhood of a point z_0 is the set of all points z with distance less than ϵ .

$$\{z: |z - z_0| < \epsilon\}$$


i.e. It is the set of all points that lie within a circle centred at z_0 with radius ϵ . Points on the circumference not included.



Definition 10.7.2: Deleted Neighbourhood


A deleted neighbourhood is the set of all points z with distance less than ϵ from a point z_0 , not including z_0 . That is, it is a neighbourhood of z_0 without z_0 .

$$\{z: |z-z_0| < \epsilon, \ z \neq z_0\}$$




Definition 10.7.3: Interior Point

Let S be a set. A point z_0 is an interior point of S if $\exists \epsilon$ such that $\forall z, |z - z_0| < \epsilon \implies z \in S$. That is, z_0 is an interior point of S if it has a neighbourhood where all points in the neighbourhood is an element of S.




Definition 10.7.4: Exterior Point

Let S be a set. A point z_0 is an exterior point of S if $\exists \epsilon$ such that $\forall z, |z - z_0| < \epsilon \implies z \notin S$. That is, z_0 is an exterior point of S if it has neighbourhood that does not contain any element of S.



Definition 10.7.5: Boundary Point

Let S be a set. A point z_0 is a boundary point of S if $\forall \epsilon, \exists z \in S, z' \notin S$, such that $|z - z_0| \epsilon$ and $|z' - z_0| < \epsilon$. That is, for all neighbourhoods of z_0 there exists a point that is in S and a point not in S.



Note: A boundary point of S may or may not be in S.

Definition 10.7.6: Boundary of a Set

A boundary of a set S is the set of all boundary points of S. The set containing all boundary points of S.

$$\{z_0: \forall \epsilon \exists z \in S, z' \notin S(|z - z_0| < \epsilon \land |z' - z_0| < \epsilon)\}$$

Definition 10.7.7: Open Set

A set that does not contain any boundary points.

Theorem 10.7.1:

Set S is open $\iff \forall s \in S$, s is an interior point of S

Proof: \Longrightarrow : Suppose S is open $\Rightarrow \forall s \in S$, s is an interior point of S, for contradiction. That is, $\exists s \in S$ that is either a boundary point or an exterior point. $s \in S$ implies s is not an exterior point of S, so s has to be a boundary point of S. This contradicts that S is an open set.

$$S$$
 is open $\implies \forall s \in S(s \text{ is an interior point of } S)$

← :

$$\forall s \in S(s \text{ is an interior point of S})$$
 $\Longrightarrow \forall s' \forall \epsilon (|s'-s| < \epsilon \Longrightarrow s' \in S)$
 $\Longrightarrow S \text{ does not contain boundary points} \Longrightarrow S \text{ is open}$

A set can be neither open or closed. Consider the set $S = \{z : 0 < |z| \le 1\}$. S is not closed since it does not contain the boundary point 0, and it is not open since it contains boundary points where |z| = 1. The set $\mathbb C$ is both open and closed since it has no boundary points.

Definition 10.7.8: Closed Set


A set that contains all of its boundary points.

Definition 10.7.9: Closure of a Set

Let S be a set. The closure of S is a closed set containing all points of S and all boundary points of S.

Definition 10.7.10: Connected Set

An opens set S is connected if $\forall z_1, z_2 \in S$, z_1 and z_2 can be connected by a polygonal line lying within S.



Definition 10.7.11: Polygonal Line

A finite set of line segments joined end to end.

Definition 10.7.12: Domain

A nonempty connected set.

Note: All neighbourhoods are domains.

Definition 10.7.13: Region

A domain with none, some, or all of its boundary points.

Definition 10.7.14: Bounded Set

A set S is bounded if $\exists R$ such that $\forall s \in S, s < R$.

Definition 10.7.15: Accumulation/Limit Point

A point z_0 is a accumulation point of a set S if all deleted neighbourhood of z_0 contains an element of S.

$$\forall \epsilon \exists s \in S \big(s \neq z_0 \land |z - s| < \epsilon \big)$$

Note: Unlike a boundary point, an accumulation point does not require that all neighbourhood of z_0 contain an element not in S.

Theorem 10.7.2:

Set S is closed $\iff \forall$ accumulation points z_0 of S, $z_0 \in S$

Proof: \Longrightarrow : Let S is closed and z_0 is an accumulation point of a set S where $z_0 \notin S$ for contradiction. If $\exists z_0 \notin S$, then z_0 is a boundary point of S. Contradicts closed set contains all boundary points.

 $\underline{\longleftarrow}$: Suppose all accumulation points of S are elements of S but S is not closed for contradiction. Then S does not contain one or more boundary points. Suppose z_0 is a boundary point of S that is not in S. Then $\forall \epsilon \exists s \in S$ where $|s - z_0| < \epsilon$, so by considering the deleted neighbourhood of z_0 , this makes z_0 an accumulation point of S. This contradicts that all accumulation points of S is in S.

Chapter 11

Analytic Functions

Chapter 12

Conformal Mapping

${\bf Part~V}$ ${\bf Ordinary~Differential~Equations}$

Part VI Nonlinear Dynamics

Part VII Partial Differential Equations

Calculus of Variations

Part VIII Integral Equations

Part IX Linear Algebra

Chapter 13

Markov Chains

Part X

Tensors

Part XI Riemann Geometry

Part XII Abstract Algebra

Groups

Rings

15.1 Ideals

Integral Domains

GCD Domains

Unique Factorization Domains

Principal Ideal Domains

Fields

Part XIII Galois Theory

Part XIV

Lie Theory

Lie Groups

Lie Algebra

Part XV

C-Star Algebra

Part XVI
Set Theory

Part XVII Model Theory

Part XVIII

Statistics

Part XIX Tips and Tricks

Integration Techniques

- 23.1 DI Method (Integration Table)
- 23.2 Feynman Integration

Part XX

Index

Part XXI Bibliography

Bibliography

- [1] Kenneth A. Ross. *Elementary Analysis*. Springer, 2 edition, 2013.
- [2] James Ward Brown and Ruel V. Churchill. *Complex Variables and Applications*. McGraw-Hill Education, 9 edition, 2014.
- [3] A. David Wunsh. Complex Variables with Applications. Pearson, 3 edition, 2005.